

Homework 9

Colorado CSCI 5454

Sai Siddhi Akhilesh Appala

November 30, 2022

People I studied with for this homework: Gautam

Problem 1

Given information:

$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0), (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0)$...have placed m points in just m dimensions, and we need to change them to the d dimensional space and estimate the big-O notation.

Solution:

lemma 1 from notes :

When given a collection of points $1, \dots, x_n \in R^m$ and let $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$ and when $d \geq \frac{8}{\epsilon^2} \ln(\frac{n}{\delta})$. Then for the Gaussian projection that projects the points of dimension m into d , with probability at least $1 - \delta$, for all i, j ,

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\| \leq \|y_i - y_j\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|$$

- if we consider the same for our case, As given number of points and m dimensions in the space i.e m , i.e $n = m$.
- If we project that on to a dimension d which is given by the above formula, where $\epsilon = 0.1$.
- If we consider the error as $\delta = 0.0001$, points will be lying between $1 - \epsilon$ and $1 + \epsilon$ as $\|x_i - x_j\| = 1$ for all points in m dimensions.
- As given 0.9 and 1.1 i.e $1 - \epsilon$ and $1 + \epsilon$ respectively, substituting in above equation we get $\epsilon = 0.1$.
- Lets take error rate $\delta = 0.0002$ and substitute in d.

$$d \geq \frac{8}{(0.1)^2} \ln\left(\frac{m}{0.0002}\right)$$

$$d = O(\ln(m))$$

From above $O(\ln(m))$
we can conclude $d = O(\ln(m))$.

Problem 2

Part a

lemma 1 from notes :

When given a collection of points $1, \dots, x_n \in R^m$ and let $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$ and when $d \geq \frac{8}{\epsilon^2} \ln(\frac{n}{\delta})$. Then for the Gaussian projection that projects the points of dimension m into d , with probability at least $1 - \delta$, for all i, j ,

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\| \leq \|y_i - y_j\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|$$

- Let say vector d_1 pointing to the origin of the original dimension R^m . then the $x_j = 0$.
- If this point is transformed to required space then the resultant vector of new space will be the origin of new space with $y_j = 0$.
- Now d_1 vector pointing to origin of original dimension R^m .
- Now we say $\epsilon = 0.1$ and substituting in the above equation we get.

$$0.9 \|x_i\| \leq \|y_i\| \leq 1.1 \|x_i\|$$

From above, we can say Johnson–Lindenstrauss’s lemma is proved.

Part b

Given information

if $x_i \cdot x_j = 0$ then we need to prove the below.

To be proved

$$-0.1 \leq y_i \cdot y_j \leq 0.1$$

Solution

As we know y_i and y_j are obtained using Johnson–Lindenstrauss transform.

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\| \leq \|y_i - y_j\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\| \tag{1}$$

If we square on both sides we get the below equation

$$(1 - \epsilon)^2 \|x_i - x_j\|^2 \leq \|y_i - y_j\|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|x_i - x_j\|^2 \quad (2)$$

if we assume that $-x_j$ then we get the transformed one as $-y_j$ then Johnson–Lindenstrauss becomes

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|x_i + x_j\| \leq \|y_i + y_j\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_i + x_j\| \quad (3)$$

If we square on both sides we get the below equation

$$(1 - \epsilon)^2 \|x_i + x_j\|^2 \leq \|y_i + y_j\|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|x_i + x_j\|^2 \quad (4)$$

If we subtract the equations with positive x_j and $-x_j$ then we get the below equation

$$(1 - \epsilon)^2 \|x_i + x_j\|^2 - (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|x_i - x_j\|^2 \leq \|y_i + y_j\|^2 - \|y_i - y_j\|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|x_i + x_j\|^2 - (1 - \epsilon)^2 \|x_i - x_j\|^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\|x_i - x_j\|^2 = \|x_i\|^2 + \|x_j\|^2 - 2x_i \cdot x_j \quad (6)$$

$$\because \|x_i\| = 1 \text{ and } x_i \cdot x_j = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\|x_i - x_j\|^2 = 2 \quad (8)$$

