

# Homework 1

Aiden Kenny

STAT GR5204: Statistical Inference

Columbia University

November 10, 2020

## Question 1

When rolling two dice, there are six possible ways for their total to sum up to seven: (1, 6), (2, 5), (3, 4), (4, 3), (5, 2), and (6, 1), so the probability of the sum being seven is  $6/36 = 1/6$ . If  $X$  is the number of trials where the total of both rolls is seven, then we can think of  $X \sim \text{Bin}(120, 1/6)$ , and so  $\mathbb{E}X = 20$  and  $\text{Var}X = 50/3$ . Using the Central Limit Theorem, we then have

$$\Pr(|X - 20| \leq k) = \Pr\left(\left|\frac{X - 20}{\sqrt{50/3}}\right| \leq k\sqrt{\frac{3}{50}}\right) = 2\Phi\left(k\sqrt{\frac{3}{50}}\right) - 1 \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0.95 \implies \Phi\left(k\sqrt{\frac{3}{50}}\right) = 0.975.$$

Using a table of values for  $\Phi(z)$ , we can see that  $k\sqrt{3/50} = 1.96$ , and so  $k = 1.96\sqrt{50/3} \approx 8$ .

## Question 2

Let  $X \sim \text{Pois}(10)$ , and so  $\mathbb{E}X = \text{Var}X = 10$ . Using the CLT without any continuity correction, we have  $(X - 10)/\sqrt{10} \approx N(0, 1)$ , and so

$$\Pr(8 \leq X \leq 12) = \Pr\left(\frac{8 - 10}{\sqrt{10}} \leq Z \leq \frac{12 - 10}{\sqrt{10}}\right) = \Pr(|Z| \leq \sqrt{2/5}) \approx 2\Phi(\sqrt{2/5}) - 1 = 0.4714.$$

If we do use continuity correction, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(8 \leq X \leq 12) &\approx \Pr(7.5 \leq X \leq 12.5) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{7.5 - 10}{\sqrt{10}} \leq Z \leq \frac{12.5 - 10}{\sqrt{10}}\right) = \Pr(|Z| \leq 2.5/\sqrt{10}) \approx 2\Phi(2.5/\sqrt{10}) - 1 = 0.5704.\end{aligned}$$

## Question 3

We are assuming that when a program is run, an execution error will occur with probability  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . If  $X$  is whether or not an execution error occurs, we have  $X \sim \text{Ber}(\theta)$ , and  $f(x|\theta) = \theta^x(1-\theta)^{1-x}$  for  $x = \{0, 1\}$ . We also believe that  $\theta \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ , and so  $\xi(\theta) = 1$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

- (a) After 25 runs of the program we have 10 erros, so  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \theta^{10}(1-\theta)^{15}$ . The marginal distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  is given by

$$g_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Theta} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \cdot \xi(\theta) \, d\theta = \int_0^1 \theta^{10}(1-\theta)^{15} \cdot 1 \, d\theta = \int_0^1 \theta^{11-1}(1-\theta)^{16-1} \, d\theta = B(11, 16),$$

and so the posterior pdf of  $\theta$  is

$$\xi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \cdot \xi(\theta)}{g_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\theta^{10}(1-\theta)^{15} \cdot 1}{B(11, 16)} = \frac{\theta^{11-1}(1-\theta)^{16-1}}{B(11, 16)}.$$

That is,  $\theta|\mathbf{x} \sim \text{Beta}(11, 16)$ .

- (b) If we are using squared error loss, then our Bayes' estimate is  $\delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = 11/27$ .

## Question 4

We believe that  $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(3, 4)$ , where  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  is the proportion of bad apples in the lot. Choosing apples from the lot is essentially sampling from a Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $\theta$ , and we know that Beta distributions are closed under sampling from a Bernoulli distribution. After choosing 10 apples, we find that three of them are bad, so our posterior distribution becomes  $\theta | \mathbf{x} \sim \text{Beta}(3 + 3, 4 + 7) = \text{Beta}(6, 11)$ . If we use squared error loss, our Bayes' estimate is then  $\delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = 6/17$ .

