

Science-2 Assignment 4

Question 1

You are given 2 nucleotide sequences:

GGCTGCAACTAGCTC

GGGTAAGCTTGC

Given gap penalty: -3 and the transition-transversion scoring matrix (expressed in similarity):

	A	C	G	T
A	4	-1	1	-1
C	-1	4	-1	1
G	1	-1	4	-1
T	-1	1	-1	4

Carry out the global and local alignment (dynamic programming algorithm), and indicate the final similarity score and the best alignment.

→

1. Global alignment

- Alignment score: 23
- Best Alignment: →
- Algorithm Used: Needleman-Wunsch

d. DP Table:

```
GGCTGCAACTAGCTC
GGGT-AAGCTTG--C
```

	-	G	G	C	T	G	C	A	A	C	T	A	G	C	T	C
-	0	-3	-6	-9	-12	-15	-18	-21	-24	-27	-30	-33	-36	-39	-42	-45
G	-3	4	1	-2	-5	-8	-11	-14	-17	-20	-23	-26	-29	-32	-35	-38
G	-6	1	8	5	2	-1	-4	-7	-10	-13	-16	-19	-22	-25	-28	-31
G	-9	-2	5	7	4	6	3	0	-3	-6	-9	-12	-15	-18	-21	-24
T	-12	-5	2	6	11	8	7	4	1	-2	-2	-5	-8	-11	-14	-17
A	-15	-8	-1	3	8	12	9	11	8	5	2	2	-1	-4	-7	-10
A	-18	-11	-4	0	5	9	11	13	15	12	9	6	3	0	-3	-6
G	-21	-14	-7	-3	2	9	8	12	14	14	11	10	10	7	4	1
C	-24	-17	-10	-3	-1	6	13	10	11	18	15	12	9	14	11	8
T	-27	-20	-13	-6	1	3	10	12	9	15	22	19	16	13	18	15
T	-30	-23	-16	-9	-2	0	7	9	11	12	19	21	18	17	17	19
G	-33	-26	-19	-12	-5	2	4	8	10	10	16	20	25	22	19	16
C	-36	-29	-22	-15	-8	-1	6	5	7	14	13	17	22	29	26	23

2. Local alignment

a. Alignment Score: 29

b. Best Alignment: →

c. Algorithm Used: Smith-Waterman

d. DP Table:

```
GGCTGCAACTAGCTC
GGGTA-AGCTTGC-
```

	-	G	G	C	T	G	C	A	A	C	T	A	G	C	T	C
-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	4	4	1	0	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	4	1	0	0
G	0	4	8	5	2	4	3	2	2	0	0	1	5	3	0	0
G	0	4	8	7	4	6	3	4	3	1	0	1	5	4	2	0
T	0	1	5	9	11	8	7	4	3	4	5	2	2	6	8	5
A	0	1	2	6	8	12	9	11	8	5	3	9	6	3	5	7
A	0	1	2	3	5	9	11	13	15	12	9	7	10	7	4	4
G	0	4	5	2	2	9	8	12	14	14	11	10	11	9	6	3
C	0	1	3	9	6	6	13	10	11	18	15	12	9	15	12	10
T	0	0	0	6	13	10	10	12	9	15	22	19	16	13	19	16
T	0	0	0	3	10	12	11	9	11	12	19	21	18	17	17	20
G	0	4	4	1	7	14	11	12	10	10	16	20	25	22	19	17
C	0	1	3	8	5	11	18	15	12	14	13	17	22	29	26	23

Question 2

Identify the dinucleotide CA repeat region and the score in the following sequence:

TGGCACACTCACACCACACAGACAGTTA

→

- The dinucleotide **CA** tandem repeats from position 10 and the length of this sequence is 10.
- The region is **CACACCACAC**.
- This gives us a total score of **20**.

Question 3

When would you encounter a situation for using DP for overlap regions? How are the boundary conditions and recursive relations different from that for global alignment?

→

- Consider situations where there are overlapping regions in our two sequences or one sequence is the sub-sequence of another, in such situations we use DP.

- b. The recursive relation for the DP is same as that for global alignment but the boundary conditions are different.

$$\text{Recurrence relation: } F(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} F(i-1, j-1) + s(i, j) \\ F(i-1, j) - d \\ F(i, j-1) - d \end{cases}$$

Boundary conditions

$$F(0, 0) = 0$$

$$F(i, 0) = 0$$

$$F(0, j) = 0$$

Question 4

What is the advantage of using affine gap scores?

