

$$Pr(Vrfy(< m, t >); stm \notin Q) \leq negl(n)$$

1 Proof

Case 1 : r is reused from the message which was queried

In this case, we consider two subcases:

Case 1.1 $\|m\| = \|m'\|$ (after padding till multiple of $n/4$) where $m' \notin Q$ and $m \in Q$

$m \neq m' \implies$ there exists a message block i for which $m_i \neq m'_i$

now $t_i = F_k(r \| d \| i \| m_i)$, $t'_i = F_k(r \| d \| i \| m'_i)$

since m' is never queried we have no way to know t_i

Assuming F_k is provably secure PRF $\implies Pr(t_i = t'_i) \leq negl(n)$

This means that m and m' have the same length after padding to a multiple of $n/4$. We can assume that $m \neq m'$ because otherwise, the statement we are trying to prove is vacuously true.

Since m' has not been queried, we have no way of knowing t'_i for any block i . However, we do know that $t_i = F_k(r \| d \| i \| m_i)$ where d is the number of blocks in m . If F_k is a provably secure PRF, then we can assume that the probability of $t_i = t'_i$ is negligible.

Case 1.2 $\|m\| \neq \|m'\|$ ((after padding till multiple of $n/4$)) where $m' \notin Q$ and $m \in Q$

Let the d' be the no. of block in m'

Similarly Here we don't know the value

$t' = F(r \| d' \| \dots)$

$t = F(r \| d \| \dots)$

Assuming F_k is provably secure PRF $\implies Pr(t = t') \leq negl(n)$ This means that m and m' have different lengths after padding to a multiple of $n/4$. We can assume that $m \neq m'$ because otherwise, the statement we are trying to prove is vacuously true.

As in Case 1.1, we have no way of knowing t' for any block i in m' . However, we do know that $t_i = F_k(r \| d \| i \| m_i)$ and $t'_i = F_k(r' \| d' \| i \| m'_i)$. Since r and r' are independent and chosen uniformly at random, we can assume that the probability of $t = t'$ is negligible if F_k is a provably secure PRF.

2 Case 2: new r is used

Let the length of m' be l'

similarly Here we don't know the value

$t' = F(r' \| \dots)$

$t = F(r \| \dots)$

Assuming F_k is provably secure PRF $\implies Pr(t = t') \leq negl(n)$

In this case, r is chosen uniformly at random and independent of any previous values of r . We again have no way of knowing t' for any block i in m' , but we

do know that $t_i = F_k(r|d|i|m_i)$ and $t'_i = F_k(r'|d'|i|m'_i)$. As in Case 1.2, we can assume that the probability of $t = t'$ is negligible if F_k is a provably secure PRF.

Therefore, in all cases, we can assume that the probability of a successful verification of a signature on a message that has not been previously queried is negligible, and the statement we set out to prove is true