

Let us remind ourselves that the free field Lagrangian for massless vector field is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}$$

where  $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$

$\mathcal{L}$  can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha) (\partial^\alpha A^\beta - \partial^\beta A^\alpha) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} [\partial_\alpha A_\beta \partial^\alpha A^\beta - \partial_\alpha A_\beta \partial^\beta A^\alpha - \partial_\beta A_\alpha \partial^\alpha A^\beta \\ &\quad + \partial_\beta A_\alpha \partial^\beta A^\alpha] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [\partial_\alpha A_\beta \partial^\alpha A^\beta - \partial_\alpha A_\beta \partial^\beta A^\alpha] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\sigma\rho} [\partial_\alpha A_\beta \partial_\rho A_\sigma - \partial_\alpha A_\beta \partial_\sigma A_\rho]\end{aligned}$$

Let us now try to quantize the theory through canonical quantization. For that, we need to find the canonical momenta conjugate to the field  $A_\mu$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}_\mu} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{conjugate momenta}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\nu A_\mu)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\sigma\rho} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\sigma\rho}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} (\delta_{\alpha}^0 \delta_{\beta}^{\mu} - \delta_{\beta}^0 \delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}) F_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} (\delta_{\mu}^0 \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} - \delta_{\nu}^0 \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} (\eta^{0\mu} \eta^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\mu}) F_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} (\eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta^{\beta\rho} - \eta^{\alpha\rho} \eta^{\beta\mu}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} (F^{0\mu} - F^{\mu 0}) - \frac{1}{4} (F^{\alpha\mu} - F^{\mu\alpha}) \\
&= -F^{0\mu}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi^i = -F^{0i} = \epsilon^i$$

$$\& \pi^0 = -F^{00} = 0$$

$\downarrow$   
This we already encounter in the

last lecture. This is the consequence  
of the absence of kinetic term of  $A^0$ .

Therefore, it is difficult to quantize  
the theory with with a consistent  
commutation relation between  $A^0$  &  $\pi^0$

$$\text{since } [A^0, \pi^0] = 0.$$

However, it is still possible to quantize  
the theory by setting  $A^0 = 0$  and by  
fixing relevant  $A^i$  for the quantization.

(This exercise has been given as a problem in Coulomb gauge. I will not discuss it further here.).

This way of quantization lacks the manifest Lorentz covariance since some component of  $A^m$  has special significance; they are not treated on equal footing. Thus, our effort of

rewriting Maxwell's theory in Lorentz

$\uparrow$   
covariance form goes in vain. Fortunately there is a way out.

Let us first look at the gauge choice we will make, namely Lorentz gauge.

The condition on  $A_m$  is  $\boxed{\partial^m A_m = 0}$

As before, if, e.g.  $\partial^m A_m \neq 0$  but equal f(m)  
then we can make a transformation

$$A'_m = A_m + \partial_m \lambda \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\boxed{\partial^m \partial_m \lambda = 0} \Rightarrow \partial^m A'_m = 0.$$

So we can always make such choices

so, we can write  
 s.t.  $\partial^\mu A_\mu = 0$ . This is called Lorentz gauge because it has Lorentz covariant form.

There is another alternate reason to choose particular gauge. For that, let us rewrite the eqn of motion for the free field:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha A^\beta - \partial_\alpha \partial^\beta A^\alpha &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha \eta^{\beta\rho} A_\rho - \partial_\alpha \partial^\beta \eta^{\alpha\rho} A_\rho &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\eta^{\beta\rho} \square - \partial^\beta \partial^\rho) A_\rho &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

  
 This operator is a singular operator and is not invertible. So, the Green's function can't be defined. This can be seen if we try to mode expand  $A_\rho$  as

$$A_\rho = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \epsilon_p(p) e^{ip\cdot r}$$

then the operator in the momentum space becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} O_{\beta p} &= \eta_{\beta p} \Box - \partial_\beta \partial_p \\ \rightarrow \quad \eta_{\beta p} (-P^2) + P_\beta P_p \end{aligned}$$

{ we have lowered the indices for writing convenience }

explicitly:

$$P^2 = P_0^2 - P_1^2 - P_2^2 + P_3^2$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc} -P^2 + P_0^2 & P_0 P_1 & P_0 P_2 & P_0 P_3 \\ P_1 P_0 & P^2 - P_1^2 & P_1 P_2 & P_1 P_3 \\ P_2 P_0 & P_2 P_1 & P^2 - P_2^2 & P_2 P_3 \\ P_3 P_0 & P_3 P_1 & P_3 P_2 & P^2 - P_3^2 \end{array} \right)$$

One can easily check that this is a singular matrix, i.e.  $\det(O_{\beta p}) = 0$ .

