

Name: Akib Zayed IPTi

section : 6

ID : 20161113

1

1. $X \rightarrow YZ$: Not valid

Here for the value of $X = 'abcdefg'$ we get two different values of $YZ = \{1, q\}$ and $\{3, q\}$

2. $x \rightarrow z$: valid

Here for every unique value of x determines a unique value of z

3. $XY \rightarrow A$: Not valid

Here for the value of $x = 'nyz'$, we get two different value of A which are 11 and 13. That's why this is not valid

4. $A \rightarrow xyz$: Not valid

Here, for the value of $A = '10'$ we get two different values of xyz which are $\{abcdefg, 1, q\}$ and $\{abcedfg, 3, q\}$. So not valid

5. $YZ \rightarrow X$: Not valid

Here for the value of $3q$ on YZ , we get two different values of x which are fg and $abcdefg$.

2

i) This is in 1NF as there are no multivalued, composite attribute and no nested relation present.

ii) This is not in 2NF because for FD1 and FD2 there is a partial dependency. On FD1, Engineer-Name, TotalRepairs, commission-Percentage depend on only Engineer-ID. similarly, for FD2, Customer-Name, Issue, Priority-Level, Service-charge depend on only Comp-ID. In both cases all the attributes must be dependent on both comp-ID and Engineer-ID if it is in 2NF. But for FD3 and FD4, there is no concern for partial dependency.

Turning to 2NF:

CR₁

<u>Comp-ID</u>	<u>Engineer-ID</u>	Date-Assigned	Date-Repaired
----------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------

CR₂

<u>Engineer-ID</u>	Engineer-Name	Total-Repairs	Commission percentage
--------------------	---------------	---------------	-----------------------

CR3

<u>comp-ID</u>	Customer-Name	Issue	Priority-Level	Service charge
----------------	---------------	-------	----------------	----------------

iii) This is not in 3NF form because of the presence of transitive function dependency.

Here $\text{Engineer-ID} \rightarrow \text{Commission-Percentage}$, there is a transitive function dependency in this case because of $\text{Engineer-ID} \rightarrow \text{Total Repairs}$ and $\text{Total Repairs} \rightarrow \text{Commission-Percentage}$. Similarly, for $\text{comp-ID} \rightarrow \text{Service-charge}$, there is a transitive function dependency which is $\text{comp-ID} \rightarrow \text{priority level}$ and $\text{priority-level} \rightarrow \text{service-charge}$.

Forming to 3NF :

a - R1

<u>comp-ID</u>	<u>Engineer-ID</u>	Date-Assigned	Date-Repaired
----------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------

CR2

<u>Engineer ID</u>	Engineer Name	Total Repairs
--------------------	---------------	---------------

e-R3

<u>Total - Repairs</u>	Commission - charge
------------------------	---------------------

e-R4

<u>Comp-ID</u>	Customer-Name	Issue	priority-Level
----------------	---------------	-------	----------------

e-R5

<u>Priority-Level</u>	Service-charge
-----------------------	----------------