

Master's thesis Master's Programme in Computer Science

Public licenses in Software Engineering: A Multivocal Literature Review

Akira Taguchi

June 17, 2025

FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Contact information

P. O. Box 68 (Pietari Kalmin katu 5) 00014 University of Helsinki,Finland

Email address: info@cs.helsinki.fi URL: http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO – HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET – UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Tiedekunta — Fakultet — Faculty		Koulutusohjelma — Utbildningsprogram — Study programme					
Faculty of Science		Master's Programme in Computer Science					
Tekijä — Författare — Author							
Akira Taguchi	Akira Taguchi						
Työn nimi — Arbetets titel — Title	Työn nimi — Arbetets titel — Title						
Public licenses in Software Engineering: A Multivocal Literature Review							
Ohjaajat — Handledare — Supervisors							
Prof. Tomi Männistö							
Työn laji — Arbetets art — Level	Aika — Datum — Mo	onth and year	Sivumäärä — Sidoantal — Number of pages				
Master's thesis	June 17, 2025		28 pages, 18 appendix pages				

Tiivistelmä — Referat — Abstract

Context: Public licenses are central to the distribution of works in software engineering. For example in open source there must be an appropriate public software license attached to the source code in order for open-source software to be freely available for possible modification and redistribution. Understanding public licenses can be difficult. This could stem from the legal nature of the license texts and the large number of already-existing public licenses. As a result some actions made within the boundaries of the public licenses may come as a surprise to the public.

Objective: The primary goal of this research is to conduct a multivocal literature review of the current state of public licenses in software engineering, the evaluation of the them and the evidence level of the research. The research aims to provide a novel perspective on relevant licenses and to extract key findings through a rigorous literature review process. This study has two main viewpoints: to provide rigorous research on public licenses to the academic field and to provide insights to the professional field of software engineering on public licenses. The grand goal of this thesis is to raise awareness of the importance of public licenses so that more licensers would make the correct choices based on their situations and needs in a mindful way.

Method: The search strategy examined 656 sources, found through websites that list public licenses and ad-hoc searches. Applying inclusion and exclusion criteria resulted in the selection of 656 sources, which made relevant contributions related to public licenses in software engineering.

Results:

Conclusions:

ACM Computing Classification System (CCS)

Social and professional topics \to Computing / technology policy \to Intellectual property \to Licensing

Avainsanat — Nyckelord — Keywords

open source, free / libre software, copyright, proprietary software, copyleft, license

Säilytyspaikka — Förvaringsställe — Where deposited

Helsinki University Library

Muita tietoja — övriga uppgifter — Additional information

Software study track

Acknowledgements

ScanCode LicenseDB data is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC-BY-4.0) by nexB Inc. and others.

much love to artemis, sami nurmivaara, prof männistö and prof mäntylä

thanks to def for borrowing gpt4. thanks to rashid and barunes for sending me software licensing related videos and news. thanks to iikka and joonas for giving a hint for using a license database. thanks to suvi for supporting my work with the thesis from the beginning and enduring my mental health issues.

thanks to stallman and perens for helping with the thesis and the sub projects related to that.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
	1.1	Research goal, questions and contributions	2
	1.2	Thesis structure	3
	1.3	Background and terminology of public licenses	3
2	Me	thods	8
	2.1	Research questions	10
	2.2	Search stragey	11
		2.2.1 Search method	12
		2.2.2 Search scope and terms	12
	2.3	Search process	14
	2.4	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	16
	2.5	Data collection and data analysis	17
3	Res	ults	19
	3.1	Placeholder question (RQ1)	19
	3.2	Placeholder question (RQ2)	19
	3.3	Placeholder question (RQ3)	19
	3.4	Placeholder question (RQ4)	19
4	Dis	cussion	21
	4.1	Implications for research	21
	4.2	Implications for software engineering professionals	21
	4.3	Limitations and threats to validity	21
		4.3.1 Limitations of literature selection for review	24
		4.3.2 Limitations in data extraction	25
5	Cor	nclusions	26
	5.1	Future research	26

Bibliography	27
A Primary literature reviewed, read in full and data extracted	i

1 Introduction

Public software licenses play a central role to the distribution of works in software engineering. For example in open source there must be an appropriate public software license attached to the source code in order for the piece of software to be freely available for possible modification and redistribution. Because open source is central to software engineering the licenses enabling open source must also be considered important in the same context.

Public license is defined by Wikipedia with the following words (Wikipedians, 2024a):

"A public license is a copyright license where the licensees are not limited. Examples include free content, open content, Creative Commons, free software and open source licences."

Understanding public software licenses can be difficult. This could stem from the legal nature of the license texts and the large number of already-existing public software licenses. The license texts usually favors correctedness over the readability for the developer. This is because the license text has to act as a valid legal instrument otherwise it cannot be endorsed (Ferguson, 2006). The lack of understanding of public software licenses leaves too much room for interpretation. In June 21, 2023 International Business Machines' (IBM) Red Hat seemingly violated the spirit of a popular public software license, the GNU General Public License version 2 (GPL-2.0) (Kuhn, 2023) (McGrath, 2023). This was an unpleasant surprise to the public. If the public software licenses would be more easily understood, the proprietarization of RHEL would have been less of a surprise to the users. To give some context on the violation of the spirit of the GPL-2.0, the project behind GNU General Public License (GPL), GNU Project initially attempted to ensure the users via the GPL have to the following three freedoms (GNU, 1996):

- Freedom 1: The freedom to study how the program works, and change it so it does your computing as you wish. Access to the source code is a precondition for this.
- Freedom 2: The freedom to redistribute copies so you can help others
- Freedom 3: The freedom to distribute copies of your modified versions to others. By doing this you can give the whole community a chance to benefit from your changes.

Access to the source code is a precondition for this.

On top of the legal details of public software licenses, software engineers in general have a tough time understanding the basic goals of public licenses used in software engineering. In the instance of the RHEL incident it would have been even lesser of a surprise to software engineers if they would have known about other public software licenses and what they try to achieve, or how old is GPLv2 and why it has been succeeded by GNU General Public License version 3 (GPL-3.0).

This thesis' goal is to contribute into the solving these problems in a structured manner. First we state definitions and terminology used in the scope of this thesis. We go over the reasons why there does not exist consistent terminology in this area and conversely why the definitions are the most stabile ones in this area. Second we take a deep dive into the public software licenses through a multivocal literature review. To make more information available, a mapping study connected to the terminology scope defined in the first step is needed. Third includes our own suggestions and basic knowledge for professionals and academics in the industry to enhance the understanding of public licenses in software engineering. This step also includes discussion of the future research and contributes to stablizing the terminology and reinforcing the already-existing definitions in the academic field.

1.1 Research goal, questions and contributions

The primary goal of this research is to conduct a multivocal literature review of the current state of public licenses in software engineering, the evaluation of the them and the evidence level of the research. The research aims to provide a novel perspective on relevant licenses and to extract key findings through a rigorous literature review process. The research questions of the review are:

- RQ1: How many public software licenses are there in the top five software license listing cites?
- RQ2: How much is there disagreement in the shortcode names between different public software licenses listing sites?
- RQ3: How many public licenses in software engineering does there exist?

Terms such as open source, source code, free software and other vocabulary must be defined in the scope of this thesis. Section 1.3 will examine this plethora of of terminology and definitions and will be used to establish a sound basis for discussing this broad subject.

This study has two main viewpoints. The first one is to provide rigorous multivocal research on public software licenses to the academic field. Because this thesis already does the multivocal work on public licenses in software engineering, the researches of the future can cite the results of this thesis without having to mark their study a multivocal one. This is the grand goal of this thesis. The second one is to provide insights and general metrics to the professional field of software engineering on public software licenses. Hopefully this makes conversation on public licenses in software engineering easier and more rooted to scientific research rather than gut feeling and old, non-scientific articles on the insights and metrics of public licenses in software engineering.

1.2 Thesis structure

This thesis follows the IMRaD structure. Chapter 1 introduces the problem, this thesis' possible contributions and some further background. Chapter 2 goes over the process and the methods of the multivocal literature review. This is where most of the actual research takes place in. Chapter 3 presents results to the research questions. Chapter 4 discusses implications for research. The chapter also discusses software engineering professionals in the thesis' context and the validity of the thesis' research. Chapter 5 concludes this thesis with the help of the research questions and the future of the research.

