

Research Poster

New for 2021-2022

Event requirements are now the same for Secondary Division (SS) and Postsecondary / Collegiate Division (PSC) and is a single round event.

Event Summary

Research Poster provides HOSA members with the opportunity to think critically about a health-related issue in their community; pose a research question surrounding the chosen topic; and conduct research on that topic. All competitors will develop a Research Poster showcasing their findings and present their research to a panel of judges.

Dress Code

Competitors must be in official HOSA uniform or in proper business attire. Bonus points will be awarded for proper dress.

General Rules

- 1. Competitors in this event must be active members of HOSA and in good standing.
- Secondary and Postsecondary / Collegiate Divisions are eligible to compete in this event.
- 3. Competitors must be familiar with and adhere to the <u>"General Rules and Regulations of the HOSA Competitive Events Program (GRR)."</u>
- All competitors shall report to the site of the event at the time designated for each round of competition. At ILC, competitor's <u>photo ID</u> must be presented prior to ALL competition rounds.

The Research Question

- 5. Competitors must pose a topic and research question that can be researched in their community.
- 6. Topics must be health-related, but flexibility is given to competitors to select something of interest and of local importance and relevance.
- 7. Examples of topics:
 - a. Community Based Strategies to Reduce Mental Health Stigma
 - b. Combating Post-Partum Depression in Teen Moms
 - c. Decreasing Juvenile Incarceration Rates by increasing the Presence of Positive Male Role Models

The Research Process

- 8. Once the research question is identified, competitors will determine the best method(s) for conducting their research. Research methods may include, but are not limited to:
 - a. survey(s)
 - b. interviews
 - c. scientific study
 - d. observational ethnography
- 9. It is the competitor's responsibility to obtain informed consent for any human subjects

engaged in research. More information is available from <u>HHS.gov</u> and their <u>FAQ</u> section.

10. The research must be conducted within the current HOSA membership year (July 2021 – June 2022).

The Research Poster Content

- 11. A Research Poster is developed summarizing the research question and research findings.
- 12. The best posters are self-contained and self-explanatory. Observers should be able to understand the content of your poster without you being present.
- 13. The research poster will contain the following eight (8) components:

A. TITLE

- The title should highlight the research to be conducted by the competitor and gain attention of the viewers
- The competitor's name, HOSA Division, HOSA Chapter #, School Name, and Chartered Association should be located on the Research Poster.
- 100 words maximum (suggested)

B. ABSTRACT

- An abstract is a brief summary of the research.
- Include the overall purpose of the study and the research problem(s) investigated.
- Describe the basic design of the study and objectives.
- Explain the major findings found as a result of analysis.
- Provide a brief summary of interpretations and conclusions.
- 250 words maximum (suggested)

C. METHODS

- Describe the research methods that led to the results.
- Identify the target population.
- Explain how data was collected accurately.
- Explain how the data was analyzed.
- Explain possible errors and biases in the methods
- 200 words maximum (suggested)

D. RESULTS

- Describe qualitative and quantitative results.
- Present the data analysis employed.
- Explain why the results matter
- Use supportive charts and figures.
- 200 words maximum (suggested)

E. CONCLUSIONS

- Emphasize the major results and try to convince why the results are interesting.
- Explain the relevance of your findings to your community and our world.
- 200 words maximum (suggested)

F. REFERENCES

- List the literature cited that gave guidance to the project.
- American Psychological Association (APA) is the preferred resource

in Health Sciences.

100 words maximum (suggested)

G. ACKNOWLEDEMENTS

- Acknowledgements is where the competitor thanks anyone who helped make the project possible.

H. IMAGES

- Crunch the data into graphs, tables, statistics, and/or quotes that illustrate the findings. Include photos and illustrations that reflect the research. Use 2 to 5 images.
- Logos from community agencies involved in the research are acceptable.

