

## Epidemiology

1

Epidemiology is defined as the study of frequency, distribution, and determinants of disease, injury, state or event and other health related conditions in specified human population and the application of this study to the control of health problems and challenges.

1b The main objectives of epidemiology are:

### 1 Understanding Disease Patterns

1. **\*Distribution\***: Studying the frequency and pattern of diseases in populations.
2. **\*Determinants\***: Identifying factors that contribute to disease occurrence.

### 2 Prevention and Control

1. **\*Identifying risk factors\***: To develop targeted interventions.
2. **\*Developing public health strategies\***: To prevent and control diseases.

### Informing Public Health Policy

1. **\*Evidence-based decision-making\***: Providing data-driven insights.
2. **\*Evaluating interventions\***: Assessing effectiveness.

## Question 2

**Descriptive Epidemiology**: this is the first phase of epidemiological studies in which the distribution of disease is described in terms of the three major variables; PEOPLE, PLACE AND TIME. The various characters needed to qualify the questions: who is affected?, in what place?, and at what time?, the answer to these questions together with the knowledge of the clinical and pathological features of the disease and information about the population and its environment, assist in developing hypotheses about the determinants of the disease. Example: exposure to aetiological factors, physiological traits and life style.

**ANALYTICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY**: Are the second major type of epidemiological studies. In contrast to descriptive studies that focus on the entire populations, in analytical studies, the subjects of interest are the individuals within the population. The object is not to formulate, but to test HYPOTHESES. The inference is not to individuals, but to the population from which they are selected. Example; Investigating the link between smoking and lung cancer

## Question 3

The Epidemiology Triangle, also known as the Epidemiologic Triad, consists of three components:

### 1. Agent

- The cause of the disease (e.g., bacteria, virus, parasite)

### 2. Host

- The human or animal that harbors the disease

### 3. Environment

- The external factors that facilitate disease transmission (e.g., water, air, vectors like mosquitoes)

### Interaction

When these components interact, disease transmission occurs. For example:

**\*Agent\*:** Influenza virus

**\*Host\*:** Human

**\*Environment\*:** Crowded public place with poor ventilation

When an infected person (host) with the influenza virus (agent) coughs in a crowded area (environment), they can spread the virus to others.

### Question 4

In epidemiology, determinants are factors that influence the occurrence, distribution, or severity of health outcomes or diseases in a population. They are the underlying causes or contributors to health conditions, often categorized into biological, environmental, social, behavioral, or health system-related factors. Determinants help explain why certain groups are at higher risk for specific diseases and guide interventions to prevent or control them. Examples of Determinants

**Biological Determinant:** Genetic Predisposition: Certain genetic mutations, such as BRCA1 or BRCA2, increase the risk of breast or ovarian cancer. These inherited traits influence an individual's susceptibility to specific diseases.

**Immune Status:** An individual's immune system strength, such as compromised immunity in HIV/AIDS patients, makes them more vulnerable to infections like tuberculosis.

**Environmental Determinant:** Air Pollution: Exposure to high levels of particulate matter or pollutants in the air can increase the risk of respiratory diseases like asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

**Water Contamination:** Access to contaminated drinking water, such as water containing pathogens like *Vibrio cholerae*, can lead to outbreaks of diseases like cholera.

### Question 5

In public health, the three levels of prevention are strategies designed to reduce the incidence, impact, or progression of diseases and promote overall health. They are primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention, each targeting different stages of disease development. Primary Prevention: Definition: Aims to prevent the onset of disease or injury before it occurs by reducing risk factors or enhancing protective factors.

Real-Life Example: Vaccination programs, such as the measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine, protect individuals from contracting these infectious diseases by building immunity before exposure.

Secondary Prevention: Definition: Focuses on early detection and intervention to control or limit the progression of a disease or condition in its early stages.

Real-Life Example: Mammography screening for breast cancer allows for early detection of tumors, enabling timely treatment to prevent the cancer from advancing to more severe stages.

Tertiary Prevention: Definition: Aims to reduce complications, disability, or recurrence of an established disease and improve quality of life through treatment and rehabilitation.

Real-Life Example: Cardiac rehabilitation programs for heart attack survivors involve exercise, diet, and lifestyle changes to manage heart disease, prevent further events, and improve overall health.

## Question 6

John Snow, a British physician, is widely regarded as a foundational figure in modern epidemiology due to his pioneering work during the 1854 cholera outbreak in London's Soho district. His contributions transformed how we understand and investigate disease spread, laying the groundwork for evidence-based public health practices. Contributions to Modern Epidemiology Establishing Disease Mapping: Snow introduced the use of geographic mapping to track disease spread, a method now central to epidemiology. By plotting cholera cases on a map, he visually demonstrated the connection between disease incidence and specific environmental factors, particularly water sources.

Challenging Miasma Theory: At the time, the prevailing miasma theory attributed cholera to "bad air." Snow's work provided compelling evidence that cholera was waterborne, shifting scientific thought toward germ theory and environmental causes of disease.

