CSC 423 Homework 5

Akhil Kumar Ramasagaram May 6, 2016

6.6 Clerical staff work hours.

a)

<none>

```
library(leaps)
library(MASS)
library(ggplot2)
library(gridExtra)
library(MPV)
library(utils)
library(nnet)
load("rdata/CLERICAL.Rdata")
lm_model < -lm(Y \sim X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6 + X7, data = CLERICAL)
model <- step(lm_model, direction = "both")</pre>
## Start: AIC=256.6
## Y ~ X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6 + X7
##
##
          Df Sum of Sq
                          RSS
                                  AIC
## - X7
                 92.38 5406.9 255.50
## - X3
                108.46 5423.0 255.65
## <none>
                        5314.5 256.60
## - X1
                261.73 5576.2 257.10
           1
## - X6
           1
                311.75 5626.3 257.57
## - X2
                395.05 5709.6 258.33
           1
## - X4
           1
                734.70 6049.2 261.33
## - X5
               1842.25 7156.8 270.08
           1
## Step: AIC=255.5
## Y ~ X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6
##
##
                          RSS
          Df Sum of Sq
                                  AIC
## - X1
                195.61 5602.5 255.35
## <none>
                        5406.9 255.50
## - X3
                214.46 5621.3 255.52
## - X6
           1
                329.23 5736.1 256.57
## + X7
                 92.38 5314.5 256.60
## - X2
                408.74 5815.6 257.29
           1
## - X4
           1
                775.08 6182.0 260.46
## - X5
           1
               2307.92 7714.8 271.98
## Step: AIC=255.35
## Y ~ X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6
##
          Df Sum of Sq
##
                          RSS
                                  AIC
```

5602.5 255.35

```
## + X1
                195.61 5406.9 255.50
           1
## - X3
           1
                329.66 5932.2 256.32
## - X2
           1
                361.53 5964.0 256.60
## - X6
                377.54 5980.0 256.74
           1
## + X7
           1
                 26.26 5576.2 257.10
## - X4
           1
                761.70 6364.2 259.97
## - X5
               2124.83 7727.3 270.07
```

summary(model)

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y \sim X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6, data = CLERICAL)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q
                   Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
  -19.249 -7.439 -1.807
                             7.619
                                    28.406
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 68.274431
                           7.701975
                                      8.865 1.63e-11 ***
## X2
                0.083086
                           0.048224
                                      1.723
                                             0.09162 .
## X3
                0.013864
                           0.008427
                                      1.645
                                             0.10674
## X4
               -0.043445
                           0.017372
                                    -2.501
                                             0.01602 *
## X5
                0.044711
                           0.010705
                                      4.177
                                             0.00013 ***
## X6
                0.229095
                           0.130120
                                      1.761 0.08495 .
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 11.04 on 46 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.545, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4955
## F-statistic: 11.02 on 5 and 46 DF, p-value: 5.19e-07
```

b) out of 7 initial variable after stepwise selection, we got 5 variable as significant variables.

Our intercept $\beta_0 = 68.27$ which can be interpreted as, when everything is zero we can expect that a staff worked 68.27 hr.

 $\beta_1 = 0.08$ which can be interpreted as when a unit increase in x_2 result in 0.08 hr increase in hours worked.

 $\beta_2 = 0.01$ which can be interpreted as when a unit increase in x_3 result in 0.01 hr increase in hours worked.

 $\beta_3 = -0.04$ which can be interpreted as when a unit increase in x_4 result in 0.04 hr decrease in hours worked.

 $\beta_4 = 0.045$ which can be interpreted as when a unit increase in x_5 result in 0.045 hr decrease in hours worked.

 $\beta_5 = 0.23$ which can be interpreted as when a unit increase in x_6 result in 0.23 hr increase in hours worked.

c)

(i) Sometimes in might produce a model which the computer thinks best, but it may not be as good we though. So even after stepwise selection one should use domain expertise for making judgement on whether to use that model or not.

- (ii) If the number of variables that you select for testing is large compared to the number of observations in your data set or if there is high multicollinearity among the variables, then we will end up using almost all variable.
- (iii) Sometimes a subset of variables that are treated as a group. Stepwise regression may throw some of them out, in which case one should have to manually put them back in later. ### 6.7

```
a) For 1, 2, 3 & 4 variable we get 4, 6, 4 & 1 possible models. when n = 1; x_1, x_2, x_3 & x_4 when n = 2; x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3x_4, x_1x_3, x_1x_4 & x_2x_4 when n = 3; x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_3x_4, x_1x_3x_4 & x_1x_2x_4 when n = 4; x_1x_2x_3x_4
```