1.3 Background and terminology of public licenses

The current terminology is used inconsistently which leads to incorrectness in the field of software engineering. For example The Open Source Initiative (OSI) classifies GPL-3.0 under the term "open source" whereas the Free Software Foundation (FSF) classifies GPL-3.0 under the term "free software" (OSI, 2008)(Stallman, 2009). Some parts of the two definitions are mutually exclusive. This is rarely mentioned when people talk about Free and Open Source Software (FOSS) or Free / Libre and Open Source Software (FLOSS) which leads to misunderstanding that the two approaches are the same. This is why our focus will be public licenses in software engineering, and not for example, FLOSS licenses in software engineering. This also distinguishes our investigation from the broader topic

of copyright licenses or the copyright law. This also includes public software licenses that are not approved by the FSF nor OSI hence not falling under the group of FLOSS licenses. In this section we aim to increase the accessibility of our discussion by providing a concise overview of the background of the field of public software licenses and the terms we employ.

Another example of term inconsistency is the term "copyleft", which is defined by Mustonen, 2003 in the following way:

"Copyleft is a novel licensing scheme. It facilitates open and decentralized software development. Its key feature is that once a program is licensed by the inventor, the subsequent programs based on the original must also be licensed similarly."

Like with the definition of sustainability (Neumayer, 1999), copyleft also has the definitions of weak and strong within the term (Wikipedians, 2025). Weak copyleft licenses are often used to cover software libraries. This allows other software to link to the library and be redistributed without the requirement for the linking software to also be licensed under the same terms. Strong copyleft shares the same features Mustonen, 2003 presents regardless of the library nature of a piece of software. The general use of the term "copyleft" without the prefix also leads to inconsistency in the term usage.

To explain our emphasis on public licenses in software engineering, it is essential to examine the other possible areas of interest in public licenses. Our study classifies such efforts into eight domains as mentioned by the GNU Project (GNU, 2023). These domains include:

- public licenses in software engineering
- public licenses in documentation for example architecture documentation of a project that may or may not be software or even publicly licensed
- public licenses in artistic works for example digital art, music or videos
- public licenses in educational works
- public licenses in fonts
- public licenses in viewpoints
- public licenses in physical objects
- public licenses in other works

The primary aim of this study is to investigate public licenses in software engineering process. However, it is important to acknowledge that public licenses in software engineering is only one aspect of public licenses in general. These additional dimensions are crucial in adoption and implementation of public licenses in software engineering, but they are not the focus of this thesis.

For example, including artistic works such as music would require us to understand the basics of music theory and what sets apart distinct pieces of music from one another, something that could be outside the skillset of the author. While developing a comprehensive theory, framework, and tooling for public licenses as a whole is a gargantuan task beyond the scope of a single thesis, narrowing our focus to software engineering enables us to examine a more concise and complete aspect of the main topic of this thesis.

As significant point of clarification, it is essential to acknowledge that public licenses are generally meant to be used as valid legal instruments. The question whether or not a public license can act as a legal instrument is critical to the main function of these licenses. However, this thesis will not focus on the legal doctrine aspects either. The enforceability of public licenses has seen discussion in the academic field of law since the dawn of these licenses and since there's already an academic base for research it is likely the discussion seems to continue on with a healthy amount of activity (Duisburg, 2011).

Since the most recognized public licenses in software engineering are either open-source licenses or free software licenses and since both paradigms are driven by different organizations with different goals and values, it is understandable how non-standardized the terminology in the scope of public licenses in software engineering is. The example given in the first section of this sub-chapter illustrates the challenges involved in maintaining consistency in the use of terminology in this emerging field and further warrants a closer inspection of the terminology to emphasize our own standing in the field.

To provide an understanding of the terminology used in the first paragraph of this section, a Venn diagram is presented in Figure 1.1, which contextualizes the non-standardized terminology within the public software license scope as a whole. This perspective provides an increased understanding of where different subdomains fall in the larger picture of public software licenses. Furthermore it is essential to note that public licenses in software engineering encompasses different aspects that require a closer examination.

Let us explore further the differences and similarities between open source and free software at the software engineering level of public licenses. This is a crucial step since we can see from the approximation in Figure 1.1 that the majority of public software licenses are

Public software licenses



Figure 1.1: Public licenses in software engineering

either open source, free software or both. We glanced over the free software definition in the first section of Chapter 1. Open Source Initiative defines open-source licenses in the Open Source Definition briefly in the following way (OSI, 2024):

- "Open source licenses are licenses that comply with the Open Source Definition
- in brief, they allow software to be freely used, modified, and shared."

Like the FSF with free software, OSI has the final word on what passes as open source and what does not. For example a new public software license will not classify as free software nor open source until the corresponding organization has acknowledged the public software license as either free software, open source or neither. If a public software license is accepted by both FSF and OSI it will fall under the term FLOSS. If a public software license gets accepted by neither of the organization or it gets rejected by both organizations it will fall under "Other public software licenses" in the Figure 1.1. In general the strong copyleft free software license requirements are considered more strict than the open source license requirements. For the sake of perspective we could simplify the differences like so: free software requires the redistributions of the licensed software to be open as well but open source licenses do not usually require this. The terms free software and open source

are in general often misunderstood or just thought of as FLOSS collectively because the terms have a hard time conveying their paradigms in the natural language. One would not think free software does not mean software free of charge nor would one think that open source allows closed source redistributions of the licensed software. We will glance over the impacts on the industry of these two terms in Chapter 4.

With the context laid out in this chapter let us define public licenses in software engineering for the purpose of this study: Public software licenses are copyright licenses where the licensees are not limited and the copyright license in question is meant be used in licensing software source code. This helps us create the search strings and find the relevant literature for this thesis. This also helps us exclude public licenses regarding documentation, media and all other non-software targeted public licenses.

The quest to categorize every public software license under some paradigm objectively is a complex one and cannot be comprehensively answered in a single paragraph. Therefore it is essential to continue taking the correct steps towards incresing the scientific understanding and providing the industry with examples, standards and processes to follow. However, as the following chapters reveal, a significant amount of effort is still being spent on solving the same problem multiple times, rather than building on existing knowledge and finding the next problem to solve. This thesis aims to contribute to mitigating this challenge by providing a rigorous analysis of the current state of the field. As the knowledge, conventions, and terminology take shape, we can look forward to reaching a state where less effort is spent on defining concepts and more on practical problem-solving.

2 Methods

This chapter aims to establish a precisely defined and rigorous research approach to enhance transparency and repeatability. We will take the steps required to ensure that every phase and decision is thoroughly documented, enabling the reader to retrace the research process. In a thesis made by a single researcher, the lack of cross-examination of results with multiple researchers and the validation of evaluation criteria for opinion bias pose threats to validity, as will be clarified further in Chapter 4. Therefore, special attention will be paid to address these concerns. By following this approach, this research endeavors to contribute to the existing body of knowledge in the field of computer science in a robust and reliable manner.

The systematic literature review method is a well-established approach for conducting a comprehensive and rigorous analysis of the existing research on specific research question or subject (Kitchenham and Charters, 2007). This paper presents a multivocal literature review. Multivocal literature review is type of systematic literature review that includes both academic literature and grey literature (Garousi et al., 2019). This method was selected for this study to facilitate a thorough and scientifically interdisciplinary examination of public licenses in software engineering. The existing literature consists of public software licenses not found in academic databases and as such are considered gray literature, making the thesis a multivocal literature review.

This study follows the guidelines outlined by Kitchenham and Charters, 2007, to ensure its quality. The multivocal review method consists of three distinct phases: planning, conducting and reporting the review. This study strictly adhered to this structure. The phases can be further broken down into a research protocol, as illustrated in Figure 2.1. Adhering to the protocol is the first step in ensuring a well-documented and rigorous process, which increases the validity and auditability of the study.

The multivocal literature review process began with the formulation of research questions and the establishment of a comprehensive search strategy and scope. The search process was conducted by employing a quasi-gold standard (QGS) approach based on the implementation by Zhang and Ali Babar, 2010. After the completion of the search process, the inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined. To ensure a structured evaluation of the literature, a data extraction form was created. Finally, a strategy for analyzing the



Figure 2.1: Three phases of a systematic literature review

extracted data from the literature was designed.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the research protocol, it was validated against similar systematic literature reviews in computer science, the aforementioned guidelines by Kitchenham and Charters, 2007, and was further refined through an iterative process. Specifically, a subset of the data was tested on (The QGS) and any identified issues or problems were recorded and addressed. The details of this process are explained and thoroughly documented in the following sections. Similarly, the same approach was followed for the data extraction process, whereby a subset of literature was tested to refine the data extraction form. The revision of the form was undertaken as necessary to guarantee the completeness and accuracy of the extracted data.

