## Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



Suppose *m*=4 students have taken some class, and the class had a midterm exam and a final exam. You have collected a dataset of their scores on the two exams, which is as follows:

1/1 point

midterm exam	(midterm exam)^2	final exam
89	7921	96
72	5184	74
94	8836	87
69	4761	78

You'd like to use polynomial regression to predict a student's final exam score from their midterm exam score. Concretely, suppose you want to fit a model of the form  $h_{ heta}(x) = heta_0 + heta_1 x_1 + heta_2 x_2$ , where  $x_1$  is the midterm score and x\_2 is (midterm score)^2. Further, you plan to use both feature scaling (dividing by the "max-min", or range, of a feature) and mean normalization.

What is the normalized feature  $x_2^{(4)}$ ? (Hint: midterm = 69, final = 78 is training example 4.) Please round off your answer to two decimal places and enter in the text box below.



You run gradient descent for 15 iterations

1/1

with lpha=0.3 and compute

point

J( heta) after each iteration. You find that the

value of J( heta) decreases quickly then levels

off. Based on this, which of the following conclusions seems

most plausible?



Suppose you have m=14 training examples with n=3 features (excluding the additional all-ones feature for the intercept term, which you should add). The normal equation is  $heta=(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$ . For the given values of m and n, what are the dimensions of  $\theta$ , X, and y in this equation?



1/1

point

Suppose you have a dataset with m=1000000 examples and n=200000 features for each example. You want to use multivariate linear regression to fit the parameters heta to our data. Should you prefer gradient descent or the normal equation?



Which of the following are reasons for using feature scaling?



point