The Research Poster Template and Design

- 14. Competitors will create the poster template (the file sent out to have professionally printed) in 48" x 36" landscape orientation.
- Any computer program of your choosing is acceptable to use to create the poster template, as long as the final digital product can be saved as .pdf and final printed product is 48" x 36 " landscape orientation.
- 16. The above eight (8) items listed in rule #13 must be included, but colors, fonts and overall design are at the discretion of the competitor.
- 17. Numerous websites are available showcasing sample poster designs and templates to show strengths and weaknesses of sample posters, as a reference for competitors.
- 18. Tips for successful poster design. These are suggestions only, and not requirements.
 - a) 3 Feet Rule
 - Poster must be readable 3 feet away
 - Title font size: Minimum 65 pt.
 - Heading font size: Minimum 48 pt.
 - All other text size: Minimum 24 pt., suggested 36-42 pt.
 - Use bold to provide emphasis, but avoid <u>underline</u> and CAPITALS
 - b) Left to Right, Top to Bottom
 - Most readers read top left to bottom, top right to bottom, in that order
 - Strategically placing your content in order will help the reader to follow along and understand the content
 - c) Use Bullet Points
 - Focus on highlights
 - Use brief statements, instead of full sentences
 - d) Context
 - Write in Active language, avoid using passive language
 - Use third person point of view to provide readers with an objective perspective
 - Use text boxes to write your text. This will make editing and layout adjustments easier.
 - Writing should be left justified
 - e) Images
 - Make sure images are high quality to avoid grainy or distorted photos
 - Photos typically print best at 300 dpi or greater and in TIFF format.
 - Use italicized captions (in minimum 18-point font) to help your readers distinguish your caption from the rest of your text. Adding captions will also help your readers to understand what your image represents.
 - Avoid long numeric tables

The Research Poster Printing

- 19. Once the poster template is finalized as a .pdf, competitors should determine the best place and method for printing final size of 48" x 36" (landscape orientation). The poster does NOT need to be mounted on foam board.
- 20. To help with printing costs, and also to be more visually appealing, avoid using dark backgrounds and patterns. Use high contrast colors on muted backgrounds instead.
- 21. Posters can be printed on matte / economy style paper and do not need to be printed on high gloss paper, to help save costs.
- 22. Competitors should check with their local advisors for assistance on where to print the poster. Often schools, colleges, universities, etc. have printing departments that have discounted printing rates. Additionally, there are many online sites available that provide affordable printing options.

Required Digital Uploads

- 23. A pdf copy of the Research Poster must be uploaded as a single document:
 - a. to Tallo for Secondary & Postsecondary/Collegiate Divisions.
 - b. Uploads for ILC will be open from April 15th May 15th for ILC qualified competitors only.

Instructions for uploading materials to Tallo can be found <u>HERE</u>.

NOTE: Chartered Associations have the option to use hard copy submissions instead of digital submissions. Please check with your State Advisor to determine what process is used in your chartered association. For ILC, only digital submissions will be used for judging if uploaded by May 15th.

Judging of the Research Poster

- 24. All competitors shall report to the site of the event at the designated time. At ILC, photo ID must be presented prior to competing.
- 25. When instructed, the competitor will have five (5) minutes to attach their research poster to the provided standing bulletin board. HOSA will provide four (4) push pins to each competitor to be used to attach the poster to the bulletin board.
- 26. Chartered Associations and ILC event staff have the option of using different setup methods to showcase the Research Posters. This could include attaching the posters to walls, laying posters flat on tables, or other methods deemed appropriate.
- 27. Competitors will not be present while the Research Posters are judged.

Poster Presentation Session Display Time

All competitors in this event at the International Leadership Conference are required to attend the HOSA Poster Session, as scheduled per the conference program.
 Competitors will stand with their posters and share their research with conference delegates. Failure to attend the Poster Session (Display Time) will result in a 15 point deduction, assessed in Tabulations.

Judging of the Presentation

29. Competitors will report back to the research poster event room at their assigned

appointment time to present a 3-minute prepared oral presentation to the judges.

- 30. Competitors will stand next to their research poster for the presentation.
- 31. During the three (3) minute prepared presentation, a timecard will be shown with one (1) minute remaining and the presentation will be stopped at the end of the 3 minutes.
- 32. Judges will then have three (3) minutes to ask the competitor questions about the research. Competitors should be prepared to answer judge questions. Competitors may be asked to expand upon a point raised in the presentation, explain an aspect of the research in more detail, or consider an alternative point of view. Questions asked by judges could include:
 - Why did you choose this particular research question?
 - What did you learn that you did not expect?
 - What is the most interesting aspect that you learned?
 - What would your next steps be if you could continue this research further?
- 33. After the judge questions are complete, the competitor will be excused and the judges will have three (3) minutes to complete the rating sheets.