## Question 5

Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  be a random sample from  $X \sim \text{Unif}(\theta, 2\theta)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . The likelihood function is then given by  $f(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = 1/\theta^n$  when  $\theta \leq x_i \leq 2\theta$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We can re-frame the boundaries of the likelihood function using order statistics. Since we need every observation  $x_i \in [\theta, 2\theta]$ , it follows that  $\theta \leq x_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq x_{(n)} \leq 2\theta$ , where  $x_{(j)}$  is the  $j$ th order statistics; namely, we have  $\theta \leq x_{(1)}$  and  $x_{(n)} \leq 2\theta$ . From the second inequality, we have  $x_{(n)}/2 \leq \theta$ , and so the possible values of  $\theta$  are  $x_{(n)}/2 \leq \theta \leq x_{(1)}$ . In other words, even though we had the original parameter space  $\Theta = (0, \infty)$ , because the bounds of the density functions depended on  $\theta$ , we were able to restrict  $\theta$  to a new parameter space  $\tilde{\Theta} = [x_{(n)}/2, x_{(1)}]$ . We can see that our likelihood function is monotone decreasing, and so it will be maximized by the smallest possible value of  $\theta$ . Therefore, the MLE of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}/2$ .

## Question 6

Suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)^T$  are each exponentially distributed with  $\mathbb{E}X_i = i\theta$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . This implies that  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(1/i\theta)$ , and so  $f(x_i | \theta) = e^{-x_i/i\theta}/i\theta$ .

(a) The likelihood function is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^3 f(x_i | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{e^{-x_i/i\theta}}{i\theta} = \frac{1}{6\theta^3} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i}{i}\right),$$

and the corresponding log-likelihood function is given by  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = -3 \log(6\theta) - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i/i$ . Differentiating  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ , setting to 0, and solving for  $\theta$  gives us the MLE:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{3}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i}{i} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \implies \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{X_i}{i}$$

(b) Let  $\psi = 1/\theta$ , and we believe that  $\psi \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ , i.e.  $\xi(\psi) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi^\alpha e^{-\beta\psi}$  for  $\psi > 0$ . For notational ease, let  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i/i$ ; the likelihood function of  $\psi$  is then given by  $f(\mathbf{x} | \psi) = \psi^3 e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi}/6$ . We have

$$\xi(\psi | \mathbf{x}) \propto f(\mathbf{x} | \psi) \cdot \xi(\psi) = \frac{1}{6} \psi^3 e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi} \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi^\alpha e^{-\beta\psi} \propto \psi^{\alpha+3-1} e^{-(\beta+\varphi(\mathbf{x}))\psi}.$$

This is very similar to a Gamma distribution with parameters  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + 3$  and  $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ , and adding in the normalizing constants would make it so. Therefore, we conclude that  $\psi | \mathbf{x} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + 3, \beta + \varphi(\mathbf{x}))$ .

## Question 7

We assume that our parameter  $\theta$  has a prior density  $\xi(\theta) = \theta e^{-\theta}$  for  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $X \sim \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$ , and so  $f(x | \theta) = 1/\theta$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \theta$ . We note that while the original parameter space was  $\Theta = (0, \infty)$ , sampling from a uniform distribution whose bound depended on  $\theta$  resulted in a new parameter space  $\tilde{\Theta} = [x, \infty)$ . The marginal distribution of  $X$  is given by

$$g_X(x) = \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} f(x | \theta) \cdot \xi(\theta) d\theta = \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \theta e^{-\theta} d\theta = \int_x^\infty e^{-\theta} d\theta = \left[-e^{-\theta}\right]_x^\infty = e^{-x},$$

and so our posterior density for  $\theta$  is given by

$$\xi(\theta | x) = \frac{f(x | \theta) \cdot \xi(\theta)}{g_X(x)} = \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \theta e^{-\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{-x}} = e^{-(\theta-x)}.$$

This density corresponds to a “shifted” exponential distribution with  $\lambda = 1$ ; instead of starting at zero, we are starting at  $x$ .

(a) Using squared error loss, our Bayes’ estimate is the mean of the posterior distribution:

$$\delta^*(x) = \mathbb{E}(\theta | x) = \int_x^\infty \theta \cdot e^{-(\theta-x)} d\theta = \left[ -\theta e^{-(\theta-x)} \right]_x^\infty + \int_x^\infty e^{-(\theta-x)} d\theta = x + 1.$$

(b) Using absolute error loss, our Bayes’ estimate is the median of the posterior distribution. The posterior cdf is easily seen to be  $\Xi(\theta | x) = 1 - e^{-(\theta-x)}$ . To get the median, we need  $\Xi(\delta^*(x)) = 1 - e^{-(\delta^*(x)-x)} = 1/2$ , and solving for  $\delta^*(x)$  gives us  $\delta^*(x) = x + \log 2$ .

