## Categorical Semantics of Dependent Type Theory for Cubical Syntax

Maksym Sokhatskyi $^{\rm 1}$  and Pavlo Maslianko $^{\rm 1}$ 

<sup>1</sup> National Technical University of Ukraine Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnical Institute May 4, 2018

## Abstract

Categorical Semantics of Dependent Type Theory.

**Keywords**: Formal Methods, Type Theory, Programming Languages, Theoretical Computer Science, Applied Mathematics, Cubical Type Theory, Martin-Löf Type Theory

## **Contents**

1 Intro 3

## 1 Intro

Here is a short informal description of categorical semantics of dependent type theory given by Peter Dybjer. The code is by Thierry Coquand ported to cubical by 5HT <sup>1</sup>.

**Definition (Fam).** Fam is the category of families of sets where objects are dependent function spaces  $(x:A) \to B(x)$  and morphisms with domain Pi(A,B) and codomain Pi(A',B') are pairs of functions  $< f:A \to A', g(x:A):B(x) \to B'(f(x)) >$ .

**Definition (Derivability).**  $\Gamma \vdash A = (\gamma : \Gamma) \rightarrow A(\gamma)$ .

**Definition (Comprehension).**  $\Gamma$ ;  $A = (\gamma : \Gamma) * A(\gamma)$ . Statement. Comprehension is not assoc.

```
\Gamma; A; B \neq \Gamma; B; A
```

**Definition (Context)**. The C is context category where objects are contexts and morphisms are substitutions. Terminal object  $\Gamma = 0$  in C is called empty context. Context comprehension operation  $\Gamma; A = (x : \Gamma) * A(x)$  and its eliminators:  $p : \Gamma; A \vdash \Gamma$ ,  $q : \Gamma; A \vdash A(p)$  such that universal property holds: for any  $\Delta : ob(C)$ , morphism  $\gamma : \Delta \to \Gamma$ , and term  $a : \Delta \to A$  there is a unique morphism  $\theta = \langle \gamma, a \rangle : \Delta \to \Gamma; A$  such that  $p \circ \theta = \gamma$  and  $q(\theta) = a$ . Statement. Subst is assoc.

```
\gamma(\gamma(\Gamma, x, a), y, b) = \gamma(\gamma(\Gamma, y, b), x, a)
```

**Definition** (CwF-object). A CwF-object is a  $Sigma(C, C \rightarrow Fam)$  of context category C with contexts as objects and substitutions as morphisms and functor  $T: C \rightarrow Fam$  where object part is a map from a context  $\Gamma$  of C to famility of sets of terms  $\Gamma \vdash A$  and morphism part is a map from substitution  $\gamma: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  to a pair of functions which perform substitutions of  $\gamma$  in terms and types respectively.

**Definition** (**CwF-morphism**). Let (C,T): ob(C) where  $T:C \to Fam$ . A CwF-morphism  $m:(C,T)\to (C',T')$  is a pair  $< F:C\to C',\sigma:T\to T'(F)>$  where F is a functor and  $\sigma$  is a natural transformation.

**Definition** (Category of Types). Let we have CwF with (C,T) objects and (C, T)  $\rightarrow$  (C', T') mophisms. For a given context  $\Gamma$  in Ob(C) we can construct a  $Type(\Gamma)$  – the category of types in context  $\Gamma$  with set of types in contexts as objects as and functions  $f: \Gamma; A \rightarrow B(p)$  as morphisms.

**Definition** (Local Cartesian Closed Category).

```
LCCC(C) = Sigma(C, (A:obC) \rightarrow CCC(C/A)).
```

Usually, from the mathematical point of view, there are no differences between different syntactic proofs of the same theorem. However, from the programming point of view, we can think of code reuse and precisely defined math libraries that reduce the overall code size and provide simplicity for operating complex structures (most heavy one is the categorical library). So in this work, we will focus on proper decoupling and more programming friendly base library still usable for mathematicians.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.cse.chalmers.se/ peterd/papers/Ise2008.pdf

```
Ty:
       U = Exp
Ctx: U = list Ty
Subst: U = list Exp
seq (start: nat): list Exp = cons (Var start) (seq (suc start))
mutual
p:
     Subst = seq one
     Exp = Var zero
q:
ide: Subst = seq zero
cmp: Subst -> Subst -> Subst = split
     nil \rightarrow (ts: Subst) \rightarrow nil
     cons x xs \rightarrow \((ts: Subst) \rightarrow cons (sub ts x) (cmp xs ts)
lift (ts: Subst): Subst = cons q (cmp ts p)
unwrap: maybe Exp \rightarrow Exp = split \{ nothing \rightarrow q ; just x \rightarrow x \}
shift (t: Exp) (i: nat): Exp = sub (seq i) t
isCo: Ctx \rightarrow bool = split
  nil -> true
  cons x xs -> and_ (isCo xs) (isTy xs x)
isU(c:Ctx)(a b:Exp): Exp \rightarrow bool = split
  Star i -> (and_ (isTm c (Star i) a) (isTm (cons a c) (Star i) b))
  Var
            -> false
  Ρi
        a b -> false
         x -> false
  Lam
        a b -> false
  App
isPi (c:Ctx)(e:Exp): Exp \rightarrow bool = split
  Star i -> false
  Var i -> false
        a b -> isTm (cons a c) b e
  Ρi
         x \rightarrow false
  Lam
  App
       a b -> false
isTy (c:Ctx): Ty \rightarrow bool = split
  Star i -> true
  Var
         i -> isTm c (Star zero) (Var i)
  Ρi
        a b \rightarrow and_{-} (isTy c a) (isTy (cons a c) b)
        x -> isTm c (Star zero) (Lam x)
        a b -> isTm c (Star zero) (App a b)
  App
isTm (c:Ctx)(e:Exp): Ty \rightarrow bool = split
  Star i -> false
  Var
        i
            -> false
  Ρi
        a b \rightarrow isU c a b e
  Lam
         x -> isPi c x e
        a b -> false
  App
```

```
app (s: Exp): Exp \rightarrow Exp = split
  Var
       i -> App (Var i) s
  Ρi
       a b -> App (Pi a b) s
 Lam x -> sub (cons s ide) x
 App
      a b -> App (App a b) s
sub (ts: Subst): Exp \rightarrow Exp = split
  Star i -> Star i
Var i -> unwrap (nth Exp i ts)
       a b -> Pi (sub ts a) (sub (lift ts) b)
  Ρi
      x \rightarrow Lam (sub (lift ts) x)
 Lam
 App
       s t \rightarrow app (sub ts t) (sub ts s)
inferTy (c: Ctx): Exp -> maybe Ty = split
  Star i -> just (Star i)
  Var i -> just (shift (unwrap (nth Exp i c)) (suc i))
  Pi
       a b -> just (Star one) -- implement
 Lam x -> just (Star zero) -- implement
 App s t -> just (Star zero) -- implement
```