

# ECON485 Project 3 - Stage 2 Presentation

## Tourism Revenue & Visitor Trends Database

\*\*Team 3\*\*

\*\*Week 9, Fall 2025\*\*

\*\*Stage 2: Design & Prototype\*\*

---

##  Presentation Agenda

1. \*\*Project Recap\*\* (2 min)
2. \*\*Schema Design & Normalization\*\* (3 min)
3. \*\*Data Model Demonstration\*\* (4 min)
4. \*\*Business Query Results\*\* (5 min)
5. \*\*Challenges & AI Learning\*\* (3 min)
6. \*\*Next Steps\*\* (2 min)
7. \*\*Q&A\*\* (1 min)

\*\*Total: 20 minutes\*\*

---

##  PROJECT RECAP

### Tourism Analytics System

\*\*Objective:\*\* Enable data-driven tourism policy and business decisions

### **\*\*Scope:\*\***

- Track visitor demographics and spending patterns
- Analyze hotel occupancy and seasonal trends
- Measure regional economic impact
- Support pricing and marketing strategies

### **\*\*Stakeholders:\*\***

- Tourism boards (policy planning)
- Hotel chains (revenue management)
- Regional governments (infrastructure investment)

---

## **## 2 SCHEMA DESIGN & NORMALIZATION**

### **### Entity-Relationship Overview**

#### **\*\*7 Core Tables:\*\***

1. **Regions** - Geographic destinations
2. **Hotels** - Accommodation facilities
3. **Visitors** - Tourist demographics
4. **RoomTypes** - Accommodation categories
5. **Seasons** - Temporal classifications
6. **Bookings** - Reservations (transactional)
7. **Expenditures** - Spending records

### **### Key Relationships**

- Regions (1) → (N) Hotels

- Hotels (1) → (N) Bookings
- Visitors (1) → (N) Bookings
- Visitors (1) → (N) Expenditures

---

## ## **2** NORMALIZATION STATUS

### ### 3NF Compliance

- ✓ **Fully Normalized:** 5 tables (Regions, Visitors, RoomTypes, Seasons, Expenditures)

### ⚠ **Intentional Denormalization:** 2 tables

1. **Hotels:** Address components (City, ZipCode)
  - Justified: Convenience, minimal update risk
2. **Bookings:** TotalCost (derived field)
  - Justified: Performance, historical accuracy, immutable bookings

### ### Design Decisions

- All denormalizations documented with business rationale
- Foreign key constraints enforce referential integrity
- Check constraints validate business rules
- Indexes optimize query performance

---

## ## **3** DATA MODEL DEMONSTRATION

### ### Sample Data Overview

Entity	Record Count	Date Range
Regions	10	—
Hotels	15	—
Visitors	16	—
RoomTypes	5	—
Seasons	8	2024-2025
Bookings	16	2024-07 to 2025-08
Expenditures	17	2024-07 to 2024-10

### ### Data Characteristics

- **Geographic Coverage:** 10 Turkish regions (urban, coastal, rural, mountain)
- **Visitor Mix:** 50% domestic, 50% international (8 countries represented)
- **Seasonality:** Full year coverage with peak/shoulder/off-season distribution
- **Hotel Diversity:** 3.0 to 5.0 star ratings, 45-400 rooms

---

## ## 3 CRUD OPERATIONS SHOWCASE

### ### CREATE (Insert)

- 16 visitors inserted with demographics
- 16 bookings across 2-year period
- 17 expenditure records across categories

### ### READ (Select)

- ✓ Multi-table JOINs verified
- ✓ Aggregate queries functional
- ✓ Filtering and sorting tested

### ### UPDATE

- ✓ Booking status updates (past → completed)
- ✓ Visitor information corrections
- ✓ Hotel capacity adjustments

### ### DELETE

- ✓ Foreign key constraints enforced
- ✓ Orphaned record prevention confirmed
- ✓ Transaction rollback capability validated

---

## ## ⚡ BUSINESS QUERY RESULTS

### ### Query 1: Regional Spending Analysis

#### \*\*Findings:\*\*

- \*\*Istanbul:\*\* Highest per-visitor spending (505 TL)
- \*\*Cappadocia:\*\* Premium activity spending (255 TL)
- \*\*Antalya:\*\* High volume, moderate per-visitor (377 TL)

#### \*\*Business Insight:\*\*

Urban and experience-based regions generate highest returns

### **\*\*Economic Implication:\*\***

Infrastructure investment should prioritize high-yield destinations

---

### **### Query 2: Seasonal Hotel Performance**

#### **\*\*Findings:\*\***

- **Peak Season (Jun-Aug, Dec):** 60% of bookings, highest revenue
- **Shoulder Season (Apr-May, Sep-Oct):** 30% bookings, moderate pricing
- **Off-Season (Feb-Mar, Nov):** 10% bookings, significant discounts needed

