Homework 1

Aiden Kenny STAT GR5204: Statistical Inference Columbia University

November 10, 2020

Question 1

When rolling two dice, there are six possible ways for their total to sum up to seven: (1,6), (2,5), (3,4), (4,3), (5,2), and (6,1), so the probability of the sum being seven is 6/36 = 1/6. If X is the number of trials where the total of both rolls is seven, then we can think of $X \sim \text{Bin}(120,1/6)$, and so $\mathbb{E}X = 20$ and VarX = 50/3. Using the Central Limit Theorem, we then have

$$\Pr\left(|X-20| \le k\right) = \Pr\left(\left|\frac{X-20}{\sqrt{50/3}}\right| \le k\sqrt{\frac{3}{50}}\right) = 2\Phi\left(k\sqrt{\frac{3}{50}}\right) - 1 \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0.95 \implies \Phi\left(k\sqrt{\frac{3}{50}}\right) = 0.975.$$

Using a table of values for $\Phi(z)$, we can see that $k\sqrt{3/50} = 1.96$, and so $k = 1.96\sqrt{50/3} \approx 8$.

Question 2

Let $X \sim \text{Pois}(10)$, and so $\mathbb{E}X = \text{Var}X = 10$. Using the CLT without any continuity correction, we have $(X - 10)/\sqrt{10} \approx \text{N}(0, 1)$, and so

$$\Pr(8 \le X \le 12) = \Pr\left(\frac{8 - 10}{\sqrt{10}} \le Z \le \frac{12 - 10}{\sqrt{10}}\right) = \Pr(|Z| \le \sqrt{2/5}) \approx 2\Phi(\sqrt{2/5}) - 1 = 0.4714.$$

If we do use continuity correction, then we have

$$\Pr(8 \le X \le 12) \approx \Pr(7.5 \le X \le 12.5)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{7.5 - 10}{\sqrt{10}} \le Z \le \frac{12.5 - 10}{\sqrt{10}}\right) = \Pr(|Z| \le 2.5/\sqrt{10}) \approx 2\Phi(2.5/\sqrt{10}) - 1 = 0.5704.$$

Question 3

We are assuming that when a program is run, an execution error will occur with probability $\theta \in [0, 1]$. If X is whether or not an execution error occurs, we have $X \sim \text{Ber}(\theta)$, and $f(x \mid \theta) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}$ for $x = \{0, 1\}$. We also believe that $\theta \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$, and so $\xi(\theta) = 1$ for $0 \le \theta \le 1$.

(a) After 25 runs of the program we have 10 erros, so $f(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = \theta^{10} (1 - \theta)^{15}$. The marginal distribution of \mathbf{x} is given by

$$g_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Theta} f(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) \cdot \xi(\theta) \, d\theta = \int_{0}^{1} \theta^{10} (1 - \theta)^{15} \cdot 1 \, d\theta = \int_{0}^{1} \theta^{11-1} (1 - \theta)^{16-1} \, d\theta = B(11, 16),$$

and so the posterior pdf of θ is

$$\xi(\theta \,|\, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x} \,|\, \theta) \cdot \xi(\theta)}{g_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\theta^{10}(1-\theta)^{15} \cdot 1}{\mathrm{B}(11,16)} = \frac{\theta^{11-1}(1-\theta)^{16-1}}{\mathrm{B}(11,16)}.$$

That is, $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(11, 16)$.

(b) If we are using squared error loss, then our Bayes' estimate is $\delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}) = 11/27$.

Question 4

We believe that $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(3,4)$, where $\theta \in [0,1]$ is the proportion of bad apples in the lot. Choosing apples from the lot is essentially sampling from a Bernoulli distribution with parameter θ , and we know that Beta distributions are closed under sampling from a Bernoulli distribution. After choosing 10 apples, we find that three of them are bad, so our posterior distribution becomes $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(3+3,4+7) = \text{Beta}(6,11)$. If we use squared error loss, our Bayes' estimate is then $\delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}) = 6/17$.

Question 5

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from $X \sim \mathrm{Unif}(\theta, 2\theta)$, where $\theta > 0$. The likelihood function is then given by $f(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = 1/\theta^n$ when $\theta \leq x_i \leq 2\theta$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We can re-frame the boundaries of the likelihood function using order statistics. Since we need every observation $x_i \in [\theta, 2\theta]$, it follows that $\theta \leq x_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq x_{(n)} \leq 2\theta$, where $x_{(j)}$ is the jth order statistics; namely, we have $\theta \leq x_{(1)}$ and $x_{(n)} \leq 2\theta$. From the second inequality, we have $x_{(n)}/2 \leq \theta$, and so the possible values of θ are $x_{(n)}/2 \leq \theta \leq x_{(1)}$. In other words, even though we had the original parameter space $\Theta = (0, \infty)$, because the bounds of the density functions depended on θ , we were able to restrict θ to a new parameter space $\tilde{\Theta} = [x_{(n)}/2, x_{(1)}]$. We can see that our likelihood function is monotone decreasing, and so it will be maximized by the smallest possible value of θ . Therefore, the MLE of θ is $\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}/2$.

Question 6

Suppose that $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3)^T$ are each exponentially distributed with $\mathbb{E}X_i = i\theta$, where $\theta > 0$. This implies that $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(1/i\theta)$, and so $f(x_i | \theta) = e^{-x_i/i\theta}/i\theta$.

(a) The likelihood function is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} f(x_i \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{e^{-x_i/i\theta}}{i\theta} = \frac{1}{6\theta^3} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{x_i}{i}\right),$$

and the corresponding log-likelihood function is given by $\ell(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = -3\log(6\theta) - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i/i$. Differentiating $\ell(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta)$ with respect to θ , setting to 0, and solving for θ gives us the MLE:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{3}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{x_i}{i} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \implies \hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{X_i}{i}$$

(b) Let $\psi = 1/\theta$, and we believe that $\psi \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$, i.e. $\xi(\psi) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi^{\alpha} e^{-\beta \psi}$ for $\psi > 0$. For notational ease, let $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i/i$; the likelihood function of ψ is then given by $f(\mathbf{x} \mid \psi) = \psi^3 e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi}/6$. We have

$$\xi(\psi \,|\, \mathbf{x}) \propto f(\mathbf{x} \,|\, \psi) \cdot \xi(\psi) = \frac{1}{6} \psi^3 \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi} \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\psi} \propto \psi^{\alpha+3-1} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta+\varphi(\mathbf{x}))\psi}.$$

This is very similar to a Gamma distribution with parameters $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + 3$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \varphi(\mathbf{x})$, and adding in the normalizing constants would make it so. Therefore, we conclude that $\psi \mid \mathbf{x} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + 3, \beta + \varphi(\mathbf{x}))$.