Presentation Content

- 34. Begin the presentation with an "elevator pitch" a short introduction to the research that is enthusiastic, draws the judges in, and sets the stage for why the research is important.
- 35. The presentation should be clearly connected to the poster content, but should not simply duplicate it. It should complement the information on the poster and engage the interest of the audience.
- 36. Highlight the salient points of the research focus on key findings and implications.
- 37. The use of index card notes during the presentation are permitted. Electronic notecards (on a tablet, smart phone, laptop, etc. are permitted) but will not be shown to judges. While notes are allowed, the most successful competitors will know the information on the poster well enough that they do not need to look at notes or the poster except to point out a feature of interest.

Final Scoring

- 38. Scores from the Research Poster will be added to scores from the Presentation to determine final results.
- 39. In the event of a tie, a tie breaker will be determined by the areas on the rating sheet section(s) with the highest point value in descending order

Compe	Competitor Must Provide						
	Uploaded .pdf of Research Poster to Tallo by published deadline						
	Research Poster (printed 48" x 36" landscape orientation)						
	#2 pencil for evaluation						
	Photo ID						
	Index cards or electronic notecards (optional)						
	Watch with second hand (optional)						

Research Poster Judge's Rating Sheet

Section #	Competitor Name & #
Division:	Judge's Name

A. Overview	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
A. Overview	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1 Posoarch			The Research	The Research		
1.Research Question	The Research Question posed is health-related, specific, and reflects a deep understanding of an issue that needs addressing in the competitor's local community. It is	The Research Question is health- related but could benefit from being more specific and more action- oriented. There is some detail lacking to make it stand out.	The Research Question sufficiently addresses a health topic, but leaves the judges wanting more clarification or information to fully understand the question posed.	The Research Question is confusing, not fully thought out, and/or not a good representation of a health issue.	The Research Question is drastically lacking substance or is not included at all.	
	evident the competitor was thorough in developing the question.					WD05
B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE SCORE
Content	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	SCORE
1.Title	A title is included and the poster contains: competitor's name, Division, Chapter #, School Name, and State/Chartered Association.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR Title is missing or all requirements are not met	
2.References	At least one	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not	
	reference is included on the poster.				submitted OR No references are included on the poster.	
3.Acknowledgements	At least one person or community organization is acknowledged on the poster.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR No acknowledgements are made on the poster	
B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	15 points	12 points	8 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
	The Abstract does an excellent job summarizing the research. It clearly describes the purpose of the research, the overall methods, major findings, and a succinct summary of the conclusions. The abstract leaves the judges excited about learning more!	good summary of the conclusions. The judges are curious about learning more.	The information provided in the Abstract to summarize the purpose, methods, findings, and conclusions is limited and/or some of these components are missing.		Poster not submitted OR The Abstract is missing or did not describe all key items.	

B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
						SCORE
B. Poster Content 5. Methods 6. Results	The research methods are explicitly explained, including: 1) target population 2) how data was collected 3) how data was analyzed 4) how data was shared 5) A review of possible errors and biases is also included. The results of the research are	12 points The research methods were explained. Some supporting points needed more detail, but all 5 items were covered. The results of the research are	5 requirements. The results of the research are	4 points The research methods explanation was limited and only included 2 or 3 of the 5 requirements. The results of the research are limited	O points Poster not submitted OR	
	presented and explained in a way that makes sense and can be easily understood. It is clear what was discovered and an additional explanation about why the results matter is included.	presented and explained but some questions remain. It is clear what was discovered but additional explanation about why the results matter is needed.	presented but the explanation is not clear. There seems to be important information that should have been included. Minimal explanation about why results matter.	and significant gaps are evident. No explanation of why the results matter.	The results of the research are not included and no description given of why they matter.	
7. Conclusions	solid justification of the research question, explains the relevance of findings to the community and/or	The conclusion is mostly concise and does a good job of summarizing the justification of the research question, the relevance of the results, and why they are conclusive. More information is needed.	The conclusion provides minimal justification of the research question. Questions remain as to how the results can be used or why the results are conclusive.	There is not a solid justification of the research question nor how results are relevant nor if they are conclusive.	Poster not submitted OR The competitor failed to include conclusions or the conclusions drawn were out of scope.	
8. Images	Images used add excellent value to the overall poster, complimenting the text, illustrating the findings, and reflecting key research. They stand out above others.	2-5 images are included and they do a good job of adding overall value to the poster and accurately representing the details of the research and process. They however, lack the special 'wow factor"	2-5 images are included that adequately connect to the research. They do not enhance nor distract from the poster.	process is only fair. They distract from the overall appeal of the poster and/or do not accurately reflect the research project.		
C. Poster Design		Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE SCORE
	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	U points	JUURE
1. Poster Size	Poster is 48" x 36" landscape orientation	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR Poster is not 48" x 36" and/or landscape orientation	

