## CSE 321 HW3 SOLUTIONS

171044098 Akif Kartal

1) To solve these recurrence relations we can use substitution method step by step but for these dividing function there is a theorem called masters theorem which is much better than substitution method.

- Master Theorem for Dividing Recurrence Relation Fractions

$$T(\Lambda) = aT(\gamma_b) + f(\Lambda)$$

a ≥ 1, 6 > 1 and f(n) = 0 (1 / 10g n)

Conses:

Let's start to solve by using this theorem.

(a) 
$$T(n) = 27T(n/3) + n^2$$

(b)  $T(n) = 27T(n/3) + n^2$ 

(c)  $T(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

(d)  $T(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

(d)  $T(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

(e)  $T(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

(f)  $T($ 

Scanned with CamScanner

d) 
$$T(n) = 2T(5n) + 1$$

To solve this recornece, I will use substitution method.

 $\Rightarrow T(n) = 2T(n^{1/2}) + 1$ 
=) substitude  $T(n^{1/2})$  as  $T(n^{1/2}) = 2T(h^{1/2})^{1/2} + 1$ 
=)  $T(n) = 2\left[2T((n^{1/2})^{1/2}) + 1\right] + 1$ 
=)  $T(n) = 4T(n^{1/4}) + 3$ 
=) substitude  $T(n^{1/4}) + 3$ 
=)  $T(n) = 4\left[2T(n^{1/4}) + 1\right] + 3$ 
=)  $T(n) = 8T(n^{1/4}) + 7$ 
| if we continue k times

 $\Rightarrow T(n) = 8T(n^{1/4}) + 7$ 
| if we continue k times

 $\Rightarrow T(n) = 2^kT(n^{1/2k}) + (2^k-1)$ 
=) Assume as in  $2^{nd}$  question  $n$  is a power of  $2$ .

=)  $n = 2^m$ 
=)  $T(2^m) = 2^kT(2^{m/2k}) + (2^k-1)$ 
=) Assume  $T(2^{m/2k}) = T(2)$ 

$$\frac{m}{2^k} = 1 \Rightarrow m = 2^k \Rightarrow [k = \log_2 m]$$

Since, 
$$n = 2^{m}$$

$$M = \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n \log_{2} n \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n \log_{2} n \log_{2} n \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n \log_{2} n \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n \log_{2} n \log_{2} n$$

$$= \log_{2} n \log_{2} n \log_{2} n$$

e) 
$$T(n) = 2T(n-2)$$
,  $T(0) = 1$ ,  $T(1) = 1$   
Again, let's use substitution method for this problem with fewer steps

=) 
$$T(n) = 2T(n-2) \longrightarrow (1)$$
  
=)  $T(n-2) = 2T(n-4)$ 

=)
$$T(n) = 2[2T(n-4)]$$

=) 
$$T(n) = 4T(n-4)$$
  $T(n-4) = 2T(n-6)$ 

$$T(n) = 8T(n-6) \longrightarrow 3$$

$$T(n) = 2^{n/2}T(0)$$

$$T(n) = 2^{n/2}.1 \quad (T(n) = 1)$$

$$f)$$
  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n, T(1) = 1$ 

again substition method with this initial condition.

$$T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n \rightarrow 1$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n/2) = 4T((n/4) + 1/2) + n$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 4\left[4T(n/4) + 1/2\right] + n$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 16T(n/4) + 3n \rightarrow 2$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n/4) = 4T(n/8) + 1/4$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 16\left[4T(n/8) + 1/4\right] + 3n$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 64T(n/8) + 2h \rightarrow 3$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 64T(n/8) + 2h \rightarrow 3$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 4kT(n/2k) + (2k-1)$$
Since,  $T(1) = 1$ 
Ass.,  $\frac{n}{2k} = 1$ 

$$\Rightarrow n = 2k \Rightarrow k = \log n$$

$$T(n) = 4 \log T(1) + (2 \log n - 1)$$

$$T(n) = 4^{\log n} + (1) + (2^{\log n} - 1)$$
  
 $T(n) = 4^{\log n} + 2^{\log n} - 1$ 

=) 
$$\theta(4^{\log n})$$

(ignore constant and lower)
degree functions

9) 
$$T(\Lambda) = 2T(3\pi_1) + 1$$
,  $T(3) = 1$ 

Apply substition method:

 $T(\Lambda) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 1 \rightarrow 1$ 
 $T(\Lambda^{1/3}) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 1$ 
 $T(\Lambda^{1/3}) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 1$ 
 $T(\Lambda) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 3 \rightarrow 2$ 
 $T(\Lambda^{1/3}) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 1$ 
 $T(\Lambda) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 1 \rightarrow 3$ 
 $T(\Lambda) = 2T(\Lambda^{1/3}) + 1 \rightarrow$ 

Scanned with CamScanner

2) function f(n) if n <= 1: Print-line ("\*\*") else: for i=1 ton f(1/2) end for Recurrence relation = T(n) = n\*T(n/2)

if we count how many lines print. umper of brint  $2 \longrightarrow 2 = T(1) \times 2$  $3 \longrightarrow 3 = T(2)^* 3$  $4 \longrightarrow 8 = T(2)*4$  $5 \longrightarrow 10 = T(2)^* S$ 6 ---> 18 = T (3) \* 6 7 ----> 21 -T(3) × 7  $\longrightarrow T(\Lambda/2)^* \Lambda$ 

