Homework 2

Aiden Kenny STAT GR5205: Linear Regression Models Columbia University October 5, 2020

Question 1 Collaborators: None

Supposed for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}$ are fixed vectors and $\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ are random vectors, the simple linear regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta_0 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

holds, with $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = \mathbf{0}$ and $\operatorname{Var}[\epsilon] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$. The least-squares estimators are given by

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1})^T(\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2}, \quad \hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1\bar{x}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left\|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\beta}_0\mathbf{1} - \hat{\beta}_1\mathbf{x}\right\|^2.$$

(a) We first determine several properties of \mathbf{y} (a random vector) and \bar{y} (a random variable). For \mathbf{y} , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbb{E}[\beta_0 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \beta_0 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \beta_0 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x},$$

$$\operatorname{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \operatorname{Var}[\beta_0 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} + \operatorname{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}.$$

That is, for each y_i , we have $\mathbb{E}[y_i] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ and $\text{Var}[y_i] = \sigma^2$. We also have $\text{Cov}[y_i, y_j] = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. For \bar{y} , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{y}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[y_{i}] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\bar{x},$$

$$\operatorname{Var}[\bar{y}] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{Var}[y_{i}] = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}.$$

Expanding out $\hat{\beta}_1$ gives us

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1})^T(\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}).$$

For notational ease, we are going to multiply both sides of this estimate by $\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2$, since it is just a constant. Taking the expected value of $\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2 \cdot \hat{\beta}_1$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2} \cdot \hat{\beta}_{1}\Big] = \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_{1}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y})\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\Big[(x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y})\Big] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})\big(\mathbb{E}[y_{i}] - \mathbb{E}[\bar{y}]\big)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})\big(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}\bar{x}\big) = \beta_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} = \beta_{1} \cdot \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2}.$$

Dividing both sides of the equation shows that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1] = \beta_1$. Next, taking the expected value of $\hat{\beta}_0$ gives us

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_0] = \mathbb{E}[\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\bar{y}] - \bar{x}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{x} - \beta_1 \bar{x} = \beta_0.$$

Finally, taking the expected value of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ leads to

(b) Looking at the expanded equation for $\hat{\beta}_1$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2} \cdot \hat{\beta}_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})y_{i} - \bar{y}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})y_{i} - \bar{y}(n\bar{x} - n\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})y_{i}.$$

That is, we are able to remove the \bar{y} from the summation entirely. By taking the variance of $\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2 \cdot \hat{\beta}_1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Var} \left[\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2} \cdot \hat{\beta}_{1} \right] = \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{4} \cdot \operatorname{Var} [\hat{\beta}_{1}] = \operatorname{Var} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x}) y_{i} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var} \left[(x_{i} - \bar{x}) y_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} \operatorname{Var} [y_{i}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} \sigma^{2} = \sigma^{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2},$$

and dividing both sides by $\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2$ shows that $\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\beta}_1] = \sigma^2/\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^2$. Similarly, taking the variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$ gives us

$$Var[\hat{\beta}_{0}] = Var[\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_{1}\bar{x}] = Var[\bar{y}] + \bar{x}^{2}Var[\hat{\beta}_{1}] = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} + \bar{x}^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2}} = \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbf{1}\|^{2}}\right).$$

Question 2 Collaborators: None

Letting $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1)^T$ and $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$, the simple linear regression model is given by $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. The MSE is then given by $Q = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2$.

(a) We first expand the MSE to get

$$Q = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

By differentiating Q with respect to β and setting it equal to 0, we have

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \left(\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - 2 \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right)
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = -2 \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + 2 \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} \mathbf{0},$$

and solving for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ gives us $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$.

- (b) Now suppose that we have p different predictors, each with n observed values that are not all identical. Let $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector containing the observations for the jth predictor. Define the matrix $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_p \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$ be the matrix whos jth column is \mathbf{x}_{j-1} (and first column is $\mathbf{1}$). In addition, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ be the vector containing p+1 scalars. The multiple linear regression model is given by $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$; that is, it has the same form as the simple linear regression model, and we can see that simple linear regression is when p=1. Because of this, the estimated coefficients $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ take the same form as before: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$.
- (c) The fitted values $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ are given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{v}.$$

(d) Similar to simple linear regression, the "normal equation" for the multivariate regression setting is found during the derivation of $\hat{\beta}$, and is given by

$$\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Letting $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ be the vector of observed residuals, we have $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$, meaning $\mathbf{1}^T\mathbf{e} = 0$ and $\mathbf{x}_j^T\mathbf{e} = 0$ for all j. In other words, the residuals sum to zero and the residuals weighted by each predictor sum to 0. Because of this result, we also know that the residuals weighted by the fitted values sum to zero, i.e.

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y})^T\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

The other key results are that $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{1}$ and $\bar{y} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, where $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (1, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_p)^T$ is the vector whos jth entry is the mean of the (j-1)th variable.

(e) We have

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}\|^2 &= (\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1})^T (\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} - \bar{y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} + \bar{y}^2 \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{1} - \bar{y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} + \bar{y}^2 \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{e}) - \bar{y}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{1} - \bar{y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} + \bar{y}^2 \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{e} - \bar{y}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{1} - \bar{y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} + \bar{y}^2 \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{1} - \bar{y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{1} + \bar{y}^2 \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= (\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1})^T (\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}). \end{split} \tag{since } \hat{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0})$$

Essentially, we expanded out the equation and used the key results from part (d) to mainpulate the equation and get the desired result.