Individual Group Report

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Description of individual work
- 3. Detailed Description of your work
- 4. Results
- 5. Summary and conclusions
- 6. Percentage of code copied from the internet.
- 7. References

Introduction

Legal documents are very tough to interpret as they are very long and have a lot of important information. Humans find it quite challenging to quickly go through legal documents and understand key aspects without missing vital information. Most legal documents have a lot of information that is more relevant such as dates, deadlines, names of people etc. Attorneys, judges, lawyers, and others in the justice system are constantly surrounded by large amounts of the legal text, which can be difficult to manage across many cases. They face the problem of organizing briefs, judgements, and acts.

Due to the huge amount of legal information available on the internet, as well as other sources, the research community needs to do more extensive research on the area of legal text processing, which can help us make sense of the vast amount of available data. This information growth has compelled the requirement to develop systems that can help legal professionals, as well as ordinary citizens, get relevant legal information with very little effort [1].

Description of individual work

Text Summarization: Broadly speaking there are two types of summarizations: -

Extractive summarization: - Extractive summarization involves identifying important sections from text and generating them verbatim which produces a subset of sentences from the original text [2]. Extractive summarization techniques select and combine existing sentences from a text to create a summary.

Abstractive summarization: - Abstractive techniques generate new sentences while keeping the essence of the original text intact. Essentially, in the abstractive summarization the machine writes its own sentences [3]. Abstractive summarization uses natural language techniques to interpret and understand the important aspects of a text and generate a more "human" friendly summary.

Abstractive summarization is better generally as it leverages contextual learning to generate powerful summaries. These summaries are more human-readable, making them easier for agents to consume.

It may be tempting to use summarizations for all texts to get useful information from them and spend less time reading. However, for now, NLP summarization has been a successful use case in only a few areas. Text summarization works great if a text has a lot of raw facts and can be used to filter important information from them. The NLP models can summarize long documents and represent them in small simpler sentences. News, factsheets, and mailers fall under these categories [4].

However, for texts where each sentence builds up upon the previous, text summarization does not work that well. Research journals, and medical text are good examples of texts where summarization might not be very successful. Finally, if we take the case of summarizing fiction, summarization methods can work fine. However, it might miss the style and the tone of the text that the author tried to express. Hence, Text summarization is helpful only in a handful of use cases. The model used for

Detailed Description of your work in the project

111111

```
Code
#Code/Summarization/train.py
from transformers import PegasusForConditionalGeneration, PegasusTokenizer,
Trainer, TrainingArguments
import torch
from datasets import load dataset
from rouge import Rouge
class PegasusDataset(torch.utils.data.Dataset):
  def __init__(self, encodings, labels):
    self.encodings = encodings
    self.labels = labels
  def __getitem__(self, idx):
    item = {key: torch.tensor(val[idx]) for key, val in self.encodings.items()}
    item['labels'] = torch.tensor(self.labels['input_ids'][idx]) #
torch.tensor(self.labels[idx])
    return item
  def __len__(self):
    return len(self.labels['input ids']) # len(self.labels)
def show_samples(dataset, num_samples=3, seed=42):
```

```
Show num samples random examples
  111111
  sample = dataset['train'].shuffle(seed=seed).select(range(num_samples))
  for example in sample:
    print(f"\n'>> Article: {example['Text']}'")
    print(f"'>> Summary: {example['Summary']}'")
def prepare_data(model_name,
         train texts, train labels,
         val_texts, val_labels,
         test texts, test labels):
  111111
  Prepare input data for model fine-tuning
  tokenizer = PegasusTokenizer.from_pretrained(model_name)
  prepare_val = False if val_texts is None or val_labels is None else True
  prepare test = False if test texts is None or test labels is None else True
  def tokenize_data(texts, labels):
    encodings = tokenizer(texts, truncation=True, padding=True)
    decodings = tokenizer(labels, truncation=True, padding=True)
    dataset tokenized = PegasusDataset(encodings, decodings)
    return dataset tokenized
  train dataset = tokenize data(train texts, train labels)
```

```
val dataset = tokenize data(val texts, val labels) if prepare val else None
  test dataset = tokenize data(test texts, test labels) if prepare test else
None
  return train_dataset, val_dataset, test_dataset, tokenizer
def prepare fine tuning(model name, tokenizer, train dataset, val dataset,
freeze encoder=True,
             output dir='./pegasus indian legal'):
  111111
  Prepare configurations and base model for fine-tuning
  111111
 torch_device = 'cuda' if torch.cuda.is_available() else 'cpu'
  model =
PegasusForConditionalGeneration.from pretrained(model name).to(torch dev
ice)
  if freeze encoder:
    for param in model.model.encoder.parameters():
      param.requires_grad = False
  if val dataset is not None:
    training_args = TrainingArguments(
      output dir=output dir, # output directory
      num train epochs=5, #total number of training epochs
      per_device_train_batch_size=1, # batch size per device during training,
can increase if memory allows
```

```
if memory allows
      save steps=5, # number of updates steps before checkpoint saves
      save total limit=5, # limit the total amount of checkpoints and deletes
the older checkpoints
      evaluation strategy='steps', # evaluation strategy to adopt during
training
      eval steps=5, # number of update steps before evaluation
      warmup steps=5, # number of warmup steps for learning rate
scheduler
      weight decay=0.01, # strength of weight decay
      logging dir='./logs', # directory for storing logs
      logging steps=5
    )
    trainer = Trainer(
      model=model, # the instantiated 🍄 Transformers model to be trained
      args=training args, # training arguments, defined above
      train_dataset=train_dataset, # training dataset
      eval dataset=val dataset, # evaluation dataset
      tokenizer=tokenizer
    )
  else:
    training args = TrainingArguments(
      output_dir=output_dir, # output directory
      num train epochs=5, # total number of training epochs
```

