

BIOS 880: Bayesian Statistics  
 Byron J. Gajewski  
 Fall 2018  
 University of Kansas Medical Center

### Take-Home Test 1

Instructions: Please complete the following four (4) exam questions. When you finish, write your results with a narrative and provide an attachment of your code and output in an appendix (you may use R to write code, where appropriate). **Do not communicate with anyone about this exam – you must work on it on your own.** The exam is *due* by Tuesday, October 9, 2018, 3:00 PM. You may communicate with me only to clarify the question(s). Good luck!

### Questions

1. Suppose one observes mutually independent random variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ , that are respectively random samples from Poisson distribution  $x|\theta \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$  and  $y|\delta \sim \text{Poisson}(\delta)$ . The parameters  $\theta$  and  $\delta$  are unknown and have prior densities.  $\theta|a,b \sim \text{Gamma}(a,b)$  and  $\delta|c,d \sim \text{Gamma}(c,d)$ .
  - (i) Given observations  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ . Find the posterior density of  $\theta$  and  $\delta$ .
  - (ii) What is the posterior mode for each in part (i)?
  - (iii) Determine the derivative and second derivatives of the log posterior densities in part (i).
  - (iv) Construct the normal approximation based on the second derivative of the log posterior at that mode for both  $\theta$  and  $\delta$ .

2. For 347 mothers in the KUDOS clinical trial, 169 of them were in non-supplement group and 178 in supplement group. Consider the number of serious adverse events reported for each mom (infant or mom related) and stored as  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , for non-supplement group and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ , for the supplement group (see data below). Consider modeling these data (data are below) using your answers in question 1.
- Using the posterior densities in question 1 (i), find the posterior probability that  $\theta$  is less than  $\delta$  (you may use simulation).
  - Using the normal approximation in question 1 (iv), estimate the posterior probability that  $\theta$  is less than  $\delta$ .
  - Are your answers close in (i) and (ii)? Why or why not?

**Data for problem 2.**

$x=c(0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,2,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,3,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,2,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,3,0,1,2,0,0,3,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,5)$

$y=c(0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,2,4,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,2,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,2,0,1,0,0,0,1,1,0)$

3. Suppose I have 12 months of accrual data (number of patients enrolled per month). Suppose that there was a study participant marketing recruiting campaign at month four. Also, assume Poisson models before and after a suspected change point. We suspect the change point sometime after four months and it occurs at theta (unknown parameter). The likelihood is

$$f\left(\frac{y}{\%} \middle| \frac{\theta}{\%}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{3+\theta} \text{Poisson}(y_i | \lambda_1) \prod_{i=4+\theta}^{12} \text{Poisson}(y_i | \lambda_2). \text{ Assume the priors are:}$$

$\pi(\lambda_1) \sim \text{Gamma}(.001, .001)$ ,  $\pi(\lambda_2) \sim \text{Gamma}(.001, .001)$ , and  $\pi(\theta) = 1/8$ , where  $\theta = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$ . Use successive substitution sampling (Gibbs) to simulate the posterior distribution of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\theta$  and report their density plots.

We observe the data as

$y = c(7, 7, 15, 11, 7, 9, 16, 15, 15, 16, 22, 18)$ .

4. Suppose  $g(\theta) = \frac{\exp(2\theta)}{(1 + \exp(2\theta))^2}$  where  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . Use two techniques (rejection, weighted bootstrap, or Metropolis) to sample from the distribution  $\pi(\theta) = g(\theta)/c$ . In both cases draw a graph of this posterior distribution.