Heaps - Binary

- · tree-based
- · types: min/max
- · partially sorted
- . procity queue implementation
- · insertion/removal

  O breadth-first position

 $\begin{bmatrix} 24, 23, 15, 13, 1, 0, 12, 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

P

C1 CZ

P1013,623

24

24/15/15/15/15

Tranode Disads

\_ more traversal time

- morce space

C1 = 2p+1

C2 = 2p+ 2

 $P = floor \left( \frac{C-1}{2} \right)$ 

TreeNode {
int value
Tree Node (eft, right, parent

## 1-teap sort

- 1. Heapthy to max heap

  2. Turn max heap into
  Sorted array
- [4,15,16,50,8,23,42,108]

  Larger elements

  bubbleup > Check if porent < chid
  before swap
  - P10123,468

C1=2p+1

bubble up heapity

6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

[50, 16, 15, 4, 8, 23, 42, 108]

c2 = 2pt2  $P = floor \begin{pmatrix} c-1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

50 16 15 10gn

Single Insertion: O(logn)

Overall: O(nlogn)

for P. = arr.length-1 -> 0 bubble Down (P) Time: O(n)

2. Convert to Sorted Array [4,8,15,16,23,42,50,108] c2 = 2pt2 P=floor (C-1) Time: O(nlogn) 1. Swap peak with end 2. Shrink heap by

3, Bubble down new peak K

## Heapify Approach Comparisons

Bubble - up

15 nodes

$$O\left(n - \log_2(n+1)\right)$$

$$O(n)$$

## Comparing Quasilinear Sorting Algorithms

Algorithm	Time Complexity worst: O(n2)	Space Complexity  O(n)/O(logn)	Stability Unstable	When to use optimizing for best time on
Quidesort	worst: $O(n^2)$ and: $O(n\log n)$	(depending on implementation)	Onstable	overage
Heapsort	O(nlogn)	0(1)	Unstable	Optimizing for Space
MergeSort	O(nlogn)	0(1)	Stable	Optimizing for Stability (slightly faster than heapsort)