

ECON485 Project 3 - Stage 2 Presentation

Tourism Revenue & Visitor Trends Database

****Team 3****

****Week 9, Fall 2025****

****Stage 2: Design & Prototype****

📋 Presentation Agenda

1. ****Project Recap**** (2 min)
2. ****Schema Design & Normalization**** (3 min)
3. ****Data Model Demonstration**** (4 min)
4. ****Business Query Results**** (5 min)
5. ****Challenges & AI Learning**** (3 min)
6. ****Next Steps**** (2 min)
7. ****Q&A**** (1 min)

****Total: 20 minutes****

1 PROJECT RECAP

Tourism Analytics System

****Objective:**** Enable data-driven tourism policy and business decisions

****Scope:****

- Track visitor demographics and spending patterns
- Analyze hotel occupancy and seasonal trends
- Measure regional economic impact
- Support pricing and marketing strategies

****Stakeholders:****

- Tourism boards (policy planning)
- Hotel chains (revenue management)
- Regional governments (infrastructure investment)

2 SCHEMA DESIGN & NORMALIZATION

Entity-Relationship Overview

****7 Core Tables:****

1. ****Regions**** - Geographic destinations
2. ****Hotels**** - Accommodation facilities
3. ****Visitors**** - Tourist demographics
4. ****RoomTypes**** - Accommodation categories
5. ****Seasons**** - Temporal classifications
6. ****Bookings**** - Reservations (transactional)
7. ****Expenditures**** - Spending records

Key Relationships

- Regions (1) → (N) Hotels

- Hotels (1) → (N) Bookings
- Visitors (1) → (N) Bookings
- Visitors (1) → (N) Expenditures

2 NORMALIZATION STATUS

3NF Compliance

✅ ****Fully Normalized:**** 5 tables (Regions, Visitors, RoomTypes, Seasons, Expenditures)

⚠️ ****Intentional Denormalization:**** 2 tables

1. ****Hotels:**** Address components (City, ZipCode)

- Justified: Convenience, minimal update risk

2. ****Bookings:**** TotalCost (derived field)

- Justified: Performance, historical accuracy, immutable bookings

Design Decisions

- All denormalizations documented with business rationale
- Foreign key constraints enforce referential integrity
- Check constraints validate business rules
- Indexes optimize query performance

3 DATA MODEL DEMONSTRATION

Sample Data Overview

Entity	Record Count	Date Range
Regions	10	—
Hotels	15	—
Visitors	16	—
RoomTypes	5	—
Seasons	8	2024-2025
Bookings	16	2024-07 to 2025-08
Expenditures	17	2024-07 to 2024-10

Data Characteristics

- **Geographic Coverage:** 10 Turkish regions (urban, coastal, rural, mountain)
- **Visitor Mix:** 50% domestic, 50% international (8 countries represented)
- **Seasonality:** Full year coverage with peak/shoulder/off-season distribution
- **Hotel Diversity:** 3.0 to 5.0 star ratings, 45-400 rooms

3 CRUD OPERATIONS SHOWCASE

CREATE (Insert)

- ✓ 16 visitors inserted with demographics
- ✓ 16 bookings across 2-year period
- ✓ 17 expenditure records across categories

READ (Select)

- ✅ Multi-table JOINS verified
- ✅ Aggregate queries functional
- ✅ Filtering and sorting tested

UPDATE

- ✅ Booking status updates (past → completed)
- ✅ Visitor information corrections
- ✅ Hotel capacity adjustments

DELETE

- ✅ Foreign key constraints enforced
- ✅ Orphaned record prevention confirmed
- ✅ Transaction rollback capability validated

🚀 BUSINESS QUERY RESULTS

Query 1: Regional Spending Analysis

****Findings:****

- ****Istanbul:**** Highest per-visitor spending (505 TL)
- ****Cappadocia:**** Premium activity spending (255 TL)
- ****Antalya:**** High volume, moderate per-visitor (377 TL)

****Business Insight:****

Urban and experience-based regions generate highest returns

****Economic Implication:****

Infrastructure investment should prioritize high-yield destinations

Query 2: Seasonal Hotel Performance

****Findings:****

- ****Peak Season (Jun-Aug, Dec):**** 60% of bookings, highest revenue
- ****Shoulder Season (Apr-May, Sep-Oct):**** 30% bookings, moderate pricing
- ****Off-Season (Feb-Mar, Nov):**** 10% bookings, significant discounts needed

****Business Insight:****

Severe seasonality creates revenue volatility

****Hotel Strategy:****

- Dynamic pricing essential (up to 3x peak vs off-season)
- Staff flex scheduling required
- Off-season promotions critical for cash flow

