Differences between open source and free software

Akira Taguchi
Department of Computer Science
University of Helsinki
Finland
akirahattivatti@gmail.com ORCID: 0009-0007-0343-4540

Abstract—Open-source software development is praised by an increasing amount of big technology companies. The outcome of open-source development is however problematic to the developers themselves because open source allows making closed-source versions of open source. Inevitably the flow of money goes from the end users straight to the closed-source version maintainers, skipping the open-source contributors completely. Free software attempts to solve these problems. This paper examines the differences between open source and free software definitions and their usage. The results show some examples of the differences. The paper then attempts to go through some of the phenoma behind the unclear differences. Both open source and free software share some of the same development methods. Free software however doesn't share all of the same sustainability issues open source does.

Index Terms—open-source software, open source, free software, software freedom, libre software, proprietary software, closed source software, copyleft

I. Introduction

Open-source software development has seen an increasing interest in the recent years [1]. Open source gives all kinds of different benefits ranging from enhanced security to extra labor force from outside the company. The benefits also include faster feedback loop between end-users and the developers, increase in agility and decrease in costs because every developer are able to make bug fixes, feature requests and many other types of contributions regardless of their employment relation to the company. Enhanced security is usually justified by Linus' law, formulated by Eric Raymond: "given enough eyeballs, all bugs are shallow" [2]. Open-source also enables companies to maintain a closed-source, commercialized version of that same open-source software and sell it with some extra features to the customers, allowing the company to profit even more from the open-source approach. The list of benefits is long and growing on-par with the technology advancements. It is no wonder the interest in open-source software development has grown with the recent years.

It's most known rival, closed-source software, comes with it's own benefits like increased revenue because a competing company cannot create a competitive copy with the same source code. Closed-source, or proprietary software is argued, against Linus' law, to have a higher rate of bug uncoverage due to an in-depth review by fewer people as opposed to in open-source approach [3]. This is often referred to as a higher level of security in proprietary software.

Free software is the least known rival to both open source and proprietary software. It was first defined by the Free Software Foundation, or the FSF in 1986: "The word "free" in our name does not refer to price; it refers to freedom. First, the freedom to copy a program and redistribute it to your neighbors, so that they can use it as well as you. Second, the freedom to change a program, so that you can control it instead of it controlling you; for this, the source code must be made available to you." [4]. The difference between proprietary software and free software might be more obvious than the difference between open source and free software. According to the second freedom in the definition of free software "the source code must be made available to you" open source doesn't always go down this path. Because open-source software can be made closed-source for commercial or some other purposes, the FSF does not see open source as a form of free software [5].

Free software has seen declined interest in the last four decades [6]. Free software prohibits closed-source derivatives from the free software's source code. Because most companies profit from their commercial, closed-source versions, companies like Microsoft have taken actions to demonize free software as a whole throughout the years [7]. Free Software Foundation's head figure, Richard Stallman is also being demonized with hate speech [8]. Aside from systematic demonization of free software it has also been criticized as failing on a practical, ideological, economical and political level [9].

The objective of this paper is to find out how the differences between open source and free software are perceived in the academic papers that use these terms. This consists of academic papers in academic journals like Scopus, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library and Web of Science. The objective of the paper also includes the possible reasoning behind the perception of the two aforementioned approaches to software development. Legal-heavy parts of the open source and free software definitions are outside the scope of this paper.

Next the paper describes the methods used to find out the perceptions in the difference of open source and free software in the academic field. After describing the methods we take a look at the results. Finally the paper discusses the phenomena around the research scope. This

includes nexts steps in concrete action, the new term "openwashing" and further research.

II. Methods

The papers are gathered from Scopus, ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore,SpringerLink, ACM Digital Library and Web of Science. The papers are picked based on the two factors: numbers cited and keyword accuracy. The first one is self explanatory but the latter needs explanation. The keyword accuracy is higher if the title or other contents of the paper contain the words "free", "libre" or "FOSS" for example. From the author's previous experience the keyword "open source" appears always with the a paper discussing free software. The paper will present discoveries of other synonyms for free software.

TABLE I
Electronic sources searched.

Eletronic sources	Number of hits per search	Number of selected result per search
Scopus	2048	2048
ScienceDirect	2048	2048
IEEE Xplore	1024	128
SpringerLink	1024	64
ACM Digital Library	2048	16
Web of Science	256	32

Open Source Initiative has also their own official definition of open source [10]. Free Software Foundation on the other hand has their official definition of free software [11].

III. Results

Academics do not know the difference. Why? Demonization of free software Openwashing GPL is not enough (sfc2023 gpl-rhel-analysis, ferguson 2006 gpl debug) So what: better license, copyleft companies, increase active discussion, cc by

IV. Discussion

Further research

V. Conclusions

References

[1] S. Raghunathan, A. Prasad, B. Mishra, and H. Chang, "Open source versus closed source: software quality in monopoly and competitive markets," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics - Part A: Systems and Humans, vol. 35, no. 6, 2005.

[2] E. Raymond, "The cathedral and the bazaar," Knowledge, Technology & Policy, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 23–49, 1999.

[3] D. LeBlanc and M. Howard, Writing secure code. Pearson Education, 2002.

[4] Free Software Foundation, "GNU's bulletin," https://www.gnu.org/bulletins/bull1.txt, 1986, [Online; accessed 24-October-2023].

[5] R. Stallman, Free software, free society: Selected essays of Richard M. Stallman. lulu.com, 2002.

[6] Wikipedia contributors, "History of free and open-source software," https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=History_of_free_and_open-source_software&oldid=1162363354, 2023, [Online; accessed 16-October-2023].

[7] C. Mundie, "Speech transcript - craig mundie, the new york university stern school of business," https://web.archive.org/web/20231018013917/https://news.microsoft.com/speeches/speech-transcript-craig-mundie-the-new-york-university-stern-school-of-bus 2001.

[8] "Debunking false accusations against richard stallman," https://web.archive.org/web/20230624091156/https://stallmansupport.org/debunking-false-accusations-against-richard-stallman.html, 2023.

[9] "A critique of free software," https://web.archive.org/web/20230325192431/https://www.paritybit.ca/a-critique-of-free-software, 2021.

[10] "The open source definition," url-https://web.archive.org/web/20231114155403/https://opensource.org/osd/, 2023.

[11] "The open source definition," url-https://web.archive.org/web/20231115235504/https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html/, 2023.