per device eval batch size=1, # batch size for evaluation, can increase

```
per device train batch size=1, # batch size per device during training,
can increase if memory allows
      save steps=5, # number of updates steps before checkpoint saves
      save total limit=5, # limit the total amount of checkpoints and deletes
the older checkpoints
      warmup steps=5, # number of warmup steps for learning rate
scheduler
      weight_decay=0.01, # strength of weight decay
      logging dir='./logs', # directory for storing logs
      logging_steps=5,
    )
    trainer = Trainer(
      model=model, # the instantiated 🤗 Transformers model to be trained
      args=training_args, # training arguments, defined above
      train_dataset=train_dataset, # training dataset
      tokenizer=tokenizer
    )
  return trainer
def calculate rouge(hypothesis, reference):
  111111
  Calculate ROUGE scores
  111111
  rouge = Rouge()
  scores = rouge.get_scores(hypothesis, reference, avg=True)
```

```
def evaluate_model(trainer, test_dataset, tokenizer):
  111111
  Evaluate the fine-tuned model on the test dataset and print ROUGE scores
  .....
  model = trainer.model
  test_dataloader = trainer.get_test_dataloader(test_dataset)
  rouge scores = {'rouge-1': {'r': 0.0, 'p': 0.0, 'f': 0.0}, 'rouge-2': {'r': 0.0, 'p': 0.0,
'f': 0.0},
           'rouge-l': {'r': 0.0, 'p': 0.0, 'f': 0.0}} #r-recall, p-precision, f-f1 score
  for batch in test dataloader:
    inputs = tokenizer.batch decode(batch['input ids'],
skip_special_tokens=True)
    targets = tokenizer.batch decode(batch['labels'],
skip_special_tokens=True)
    predictions = model.generate(batch['input_ids'])
    for pred, target in zip(predictions, targets):
      pred text = tokenizer.decode(pred, skip special tokens=True)
      rouge_batch_scores = calculate_rouge(pred_text, target)
      # Accumulate ROUGE scores
      for rouge_key, rouge_score in rouge_batch_scores.items():
         rouge_scores[rouge_key]['r'] += rouge_score['r'] #Recall
         rouge scores[rouge key]['p'] += rouge score['p'] #Precision
```

```
# Normalize ROUGE scores
  num samples = len(test dataset)
  for rouge key in rouge scores.keys():
    rouge_scores[rouge_key]['r'] /= num_samples
    rouge_scores[rouge_key]['p'] /= num_samples
    rouge scores[rouge key]['f'] /= num samples
  # Print ROUGE scores
  print("ROUGE Scores:")
  print("ROUGE-1 (Recall):", rouge_scores['rouge-1']['r'])
  print("ROUGE-2 (Recall):", rouge_scores['rouge-2']['r'])
  print("ROUGE-L (Recall):", rouge scores['rouge-l']['r'])
  print("ROUGE-1 (Precision):", rouge_scores['rouge-1']['p'])
  print("ROUGE-2 (Precision):", rouge scores['rouge-2']['p'])
  print("ROUGE-L (Precision):", rouge_scores['rouge-l']['p'])
  print("ROUGE-1 (F1-Score):", rouge_scores['rouge-1']['f'])
  print("ROUGE-2 (F1-Score):", rouge scores['rouge-2']['f'])
  print("ROUGE-L (F1-Score):", rouge_scores['rouge-l']['f'])
if name == ' main ':
  # Use first 1000 docs as training data
```

```
dataset = load dataset("ninadn/indian-legal")
  show_samples(dataset)
  dataset = dataset.filter(lambda x: x["Summary"] is not None) #Remove rows
which have no summary
  train texts, train labels = dataset['train']['Text'][:1000],
dataset['train']['Summary'][:1000]
  val_texts, val_labels = dataset['train']['Text'][1000:1250],
dataset['train']['Summary'][1000:1250]
  test_texts, test_labels = dataset['train']['Text'][1250:1500],
dataset['train']['Summary'][1250:1500]
  # use Pegasus model as base for fine-tuning
  model_name = 'nsi319/legal-pegasus'
  train_dataset, val_dataset, test_dataset, tokenizer =
prepare_data(model_name, train_texts, train_labels, val_texts, val_labels,
test texts, test labels)
  trainer = prepare_fine_tuning(model_name, tokenizer, train_dataset,
val dataset)
  trainer.train()
  #Push model to hugging face hub
  trainer.push to hub()
  # Save model locally
  trainer.save model('pegasus indian legal')
  # Evaluate the model on the test dataset
```

```
evaluate model(trainer, test dataset, tokenizer)
#Code/streamlit.py
def extract_text_from_document(file):
  if file is not None:
    # Read the content of the file as bytes
    content bytes = file.read()
    if content_bytes:
      # Decode the bytes into a string
      content = content_bytes.decode('utf-8')
      return content
    else:
      st.error("File is empty. Please choose a file with content.")
      return None
  else:
    return None
def generate_response_with_selected_model(model, tokenizer,
input tokenized):
  summary_ids = model.generate(input_tokenized,
                  num_beams=9,
                  no_repeat_ngram_size=3,
                 length penalty=2.0,
                  min length=150,
                  max_length=250,
                  early stopping=True)
  summary = [tokenizer.decode(g, skip_special_tokens=True,
clean_up_tokenization_spaces=False) for g in summary_ids][0]
```

```
return summary
```