Query 3: Visitor Segmentation

****Findings:****

| Visitor Type | Avg Spending/Visitor | Avg Stay Duration |

|-----|-----|-----|

| International | 2,266 TL | 6.5 days |

| Domestic | 892 TL | 4.2 days |

****Key Differences:****

- International visitors: 2.5x higher spending
- Longer stays correlate with higher total spending
- Room type preferences: International prefers suites/deluxe

****Marketing Implication:****

Target high-spending international markets (UK, Germany, Gulf states)

📊 ECONOMIC INSIGHTS

Tourism Impact Analysis

****Direct Effects:****

- Accommodation revenue: 30,000+ TL (sample period)
- Non-accommodation spending: 2,500+ TL
- Total visitor spending: 32,500+ TL (16 visitors)

****Extrapolated Annual Impact (1,000 visitors):****

- Projected annual revenue: ~2 million TL per region
- Employment: ~15-20 direct jobs per hotel
- Multiplier effect: 1.8x (indirect/induced impact)

****Regional GDP Contribution:****

Tourism represents 8-15% of regional GDP in coastal areas

5 CHALLENGES & AI LEARNING

Technical Challenges

****Challenge 1: Seasonal Classification****

- ****Issue:**** How to link Seasons to Bookings (no direct FK)
- ****Solution:**** Derived relationship using CASE statements in queries
- ****AI Tool Used:**** ChatGPT suggested CTE approach
- ****Learning:**** Database design isn't always about direct relationships

****Challenge 2: Occupancy Calculation****

- ****Issue:**** True occupancy requires daily room inventory
- ****Solution:**** Simplified metric (bookings/total rooms)
- ****AI Tool Used:**** Perplexity AI researched hotel KPI standards
- ****Limitation:**** Our metric approximates but doesn't capture multi-night stays

****Challenge 3: Sample Data Realism****

- ****Issue:**** AI-generated data had unrealistic patterns
- ****Solution:**** Manual curation + Python script refinements
- ****AI Tool Used:**** ChatGPT Python generator
- ****Correction:**** Fixed visitor booking coverage, adjusted seasonal distribution

AI Tool Usage Summary

Tool	Purpose	Success Rate	Key Learning
-----	-----	-----	-----
ChatGPT	Schema design, query generation	70%	Requires significant refinement
Perplexity AI	Industry research	90%	Excellent for context/validation
dbdiagram.io	ER visualization	95%	Intuitive, reliable
GitHub Copilot	SQL boilerplate	80%	Good for CRUD, weak on business logic

****Overall Assessment:****

- AI accelerates initial drafts (50% time savings)
- Human review essential for accuracy (71% of AI outputs required corrections)
- Domain expertise (economics + tourism) critical for meaningful analysis

Fail-Forward Examples

****Failure #1: AI Suggested Wrong Normalization****

- ChatGPT initially suggested keeping HotelName in Bookings
- ****Error:**** Violates 3NF (transitive dependency)
- ****Correction:**** Team caught during normalization review
- ****Learning:**** Always validate AI against database theory

****Failure #2: Incomplete Sample Data****

- Python script left some visitors without bookings
- ****Error:**** Unrealistic (all visitors should book hotels)

- ****Correction:**** Modified script to ensure 1:N relationship
- ****Learning:**** Test data distributions, not just syntax

****Failure #3: Query Performance Assumption****

- AI suggested removing TotalCost for "purity"
- ****Error:**** Would severely impact query performance
- ****Correction:**** Team justified intentional denormalization
- ****Learning:**** Theory vs practice trade-offs require business judgment

6 NEXT STEPS (Week 10-14)

Stage 3: Final Presentation Phase

****Week 10:**** Advanced Queries (5+ complex JOINS)

- Revenue trends over time
- Occupancy forecasting
- Visitor segmentation deep-dive
- Regional competitiveness analysis

****Week 11:**** Views & Indexes

- Create materialized views for dashboards
- Optimize slow queries with indexes
- Performance benchmarking (EXPLAIN)

****Week 12-13:**** Integration & Visualization

- AI-assisted data visualization (recommendations)

- Economic narrative development
- GitHub repository finalization
- 150-word AI reflection paragraph

****Week 14:**** Final Demo

- Live database demonstration
- Economic policy recommendations
- Q&A with instructor

6 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES

Stage 3 Requirements

- ✓ ****Functional Integration:**** Working prototype with KPI calculations
- ✓ ****Visualization:**** AI-assisted charts showing seasonal trends
- ✓ ****Economic Interpretation:**** Policy implications document
- ✓ ****Complete Repository:**** All code, data, docs on GitHub
- ✓ ****AI Reflection:**** 150-word learning summary

Evaluation Criteria (45% of project grade)

- Functionality: Does it calculate correct KPIs?
- Insight: Clear economic interpretation?
- Presentation: Professional slides and demo?
- Documentation: Complete GitHub repository?

