## **Exercise 1.1:** Let P be a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$

i) Monotonicity: If  $A \subset B$  then  $P(B) - P(A) = P(B - A) \ge 0$ .

Let  $C = B \cap A^c$ . Then  $A \cup C = B$ . Because A and C are disjoint, we have that  $P(B) = P(A \cup C) = P(A) + P(C)$ . Then  $P(B) - P(A) = P(C) = P(B \setminus A)$  which is greater or equal to zero since  $P(C) \ge 0$ .

ii) Subadditivity: For  $\langle A_m \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subset \cup^{\infty} A_m$  it follows that  $P(A) \leq \sum^{\infty} P(A_m)$ 

Since  $\langle A_m \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sigma field, there exists  $\langle B_m \rangle$  such that  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\cup^{\infty} A_m = \cup^{\infty} B_m$  [1, pp. 17–18]. From (i) we know that  $A \subset \cup B_m \implies P(A) \leq P(\cup B_m)$ . Then

$$P(A) \le P(\cup^{\infty} B_m) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(B_m) \le \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(A_m)$$

The (\*) inequality follows from how we define each element of  $\langle B_m \rangle$ :

$$B_i = A_i \setminus [A_1 \cup \cdots A_{i-1}] \implies P(B_i) = P(A_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} P(A_j)$$
  
 $\implies P(B_i) \le P(A_i), \ \forall i$ 

iii) Continuity from below: If  $A_i \uparrow A$  then  $P(A_i) \uparrow P(A)$ 

By supposition, we know that for every i we have  $A_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^i A_j$ 

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} P(A_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} P(\cup^i A_j) = P(A)$$

(I'm not sure if this is rigorous enough but ...)

iv) Continuity from above: If  $A_i \downarrow A$  then  $P(A_i) \downarrow P(A)$ 

For every i we have that  $A_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^i A_j$ 

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} P(A_j) = \lim_{i \to \infty} P(\cap^i A_j) = P(A)$$

## Exercise 1.2:

i) If  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i \in I$  are  $\sigma$ -fields then  $\cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$  is.

Suppose  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are  $\sigma$ -fields.

- Consider  $A \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Then  $\exists i$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$ . So  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_i$  because  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma$ -field. Then  $A^c \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$ .
- Consider  $A_j \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$  a countable sequence of sets. Then  $\forall j, \forall i, A_j \in \mathcal{F}_i$ . Then  $\cup A_j \in F_i$ ,  $\forall i$  because  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. Then  $\cup A_j \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$
- ii) Use the result in (i) to show if we are given a set  $\Omega$  and a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  then there is a smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ . let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the set of sigma fields that contain  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define  $\mathcal{F} = \cap_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . From (i) we know that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sigma field. By definition  $\mathcal{F}$  is the smallest sigma field containing  $\mathcal{A}$  since for sigma field  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F} = \cap_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ 

With  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  the borel sets, define a random variable as a real valued function such that X is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable for every borel set

$$X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}$$

Then X induces a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  called its distribution

$$\mu(A) = P(X \in A) = P(X^{-1}(A)), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}$$

The distribution function is defined as

$$F(x) = P(X \le x)$$

## References

[1] H. L. Royden. Real analysis / H.L. Royden. eng. Third edition. New York: Macmillan, 1988 - 1988. ISBN: 0024041513.