2.1 Research questions

The research questions in this study served two primary purposes. Firstly, they aimed to provide an analysis of the existing multivocal literature on public licenses in software engineering for the researchers interested about the field. Secondly, the questions were designed to cater a secondary audience of professional software engineering practicioners. As discussed in the Chapter 1, the following research questions were addressed in this thesis:

- RQ1: How many public software licenses are there in the top five software license listing cites?
- RQ2: How much is there disagreement in the shortcode names between different public software licenses listing sites?
- RQ3: How many public licenses in software engineering does there exist?

The multivocal literature review in this thesis begins with addressing RQ1, which aims to provide the amount of public software licenses that exist in our five public license listing sites in total. This information could be most valuable to the researchers. The results can be used to introduce some notable background of the current public licenses in software engineering and enabling focus to more specific areas inside the topic of this thesis.

Next RQ2 seeks to find the amount of duplicate licenses between the license listing sites. Results to this research question are also mostly useful to researchers of the field. Moreover, the documented methods are most likely the most valuable information for the researchers.

Finally RQ3 attempts to count the total number of individual public software licenses within the scope of this thesis. The research question builds on top of the results and methods of the previous research questions. This information could be most valuable for the practitioners since it could give some overview and a sense of the scale when picking a public software license that would serve the practitioners' needs the best.

2.2 Search stragey

The search process was conducted on five public license listing websites. The selection criteria for the literature were defined after the search process and the selection process was based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion and exclusion criteria and each step of exclusion on the literature found are presented later in this chapter. Originally the search terms would have been applied to the license listing sites directly just like in a normal multivocal literature review or in a systematic literature review. Keywords however produced highly varying and non-reproducible results in Google Scholar and Google Search. Some license listing websites such as FSF's list of pages categorized as licenses could not be found from Google Search even with the site operator:

site:https://directory.fsf.org/wiki/Category:License. Although the page has been up since 2013, for some reason Google has not crawled the page in 10 years (FSF, 2024). This is why this thesis does not include search terms of the initial phase per se but rather inclusion and exclusion strings on the second phase. For the sake of validity the thesis still follows the guidelines presented in Kitchenham and Charters, 2007 with the exception of replacing an academic database search engine with the five license listing sites, web scraping and our own Python script performing the legwork of an academic database search engine in a systematic literature review.

The data extraction process was performed in a standardized and systematic manner, with the aim of obtaining the relevant information from the selected literature. The data extraction form used included license shortcode used in the listing site, listing site name, full license text and is available Table 2.2. The extracted data was then used to answer the research questions and perform the data analysis. The results of the data analysis were then reported in a rigorous manner.

2.2.1 Search method

The search was conducted on five license listing websites, as mentioned earlier, to obtain a broad set of multivocal literature. This approach yielded a large number of literature that were processed to a subset of high-relevance literature using inclusion and exclusion criteria presented later in this chapter. Manual searching of databases with hundreds of public licenses is not feasible, and it is prone to researcher bias and may overlook relevant venues from other scientific disciplines. However, a preliminary manual search was performed to reduce the number of iterations required and establish the quasi-gold standard (QGS) mentioned earlier.

2.2.2 Search scope and terms

The search terms, or in our case, the inclusion and exclusion string was determined through an iterative process that took into account the research questions and topic. Synonyms for key terms were included and combined using Boolean logic to form a comprehensive inclusion and exclusion string. As mentioned earlier the inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented later in this chapter.

The inclusion and exclusion string was established on a basis of quasi-gold standard as proposed by Zhang and Ali Babar, 2010. For establishing a quasi-gold standard we employed a manually crafted inclusion and exclusion string based on the topic and research questions of this study. As we defined public licenses in software engineering as licenses where the licensees are not limited and the license in question is meant be used in licensing software source code in Chapter 1 and our research questions focus on finding useful metrics about the public licenses, we manually formulated the inclusion and exclusion string in Python:

^(?!.*\b(documentation\s+license|creative\s+commons|open data)\b).*

In order to run the inclusion and exclusion string that established the quality-gold standard against the literature we had to gather them first. We started defining our search scope from the Wikipedia page of one of the most used open source license (Balter, 2015), the MIT license (Wikipedians, 2024b). The infobox contained fields in the order shown in Table 2.1.

The validity threats regarding this choice are discussed in a later chapter. The publisher, GPL compatibility, copyleft and the linking exception did not result in any meaningful

Field	Value
Publisher	Massachusetts Insitute of Technology
SPDX identifier	MIT
Debian FSG compatible	Yes
FSF approved	Yes
OSI approved	Yes
GPL compatible	Yes
Copyleft	No
Linking from code with a different license	Yes

Table 2.1: MIT License Wikipedia page infobox

URL
https://spdx.org/licenses/
https://wiki.debian.org/DFSGLicenses
https://directory.fsf.org/wiki?title=Category:License
https://opensource.org/licenses
https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-list.html

Table 2.2: License listing sites chosen

license listing websites. This leaves us with the SPDX, Debian FSG compatibility, FSF and OSI from which all resulted in some sort of license listing websites. Since the fields were roughly as follows: SPDX, FSF, OSI and GNU, after some investigating, we decided to start the search for public software licenses from the following license listing sites:

The web pages were scraped of the public license shortcodes using the browser's developer tools. These shortcodes were imported into a spreadsheet editor with each shortcode under their corresponding listing site name. This resulted in 1057 public licenses. Because the same public license would sometimes occur in multiple listing sites strictly duplicate shortcodes were removed using the spreadsheet editor resulting in 780 public licenses. Removing the duplicates was not intelligent and left duplicates like ZPL-2.0 and ZPL - 2.0 as unique license shortcodes. The solution to this problem is presented in a later subchapter. The table in the state after the strict removal of duplicates is provided in this thesis' repository (Taguchi, 2025) under the name of stage1-licenses.md.

With the search for the initial license listing websites completed we moved onto the search

process itself.

2.3 Search process

The literature selection process was divided into multiple stages, as outlined in Figure 2.2. The initial step involved the formation of a inclusion and exclusion string through the use of a quasi-gold standard.

In the first stage, the search was conducted using the web pages titled "MIT License" (Wikipedians, 2024b), "SPDX License List" (Linux Foundation, 2024), "The DFSG and Software Licenses" (Debian, 2024), FSF's "Category:License" Wiki page (FSF, 2024), GNU's "Various Licenses and Comments about Them" (GNU, 2023) and "OSI Approved licenses" (OSI, 2024) focusing, focusing on the license listing site name and shortcode. Then we identified and eliminated any duplicates, producing a preliminary set of potentially relevant literature. The dataset after the first stage of the search process is provided in this thesis' repository (Taguchi, 2025) under the name of stage1-licenses.md, as mentioned earlier.

In the second stage, the inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to further filter the literature and reduce the number of licenses to be reviewed. Then the resulting dataset was taken for a closer look where the quality of the literature was examined manually by the author, resulting in some manual exclusions based on the content and availability of the literature. This is where the inclusion and exclusion string took concrete place in which means the results were cross-referenced with the quasi-gold standard to validate it. The verbal reasoning for manual exclusions is clarified in Sub-chapter 2.4. The dataset after the second stage is provided in this thesis' repository (Taguchi, 2025) under the name of stage2-licenses.md.

The third stage was the most time-consuming and involved a manual review of the full license texts. After reading and evaluating each license, a final round of exclusions was completed and documented. The remaining licenses were used for data collection and analysis in the final part of the study. The final list of licenses is available in Appendix A.



Figure 2.2: Search process divided into stages

2.4 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Before we could apply the inclusion and exclusion criteria to the literature we had to fetch the full license texts from somewhere since the first stage's dataset included only the shortcode and listing site name. We decided that the public license database by ScanCode published in GitHub (ScanCode, 2025) was to be used fetching the initial full license texts based on the shortcodes of the first stage. The monolithic Python script used for this matching and fetching is provided in this thesis' repository (Taguchi, 2025) under the name of methods.py. Some shortcodes from the first stage did not match any full license texts from the license database. We had to manually fetch the missing full license texts from these license listing sites. The fetching was done systematically in cycles until no more missing full license texts were found with the help of the first stage spreadsheet. as can be seen from the Python script. We now had a complete Python dictionary with the shortcode as the key and full license text as the value.