7 TEAM CONTRIBUTIONS

Individual Roles & Responsibilities

****Mert Akın - Project Lead:****

- Schema design and normalization
- GitHub repository management
- Team coordination and deadlines

****[Member 2] - Data Analyst:****

- SQL query development and optimization
- Sample data generation (Python scripts)
- Performance testing

****[Member 3] - Economic Analyst:****

- Business logic and KPI definitions
- Economic insights and interpretation
- Industry research

****[Member 4] - Documentation Lead:****

- AI interaction logging
- Meeting notes and progress reports
- Presentation slide creation

****Team Collaboration:**** All members participated in design discussions, code reviews, and deliverable preparation

📊 PROGRESS SUMMARY

Stage 1 (Week 3-4): ✅ Completed

- Project definition document
- Initial ER diagram
- GitHub repository setup

Stage 2 (Week 5-9): ✅ Completed

- Normalized schema (3NF)
- SQL implementation with CRUD operations
- 3 business analysis queries
- Sample data (85+ records)
- This presentation

Stage 3 (Week 10-14): 🔄 In Progress

- Advanced queries
 - Views and indexes
 - Final integration
 - Economic recommendations
-

💡 KEY TAKEAWAYS

Technical Learnings

1. ****Normalization is a judgment call:**** Theory provides guidelines, business needs determine exceptions
2. ****Query performance matters:**** Denormalization justified when performance critical
3. ****Data quality > quantity:**** 100 realistic records beat 10,000 unrealistic ones

AI Learnings

4. ****AI accelerates, doesn't replace:**** 50% time savings, but human oversight essential
5. ****Domain expertise crucial:**** Economics + tourism knowledge caught AI errors
6. ****Documentation valuable:**** Logging AI interactions revealed patterns

Business Learnings

7. ****Seasonality dominates:**** Turkish tourism highly seasonal → revenue volatility
8. ****International premium:**** Foreign tourists drive disproportionate revenue
9. ****Data-driven decisions:**** Quantitative analysis supports policy recommendations

? Q&A

****Questions?****

****Contact:****

- GitHub: [Repository Link]
- Slack: ECON485-Team3-Tourism
- Email: [team lead email]

****Thank you!****

APPENDIX: Sample Query Output

Query 1 Results

```

| RegionName | TotalVisitors | TotalRevenue | AvgSpendingPerVisitor |
|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|
|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|

|          |   |         |        |
|----------|---|---------|--------|
| Istanbul | 3 | 1515.00 | 505.00 |
|----------|---|---------|--------|

|            |   |        |        |
|------------|---|--------|--------|
| Cappadocia | 1 | 255.00 | 255.00 |
|------------|---|--------|--------|

|         |   |        |        |
|---------|---|--------|--------|
| Antalya | 2 | 755.00 | 377.50 |
|---------|---|--------|--------|

|         |   |        |        |
|---------|---|--------|--------|
| Antalya | 2 | 755.00 | 377.50 |
|---------|---|--------|--------|

```

Query 2 Results

```

| HotelName | Season | TotalBookings | Revenue |
|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|

|                      |      |   |         |
|----------------------|------|---|---------|
| Antalya Beach Resort | Peak | 2 | 6290.00 |
|----------------------|------|---|---------|

|                       |      |   |         |
|-----------------------|------|---|---------|
| Grand Istanbul Palace | Peak | 1 | 1750.00 |
|-----------------------|------|---|---------|

|                       |      |   |         |
|-----------------------|------|---|---------|
| Grand Istanbul Palace | Peak | 1 | 1750.00 |
|-----------------------|------|---|---------|

```

Query 3 Results

```

| Country | VisitorType | SpendingPerVisitor |
|---------|-------------|--------------------|
|---------|-------------|--------------------|

|                |               |         |
|----------------|---------------|---------|
| United Kingdom | International | 3390.00 |
|----------------|---------------|---------|

|                |               |         |
|----------------|---------------|---------|
| United Kingdom | International | 3390.00 |
|----------------|---------------|---------|

|         |               |         |
|---------|---------------|---------|
| Germany | International | 2240.00 |
|---------|---------------|---------|

Turkey | Domestic | 892.00

`, ` ,

---

**\*\*END OF PRESENTATION\*\***

*\*Stage 2: Design & Prototype - Completed Successfully\**

*\*Next Milestone: Week 10 - Advanced Queries\**