→ An affine score suggests that the deletions/insertions are the consequence of a single mutation rather than numerous mutations. As a result, large gaps are penalised less. It does so because a large shift may occur as a result of a single mutation that replaced a large section. We can't tell how many mutations occurred to modify the sequence in the gap if we utilised several gap penalties. As a result, we can argue that employing affine gap scores improves the sensitivity of our matching and allows us to find more homologs of a sequence. Further benefit is that during the algorithm's traceback, we simply have to verify if a new gap is forming or not, rather than searching through all of the gap lengths.

Question 5

Give the time and space complexity of DP. Under what conditions is time an issue and under what conditions would space be a problem?

→ Given two sequences of length n and m , to solve sequence alignment of the two given sequences, the DP algorithm has the following complexities.

Time complexity: $O(nm)$

Space complexity: $O(nm)$

Time complexity: Consider sequences of length $0.1 - 1 \text{ Mbp}$, time complexity might be a concern since each pair comparison would take a long time and would be impossible to accomplish in a database.

Space complexity: Consider sequences of length $\geq 1 \text{ Mbp}$, space complexity might be a concern because it would need roughly 10^{12} bytes in memory, which is nearly

1 TB of RAM.

Question 6

Describe the construction of Nucleic acid PAM scoring matrices.

→ PAM or **P**oint/**P**ercent **A**ccepted **M**utations indicate the degree of sensitivity between two sequences, which varies depending on how far apart they are evolutionarily.

$$S(a, b | t) = \log\left(\frac{P(a | b, t)}{q_a q_b}\right)$$

Here, $P(a | b, t)$ is the probability of an amino acid b being replaced by an amino acid a in time t and q_a, q_b denotes the frequency with which they occur, respectively.

The matrix is normalised by selecting a constant with an average change of around 1% across all places. We now have the PAM-1 matrix. By extrapolating from PAM-1, we can now utilise this matrix to create a PAM matrix for any distance.

Question 7

Take any gene sequence and its corresponding protein sequence and perform databases searches with both these sequences. Which of these two searches identifies more significant matches? Give reasons.

→ The protein sequence matches are more significant than matches generated by a gene sequence despite the fact that the gene sequence gives more matches. This is because the protein sequence matches are much more accurate. The following reasons are why:

1. A gene sequence has only four bases, but a protein sequence contains twenty amino acids. As a consequence, there's a higher chance of two unrelated genomic sequences matching, but a lower chance of the same happening with proteins.
2. Protein matchers also employ more sensitive matrices like **BLOSUM** or **PAM** to determine how similar two sequences are, but there is no such 'sensitive' matrix for genomic sequence comparison.

Question 8

What is the difference in the working of PSI-BLAST and BLAST programs?

PSI-BLAST

- a. PSI-BLAST stands for **P**osition-**S**pecific **I**terative **B**asic **L**ocal **A**lignment **S**earch **T**ool
- b. PSI-BLAST uses position-specific scoring matrices (PSSMs) to score matches between query and database sequences
- c. While both tools search multiple databases for similar proteins, PSI-BLAST is much better at searching and finding remote homologs, i.e., sequences that are distantly related to your query sequence

BLAST

- a. BLAST stands for **B**asic **L**ocal **A**lignment **S**earch **T**ool
- b. BLAST which uses pre-defined scoring matrices such as BLOSUM
- c. While both tools search multiple databases for similar proteins, BLAST tends to miss out on remote homologs

Question 9

- i. In BLAST database search algorithm, the match/mismatch ratio for comparing nucleotide sequences is chosen to be large for highly conserved sequences, while it is small for divergent sequences. Give reasons, why?
- ii. Give the BLAST nucleotide substitution matrix for comparing sequences that are 95% conserved.

→

- i.
 - a. Identical base matches are rewarded in the BLAST database search method, whereas mismatches are penalised.
 - b. In conserved sequences, there are more matches, while in small divergent sequences, there are less matches.
 - c. As a result, for conserved sequences, the match/mismatch ratio is kept high, but for divergent sequences, it is kept low.
- ii. A ratio of 0.5 is ideal for sequences that are 95 percent preserved; the scoring matrix for this would be:

	A	G	T	C
A	1	-2	-2	-2
G	-2	1	-2	-2
T	-2	-2	1	-2

C	-2	-2	-2	1
---	----	----	----	---

Question 10

In BLOSUM62 matrix, a conserved Tryptophan position has score $S(W,W) = 11$, but a conserved Leucine position has score $S(L,L) = 4$. Give at least one reason why these values differ.

→

- A protein sequence's amino acid distribution is not uniform. Some amino acids have a higher frequency of occurrence, whereas others have a lower frequency of occurrence.
- Furthermore, certain amino acids mutate at a faster rate than others. Amino acids like Leucine have been shown to have a greater frequency than Tryptophan.
- It's also difficult to substitute Tryptophan with Leucine since Tryptophan is more stable. As a result, Tryptophan has a higher identity score than Leucine.