To be eligible for the data collection and analysis, a license had to match the following inclusion and exclusion regular expression string:

^(?!.*\b(documentation\s+license|creative\s+commons|open data)\b).*

The regular expression string first included some inclusion matching but we soon realized it would be more efficient to exclude licenses than to include licenses. To establish the quasi-gold standard we first tried to exclude all full license texts including the words "creative commons" since we knew the Creative Commons licenses are not suitable for computer code, which is our scope. We then opened all excluded licenses into tabs in our text editor which the Python script assigned to their respective directory of excluded licenses based on the regular expression. We then looked quickly at the first few lines of the full license texts and judged if the license was indeed not suitable for our scope. Then we did the same to the included licenses in their respective directory and glanced if there were some types of licenses that were not suitable for our scope. We did one more cycle like this to finally exclude the string "documentation license" as well. During the two cycles we manually marked some licenses as included or excluded regardless of the regular expression matching since there did exist some corner cases the matching missed. This ended the second stage of search process which focused on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

#	Field	Concern/Research question
F1	Shortcode	RQ2, RQ3
F2	Listing site name	RQ1, RQ2
F3	Full text	Documentation

Table 2.3: Data extraction form

2.5 Data collection and data analysis

To answer the research questions of this thesis, a thorough examination of the selected primary literature was conducted and the necessary data was collected using data extraction form presented in Table 2.2. A record of the full license texts after the third stage was kept for analysis and is available as a directory called stage3-licenses in the thesis' repository (Taguchi, 2025).

To get the necessary from the remaining literature we decided that the next reasonable step was to remove duplicates from the licenses as systematically as possible. We used Python's difflib library to sort the full license texts. The library itself used the Ratcliff and Obershelp algorithm compare every full license text to every full license text. The time complexity is $O(n^3)$ and $\theta(n^2)$. This took our working computer 68 minutes each time the algorithm ran so we decided to only do one cycle of this type of duplicate removal. The licenses were outputted to duplicate-finding directory with the naming convention of n-shortcode.txt where the n was the sort order given by the Ratcliff and Obershelp algorithm. We then opened these licenses to tabs in our text editor and compared the full license texts by human eyes if they were actually the same license with little to no noise difference in the full license texts. The comparison tool of our text editor was also a helpful automation tool for longer licenses that could not fit into one computer display as whole without scrolling. "open data" was also applied in the regular expression string at this stage. While this should have happened in stage 2, we wanted to be honest that this exclusion really did happen in stage 3. At this stage we also manually excluded individual licenses from the final dataset if there was too much noise. For example, GNU listed licenses often as whitespaces or something alike. Examples of these will be given in Chapter 3. This ended the third stage of the search process and provided us with the necessary data to answer the research questions.

The subsequent chapter presents the outcomes of the steps taken in the study, as discussed

above.

3 Results

This chapter employes the data extracted from the set of primary literature, available in this thesis' repository (Taguchi, 2025) under the name of stage1-licenses.md, utilizing the methods outlined in Chapter 2 to address the research questions. Firstly, a summary of the general statistics collected and aggregated from the studies is presented. Following that, an analysis of the data is performed to provide answers to each of the research questions.

mention design science, the artifact and its contributions statistical overview with figures (mapping study) how many licenses during each stage (figure) basic statistic on final licenses (figure) essential statistics (figure)

3.1 Placeholder question (RQ1)

figures and literature identifier tables

3.2 Placeholder question (RQ2)

figures and literature identifier tables

3.3 Placeholder question (RQ3)

figures and literature identifier tables

3.4 Placeholder question (RQ4)

figures and literature identifier tables

PUT THESE SOMEWHERE HERE SINCE THESE ARE MORE GOOD RESULTS THAN VALIDITY ISSUES

it's good to note that when bumping into the missing license of attpubliclicense which was from gnu, it turned out that the license listing site doesn't state the license content whatsoever. i had to just put the comment of the license into manual licenses.

mention how many missing/manual licenses there were. and from which site respectively it could be a good idea to mention how many missing licenses came out of which sites. or it could be out of scope. i can just make a validity threat and say with face value that most of them were from FSF, GNU in that order. Python license seems just straight up an accident on FSF's side. scope is not to fix the documentation problems of the 5 organizations though so I'll leave it just like that and mention the possibility of it being just an accident.

the eye-pass of 87 excluded licenses included cal1.0 and cal-combined which seem to need to be included. made inclusions.txt to manually include them although containing the words creative commons, since those license texts were licensed themselves with creative commons. will eyeball the stage-2 shortcodes as well just to see anything i know by heart is not public software license. cc-by-sa-japanese was included so i had to make a manual exclusions.txt to manually mark licenses that are not public software licenses.

656 licenses after stage 2

we relaized that we were trying to fix the problem we were trying to give awareness to in the thesis by trying to distinguish automatically what is a public software license in the sea of 600+ licenses.

do i need to discuss the results with alves et al 2010???

4 Discussion

indications
follow-up observation
observation 1
observation 2
sum-up from those two

4.1 Implications for research

how to improve scientific scene 1 how to improve scientific scene 2 how to improve scientific scene 3

4.2 Implications for software engineering professionals

how to improve professional scene 1 how to improve professional scene 2 how to improve professional scene 3 overall

4.3 Limitations and threats to validity

PUT THIS HERE OR THE SECTION BELOW Some things must be mentioned about the process of the first stage. First, the FSF outputted a "license" named "other". This "license" included at the time of observation 5282 known programs to FSF whose PCLs were not documented yet by the FSF. Although some of the programs had straightforward

PCLs such as GPL-2.0-only we decided to leave these PCLs out of the scope of this thesis due to the large amount of the programs. The second note is about GNU's PCLs. Since we had the most trouble scraping the identifiers automatically from this website we decided to limit the PCLs only to "Software Licenses" as defined by the table of contents on the website.

SAME THING HERE approx duplicates were the result of going to the two listing websites that had the approaximately same looking licenses. then i just checked if they were actually some sort of duplicates of one another or if they already exist somewhere else. examples here, this is also a validity threat, problem with focusing software specific licenses is for example wtfpl. it is mostly used in software licensing but it doesn't quite clearly state that it is software specific license, maybe ill have to include the word "public license" and just include stuff that's not actually software specific or maybe ill make some exclusion criteria in order to get less non-software licenses

about finding scancode one can find it using github search with "license database"

the order of wikipedia infobox was used for missing licenses so a validity threat and for the de-duplication in stage 2 and some other parts of the thesis you must declare. second stage licenses were fetched from scancode licensed on 2025 mar 25 15:30

cvw was not found with the python script. i checked from the excel that its from the osi but osi didnt have it anymore by the time i got there. at some point between fetching the data and the re-fetching the license content from the original site MITRE had voluntarily retired the cvw license. it was found from the scancode licensedb with the name cvwl instead. dejavu and dbg-3.0 were also two other licenses that contained a space. this might indicate that the space is an accident that its simply just not found from a license listing site x. its also good to note that the python script was decided to be an valid approach since many of the licenses were actually found with the shortcode from the licensedb scancode. fetching 700 licenses by hand would have had time and validity issues. wayback machine could have been used to do the actual searching as well. this is unfortunately a validity issue but at least the source is available in wayback machine.

it seems like i have manually added licenses and invented shortcodes for them AT LEAST in DFSG license listing. FAL is a good example.

For example licenses like CorkForkPL from FSF are just empty licenses. CorkForkPL is used however in MighTyD project but the license would have to be seen from a downloaded project or something like that. A new scope: only licenses that are one (1) click away

from the initial license landing page can be copypasted to the manual licenses. just like the JahiaCSL has a URL on FSF for the license new location although the FSF page is empty.

licenses like MPL exist on FSF and GNU. it was not easily found from FSF (empty with links to programs using this) so it was gotten from GNU which was labeled as MPL1.1, which the FSF DOES have so i just boldly went with that. threat to validity.

its good to note that systematic!= automatic. our approach especially uses automation (python) to help the author use their human eye sight otherwise it would be more prone to error due to the large amount of licenses

"(source|software|program|code|module|public(s+)license|ware|(w+)ware)" was the first inc exc regex i used and it caught stuff like gfdl and thats how i ended up using exclusion only. note that documentation is not software but for example font is

python script does not work on windows machine due to some os dependent path problems - validity threat

remember to document the validity L of human eye sight used majorly on third stage of search process. duplicate removal in tabs was done so that: i check the text if the n and n+1 and if they look pretty much the same i act and if the shortcodes look the sam i act.

The major limitation of this study is that the subjective results could not be validated by multiple researchers. In a systematic review, it is standard practice and highly recommended to have at least two, if not more, individuals independently conduct the review processes and then cross validating the findings. This would result in the possibility of comparing individual exclusion decisions and other decicions, thereby increasing the credibility of the study. However, in this study, the methodology was thoroughly documented, which allows us to assert with confidence that the study has an appropriate level of of validity.