Thankfully the two problems ①  $\Pi^0 \neq 0$  &  $\det(O_{\beta p}) \neq 0$  can be avoided in a single go by modifying the Lagrangian in the Lorentz gauge

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha A^\alpha \partial_\beta A^\beta$$

at this point  
 This, although, does not represent the same Lagrangian of Maxwell's theory,  
 the eqn. of motion stays the same  
 in the Lorentz gauge.

Eqn. of motion: (with extra term)

$$\partial^\beta (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} - B^\beta \gamma^\alpha) = 0.$$

$$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} + \partial^\beta L^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} =$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha A^\beta - \cancel{\partial_\alpha \partial^\beta A^\alpha} + \cancel{\partial^\beta \partial_\alpha A^\alpha} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\square A^\beta = 0} \rightarrow \text{This is similar to scalar fields but for four } \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3 \text{ fields.}$$

This eqn. of motion is same eqn. of motion for  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}}$  in presence of Lorentz gauge.

Now, the momentum conjugate becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\mu} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 A_{\mu})} \\ &= -F^{0\mu} - \eta^{0\mu} \partial_{\beta} A^{\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\pi^0 = -\partial_{\beta} A^{\beta}$$

$$\pi^i = -F^{0i}$$

We also follow the similar prescription for canonical quantization as done for scalar fields. So, first, we promote the fields  $A_{\mu}$  & their momentum conjugate to operators with the following commutation relations:

$$[A_{\mu}(\vec{r}), A_{\nu}(\vec{r}')] = [\pi^{\mu}(\vec{r}), \pi^{\nu}(\vec{r}')] = 0$$

$$+ [A_{\mu}(\vec{r}), \pi_{\nu}(\vec{r}')] = i\eta_{\mu\nu} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}').$$

Now, with the usual mode expansion

$$A_{\mu}(\vec{r}) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 e_{\mu}^{\lambda}(\vec{p}) \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda} e^{ip \cdot r} + a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda \dagger} e^{-ip \cdot r} \right]$$

$e_{\mu}^{\lambda}(\vec{r})$  ... basis vectors for polarization

as introduced in the first lecture. Notice that there are a total of four vectors instead of 2. We see that now these extra two will not affect our physics. For now, let us go ahead with these 4 polarization vectors.

We can choose the normalization

as  $\boxed{\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}' = \eta^{\alpha\beta}}$  we choose Lorentz invariant normalization

We can further choose  $\vec{\epsilon}^1 \cdot \vec{p} = \vec{\epsilon}^2 \cdot \vec{p} = 0$  to keep  $\vec{\epsilon}^1$  &  $\vec{\epsilon}^2$  transverse as before &  $\vec{\epsilon}^3$  to be along  $\vec{p}$ .

The momentum conjugate takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^0(\vec{a}) &= -\partial^\alpha A_\alpha \\ &= - \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 (4i) p^m \epsilon_m^\lambda(\vec{p}) \\ &\quad \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda e^{ip \cdot r} - a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda e^{-ip \cdot r} \right]\end{aligned}$$

①

choices  $\oplus \otimes$  for  $p = (|\vec{p}|, 0, 0, |\vec{p}|)$

$$\epsilon^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \epsilon^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \epsilon^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \epsilon^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

we can make this choice &  $p \cdot \epsilon^1 = p \cdot \epsilon^2 = 0$ .

$$p \cdot \epsilon^0 = |\vec{p}| = -p \cdot \epsilon^3$$

$$A_\mu(n) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \epsilon_\mu^\lambda(\vec{p}) \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda e^{ip\cdot n} + a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda+} e^{-ip\cdot n} \right]$$

remember the normalization introduced  
in the scalar field theory. This is  
with massless.  $w_{\vec{p}} = \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2} = |\vec{p}|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \pi^0(n) &= -\partial_\mu A_\mu \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \epsilon_\mu^\lambda(\vec{p}) \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda (ip^n) e^{ip\cdot n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda+} (-ip^n) e^{-ip\cdot n} \right] \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}}} (+i) \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 p_\nu \epsilon_\nu^\lambda(\vec{p}) \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda e^{ip\cdot n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda+} e^{-ip\cdot n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Only term with  $p_\nu^0 = \vec{p}\cdot\vec{n}$  survives since  $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$  picks  
up a -ve sign for the integrand. When integration is  
done from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$  over  $\vec{p}^i$

$$\text{So, } \pi^0(n) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{|\vec{p}|}{2}} (+i) \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 (\epsilon^0)^\lambda(\vec{p}) \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda e^{ip\cdot n} \right. \\ \left. - a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda+} e^{-ip\cdot n} \right]$$

Similarly,  $\pi^i(n) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{|\vec{p}|}{2}} (+i) \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 (\epsilon^i)^\lambda(\vec{p}) \left[ a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda e^{ip\cdot n} \right. \\ \left. - a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda+} e^{-ip\cdot n} \right]$ .