apply bockward subst. >)T(n)=n\*T(n/2) → ① \*T(n/2)= 17 T(n/4)  $=) T(n) = \frac{n^2}{n} T(n/4) \rightarrow (2)$  $\star \tau(n/6) = \frac{\alpha}{4} \tau(n/8)$ =)  $T(n) = \frac{n^3}{8} + (n/8) \rightarrow 3$  $=) T(n) = \frac{n^4}{64} T(n/16) \rightarrow (4)$  $= |T(n)| = \frac{n^{k} + (n/2^{k})}{2^{\log^{2}k}} + \frac{1}{(n/2^{k})} +$  3) Algorithm Function - f (A [0...n-1]) 1 = if n = 2 and A[O] > A[I], then swap(A[O], A[I]) if n>2 then } T (2n/3) - Function-f (A[O--ceil(2n/3)] T (2n/s) - Function-f(A[floor(n/3)---n])  $T(2n/3) \leftarrow Function - f(A[0--ceil(2n/3)])$ T(n) = 3T(2n/3) + 1use master theorem to solve this =)f(n)=0(1)=)0(n°logon)=)k=0  $\Rightarrow 9, 3, 6 = \frac{3}{2} \Rightarrow (999 = ) (99, 3 = 2,70)$ 109, 0 > k => 2,70>0 therefore, => 0 (n'091°) => 0 (n2,70) (cose 1)

4) To solve this question I will start with theoretical average case of algorithms. Analysis mostly taken from lecture. Average case of Insution Sort by Using number of swaps (comp) For each of the Ti's are random variables. if we cakulate ECT; I we will get;  $E[T_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(T_i = j) \rightarrow P(T_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(T_i = j) \rightarrow P$ From this probility, There are 2 case I compaise  $P(T; =j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq l-1 \end{cases}$ 1 2 if J=1  $E\left[\tau_{i}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{i+1} \\ \frac{1}{i+1} \end{bmatrix} + 1. \quad \frac{2}{i+1}$  $= \frac{1(i-1)}{2(i+1)} + \frac{2i}{i+1} = \frac{1^{2}-i+4i}{2(i+1)} = --- = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j+1}}{2(i+1)}$  $= \frac{n(n-1)}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n-1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$  $= \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} + n - 1 + H Harmonic Series$ =) E O (n2)

## Swaps in Insertion Sort (Theoritical) 1) A sorted list has no swap. 2) A reverse sorted list of size n has (1-1)n susp. 3) In the overage, all lists of size n have (n-1).1 swap, Avarage case of Quick Sort by using number of suaps (compaison) Let say Ti's are random variables then $T = T_1 + T_2$ T1 = Partition T2 = recursive calls therefore, A(n) = E[T] = E[T] + E[T] If we solve this we will get; $A(n) = \pm (n).(n+1) = 2(n+1).H(n) - 3(n+1)$ =) / E + (nlogn) ) Number of swaps in implementation (Python)

I counted the number of surproperations in implementation of algorithms with size of 20 same 1 array and results are following;

Quick sort number of surpressions. In

Insution Sort number of swap = 119

## Analysis and comment

if we compare the theoritical average cases and swap counts of course quick sort algorithm is much better. But Also by looking at this results, we also observe that insertion sort swap can't much better than it's theoretical performance.

5) To solve these questions first, I will write single pseucodes. a) simple psucrode can be like this. Algorithm test(n) if(n>0) $SI = test(n/3) \rightarrow T(n/3)$ 52 = test (n/3) -> T (n/3) 53 = test(n/3) -> T(n/3) S4 = test(114)-97(1/3) 55 = fest (1/5) -97 (1/3) combine (51,52,53,54,55) -) n2 (quadratic time)  $T(n) = 5T(n/3) + n^2$  by using masters theorem,  $f(n) = \Theta(n^2) \Rightarrow \Theta(n^2 \log^2 n) \Rightarrow \lfloor k = 2 \rfloor$ a = 5,  $b = 3 = \log_{6}^{a} = \log_{3}^{5} = 1,46$ 1096 Lk=) 1,46 L2 therefre,  $\Rightarrow \ominus(n^2) \Rightarrow fo(n^2) \Big) (case 3)$ b) Algorithm test (n) it (n >0)

b) Algorithm test(n)  

$$if(n>0)$$
  
 $51 = test(n/2) \longrightarrow T(n/2)$   
 $52 = test(n/2) \longrightarrow T(n/2)$   
combine  $(s1, s2) \longrightarrow n^2$ 

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n^{2} \quad \text{by sing masters theorem,}$$

$$f(n) = \Theta(n^{2}) = \Theta(n^{2}) = \Theta(n^{2}\log^{n}) = \text{b} = 2$$

$$0 = 2, \quad b = 2 = \text{log}_{b}^{0} = \text{log}_{2}^{2} = 1$$

$$\log_{b}^{n} \ge \text{k} \Rightarrow 1 \le 2 \quad \text{tweefine,}$$

$$\Theta(n^{2}) = \text{O}(n^{2}) \quad \text{(cose 3)}$$

$$C)$$

$$Algorithm \quad test(n) \quad \text{if (n > 0)}$$

$$S1 = test(n-1) \rightarrow T(n-1)$$

$$combine(S1) \rightarrow n$$

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + n \quad \text{by using substitute method,}$$

$$= \text{T}(n-1) = T(n-2) + n-1$$

$$= \text{T}(n) = T(n-2) + 2n-1 \rightarrow 2$$

$$= \text{T}(n) = T(n-2) + 3n-3 \rightarrow 3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + 3n-3 \rightarrow 3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + (n-1) + (n-1) + n$$

$$Assume \quad n-1 = 0 \quad \text{n=k}$$

$$T(n) = T(n) + 1 + 2 + 1 + \dots + (n-1) + n$$

$$T(n) = T(n) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \quad \text{(Assume T(n) is 1)}$$

Scanned with CamScanner

Lastly, if we compare these three algorithms,  $a = b = c \Rightarrow O(n^2) = O(n^2) = O(n^2)$ Since they are in some asymptotical order, I would choose any of them.