As a work of single researcher, there is also a chance of inaccuracy and bias in the literature selection and filtering process. As much of the literature had to be reviewed manually and then included/excluded on a qualitative basis, this is a known limitation and a threat to validity. Multiple rounds of documented filtering and a clear paper trail of all decisions made keeps this threat in the acceptable levels.

4.3.1 Limitations of literature selection for review

Efforts were made to ensure the inclusion of comprehensive set of literature in the search process. This was achieved by setting the starting point of PCL lists to the Wikipedia article of the MIT license.

However, as with all systematic literature reviews, a comprehensive manual review of all literature would have been a formidable task. Therefore, additional filtering was conducted. This filtering was carried out in two phases, starting with the application of inclusion/exclusion criteria, followed by a second phase focused on evaluating the nature of the PCLs and conducting a manual review. As a result of this second phase, a set of literature were excluded following a critical appraisal, with documentation and reasoning provided for each section.

The first phase of filtering has some notable limitations starting with the two PCL listing websites: SPDX and DFSG. Since the material was gathered to a spreadsheet program the duplicates were removed using the short identifier the listing page was using. Let's look at this validity threat using an example. Suppose our spreadsheet program has acquired the PCL with an identifier "MIT". The results of phase 1 will not include any other PCL marked with the identifier "MIT". In the worst case the identifier "MIT" could have actually been "MIT-DFSG-edition" but with the identifier of "MIT". Since there were so many PCLs in phase 1 it would not have been possible to check the uniqueness of all removed duplicates. One of the reasons why this would not have been feasible is that the listing sites would fetch the PCL contents from another webpage or at the second worst case, from another website. The worst case is that the URl is dead and we get HTTP 404. The amount of PCLs, duplicates and the lack of already existing tools makes this problem multilayered. However this is the integrity level we decided to live with.

FSF's PCL listing introduced us to pick another limitation for the scope of this thesis. The license shortcoded as "other" was not a PCL but instead a hyperlink to another listing webpage that listed programs that the FSF has no yet managed to document the license which the program uses. Although the one of the programs called "babl" was licensed as with "gplv3" the amount of undocumented programs was over 5200 at the time of observation. For this reason we are excluding the PCLs found indirectly from the category "other".

tell about the validity threats of osi literature selection for review

Lastly, GNU project's listing site allowed us to use a shortcut of sorts which we will

document here for the purposes of acknowleding the limitations of it. The table of contents at the listing site marked certain consequtive PCLs as software PCLs. On top of this the PCLs were not organized into easily processable tables but rather in stacked on one another in rich text format. Although we decided to use regex on the HTMl file the included PCLs were only the ones that were simply under the header "Software licenses". In the worst case scenario GNU project could have misinterpreted some PCLs as non-software licenses thus making this thesis exclude them with a wrong reason. While from a quick glance and the existence of the other four PCL listing sites, we think it is still worth documenting when it comes to validity and the integrity of this thesis.

On top of too heavy filters we would also like to document the too light filters in the literature selection for review. We can see from Appendix A that for example PCLs with the literature identifiers L777 and L780 are almost the same regarding the shortcoded identifiers: "ZPL - 2.1" and "ZPL-2.1". The duplicate removal would have been seemingly simple to execute on phase 1. However with the presence of over 700 pieces of literature we decided not to give special treatment to any potential set of duplicates. While it is most possible that OSI's "ZPL - 2.1" is equivalent exactly to SPDX's "ZPL-2.1" we could not be sure without looking at their contents. This could have resulted duplicate PCLs in the literature selection for review but these type of duplicates are removed in phases 2 and 3 due to the PCLs being read in full.

As such we can note that the literature selection was done in a sufficient manner.

4.3.2 Limitations in data extraction

importance of data extraction

lack of measurements and tooling

5 Conclusions

primary objective of this study conclusions from each rq

5.1 Future research

adopting a clear baseline the most used licenses are most probably legally invalid to use or not mean to be legally valid (mit) rather than all of these 600+ licenses are legally binding and bullet-proof

why agplv3re is the best license

Docker CLA, SSPL

make cla easier maybe with gpg / joplin easy cla sign

LICENSE highlighting.js

what kind of efforts and why

what this thesis has provided

Bibliography

- Balter, B. (2015). Open source license usage on GitHub.com The GitHub Blog. https://web.archive.org/web/20240409120258/https://github.blog/2015-03-09-open-source-license-usage-on-github-com/. Accessed: 2024 April 9.
- Debian (2024). The DFSG and Software Licenses. https://web.archive.org/web/20240415104532/https://wiki.debian.org/DFSGLicenses. Accessed: 2024 April 15.
- Duisburg, H. von (2011). "The Enforceability of the General Public License in the US". In: Zeitschrift der Deutsch-Amerikanischen Juristen-Vereinigung e.V. 36 (2011) 2, pp. 69–70. URL: https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/dajvnws2011&id=75.
- Ferguson, D. (2006). "Syntar Errors: Why Version 3 of the GNU General Public License Needs Debugging". In: North Carolina Journal of Law & Technology 7.2, pp. 397–420. URL: https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ncjl7&id=403.
- FSF (2024). Category:License Free Software Directory. https://web.archive.org/web/20240409111815/https://directory.fsf.org/wiki/Category:License. Accessed: 2024 April 9.
- Garousi, V., Felderer, M., and Mäntylä, M. V. (2019). "Guidelines for including grey literature and conducting multivocal literature reviews in software engineering". In: Information and Software Technology 106, pp. 101–121. ISSN: 0950-5849. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2018.09.006. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950584918301939.
- GNU (1996). What is Free Software? https://web.archive.org/web/19980126185518/https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html. Accessed: 2024 Feb 8.
- (2023). Various Licenses and Comments about Them. https://web.archive.org/web/20240226115940/https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-list.html. Accessed: 2024 Feb 26.
- Kitchenham, B. and Charters, S. (Jan. 2007). "Guidelines for performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering". In: 2.
- Kuhn, B. M. (2023). A Comprehensive Analysis of the GPL Issues With the Red Hat Enterprise Linux RHEL Business Model. https://web.archive.org/web/20240205080551/https://sfconservancy.org/blog/2023/jun/23/rhel-gpl-analysis/. Accessed: 2024 Feb 5.

- Linux Foundation (2024). SPDX License List. https://web.archive.org/web/20240412103557/https://spdx.org/licenses/. Accessed: 2024 April 12.
- McGrath, M. (2023). Furthering the evolution of CentOS Stream. https://web.archive.org/save/https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/furthering-evolution-centos-stream. Accessed: 2024 Feb 5.
- Mustonen, M. (2003). "Copyleft—the economics of Linux and other open source software". In: *Information Economics and Policy* 15.1, pp. 99–121. ISSN: 0167-6245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6245(02)00090-2. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167624502000902.
- Neumayer, E. (1999). Weak versus Strong Sustainability. URL: https://ideas.repec.org/b/elg/eebook/1736.html.
- OSI (2008). GNU General Public License version 3. https://web.archive.org/web/20240226075409/https://opensource.org/license/gpl-3-0. Accessed: 2024 Feb 26.
- (2024). Licenses Open Source Initiative. https://web.archive.org/web/20240307121412/https://opensource.org/licenses. Accessed: 2024 March 7.
- ScanCode (2025). ScanCode LicenseDB. https://github.com/aboutcode-org/scancode-licensedb. Accessed: 2025 June 10th.
- Stallman, R. (2009). "Viewpoint Why "open source" misses the point of free software". In: Commun. ACM 52.6, pp. 31–33. ISSN: 0001-0782. DOI: 10.1145/1516046.1516058. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/1516046.1516058.
- Taguchi, A. (2025). Taguchi's master's thesis GitHub repository. https://github.com/akirataguchi115/mscthesis. Accessed: 2025 May 27.
- Wikipedians (2024a). Category:Public copyright licenses Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. https://web.archive.org/web/20240131085240/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Public_copyright_licenses. Accessed: 2024 Jan 31.
- (2024b). MIT License Wikipedia. https://web.archive.org/web/20240411081352/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MIT_License. Accessed: 2024 April 11.
- (2025). Copyleft Wikipedia. https://web.archive.org/web/20250616132121/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Copyleft. Accessed: 2025 June 16.
- Zhang, H. and Ali Babar, M. (2010). "On searching relevant studies in software engineering". In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*. EASE'10. UK: BCS Learning & Development Ltd., pp. 111–120.