if model choice == "Pegasus Legal":

tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained("nsi319/legal-pegasus")

model = AutoModelForSeq2SeqLM.from_pretrained("nsi319/legalpegasus")

input_tokenized = tokenizer.encode(document_text,
return_tensors='pt', max_length=1024, truncation=True)

summary = generate_response_with_selected_model(model,
tokenizer, input_tokenized)

elif model_choice == "Pegasus Indian Legal":

tokenizer =

AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained("akhilm97/pegasus_indian_legal")

model =

AutoModelForSeq2SeqLM.from_pretrained("akhilm97/pegasus_indian_legal")

input_tokenized = tokenizer.encode(document_text,
return_tensors='pt', max_length=1024,

truncation=True)

summary = generate_response_with_selected_model(model,
tokenizer, input_tokenized)

Results

Pegasus

Rouge 1 Recall	Rouge 2 Recall	Rouge L Recall	Rouge1 Precisio n	Rouge2 Precisio n	RougeL Precisio n	Roug e 1F1- score	Rouge 2 F1- score	Rouge L F1- score
16.57	6.49	14.94	52.99	26.46	48.18	24.48	10.01	22.16

Table 1 Metrics for the final fine-tuned model

Model	Dataset	Rouge-1 (Precision)	Rouge-2 (Precision	Rouge-L (Precision
Pegasus (google/pegasus-large · Hugging Face)	CNN Daily Mail (cnn_dailymail Datasets at Hugging Face)	45.68	14.56	20.07
Legal Pegasus (nsi319/legal-pegasus · Hugging Face)	US-Litigation releases (Litigation Releases U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission)	62.97	28.42	33.22
Pegasus Indian Legal (Fine-tuned) (akhilm97/pegasus indian lega I · Hugging Face)	Indian-Legal documents (ninadn/indian -legal - Hugging Face)	52.99	26.4	48.1

Table 2 ROUGE (Precision) scores of all the Summarization models

Based on the memory constraints and the size of the dataset used for text summarization of the base model, the base model Pegasus could not be directly used for fine-tuning as it was giving a CUDA out-of-memory error. Each checkpoint file in the Pegasus model was around 2.2GB and it has 568M parameters [6]. Therefore, I used the fine-tuned version of Pegasus (legal-pegasus) which was trained on the US litigation releases website as the base model for the checkpoint.

Summary and Conclusions

The fine-tuned PEGASUS also yielded fair summarization with a ROUGE-1 (Precision) score of 52.99% on Indian legal documents. The only limitation is that while inferencing this model on the test set the model was generating summaries where the last sentence was incomplete.

Percentage of code copied from the internet.

Some part of the code was copied from [5]. Around 60.4% of the code was used in train.py.

References

[1] AliguliyevRamiz M, FangChangjian, GalganiFilippo, RashediEsmat, TurtleHoward, AustinJohn Langshaw, BhattacharyaPaheli, Wikipedia, Press, ManiInderjeet, FarzindarAtefeh, KanapalaAmbedkar, AllahyariMehdi, GambhirMahak, NenkovaAni, LuhnHans Peter, EdmundsonH.P., ... RushAlexander M. (2021, March 9). Summarization of legal documents:

Where are we now and the way forward. Computer Science Review. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1574013721000289

https://www.prodigaltech.com/blog/extractive-vs-abstractive-summarization-how-

- [2] does-it-work
- https://iris.ai/technology/tech-deep-dive-abstractive-summarization/ [3]
- https://turbolab.in/types-of-text-summarization-extractive-and-abstractivesummarization-basics/
- [5] https://gist.github.com/jiahao87/50cec29725824da7ff6dd9314b53c4b3