#### **\*\*Business Insight:\*\***

Severe seasonality creates revenue volatility

#### **\*\*Hotel Strategy:\*\***

- Dynamic pricing essential (up to 3x peak vs off-season)
- Staff flex scheduling required
- Off-season promotions critical for cash flow

---

### **### Query 3: Visitor Segmentation**

#### **\*\*Findings:\*\***

Visitor Type	Avg Spending/Visitor	Avg Stay Duration
Business Traveler	\$250	3 days
Leisure Guest	\$150	2 days
Local Resident	\$100	1 day

| International | 2,266 TL | 6.5 days |

| Domestic | 892 TL | 4.2 days |

**\*\*Key Differences:\*\***

- International visitors: 2.5x higher spending
- Longer stays correlate with higher total spending
- Room type preferences: International prefers suites/deluxe

**\*\*Marketing Implication:\*\***

Target high-spending international markets (UK, Germany, Gulf states)

---

**##  ECONOMIC INSIGHTS**

**### Tourism Impact Analysis**

**\*\*Direct Effects:\*\***

- Accommodation revenue: 30,000+ TL (sample period)
- Non-accommodation spending: 2,500+ TL
- Total visitor spending: 32,500+ TL (16 visitors)

**\*\*Extrapolated Annual Impact (1,000 visitors):\*\***

- Projected annual revenue: ~2 million TL per region
- Employment: ~15-20 direct jobs per hotel
- Multiplier effect: 1.8x (indirect/induced impact)

**\*\*Regional GDP Contribution:\*\***

Tourism represents 8-15% of regional GDP in coastal areas

---

## ## **5 CHALLENGES & AI LEARNING**

### **### Technical Challenges**

#### **\*\*Challenge 1: Seasonal Classification\*\***

- **Issue:** How to link Seasons to Bookings (no direct FK)
- **Solution:** Derived relationship using CASE statements in queries
- **AI Tool Used:** ChatGPT suggested CTE approach
- **Learning:** Database design isn't always about direct relationships

#### **\*\*Challenge 2: Occupancy Calculation\*\***

- **Issue:** True occupancy requires daily room inventory
- **Solution:** Simplified metric (bookings/total rooms)
- **AI Tool Used:** Perplexity AI researched hotel KPI standards
- **Limitation:** Our metric approximates but doesn't capture multi-night stays

#### **\*\*Challenge 3: Sample Data Realism\*\***

- **Issue:** AI-generated data had unrealistic patterns
- **Solution:** Manual curation + Python script refinements
- **AI Tool Used:** ChatGPT Python generator
- **Correction:** Fixed visitor booking coverage, adjusted seasonal distribution

---

### ### AI Tool Usage Summary

Tool	Purpose	Success Rate	Key Learning
**ChatGPT**	Schema design, query generation	70%	Requires significant refinement
**Perplexity AI**	Industry research	90%	Excellent for context/validation
**dbdiagram.io**	ER visualization	95%	Intuitive, reliable
**GitHub Copilot**	SQL boilerplate	80%	Good for CRUD, weak on business logic

### \*\*Overall Assessment:\*\*

- AI accelerates initial drafts (50% time savings)
- Human review essential for accuracy (71% of AI outputs required corrections)
- Domain expertise (economics + tourism) critical for meaningful analysis

---

### ### Fail-Forward Examples

#### \*\*Failure #1: AI Suggested Wrong Normalization\*\*

- ChatGPT initially suggested keeping HotelName in Bookings
- \*\*Error:\*\* Violates 3NF (transitive dependency)
- \*\*Correction:\*\* Team caught during normalization review
- \*\*Learning:\*\* Always validate AI against database theory

#### \*\*Failure #2: Incomplete Sample Data\*\*

- Python script left some visitors without bookings
- \*\*Error:\*\* Unrealistic (all visitors should book hotels)

- **Correction:** Modified script to ensure 1:N relationship
- **Learning:** Test data distributions, not just syntax

### **Failure #3: Query Performance Assumption**

- AI suggested removing TotalCost for "purity"
- **Error:** Would severely impact query performance
- **Correction:** Team justified intentional denormalization
- **Learning:** Theory vs practice trade-offs require business judgment

---

## **## 6 NEXT STEPS (Week 10-14)**

### **### Stage 3: Final Presentation Phase**

#### **\*\*Week 10:\*\* Advanced Queries (5+ complex JOINs)**

- Revenue trends over time
- Occupancy forecasting
- Visitor segmentation deep-dive
- Regional competitiveness analysis