Appendix A Primary literature reviewed, read in full and data extracted

Table A.1: Final list of literature with the inclusion/exclusion criteria applied.

identifier Show of the state o	Literature	Shortcode	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	GNU
L2 996 spDX spDX spBX spBX <t< td=""><td>identifier</td><td>Shortcode</td><td>SFDA</td><td>DrsG</td><td>ГОГ</td><td>OSI</td><td>GNU</td></t<>	identifier	Shortcode	SFDA	DrsG	ГОГ	OSI	GNU
L3 AAL SPDX OSI L4 Abstyles SPDX FSF L5 ACEL FSF FSF L6 AdaCore-doc SPDX FSF C L7 Adobe-2006 SPDX C C C L8 Adobe-Display-PostScript SPDX C <td< td=""><td>L1</td><td>0BSD</td><td>SPDX</td><td></td><td></td><td>OSI</td><td></td></td<>	L1	0BSD	SPDX			OSI	
L4 Abstyles SPDX K <t< td=""><td>L2</td><td>996</td><td></td><td></td><td>FSF</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	L2	996			FSF		
L5 ACEL SPDX FSF I L6 AdaCore-doc SPDX I I L7 Adobe-2006 SPDX I I I L8 Adobe-Display-PostScript SPDX I I I I L9 Adobe-Glyph SPDX I	L3	AAL	SPDX			OSI	
L6 AdaCore-doc SPDX	L4	Abstyles	SPDX				
L7 Adobe-2006 SPDX	L5	ACEL			FSF		
L8 Adobe-Display-PostScript SPDX	L6	AdaCore-doc	SPDX				
L9 Adobe-Glyph SPDX	L7	Adobe-2006	SPDX				
L10 Adobe-Utopia SPDX <td>L8</td> <td>Adobe-Display-PostScript</td> <td>SPDX</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	L8	Adobe-Display-PostScript	SPDX				
L11 ADSL SPDX SPDX L12 AFL-1.1 SPDX SPDX L13 AFL-1.2 SPDX SPDX L14 AFL-2.0 SPDX SPDX L15 AFL-2.1 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX	L9	Adobe-Glyph	SPDX				
L12 AFL-1.1 SPDX <t< td=""><td>L10</td><td>Adobe-Utopia</td><td>SPDX</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	L10	Adobe-Utopia	SPDX				
L13 AFL-1.2 SPDX <t< td=""><td>L11</td><td>ADSL</td><td>SPDX</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	L11	ADSL	SPDX				
L14 AFL-2.0 SPDX	L12	AFL-1.1	SPDX				
L15 AFL-2.1 SPDX	L13	AFL-1.2	SPDX				
L16 AFL-3.0 SPDX FSF OSI L17 Afmparse SPDX FSF	L14	AFL-2.0	SPDX				
L17 Afmparse SPDX	L15	AFL-2.1	SPDX				
L18 AGPL-1.0-only SPDX FSF L19 AGPL-1.0-or-later SPDX FSF L20 AGPL-3.0-only SPDX DFSG FSF L21 AGPL-3.0-or-later SPDX FSF GNU L22 Aladdin SPDX FSF GNU L23 Aladdin-9 FSF GNU L24 AMDPLPA SPDX FSF CSPDX L25 AML SPDX SPDX CSPDX CSPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX CSPDX CSPDX CSPDX CSPDX	L16	AFL-3.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L19 AGPL-1.0-or-later SPDX FSF OSI L20 AGPL-3.0-only SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L21 AGPL-3.0-or-later SPDX FSF L22 Aladdin SPDX FSF GNU L23 Aladdin-9 FSF L24 AMDPLPA SPDX SPDX L25 AML SPDX SPDX SPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L17	Afmparse	SPDX				
L20AGPL-3.0-onlySPDXDFSGFSFOSIL21AGPL-3.0-or-laterSPDXFSFGNUL22AladdinSPDXFSFGNUL23Aladdin-9FSFFSFL24AMDPLPASPDXL25AMLSPDXL26AML-glslangSPDX	L18	AGPL-1.0-only	SPDX		FSF		
L21 AGPL-3.0-or-later SPDX FSF GNU L22 Aladdin SPDX FSF GNU L23 Aladdin-9 FSF L24 AMDPLPA SPDX SPDX L25 AML SPDX SPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L19	AGPL-1.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L22 Aladdin SPDX FSF GNU L23 Aladdin-9 SPDX L24 AMDPLPA SPDX L25 AML SPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L20	AGPL-3.0-only	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L23 Aladdin-9 L24 AMDPLPA SPDX L25 AML SPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L21	AGPL-3.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L24 AMDPLPA SPDX L25 AML SPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L22	Aladdin	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L25 AML SPDX L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L23	Aladdin-9			FSF		
L26 AML-glslang SPDX	L24	AMDPLPA	SPDX				
	L25	AML	SPDX				
L27 AMPAS SPDX SPDX	L26	AML-glslang	SPDX				
	L27	AMPAS	SPDX				

ii Appendix A

L28	ANTI-1.3			FSF		
L29	ANTI-1.4			FSF		
L30	ANTLR-PD	SPDX				
L31	ANTLR-PD-fallback	SPDX				
L32	Apache-1.0	SPDX		FSF		
L33	Apache-1.1	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L34	Apache-2.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L35	APAFML	SPDX				
L36	APL-1.0	SPDX			OSI	
L37	App-s2p	SPDX				
L38	APSL-1.0	SPDX		FSF		
L39	APSL-1.1	SPDX		FSF		
L40	APSL-1.2	SPDX		FSF		
L41	APSL-2.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L42	Arphic-1999	SPDX				
L43	Artistic-1.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L44	Artistic-1.0-cl8	SPDX				
L45	Artistic-1.0-Perl	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L46	Artistic-2.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L47	ASWF-Digital-Assets-1.0	SPDX				
L48	ASWF-Digital-Assets-1.1	SPDX				
L49	ATTPublicLicense					GNU
L50	Baekmuk	SPDX				
L51	Bahyph	SPDX				
L52	Barr	SPDX				
L53	bcrypt-Solar-Designer	SPDX				
L54	Beerware	SPDX				
L55	BerkeleyDB					GNU
L56	Bitstream-Charter	SPDX				
L57	Bitstream-Vera	SPDX				
L58	BitTorrent-1.0	SPDX				
L59	BitTorrent-1.1	SPDX		FSF		
L60	blessing	SPDX				
L61	BlueOak-1.0.0	SPDX			OSI	
L62	Boehm-GC	SPDX				

 $Appendix \ A$ iii

L63	Borceux	SPDX			
L64	Brian-Gladman-2-Clause	SPDX			
L65	Brian-Gladman-3-Clause	SPDX			
L66	BSD-1-Clause	SPDX		FSF	OSI
L67	BSD-2-Clause	SPDX		FSF	
L68	BSD-2-Clause-Darwin	SPDX			
L69	BSD-2-Clause-FreeBSD			FSF	
L70	BSD-2-Clause-Patent	SPDX			OSI
L71	BSD-2-Clause-Views	SPDX			
L72	BSD-3-Clause	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI
L73	BSD-3-Clause-acpica	SPDX			
L74	BSD-3-Clause-Attribution	SPDX			
L75	BSD-3-Clause-Clear	SPDX		FSF	
L76	BSD-3-Clause-flex	SPDX			
L77	BSD-3-Clause-HP	SPDX			
L78	BSD-3-Clause-LBNL	SPDX			OSI
L79	BSD-3-Clause-Modification	SPDX			
L80	BSD-3-Clause-No-Military-License	SPDX			
L81	BSD-3-Clause-No-Nuclear-License	SPDX			
1.00	BSD-3-Clause-No-Nuclear-License-	CDDV			
L82	2014	SPDX			
L83	BSD-3-Clause-No-Nuclear-Warranty	SPDX			
L84	BSD-3-Clause-Open-MPI	SPDX			
L85	BSD-3-Clause-Sun	SPDX			
L86	BSD-4-Clause	SPDX		FSF	
L87	BSD-4-Clause-Shortened	SPDX			
L88	BSD-4-Clause-UC	SPDX			
L89	BSD-4.3RENO	SPDX			
L90	BSD-4.3TAHOE	SPDX			
L91	BSD-Advertising-Acknowledgement	SPDX			
L92	BSD-Attribution-HPND-disclaimer	SPDX			
L93	BSD-Inferno-Nettverk	SPDX			
L94	BSD-Protection	SPDX			
L95	BSD-Source-beginning-file	SPDX			
L96	BSD-Source-Code	SPDX			