```
different_comb <- function(plot = F){</pre>
  final_result <- data.frame("P" = NULL, "rsquare" = NULL, "mse" = NULL, "press" = NULL, "cp" = NULL)
  for (p in seq(4)){
    xvars = c("X1", "X2", "X3", "X4")
    yvar <- "Y"
    rsquare <- NULL
    mse <- NULL
    press <- NULL
    cp <- NULL
    comb <- combn(xvars, p)</pre>
    for(i in seq(ncol(comb))){
      form <- as.formula(paste("Y ~ ", paste(comb[,i], collapse = " + "), sep = ""))</pre>
      lm model <- lm(form, data = CLERICAL)</pre>
      sm <- summary(lm_model)</pre>
      rsquare[i] <- sm$adj.r.squared
      mse[i] <- mean(sm$residuals^2)</pre>
      press[i] <- PRESS(lm_model)</pre>
    model=leaps( x=CLERICAL[,xvars], y=CLERICAL[,yvar], names=xvars, nbest=p, method="Cp")
    cp <- model$Cp</pre>
    final_result <- rbind(final_result, data.frame("P" = p, "rsquare" = max(rsquare),</pre>
                                                      "mse" = min(mse), "press" = min(press), "cp" = min(c
  if(plot == T){
    p1 <- ggplot(final_result, aes(y = rsquare, x = P)) + geom_line() + ggtitle("R Square")
    p2 <- ggplot(final_result, aes(y = mse, x = P)) + geom_line() + ggtitle("MSE")
    p3 <- ggplot(final_result, aes(y = press, x = P)) + geom_line() + ggtitle("PRESS")
    p4 <- ggplot(final_result, aes(y = cp, x = P)) + geom_line() + ggtitle("CP")
    grid.arrange(p1,p2,p3,p4, ncol = 2, top = "Different metrics across P")
 else{
    return(final result)
  }
different_comb(F)
```

```
## P rsquare mse press cp
## 1 1 0.1972599 186.3452 10507.43 2.339122
```

```
## 2 2 0.2166171 178.2146 10460.99 2.339122
## 3 3 0.2204242 173.7292 10489.28 2.339122
## 4 4 0.2059069 173.2776 10710.36 2.339122
c) & d)
```

different_comb(T)

Different metrics across P R Square **MSE** 0.220 -184 0.215 -0.210 -0.210 -0.205 -180 -176 -0.200 2 3 2 Ρ Ρ **PRESS** CP 2.8 -10700 -2.6 -10650 -Seg 10600 -<u>o</u> 2.4 -2.2 -10500 -2.0 -10450 -3 4 3

would go with p = 3 as it has good R square and MSE and 2nd best press value.

6.8 Collusive bidding in road construction.

a)

```
load("rdata/FLAG2.Rdata")
FLAG2$SUBCONT[which(is.na(FLAG2$SUBCONT))] <- 0
districts <- data.frame(class.ind(FLAG2$DISTRICT))[1:4]
names(districts) <- c("district1", "district2", "district3", "district4")
FLAG2$DISTRICT <- NULL
FLAG2 <- cbind(FLAG2, districts)
lm_model <- lm(LOWBID ~ ., data = FLAG2)
model <- step(lm_model, direction = "both", trace = F)
summary(model)</pre>
```

Ι

##

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = LOWBID ~ DOTEST + LBERATIO + RDLNGTH + PCTASPH +
##
       PCTBASE + PCTEXCAV + district4, data = FLAG2)
##
##
  Residuals:
        Min
                                     3Q
##
                  1Q
                       Median
                                             Max
##
  -1971750
              -63233
                         7861
                                  70976
                                        1388637
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -7.617e+05
                           9.942e+04
                                      -7.662 3.26e-13 ***
                                               < 2e-16 ***
## DOTEST
                9.363e-01
                           8.467e-03 110.582
## LBERATIO
                8.345e+05
                           9.061e+04
                                       9.209
                                               < 2e-16 ***
                                                0.1490
## RDLNGTH
                6.217e+03
                           4.296e+03
                                        1.447
## PCTASPH
               -1.265e+05
                           6.714e+04
                                       -1.884
                                                0.0606 .
## PCTBASE
                2.348e+05
                           1.526e+05
                                       1.539
                                                0.1249
## PCTEXCAV
               -2.632e+05
                           1.361e+05
                                                0.0541 .
                                      -1.935
## district4
               -2.823e+05
                           1.183e+05
                                                0.0177 *
                                      -2.387
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 249300 on 271 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9818, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9813
## F-statistic: 2087 on 7 and 271 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

So you mode suitable variables for our model are DOTEST, LBERATIO, RDLNGTH, PCTASPH, PCTBASE, PCTEXCAV, district4

- b) $\beta_0 = -761725$, when everything is 0 and district is 5 our lowest bid is -761725, which has no meaning in this context. $\beta_1 = 0.9314$ for a unit increase in dotest, our lowbid decreases by 0.93 $\beta_2 = 834464$ for a unit increase in lberatio, our lowbid increases by 834464 $\beta_3 = 6217$ for a unit increase in rdlength, our lowbid increases by 6217 $\beta_4 = -126491$ for a unit increase in pctasph, our lowbid decreases by 126491 $\beta_5 = 234831$ for a unit increase in pctbase, our lowbid increases by 234831 $\beta_6 = -263220$ for a unit increase in pctexcav, our lowbid decreases by 263220 $\beta_7 = -282346$ if the district is 4, our lowbid decreases by 282346
- c) discussed in 6.6 c

6.9