Use of Observational Data and Hypothesis Testing: Snow combined data collection, statistical analysis, and logical reasoning to test hypotheses about disease transmission. His approach was a precursor to modern epidemiological methods that rely on data-driven investigations.

Public Health Intervention: By identifying the source of the outbreak and advocating for the removal of the Broad Street pump handle, Snow demonstrated how targeted interventions could halt disease spread, a principle that remains core to epidemiology.

**Method Used During the 1854 Cholera Outbreak** Snow's investigation of the 1854 cholera outbreak in Soho is a landmark case study in epidemiology. His method involved the following steps: **Observation and Data Collection:** Snow noted the rapid spread of cholera in Soho, with over 500 deaths in a short period. He systematically interviewed residents and families of victims to gather data on their activities, water sources, and onset of symptoms.

He collected information on the locations of cholera cases, focusing on where victims lived and worked.

**Geographic Mapping:** Snow created a detailed map of the Soho area, marking each cholera death with a dot. This visual representation revealed a clustering of cases around the Broad Street pump (now Broadwick Street).

His map highlighted the spatial relationship between cholera cases and the pump, suggesting it as a potential source of infection.

**Hypothesis Formulation:** Snow hypothesized that cholera was transmitted through contaminated water, not miasma. He suspected the Broad Street pump was the outbreak's source based on the concentration of cases nearby.

**Testing the Hypothesis:** He investigated alternative water sources, noting that some groups, like brewery workers who drank beer instead of water, had lower cholera rates. Similarly, residents using other pumps had fewer cases.

Snow examined the pump's water supply and found it was contaminated, likely from a nearby cesspool or sewer.

**Intervention:** Snow presented his findings to local authorities, convincing them to remove the handle of the Broad Street pump on September 8, 1854. This action halted public access to the contaminated water, and the outbreak subsequently declined.

While the epidemic was already waning, the intervention validated his hypothesis and demonstrated the effectiveness of targeting disease sources.

**Further Analysis:** To strengthen his case, Snow conducted a broader study comparing cholera rates in areas served by different water companies. He found higher cholera incidence in regions supplied by the Southwark and Vauxhall Company, which drew water from a polluted section of the Thames, compared to the Lambeth Company, which used a cleaner source. This comparative analysis further supported his waterborne transmission theory.

## Question 7

Here's a clear comparison and why both concepts matter for a disease like diabetes.

What they mean

## - Incidence

- What it measures: The number of new cases that develop in a defined population over a specified time period.

- Key idea: risk of developing the disease.

- Common forms: cumulative incidence (proportion of initially disease-free people who develop the disease over a time period) and incidence rate (new cases per person-time, e.g., per 1,000 person-years).

- Typical unit: a proportion or rate (e.g., 5 new cases per 1,000 people per year).

## - Prevalence

- What it measures: The total number of existing cases (both new and pre-existing) in a defined population at a specific point in time (point prevalence) or over a period (period prevalence).

- Key idea: burden of the disease on the population.

- Common form: point prevalence (percentage of people with the disease at a particular moment) or period prevalence (during a specified interval).

- Typical unit: a proportion (e.g., 7% of the population has diabetes on January 1).

## How they differ

### - Time focus

- Incidence = new cases over time (risk/occurrence of developing the disease).

- Prevalence = existing cases at a point or over a period (current burden).

### - Population considered

- Incidence uses the disease-free population at risk in the denominator.

- Prevalence uses the total population (including those with the disease) in the denominator.

### - What they reflect

- Incidence reflects etiology, risk factors, and preventive impact.

- Prevalence reflects the overall burden, including duration of disease, survival, and treatment effectiveness.

### - Units and interpretation

- Incidence is a rate or risk (person-time may be used).

- Prevalence is a proportion or percentage.

How they relate (a useful intuition)

- In a stable population with a chronic disease (little remission, long duration, not very high mortality), prevalence is roughly the product of incidence and average duration:  $P \approx I \times D$ .
- In reality, mortality, migration, and changes in duration or detection can move both measures in different directions, so they don't move in lockstep.

Why both matter for diabetes

- Diabetes is generally a chronic condition with long duration.
- Incidence (new cases)
  - Helps identify changing risk factors (e.g., obesity, aging, physical inactivity, genetics) and the effectiveness of primary prevention strategies (e.g., lifestyle interventions, early treatment of prediabetes).
  - Useful for tracking how well prevention efforts are working over time.
- Prevalence (existing cases)
  - Reflects the overall burden on health systems, including the need for long-term care, medications, monitoring, and complications management.
  - Important for planning resources, such as healthcare staffing, clinic capacity, and supply chains for insulin, glucose meters, and education programs.
- Why you need both in diabetes
  - A rising incidence signals more people at risk becoming diabetic; this can prompt stronger prevention efforts.
  - A rising prevalence can occur even if incidence is stable or rising slowly if people with diabetes live longer and receive better management. This has major implications for patient services and budgeting.
  - Diagnostic criteria, screening practices, and access to care can differentially affect incidence and prevalence. For example, broader diagnostic criteria or more active screening can increase observed incidence, while improvements in treatment and survival can increase prevalence without a change in incidence.
  - Together, they give a fuller picture: incidence tells you about risk and prevention needs; prevalence tells you about current disease burden and system demands.