$$\|x_i + x_j\|^2 = 2 - 2x_i \cdot x_j \quad (9)$$

$$\|y_i - y_j\|^2 = \|y_i\|^2 + \|y_j\|^2 - 2y_i \cdot y_j$$

$$\|y_i + y_j\|^2 = \|y_i\|^2 + \|y_j\|^2 + 2y_i \cdot y_j$$

subtracting above 2

$$\|y_i + y_j\|^2 - \|y_i - y_j\|^2 = 4y_i \cdot y_j \quad (10)$$

By substituting the above equations, we tend to get the

$$-4 * 0.1 \leq 4y_i \cdot y_j \leq 4 * 0.1$$

$$-0.1 \leq y_i \cdot y_j \leq 0.1$$

Part c

- Consider these vectors which have n dimensions, which lie in the unit sphere and are orthogonal $(1,0,0,\dots)(0,1,0,0,\dots), (0,0,1,\dots)$ and so on till $(0,0,0,\dots,1)$
- Now from above, these vectors lie on the same unit sphere and are orthogonal.
- Lets consider x_i and y_i be i^{th} dimension of vector x and y and our vectors have one dimension then it will work like below
- If $x_i = 0$ then $y_i = 1$ or if $x_i = 1$ then $y_i = 0$ or $x_i = 0$ and $y_i = 0$.
- For any case which is satisfying the $x_i \cdot y_i = 0$ for all i . So we can say that vectors are orthogonal.

Part d

We can combine the above 3 parts to prove this.

- The goal is to get $n = 2^{\Omega(k)}$ points of dimension k .
- By using **Part C** we create n points in dimensional space and get all the required vectors.
- Next step is to use **Part a** to achieve the projections to transform n points to k dimensions. then we get $0.9 \leq ||y_i|| \leq 1.1$.
- Now by using **Part B** as we know if $||x_i|| = 1$ are orthogonal then projections (y_i 's are orthogonal).
- , Therefore, we can conclude that $n = 2^{\Omega(k)}$ points in K dimensional space are the unit sphere and are orthogonal.

Problem 3

We know that $A = UDV^T$.

$A = n * d$.

U = Dimensions of $n * r$

D = dimension of $r * r$

V = Dimension of $d * r$.

$$\implies \sum_{f=0}^{f=r} U_{i,f} * D_{f,f} * V_{j,f}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \sum_{f=0}^{f=r} U_{i,f} * \sigma_f * V_{j,f} \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{f=0}^{f=r} \sigma_f * U_{i,f} * V_{j,f} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the matrix $H = \sum_{l=1}^r \sigma_l u_l v_l^T$.
Now Lets consider the $(i,j)^{th}$ term of H .

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i,j} &= \sum_{l=1}^r (\sigma_l u_l v_l^T)_{(i,j)} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^r \sigma_l u_{l(i,1)} v_{l(1,j)}^T \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^r \sigma_l * u_{l(i,1)} * v_{l(j,1)} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^r \sigma_l * U_{i,l} * V_{j,l} \\ &= \sum_{f=1}^r \sigma_l * U_{i,f} * V_{j,f} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Therefore $A_{i,j} = H_{i,j}$, which means $A = \sum_{l=1}^r \sigma_l u_l v_l^T$.

Problem 4

Part a

- Consider matrix A, where rows as Types of insects and columns as locations of insects.
- The i^{th} and j^{th} entry represents the number from 1 and 100 describing how many types of insects live in location j .
- **First right singular vector** - v_1 , which represents the idealized location feature, probably could be any one of humidity/rainfall/temperature that best represents a one-dimensional model. The next right vector would be a feature completely orthogonal to the first. If we were to consider a one-feature model, we would just consider this vector and take components along this to get the feature.
- **First left singular vector** - u_1 , which represents the number of insects of different types that prefer that feature described above say example rainfall. This can help us classify insects that prefer particular weather.

- **First singular value** - σ_1 , represents how important a feature is or how better it explains data.

Part b

By using the “collaborative filtering” method to predict the insect type at a location. As described above each $A(i, j)$ represents how many insects of type i live at location j . Below are the steps to predict the missing values taken from pre-read notes.

1. Given the matrix A first uses a simple method to estimate the missing entries. In every row of Matrix A replace the missing element with the average of that row, and let's call this new matrix A' .
2. Call the full matrix with all entries estimated A' .
3. Compute the SVD $A' = UDV^T$.
4. Compute the low-rank approximation $A'_k = U_k D_k V_k^T$.
5. Use the value of $A'_k(i, j)$ to estimate the missing entry i, j .