Now, for the creation & annihilation operators.  
the commutation relations becomes

$$[a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda, a_{\vec{q}}^{\lambda'}] = [a_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda+}, a_{\vec{q}}^{\lambda'+}] = 0$$

$$\text{And } [a_{\vec{p}}^\lambda, a_{\vec{q}}^{\lambda+}] = -n^{\lambda\lambda'}(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

~~At this point~~

$$[\alpha_{\vec{p}}^0, \alpha_{\vec{q}}^{0\dagger}] = -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

(2)

$$\& [\alpha_{\vec{p}}^i, \alpha_{\vec{q}}^{i\dagger}] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}).$$

The space part is fine but the time part has a -ve sign, which gives rise to -ve norm.

Let ~~we~~ see how.

$$|\vec{p}, \lambda\rangle = \alpha_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda\dagger} |0\rangle$$

↑                      ↓ vacuum.

Creation of a particle of momentum  $\vec{p}$

& polarization  $\lambda$ .

$$\text{then } \langle \vec{p}, 0 | \vec{q}, 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \alpha_{\vec{p}}^0 \alpha_{\vec{q}}^{0\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \{ \alpha_{\vec{q}}^{0\dagger} \alpha_{\vec{p}}^0 - (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

↑  
-ve norm.

However it can be resolved by ~~is~~ restricting our Hilbert space to only those states which satisfy  $\partial_\mu A^{\mu(+)}(x) |\psi\rangle = 0$ .

Meaning that we can form the Hilbert space from vacuum ~~is~~ state  $|0\rangle$  by  $\alpha_{\vec{p}}^{\lambda\dagger}$  any number of times. But only the subset which satisfy  $\partial_\mu A^{\mu(+)}/\psi\rangle = 0$  will be physical state.

③

where  $A_n^+(\omega) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \epsilon_\mu^\lambda \alpha_\vec{p}^\lambda e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\omega}}$

The condition is called Gruen-Bleuler condition.  
So, any physical state  $\psi$  should satisfy  $\partial_\mu A_n^{\mu(+)} |\psi\rangle \geq 0$

Let us see if  $|0\rangle_{(\text{vacuum})}$  satisfy it or not.

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\mu A_n^{\mu(+)} |0\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \underbrace{\epsilon_\mu^\lambda}_{p^\mu} \underbrace{\alpha_\vec{p}^\lambda}_{e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\omega}}} \underbrace{|0\rangle}_{\text{annihilates.}} \end{aligned}$$

So, for any other state  $|\psi\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu A_n^{\mu(+)} |\psi\rangle &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 p^\mu \epsilon_\mu^\lambda \alpha_\vec{p}^\lambda e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\omega}} |\psi\rangle_{\text{phys.}} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{p}|}} \left[ p \cdot \cancel{\epsilon^0} \alpha_0^\lambda + p \cdot \cancel{\epsilon^3} \alpha_3^\lambda \right] e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\omega}} |\psi\rangle_{\text{phys.}} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \alpha_0^\lambda - \alpha_3^\lambda \right] e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\omega}} |\psi\rangle_{\text{phys.}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\alpha_0^\lambda - \alpha_3^\lambda] |\psi\rangle_{\text{phys.}} = 0.$$

For any physical state  $\alpha_0^\lambda |\psi\rangle_{\text{phys.}} = \alpha_3^\lambda |\psi\rangle_{\text{phys.}}$

Whenever a state contains a timelike polarization, it should also contain a longitudinal photons

This also satisfy.

$${}_{\text{phys.}} \langle \psi | \partial_\mu A^\mu | \psi \rangle_{\text{phys.}} = 0$$

Now, the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} :H: &= \dot{A}_\mu \pi^\mu - \mathcal{L} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{normal ordered}} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{|\vec{p}''||\vec{p}|}{4} \right] \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} |\vec{p}| \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{\vec{p}}^{i+} a_{\vec{p}}^{i-} - a_{\vec{p}}^{0+} a_{\vec{p}}^{0-} \right) \\ &\quad \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \sum \dots \rangle \rightarrow \text{ensures that longitudinal and transverse are cancelled} \end{aligned}$$

/ Propagator: (5)

$$\langle 0 | T A_\mu(x) A_\nu(y) | 0 \rangle = \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-i\eta_{\mu\nu}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}$$

Ex. Following the steps Rotoh pointed out,  
show the ~~for~~ expression for the propagator.