iv Appendix A

L97	BSD-Systemics	SPDX		
L98	BSD-Systemics-W3Works	SPDX		
L99	BSL-1.0	SPDX	FSF	OSI
L100	BUSL-1.1	SPDX		
L101	bzip2-1.0.6	SPDX		
L102	C-UDA-1.0	SPDX		
L103	CAL-1.0	SPDX		OSI
L104	CAL-1.0-Combined-Work-Exception	SPDX		
L105	Caldera	SPDX		
L106	Caldera-no-preamble	SPDX		
L107	CATOSL-1.1	SPDX		
L108	CDDL-1.0	SPDX	FSF	
L109	CDDL-1.1	SPDX		
L110	CDLA-Permissive-1.0	SPDX		
L111	CDLA-Permissive-2.0	SPDX		
L112	CDLA-Sharing-1.0	SPDX		
L113	CECILL-1.0	SPDX		
L114	CECILL-1.1	SPDX		
L115	CECILL-2.0	SPDX	FSF	
L116	CECILL-2.1	SPDX		OSI
L117	CECILL-B	SPDX		
L118	Cecill-B-v1		FSF	
L119	CECILL-C	SPDX		
L120	Cecill-C-v1		FSF	
L121	CERN-OHL-1.1	SPDX		
L122	CERN-OHL-1.2	SPDX		
L123	CERN-OHL-P-2.0	SPDX		OSI
L124	CERN-OHL-S-2.0	SPDX		OSI
L125	CERN-OHL-W-2.0	SPDX		OSI
L126	CFITSIO	SPDX		
L127	check-cvs	SPDX		
L128	checkmk	SPDX		
L129	ClArtistic	SPDX	FSF	
L130	Clips	SPDX		
L131	CMU-Mach	SPDX		

Appendix A v

L132	CMU-Mach-nodoc	SPDX			
L133	CNRI			FSF	
L134	CNRI-Jython	SPDX			
L135	CNRI-Python	SPDX			OSI
L136	CNRI-Python-GPL-Compatible	SPDX			
L137	COIL-1.0	SPDX			
L138	Commons-Clause			FSF	
L139	Condor-1.1	SPDX		FSF	
L140	copyleft-next-0.3.0	SPDX			
L141	copyleft-next-0.3.1	SPDX			
L142	Cornell-Lossless-JPEG	SPDX			
L143	CPAL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI
L144	CPL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI
L145	CPOL-1.02	SPDX		FSF	
L146	Cronyx	SPDX			
L147	Crossword	SPDX			
L148	CryptixGL			FSF	
L149	CrystalStacker	SPDX			
L150	CUA-OPL-1.0	SPDX			
L151	Cube	SPDX			
L152	curl	SPDX		FSF	
L153	cvw				OSI
L154	D-FSL-1.0	SPDX			
L155	DEC-3-Clause	SPDX			
L156	Design-Science-L			FSF	
L157	diffmark	SPDX			
L158	DL-DE-BY-2.0	SPDX			
L159	DL-DE-ZERO-2.0	SPDX			
L160	DOC	SPDX			
L161	Dotseqn	SPDX			
L162	DRL-1.0	SPDX			
L163	DRL-1.1	SPDX			
L164	DSDP	SPDX			
L165	dtoa	SPDX			
L166	dvipdfm	SPDX			

vi Appendix A

L167	ECL-1.0	SPDX				
L168	ECL-2.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L169	ECos-2.0			FSF	OSI	
L170	EFL-1.0	SPDX			OSI	
L171	EFL-2.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L172	eGenix	SPDX				
L173	Elastic-2.0	SPDX				
L174	Entessa	SPDX				
L175	EPICS	SPDX		FSF		
L176	EPL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L177	EPL-2.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L178	ErlPL-1.1	SPDX		FSF		
L179	EUDatagrid	SPDX		FSF	OSI	GNU
L180	EUPL-1.0	SPDX				
L181	EUPL-1.1	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L182	EUPL-1.2	SPDX			OSI	
L183	Eurosym	SPDX				
L184	Expat			FSF		GNU
L185	Fair	SPDX				
L186	FAL		DFSG			
L187	FBM	SPDX				
L188	FDK-AAC	SPDX				
L189	Ferguson-Twofish	SPDX				
L190	Frameworx-1.0	SPDX				
L191	FreeBSD-DOC	SPDX				
L192	FreeImage	SPDX				
L193	FSFAP	SPDX		FSF		
L194	FSFAP-no-warranty-disclaimer	SPDX				
L195	FSFUL	SPDX				
L196	FSFULLR	SPDX				
L197	FSFULLRWD	SPDX				
L198	FTL	SPDX		FSF		
L199	Furuseth	SPDX				
L200	fwlw	SPDX				
L201	GCR-docs	SPDX				

Appendix A vii

L202	GD	SPDX				
L203	Giftware	SPDX				
L204	GL2PS	SPDX		FSF		
L205	Glide	SPDX				
L206	Glulxe	SPDX				
L207	GLWTPL	SPDX				
L208	gnuplot	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L209	GPL-1.0-only	SPDX		FSF		
L210	GPL-1.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L211	GPL-2.0-only	SPDX		FSF		
L212	GPL-2.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L213	GPL-3.0-only	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L214	GPL-3.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L215	GPL-PA			FSF		
L216	Graphics-Gems	SPDX				
L217	gSOAP-1.3b	SPDX				
L218	gtkbook	SPDX				
L219	HaskellReport	SPDX				
L220	hdparm	SPDX				
L221	HESSLA			FSF		GNU
L222	Hippocratic-2.1	SPDX				
L223	HP-1986	SPDX				
L224	HP-1989	SPDX				
L225	HPND	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L226	HPND-DEC	SPDX				
L227	HPND-doc	SPDX				
L228	HPND-doc-sell	SPDX				
L229	HPND-export-US	SPDX				
L230	HPND-export-US-modify	SPDX				
L231	HPND-Fenneberg-Livingston	SPDX				
L232	HPND-INRIA-IMAG	SPDX				
L233	HPND-Kevlin-Henney	SPDX				
L234	HPND-Markus-Kuhn	SPDX				
L235	HPND-MIT-disclaimer	SPDX				
L236	HPND-Pbmplus	SPDX				

viii Appendix A

			I.	ľ	i i	
L237	HPND-sell-MIT-disclaimer-xserver	SPDX				
L238	HPND-sell-regexpr	SPDX				
L239	HPND-sell-variant	SPDX				
L240	HPND-sell-variant-MIT-disclaimer	SPDX				
L241	HPND-UC	SPDX				
L242	HTMLTIDY	SPDX				
L243	IBM-pibs	SPDX				
L244	IBMPL					GNU
L245	ICU	SPDX			OSI	
L246	IEC-Code-Components-EULA	SPDX				
L247	IJG	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L248	IJG-short	SPDX				
L249	ImageMagick	SPDX				
L250	iMatix	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L251	imlib					GNU
L252	Imlib2	SPDX		FSF		
L253	Info-ZIP	SPDX		FSF		
L254	informal					GNU
L255	Inner-Net-2.0	SPDX				
L256	Intel	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L257	Intel-ACPI	SPDX		FSF		
L258	Interbase-1.0	SPDX				
L259	IPA	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L260	IPL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF		
L261	ISC	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	GNU
L262	ISC-Veillard	SPDX				
L263	JahiaCSL			FSF		
L264	Jam	SPDX			OSI	
L265	JasPer-2.0	SPDX				
L266	JOSL-1.0			FSF		
L267	JPL-image	SPDX				
L268	JPNIC	SPDX				
L269	JSON	SPDX	DFSG	FSF		GNU
L270	Kastrup	SPDX				
L271	Kazlib	SPDX				
	ı		l .	ı		

 $Appendix \ A$ ix

L272	Knuth-CTAN	SPDX				
L273	LAL-1.2	SPDX				
L274	LAL-1.3	SPDX		FSF		
L275	LaTeX ecfonts	21 211		FSF		
L276	Latex2e	SPDX				
L277	Latex2e-translated-notice	SPDX				
L278	Leptonica	SPDX				
L279	LGPL-2.0-only	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L280	LGPL-2.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L281	LGPL-2.1-only	SPDX		FSF		
L282	LGPL-2.1-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L283	LGPL-3.0-only	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L284	LGPL-3.0-or-later	SPDX		FSF		
L285	LGPLLR	SPDX		FSF		
L286	Lha			FSF		GNU
L287	Libpng	SPDX				
L288	libpng-2.0	SPDX				
L289	libselinux-1.0	SPDX				
L290	libtiff	SPDX				
L291	libutil-David-Nugent	SPDX				
L292	LiLiQ-P-1.1	SPDX			OSI	
L293	LiLiQ-R-1.1	SPDX			OSI	
L294	LiLiQ-Rplus-1.1	SPDX			OSI	
L295	Linux-man-pages-1-para	SPDX				
L296	Linux-man-pages-copyleft	SPDX				
L297	Linux-man-pages-copyleft-2-para	SPDX				
L298	Linux-man-pages-copyleft-var	SPDX				
L299	Linux-OpenIB	SPDX				
L300	LLGPL			FSF		
L301	LOOP	SPDX				
L302	LPD-document	SPDX				
L303	LPL-1.0	SPDX				
L304	LPL-1.02	SPDX		FSF		
L305	LPPL-1.0	SPDX				
L306	LPPL-1.1	SPDX				