C. Poster Design	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
g	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
2. Artistic Design	The artistic quality is exceptional. The design is vibrant, balanced, visually pleasing and pushes the boundaries of artistic expression. The design choices take the poster to the next level and has that "wow factor"	The artistic quality is good; the design stands out. The design elements seem to be well-thought out and comprehensive.	The poster incorporates balanced design choices, showcasing some artistic features. Some of the poster lacks artistic details that took away from the overall visual of the poster.	Basic levels of artistic design are incorporated into the poster. Better design/color choices should be incorporated to assure the design of the poster is pleasing to the eye.	Poster not submitted OR The design is simplistic and not visually appealing.	
C. Poster Design	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
J	15 points	12 points	8 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
3. Appearance / Organization	The poster is exceptionally neat, organized, and error-free. Information is clearly displayed and easy to understand and follow. Content is strategically placed to enhance the research and the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away.	Poster is neat and organized. The content has a logical flow with only minimal errors and does a good job enhancing the research process.	The poster was basic and could use more organization and thought to be understood.	The poster lacked organization and/or contained several spelling errors. The flow of information seemed to be out of order and it was difficult to read the poster from 3 feet away.	Poster not submitted OR The poster is either too busy or lacks enough detail to support the content.	
D. Presentation	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	15 points	12 points	8 points	4 points	o points	SCORE
1. Opening "Elevator Pitch"	The presentation starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, and sets the stage for why the research is important.	The elevator pitch does a good job setting the stage for the rest of the presentation, but does not "wow" the judges.	The presentation starts with an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an overall draw for the judges.	There is an attempt made to begin with an elevator pitch, but the overall execution is lacking.	No elevator pitch was shared during the presentation	
2. Presentation of the Research	the research information was exceptionally organized, clear,	The content and messaging of the research was presented in a clear and concise manner. Most of the appropriate connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter.	information about the research process. The judges were left	shared was not	Little to no information was presented to the judges on the research process.	

D. Presentation	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	15 points	12 points	8 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
3. Connection to	The presentation is	The presentation	The competitor did	The competitor	The presentation	
Poster	clearly connected to the research poster, but does not duplicate it. The presentation does an excellent job complementing the information on the poster and engages	connects to the	an adequate job of	seems to read from the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time making the presentation unique.	seemed to be an afterthought. There was a disconnect between what was presented and the content of the poster.	
4. Answered judge questions effectively	The competitor provided excellent answers to judge's questions, shared important details and maintained a high level of professionalism and poise throughout the presentation.	The competitor answered the judge's questions accurately and provided some important details about the research that took place.	The competitor was able to answer most of the questions effectively, but could have provided more details regarding the research	The competitor answered some of the questions but failed to expound on the details of the research	The competitor had trouble answering the judge's questions. More evidence is needed to demonstrate a basic understanding of the research.	
E. Presentation	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Delivery	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Voice Pitch, tempo, volume, quality	The competitor's voice was loud enough to hear. The competitor varied rate & volume to enhance the speech. Appropriate pausing was employed.	The competitor spoke loudly and clearly enough to be understood. The competitor varied rate OR volume to enhance the speech. Pauses were attempted.	attempted to use	Judges had difficulty hearing /understanding much of the speech due to little variety in rate or volume.	The competitor's voice is too low or monotone. Judges struggled to stay focused during the majority of presentation.	
E. Presentation	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Delivery	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
2. Stage Presence Poise, posture, eye contact, and	Movements & gestures were purposeful and enhanced the	The competitor maintained adequate posture and non-distracting	Stiff or unnatural use of nonverbal behaviors. Body language reflects	Most of the competitor's posture, body language, and facial expressions	No attempt was made to use body movement or gestures to	

E. Presentation Delivery	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
and Pronunciation**	Delivery emphasizes and enhances message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation. No vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Tone heightened interest and complemented the verbal message.	enhance message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation. Minimal vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you- knows"). Tone	Delivery adequate. Enunciation and pronunciation suitable. Noticeable verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows") present. Tone seemed inconsistent at times.	Delivery quality minimal. Regular verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows") present. Delivery problems cause disruption to message.	Many distracting errors in pronunciation and/or articulation. Monotone or inappropriate variation of vocal characteristics. Inconsistent with verbal message	
Total Points (220):						