```
yvar <- "LOWBID"
xvars <- names(FLAG2)[-1]
model=leaps( x=FLAG2[,xvars], y=FLAG2[,yvar], names=xvars, nbest=3, method="Cp")
imp_var <- model$which[which.min(model$Cp),]
names(imp_var)[as.character(imp_var) == "TRUE"]

## [1] "DOTEST" "LBERATIO" "PCTBASE" "PCTEXCAV" "district4"

lm_model <- lm(LOWBID ~ DOTEST+LBERATIO+PCTBASE+PCTEXCAV+district4, FLAG2)
summary(lm_model)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = LOWBID ~ DOTEST + LBERATIO + PCTBASE + PCTEXCAV +
##
      district4, data = FLAG2)
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   30
                                           Max
## -1971292 -58859
                       13866
                                62834
                                       1406194
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -7.983e+05 9.464e+04 -8.435 1.95e-15 ***
## DOTEST
               9.375e-01 8.455e-03 110.885
                                            < 2e-16 ***
## LBERATIO
               8.325e+05 9.060e+04
                                      9.189
                                             < 2e-16 ***
## PCTBASE
               3.047e+05 1.480e+05
                                      2.059
                                              0.0404 *
## PCTEXCAV
              -2.141e+05 1.327e+05
                                     -1.613
                                              0.1079
## district4
              -2.839e+05 1.186e+05 -2.393
                                              0.0174 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 250100 on 273 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9815, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9812
## F-statistic: 2901 on 5 and 273 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

its almost close. we just dont have RDLNGTH & PCTASPH in this model now. The R square is also almost equal.

6.10 Cooling method for gas turbines.

```
load("rdata/GASTURBINE.Rdata")
GASTURBINE$ENGINE <- ifelse(GASTURBINE$ENGINE == "Traditional",0,1)
lm_model <- lm(HEATRATE ~ ., GASTURBINE)</pre>
model <- step(lm_model, direction = "both", trace = F)</pre>
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ ENGINE + RPM + CPRATIO + INLETTEMP +
##
       POWER + LHV, data = GASTURBINE)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -462.36 -100.64 -16.01
                             72.66 410.34
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 2.438e+04 4.659e+02 52.321 < 2e-16 ***
## ENGINE
                2.281e+02 5.889e+01
                                       3.873 0.000268 ***
## RPM
                2.193e-02 5.818e-03
                                       3.769 0.000376 ***
## CPRATIO
                3.720e+01 8.779e+00
                                       4.237 7.91e-05 ***
## INLETTEMP
               -1.180e+00 3.451e-01 -3.418 0.001138 **
## POWER
                2.810e-03 3.829e-04
                                       7.339 6.60e-10 ***
```

```
## LHV
              -3.922e+02 1.682e+01 -23.315 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 163.9 on 60 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9904, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9894
## F-statistic: 1032 on 6 and 60 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
model <- step(lm_model, direction = "backward", trace = F)</pre>
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ ENGINE + RPM + CPRATIO + INLETTEMP +
##
      POWER + LHV, data = GASTURBINE)
## Residuals:
               1Q Median
                               3Q
## -462.36 -100.64 -16.01
                           72.66 410.34
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 2.438e+04 4.659e+02 52.321 < 2e-16 ***
## ENGINE
               2.281e+02 5.889e+01 3.873 0.000268 ***
## RPM
               2.193e-02 5.818e-03 3.769 0.000376 ***
## CPRATIO
               3.720e+01 8.779e+00 4.237 7.91e-05 ***
## INLETTEMP
              -1.180e+00 3.451e-01 -3.418 0.001138 **
               2.810e-03 3.829e-04
                                     7.339 6.60e-10 ***
## POWER
## LHV
              -3.922e+02 1.682e+01 -23.315 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 163.9 on 60 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9904, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9894
## F-statistic: 1032 on 6 and 60 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
yvar <- "HEATRATE"</pre>
xvars <- names(GASTURBINE)[-9]</pre>
model=leaps( x=GASTURBINE[,xvars], y=GASTURBINE[,yvar], names=xvars, nbest=3, method="Cp")
imp var <- model$which[which.min(model$Cp),]</pre>
names(imp_var)[as.character(imp_var) == "TRUE"]
```

All three methods exact same variables.

"RPM"

"CPRATIO"

[1] "ENGINE"

"INLETTEMP" "POWER"

"LHV"