



Problem Sheet 2

1. The Weyl representation of the Clifford algebra is given by:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where σ^i are the Pauli matrices. Show that if $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$, then:

$$[\gamma^\kappa \gamma^\lambda, \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = 2\eta^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\kappa \gamma^\nu - 2\eta^{\kappa\mu} \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu + 2\eta^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\kappa - 2\eta^{\kappa\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda. \quad (2)$$

Prove the Following Identities

- (a) $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu) = 0$
 - (b) $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 4\eta^{\mu\nu}$
 - (c) $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho) = 0$
 - (d) $(\gamma^5)^2 = 1$
 - (e) $\text{Tr}(\gamma^5) = 0$
2. The Fourier decomposition of the Dirac operator $\psi(\vec{x})$ and the conjugate field $\psi^\dagger(\vec{x})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[b_{\vec{p}}^s u^s(\vec{p}) e^{+i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + c_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} v^s(\vec{p}) e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right] \\ \psi^\dagger(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} u^s(\vec{p})^\dagger e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + c_{\vec{p}}^s v^s(\vec{p})^\dagger e^{+i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The creation and annihilation operators are taken to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \\ \{c_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \end{aligned}$$

with all other anti-commutators vanishing,

$$\{b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^s\} = \{c_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^s\} = \{b_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} = \{b_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^s\} = \dots = 0$$



Show that these imply that the field and its conjugate momenta satisfy the anticommutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\{\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta(\vec{y})\} &= \{\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})\} = 0 \\ \{\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})\} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

Show that the quantum Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} (-i\gamma^i \partial_i + m) \psi \quad (5)$$

can be written, after normal ordering, as

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\vec{p}} \sum_{s=1}^2 \left[b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s + c_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} c_{\vec{p}}^s \right] \quad (6)$$

3. Solve the exercises mentioned in lectures 3 and 4.

4. **Optional problem:** The purpose of this question is to give you a glimpse into the spin-statistics theorem. This theorem roughly says that if you try to quantize a field with the wrong statistics, bad things will happen. Here we'll see what goes wrong if you try to quantize a spin 1/2 field as a boson. Let us start with the field decomposition but this time we choose bosonic commutation relations for the annihilation and creation operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\left[b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger} \right] &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \\ \left[c_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger} \right] &= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

with all other commutators vanishing. Note the strange minus sign for the c operators. Now, show that these are equivalent to the commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}[\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta(\vec{y})] &= [\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})] = 0 \\ [\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})] &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Now repeat the calculations similar to the problem above to show that, after normal ordering, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\vec{p}} \sum_{s=1}^2 \left[b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s - c_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} c_{\vec{p}}^s \right] \quad (9)$$

This Hamiltonian is not bounded below: you can lower the energy indefinitely by creating more and more c particles. This is the reason a theory of bosonic spin 1/2 particles is sick.



5. **Optional but recommended:** The Dirac equation for a free Dirac field is given by:

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0.$$

- (a) Using the Weyl representation for the gamma matrices:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(where σ^i are the Pauli matrices) write down the following Dirac (matrix) equation explicitly:

$$i\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi + i\gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \psi = m\psi.$$

This can be rewritten in Feynman slash notation as:

$$(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi(x) = 0.$$

- (b) Assume a plane wave solution $\psi(x) = u(p)e^{-ip \cdot x}$, substituting in Dirac equation show that:

$$(\not{p} - m)u(p) = 0,$$

where $p^\mu = (\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}, \vec{p})$ is the four-momentum and $\not{p} = \gamma^\mu p_\mu$. In Weyl representation, express above equation as:

$$(\gamma^\mu p_\mu - m)u(p) = \begin{pmatrix} -m & p_\mu \sigma^\mu \\ p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu & -m \end{pmatrix} u(p) = 0, \\ \sigma^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3), \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, -\sigma^1, -\sigma^2, -\sigma^3).$$

- (c) Take trial solutions as

$$u_r(p) = \begin{pmatrix} ap_\mu \sigma^\mu \chi_r \\ b\chi_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that the two constants are related as $b = ma$. Then in order to normalize $u_r(p)$ as discussed in lecture, a can be fixed.

- (d) Check that there are two independent solutions $v_r(p)e^{+ip \cdot x}$, $r = 1, 2$ given by

$$v_r(p) = \begin{pmatrix} ap_\mu \sigma^\mu \chi_r \\ -b\chi_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy $(\not{p} + m)v_r(p) = 0$ for $b = ma$ and $p^\mu = (\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}, \vec{p})$. Again a can be fixed requiring normalizations for v_r .

Deadline: 14/09/2024

Submit via [Google Form!](#)