#### **\*\*Week 11:\*\* Views & Indexes**

- Create materialized views for dashboards
- Optimize slow queries with indexes
- Performance benchmarking (EXPLAIN)

#### **\*\*Week 12-13:\*\* Integration & Visualization**

- AI-assisted data visualization (recommendations)

- Economic narrative development
- GitHub repository finalization
- 150-word AI reflection paragraph

#### **\*\*Week 14:\*\* Final Demo**

- Live database demonstration
- Economic policy recommendations
- Q&A with instructor

---

### **## 6 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES**

#### **### Stage 3 Requirements**

- Functional Integration:** Working prototype with KPI calculations
- Visualization:** AI-assisted charts showing seasonal trends
- Economic Interpretation:** Policy implications document
- Complete Repository:** All code, data, docs on GitHub
- AI Reflection:** 150-word learning summary

#### **### Evaluation Criteria (45% of project grade)**

- Functionality: Does it calculate correct KPIs?
- Insight: Clear economic interpretation?
- Presentation: Professional slides and demo?
- Documentation: Complete GitHub repository?

---

## ## TEAM CONTRIBUTIONS

### ### Individual Roles & Responsibilities

#### **\*\*Mert Akın - Project Lead:\*\***

- Schema design and normalization
- GitHub repository management
- Team coordination and deadlines

#### **\*\*[Member 2] - Data Analyst:\*\***

- SQL query development and optimization
- Sample data generation (Python scripts)
- Performance testing

#### **\*\*[Member 3] - Economic Analyst:\*\***

- Business logic and KPI definitions
- Economic insights and interpretation
- Industry research

#### **\*\*[Member 4] - Documentation Lead:\*\***

- AI interaction logging
- Meeting notes and progress reports
- Presentation slide creation

**\*\*Team Collaboration:\*\*** All members participated in design discussions, code reviews, and deliverable preparation

---

## ## PROGRESS SUMMARY

### ### Stage 1 (Week 3-4): Completed

- Project definition document
- Initial ER diagram
- GitHub repository setup

### ### Stage 2 (Week 5-9): Completed

- Normalized schema (3NF)
- SQL implementation with CRUD operations
- 3 business analysis queries
- Sample data (85+ records)
- This presentation

### ### Stage 3 (Week 10-14): In Progress

- Advanced queries
- Views and indexes
- Final integration
- Economic recommendations

---

## ## KEY TAKEAWAYS

### ### Technical Learnings

1. **Normalization is a judgment call:** Theory provides guidelines, business needs determine exceptions
2. **Query performance matters:** Denormalization justified when performance critical
3. **Data quality > quantity:** 100 realistic records beat 10,000 unrealistic ones

### ### AI Learnings

4. **AI accelerates, doesn't replace:** 50% time savings, but human oversight essential
5. **Domain expertise crucial:** Economics + tourism knowledge caught AI errors
6. **Documentation valuable:** Logging AI interactions revealed patterns

### ### Business Learnings

7. **Seasonality dominates:** Turkish tourism highly seasonal → revenue volatility
8. **International premium:** Foreign tourists drive disproportionate revenue
9. **Data-driven decisions:** Quantitative analysis supports policy recommendations

---

## ## ? Q&A

### \*\*Questions?\*\*

### \*\*Contact:\*\*

- GitHub: [Repository Link]
- Slack: ECON485-Team3-Tourism
- Email: [team lead email]

### \*\*Thank you!\*\*

---

## **## APPENDIX: Sample Query Output**

### **### Query 1 Results**

```

| RegionName | TotalVisitors | TotalRevenue | AvgSpendingPerVisitor |
|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Istanbul   | 3             | 1515.00      | 505.00                |
| Cappadocia | 1             | 255.00       | 255.00                |
| Antalya    | 2             | 755.00       | 377.50                |

```

### **### Query 2 Results**

```

| HotelName             | Season | TotalBookings | Revenue |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| Antalya Beach Resort  | Peak   | 2             | 6290.00 |
| Grand Istanbul Palace | Peak   | 1             | 1750.00 |

```

### **### Query 3 Results**

```

| Country        | VisitorType   | SpendingPerVisitor |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------|
| United Kingdom | International | 3390.00            |
| Germany        | International | 2240.00            |

Turkey | Domestic | 892.00

~ ~ ~

---

**\*\*END OF PRESENTATION\*\***

\*Stage 2: Design & Prototype - Completed Successfully\*

\*Next Milestone: Week 10 - Advanced Queries\*