## Question 8

Because  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , we have  $1/3 \leq \theta \leq 2/3$ . If we are sampling from  $X \sim \text{Ber}(\theta)$ , then the likelihood and log-likelihood functions are given by  $f(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \theta^{n\bar{x}}(1 - \theta)^{n(1-\bar{x})}$  and  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = n\bar{x} \log \theta + n(1 - \bar{x}) \log(1 - \theta)$ . Differentiating  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$ , setting equal to zero, and solving for  $\theta$  gives the MLE as

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta} - \frac{n(1 - \bar{x})}{1 - \theta} = n \left( \frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{\theta(1 - \theta)} \right) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \implies \hat{\theta} = \bar{x}.$$

We must be cautious; because each  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , we can have  $\bar{x} \in [0, 1]$ , and so the maximum of  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$  can occur at  $\hat{\theta} \in [0, 1]$ . However, because of the constraints placed on  $\theta$  by  $\beta$ , this maximum can potentially fall outside the range of possible values. To remedy this, we will consider two cases:

1.  $\bar{x} < 1/3$ : for all values  $\theta \in [1/3, 2/3]$ , we have  $\partial \ell / \partial \theta < 0$ , so  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$  is a decreasing function. Then the maximum value of  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$  is obtained when  $\theta = 1/3$ .
2.  $\bar{x} > 2/3$ : for all values  $\theta \in [1/3, 2/3]$ , we have  $\partial \ell / \partial \theta > 0$ , so  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$  is an increasing function. Then the maximum value of  $\ell(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$  is obtained when  $\theta = 2/3$ .

Therefore, the MLEs for both  $\theta$  and  $\beta$  are given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{cases} \bar{X} & \text{if } 1/3 \leq \bar{X} \leq 2/3, \\ 1/3 & \text{if } \bar{X} < 1/3, \\ 2/3 & \text{if } \bar{X} > 2/3. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta} = 3\hat{\theta} - 1 = \begin{cases} 3\bar{X} - 1 & \text{if } 1/3 \leq \bar{X} \leq 2/3, \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{X} < 1/3, \\ 1 & \text{if } \bar{X} > 2/3. \end{cases}$$

This is because  $\beta = 3\theta - 1$ , which means the MLE of  $\beta$  is given by  $\hat{\beta} = 3\hat{\theta} - 1$ .

## Question 9

We are sampling from a “shifted” exponential distribution, i.e. its density is given by  $f(x | \beta, \theta) = \beta e^{-\beta(x-\theta)}$  for  $x \geq \theta$ . The likelihood function is then given by  $f(\mathbf{x} | \beta, \theta) = \beta^n e^{-n\beta(\bar{x}-\theta)}$  when  $x_i \geq \theta$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If every observation  $x_i \geq \theta$ , then it is also true that the lowest value for each observation is as well, so  $x_{(1)} \geq \theta$ . We can incorporate this condition into the likelihood function using an indicator function:

$$f(\mathbf{x} | \beta, \theta) = \beta^n e^{-n\beta(\bar{x}-\theta)} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{[\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)}).$$

By the Factorization Theorem,  $\bar{X}$  and  $X_{(1)}$  are a pair of jointly sufficient statistics for  $\beta$  and  $\theta$ .

### Question 10

Let  $x_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pareto}(\alpha, x_0)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is known and  $x_0 > 0$  is unknown. The likelihood function is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x} | \alpha, x_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha x_0^\alpha}{x_i^{\alpha+1}} = \alpha^n x_0^{\alpha n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} \right)^{\alpha+1} = C(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) \cdot x_0^{\alpha n}, \quad \text{where} \quad C(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) = \alpha^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} \right)^{\alpha+1},$$

when  $x_i \geq x_0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . This is the same as saying  $x_{(1)} \geq x_0$ , where  $x_{(1)}$  is the first order statistic, and so our new parameter space for  $x_0$  is  $(0, x_{(1)}]$  (it was originally  $(0, \infty)$ ). In the likelihood function,  $C(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$  is a constant (with respect to  $x_0$ ) that depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\alpha$ . We can see that for  $x_0 \in (0, x_{(1)}]$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x} | \alpha, x_0)$  is an increasing function, so its maximum will be obtained at the largest possible value,  $x_{(1)}$ . Therefore, our MLE is  $\hat{x}_0 = X_{(1)}$ .