## The Conversation: Is climate change to blame for extreme weather events?

The Wyoming Tribune-Eagle (Cheyenne)
September 12, 2021 Sunday

Copyright 2021 Adams Publishing Group All Rights Reserved

Section: Pg. 10

Length: 796 words

Byline: By Xubin Zeng, University of Arizona

## **Body**

Extreme rainfall and flooding left paths of destruction through communities around the world this summer. The latest was in Tennessee, where preliminary data shows a record-shattering 17 inches of rain fell in 24 hours, turning creeks into rivers that flooded hundreds of homes and killed at least 18 people.

A lot of people are asking: Was it *climate change*? Answering that question isn't so simple.

There has always been extreme weather, but human-caused global warming can increase extreme weather's frequency and severity. For example, research shows that human activities such as burning fossil fuels are unequivocally warming the planet, and we know from basic physics that warm air can hold more moisture.

A decade ago, scientists weren't able to confidently connect any individual weather event to <u>climate change</u>, even though the broader <u>climate change</u> trends were clear. Today, attribution studies can show whether extreme events were affected by <u>climate change</u> and whether they can be explained by natural variability alone. With rapid advances from research and increasing computing power, extreme event attribution has become a burgeoning new branch of **climate** science.

The latest attribution study, released Aug. 23, looked at the rainfall from the European storm that killed more than 220 people when floods swept through Germany, Belgium, Luxembourg and the Netherlands in July.

A team of *climate* scientists with the group World Weather Attribution analyzed the record-breaking storm, dubbed Bernd, focusing on two of the most severely affected areas. Their analysis found that human-induced *climate change* made a storm of that severity between 1.2 and 9 times more likely than it would have been in a world 1.2 degrees Celsius (2.1 F) cooler. The planet has warmed just over 1 C since the industrial era began.

Similar studies haven't yet been conducted on the Tennessee storm, but they likely will be.

So, how do scientists figure this out? As an atmospheric scientist, I have been involved in attribution studies. Here's how the process works:

#### How do attribution studies work?

Attribution studies usually involve four steps.

The first step is to define the event's magnitude and frequency based on observational data. For example, the July rainfall in Germany and Belgium broke records by large margins. The scientists determined that in today's *climate*, a storm like that would occur on average every 400 years in the wider region.

The Conversation: Is climate change to blame for extreme weather events?

The second step is to use computers to run <u>climate</u> models and compare those models' results with observational data. To have confidence in a <u>climate</u> model's results, the model needs to be able to realistically simulate such extreme events in the past and accurately represent the physical factors that help these events occur.

The third step is to define the baseline environment without <u>climate change</u> - essentially create a virtual world of Earth as it would be if no human activities had warmed the planet. Then run the same <u>climate</u> models again.

The differences between the second and third steps represent the impact of human-caused <u>climate change</u>. The last step is to quantify these differences in the magnitude and frequency of the extreme event, using statistical methods.

For instance, we analyzed how Hurricane Harvey in August 2017 and a unique weather pattern interacted with each other to produce the record-breaking rainstorm in Texas. Two attribution studies found that human-caused *climate change* increased the probability of such an event by roughly a factor of three, and increased Harvey's rainfall by 15%.

Another study determined that the western North American extreme heat in late June would have been virtually impossible without human-caused *climate change*.

#### How good are attribution studies?

The accuracy of attribution studies is affected by uncertainties associated with each of the above four steps.

Some types of events lend themselves to attribution studies better than others. For instance, among long-term measurements, temperature data is most reliable. We understand how human-caused <u>climate change</u> affects heat waves better than other extreme events. <u>Climate</u> models are also usually skillful in simulating heat waves.

Even for heat waves, the impact of human-caused <u>climate change</u> on the magnitude and frequency could be quite different, such as the case of the extraordinary heat wave across western Russia in 2010. <u>Climate change</u> was found to have had minimal impact on the magnitude, but substantial impact on the frequency.

There can also be legitimate differences in the methods underpinning different attribution studies.

However, people can make decisions for the future without knowing everything with certainty. Even when planning a backyard barbecue, one does not have to have all the weather information.

# **Graphic**

This Aug. 25 infrared color satellite image provided by Maxar Technologies shows an overview of the Caldor Fire near South Lake Tahoe, Calif. *Climate change* has made the region warmer and drier in the past 30 years and will continue to make the weather more extreme and wildfires more destructive, according to scientists. Satellite image ©2021 Maxar Technologies via AP

### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: SEVERE WEATHER (94%); CLIMATOLOGY (92%); WEATHER (91%); CLIMATE CHANGE (90%);

The Conversation: Is climate change to blame for extreme weather events?

EARTH & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE (90%); FLOODS & FLOODING (90%); GLOBAL WARMING (90%); RESEARCH REPORTS (90%); MODELING & SIMULATION (89%); SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY (89%); METEOROLOGY (78%); RIVERS (78%); ACCIDENTAL FATALITIES (72%)

**Industry:** GLOBAL WARMING (90%); MODELING & SIMULATION (89%); ENERGY & UTILITIES (77%); PUBLISHING (73%); VIRTUAL REALITY (60%)

Geographic: TENNESSEE, USA (90%); WYOMING, USA (90%); GERMANY (92%); BELGIUM (90%); BENELUX (79%); LUXEMBOURG (79%); NETHERLANDS (55%)

Load-Date: October 15, 2021

**End of Document**