A simple example

- Suppose in a population of 100,000 disease-free people:
  - 1,000 people develop diabetes in a year (incidence =  $1,000 / 100,000 = 1\%$ ).
  - At the end of the year, there are 6,000 people living with diabetes (prevalence =  $6,000 / 100,000 = 6\%$ ).
  - If mortality among people with diabetes is relatively low and people live with the disease for many years, prevalence can accumulate even if incidence doesn't rise much.

## Question 8

### Common Epidemiological Study Designs

1. **\*Cohort studies\***: Follow groups over time to examine exposure-disease relationships.
2. **\*Case-control studies\***: Compare individuals with a disease (cases) to those without (controls) to identify potential risk factors.
3. **\*Cross-sectional studies\***: Examine exposure-disease relationships at a single point in time.
4. **\*Ecological studies\***: Analyze relationships between exposure and disease at the population level.

### Cohort Study and Case-Control Study

#### Cohort Study

1. **\*Prospective or retrospective\***: Follows groups over time.
2. **\*Exposure precedes outcome\***: Allows for temporal relationship assessment.
3. **\*Incidence rates\***: Can be calculated.

#### Case-Control Study

1. **\*Retrospective\***: Looks back at exposures.
2. **\*Outcome precedes exposure assessment\***: May be subject to bias.
3. **\*Odds ratios\***: Typically calculated.

### Key Differences

1. **\*Directionality\***: Cohort studies follow forward in time, while case-control studies look backward.
2. **\*Temporal relationship\***: Cohort studies better establish causality.

3. \*Bias\*: Cohort studies less prone to certain biases.

Both study designs have strengths and limitations, and the choice depends on research questions, resources, and feasibility.

#### Question 9

Definitions and Differentiation: Relative Risk (RR) vs. Odds Ratio (OR) Relative Risk (RR) and Odds Ratio (OR) are measures used in epidemiology to quantify the association between an exposure and an outcome, but they differ in calculation, interpretation, and application. Relative Risk (RR) Definition: RR is the ratio of the probability (incidence) of an outcome in the exposed group to the probability in the unexposed group.

Where: (a): Cases with exposure and outcome.

(b): Exposed individuals without outcome.

(c): Unexposed individuals with outcome.

(d): Unexposed individuals without outcome.

Interpretation:  $RR = 1$ : No association between exposure and outcome.

$RR > 1$ : Exposure increases risk of outcome (e.g.,  $RR = 2$  means exposed are twice as likely to develop the outcome).

$RR < 1$ : Exposure reduces risk of outcome.

Example: In a cohort study, 20% of smokers develop diabetes (incidence = 0.2) vs. 10% of non-smokers (incidence = 0.1).  $RR = 0.2 / 0.1 = 2$ , meaning smokers have twice the risk.

When Used: Primarily in cohort studies where incidence can be directly measured.

Suitable for prospective designs tracking new cases over time.

Used when outcomes are common (e.g., diabetes in high-risk populations).

Directly interprets risk, making it intuitive for assessing disease likelihood.

Odds Ratio (OR) Definition: OR is the ratio of the odds of an outcome in the exposed group to the odds in the unexposed group. Odds are the ratio of the probability of an event occurring to it not occurring.

Interpretation:  $OR = 1$ : No association.

$OR > 1$ : Exposure associated with higher odds of outcome.

$OR < 1$ : Exposure associated with lower odds.



Example: In a case-control study, 50 diabetic cases had 40 with high sugar intake (odds =  $40/10 = 4$ ) vs. 50 controls with 20 high sugar intake (odds =  $20/30 = 0.67$ ).  $OR = 4 / 0.67 \approx 6$ , suggesting high sugar intake increases odds of diabetes.

When Used: Primarily in case-control studies where incidence cannot be directly calculated.

Ideal for rare diseases or retrospective designs.

Used when direct risk measurement is impractical (e.g., studying rare diabetes complications).

Approximates RR for rare outcomes but overestimates risk for common ones.

## Question 10

Epidemiological surveillance plays a crucial role in managing public health by:

### Monitoring Disease Trends

1. **\*Tracking disease incidence and prevalence\***: Identifying patterns and anomalies.
2. **\*Detecting outbreaks early\***: Enabling rapid response and control.

### Informing Public Health Action

1. **\*Providing data-driven insights\***: Guiding policy decisions and interventions.
2. **\*Evaluating intervention effectiveness\***: Assessing impact and adjusting strategies.

### Key Benefits

1. **\*Early detection\***: Rapid identification of emerging threats.
2. **\*Targeted interventions\***: Focusing resources on high-risk areas and populations.
3. **\*Improved preparedness\***: Enhancing response capabilities.

### During an Emerging Epidemic

1. **\*Real-time data collection\***: Informing response efforts.
2. **\*Identifying transmission patterns\***: Understanding how the disease spreads.
3. **\*Monitoring response effectiveness\***: Adjusting strategies as needed.

Epidemiological surveillance is essential for effective public health management, enabling swift and targeted responses to emerging threats.

