

24/1/19

## EE508: Concentration Inequalities

Today: • Motivation, review of  $\sup$  &  $\inf$

- McDiarmid's inequality
  - Bounded difference property
  - Statement & proof

• Motivation: To come up with tail bounds on functions of independent R.V.s.

• First analyze functions that satisfy the bounded differences property

Review: • If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a set of real numbers, then  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  is called an upper bound of  $A$  if  $x \leq M$  for every  $x \in A$ .

- The least upper bound of  $A$  is called the supremum of  $A$ .
- If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a set of real numbers, then  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a lower bound of  $A$  if  $x \geq m$  for every  $x \in A$ .
- The greatest lower bound of  $A$  is called the infimum of  $A$ .
- If  $\sup A \in A$ , then  $\text{maximum of } A = \sup A$ .
- If  $\inf A \in A$ , then  $\text{minimum of } A = \inf A$ .

Alternatively,  $M = \sup A$  if and only if

- $M$  is an upper bound of  $A$
- For every  $M' < M$ , there exists an  $x \in A$  such that  $x > M'$ .

- $A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

$$\inf A = 0, \quad \sup A = 1 = \max A$$

Minimum does not exist

- These notions carry over to functions when defined on the range of values taken by the function

$$\text{If } f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \inf_A f = \inf \{ f(x) : x \in A \},$$

$$\sup_A f = \sup \{ f(x) : x \in A \}$$

- Ex:  $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 0 & x = 1 \end{cases}$

$$\inf_{[0,1]} f = \min_{[0,1]} f = 0$$

$$\sup_{[0,1]} f = 1.$$

Bounded difference property: Let  $X$  be a set and  $f: X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .  
If there exist non negative  $c_i$  for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), such that

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_i' \in X} |f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_i', \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i$$

McDiarmid's inequality: Let  $X^n = (X_1 \dots X_n)$  be a collection of  $n$  independent RVs and  $g: X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that has the bounded differences property, then for any  $t > 0$

$$P(g(X^n) - E[g(X^n)] \geq t) \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2} \right]$$

$$P(g(X^n) - E[g(X^n)] \leq -t) \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2} \right]$$

Proof outline: • Note that this bound looks a lot like the Hoeffding's inequality.

• Let  $V = g(X^n) - E[g(X^n)]$

• If  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$  such that each element of the sum  $V_i$  is bounded and the length of the <sup>bounded</sup> interval is  $c_i$ ,

we can resort to our previous approach to prove the Hoeffding's inequality.

$$P(g(X^n) - E[g(X^n)] \geq t) = P(V \geq t)$$

$$= P(e^{sV} \geq e^{st})$$

$$\leq e^{-st} \cdot E[e^{sV}]$$

$$= e^{-st} E \left[ e^{s \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n V_i} \right]$$

•  $V_i$  should also have the property that it depends only on  $X^i$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-st} E \left[ E \left[ e^{s \sum_{i=1}^n V_i} \mid X^{n-1} \right] \right] \\
&= e^{-st} E \left[ e^{s \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i} \cdot \underbrace{E \left[ e^{s V_n} \mid X^{n-1} \right]}_{\text{due to Hoeffding's lemma}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

- $V_i$  should be such that given  $X^{i-1}$ , there exist  $L_i$  and  $U_i$  such that  $L_i \leq V_i \leq U_i$  and  $U_i - L_i \leq c_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq e^{-st} \cdot e^{\frac{s^2 \cdot c_i^2}{8}} E \left[ e^{s \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i} \right] \\
&\vdots \\
&= e^{-st} \cdot e^{\frac{s^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}{8}} \quad \text{(due to Hoeffding's lemma)}
\end{aligned}$$