 ${\bf x}$ Appendix A

L307	LPPL-1.2	SPDX		FSF		
L308	LPPL-1.3a	SPDX		FSF		
L309	LPPL-1.3c	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L310	lsof	SPDX				
L311	Lua license			FSF		
L312	Lucida-Bitmap-Fonts	SPDX				
L313	LZMA-SDK-9.11-to-9.20	SPDX				
L314	LZMA-SDK-9.22	SPDX				
L315	Mackerras-3-Clause	SPDX				
L316	Mackerras-3-Clause-acknowledgment	SPDX				
L317	magaz	SPDX				
L318	mailprio	SPDX				
L319	MakeIndex	SPDX				
L320	Martin-Birgmeier	SPDX				
L321	McPhee-slideshow	SPDX				
L322	metamail	SPDX				
L323	Minpack	SPDX				
L324	MirOS	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L325	MIT	SPDX	DFSG		OSI	
L326	MIT-0	SPDX			OSI	
L327	MIT-advertising	SPDX				
L328	MIT-CMU	SPDX				
L329	MIT-enna	SPDX				
L330	MIT-feh	SPDX				
L331	MIT-Festival	SPDX				
L332	MIT-Modern-Variant	SPDX				
L333	MIT-open-group	SPDX				
L334	MIT-testregex	SPDX				
L335	MIT-Wu	SPDX				
L336	MITNFA	SPDX				
L337	MMIXware	SPDX				
L338	Modified X11			FSF		
L339	ModifiedBSD					GNU
L340	Motosoto	SPDX			OSI	
L341	MPEG-SSG	SPDX				

Appendix A xi

L343	L342	mpi-permissive	SPDX				
L345 MPL-1.0 SPDX SPDX SPSF OSI L346 MPL-1.1 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L347 MPL-2.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L348 MPL-2.0-no-copyleft-exception SPDX L349 mplus SPDX SPDX L350 MS-LPL SPDX FSF OSI L351 MS-PL SPDX FSF OSI L352 MS-RL SPDX FSF OSI L353 MS-SS FSF OSI L354 MTLL SPDX FSF OSI L355 MulanPSL-1.0 SPDX SPDX L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OSI L357 Multics SPDX OSI L358 Mup SPDX SPDX L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX SPDX L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF OSI L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX SPDX L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX SPDX L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI L365 Net-SNMP SPDX SPDX L366 NetCDF SPDX SPDX SPDX L367 NetscapeJavaScript SPDX SPDX L368 Newsletr SPDX SPDX SPSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX SPDX L371 NIST-PD SPDX SPDX L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX SPDX L373 NIST-Software SPDX SPDX L374 NLPL SPDX SPDX SPSF OSI GNU L375 Nokia SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L376 Nokia SPDX SPDX	L343	mpich2	SPDX				
L346 MPL-1.1 SPDX FSF OSI L347 MPL-2.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L348 MPL-2.0-no-copyleft-exception SPDX FSF OSI L349 mplus SPDX FSF OSI L350 MS-LPL SPDX FSF OSI L351 MS-PL SPDX FSF OSI L352 MS-RL SPDX FSF OSI L353 Ms-SS FSF OSI FSF OSI L354 MTLL SPDX FSF OSI FSF OSI FSF OSI GNI FSF OSI GNI FSF OSI GNI G	L344	MPL			FSF		GNU
L347 MPL-2.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OS1 L348 MPL-2.0-no-copyleft-exception SPDX L349 mplus SPDX L350 MS-LPL SPDX FSF OS1 L351 MS-PL SPDX FSF OS1 L352 MS-RL SPDX FSF OS1 L353 Ms-SS FSF OS1 L354 MTLL SPDX FSF OS1 L355 MulanPSL-1.0 SPDX OS1 L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OS1 L357 Multics SPDX SPDX OS1 L358 Mup SPDX FSF L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX FSF L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OS1 GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX SPDX L366 NetCDF SPDX FSF OS1 GNU L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OS1 GNU L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OS1 L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX SPDX L371 NIST-PD SPDX SPDX L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX SPDX L373 NIST-Software SPDX SPDX L374 NLPL SPDX FSF OS1 GNU L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OS1 GNU L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OS1 GNU L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 Nokia SPDX SPDX SPSF OS1 GNU L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 Nokia SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 Nokia SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX L375 SPDX SPDX	L345	MPL-1.0	SPDX			OSI	
L348 MPL-2.0-no-copyleft-exception SPDX Image: contract of the contr	L346	MPL-1.1	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
MS-LPL	L347	MPL-2.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L350	L348	MPL-2.0-no-copyleft-exception	SPDX				
L351 MS-PL SPDX FSF OSI L352 MS-RL SPDX FSF OSI L353 Ms-SS FSF OSI L354 MTLL SPDX FSF OSI L355 MulanPSL-1.0 SPDX OSI OSI L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OSI OSI L357 Multics SPDX OSI OSI L358 Mup SPDX OSI OSI L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF OSI L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF OSI GNU L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI GNU L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF OSI GNU L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L349	mplus	SPDX				
L352 MS-RL SPDX FSF OSI L353 Ms-SS FSPDX FSF OSI L354 MTLL SPDX SPDX OSI L355 MulanPSL-1.0 SPDX OSI OSI L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OSI OSI L357 Multics SPDX OSI OSI L358 Mup SPDX FSF OSI L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF OSI GNU L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI GNU L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF OSI GNU L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF OSI GNU L366 NetCDF SPDX FSF OSI GNU L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OSI	L350	MS-LPL	SPDX				
L353 Ms-SS SPDX FSF L L354 MTLL SPDX C L L355 MulanPSL-1.0 SPDX OSI L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OSI L357 Multics SPDX OSI L358 Mup SPDX SPDX L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX FSF L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF L364 NCSA SPDX FSF L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF L366 NetCDF SPDX GNU L367 Netscape-JavaScript SPDX FSF OSI L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI L371 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX SPDX L373 NIST-Software SPDX FSF	L351	MS-PL	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L354 MTLL SPDX Image: Register of the content of t	L352	MS-RL	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L355 MulanPSL-1.0 SPDX OSI L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OSI L357 Multics SPDX OSI L358 Mup SPDX SPDX L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX SPDX L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF OSI L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF OSI GNU L366 NetCDF SPDX FSF OSI GNU L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OSI L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX SPDX L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX SPDX L373 NIST-Software SPDX L374 NLPL SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L353	Ms-SS			FSF		
L356 MulanPSL-2.0 SPDX OSI L357 Multics SPDX OSI L358 Mup SPDX SPDX L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX FSF L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF OSI L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF OSI GNU L366 NetCDF SPDX FSF OSI GNU L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OSI L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX SPDX FSF OSI L373 NIST-Software SPDX FSF OSI GNU L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L354	MTLL	SPDX				
L357 Multics SPDX OSI L358 Mup SPDX Image: SPDX L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX FSF L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF L364 NCSA SPDX FSF L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF L366 NetCDF SPDX GNU L367 NetscapeJavaScript SPDX FSF OSI L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI L371 NIST-PD SPDX SPDX Image: SPDX Image: SPDX L373 NIST-Software SPDX FSF OSI GNU L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L355	MulanPSL-1.0	SPDX				
L358 Mup SPDX Image: SPDX septor septo	L356	MulanPSL-2.0	SPDX			OSI	
L359 NAIST-2003 SPDX FSF L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF L361 Naumen SPDX FSF L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX	L357	Multics	SPDX			OSI	
L360 NASA-1.3 SPDX FSF	L358	Mup	SPDX				
L361 Naumen SPDX Image: SPDX spdx spdx spdx spdx spdx spdx spdx spdx	L359	NAIST-2003	SPDX				
L362 NBPL-1.0 SPDX Image: spd of the part of the p	L360	NASA-1.3	SPDX		FSF		
L363 NCGL-UK-2.0 SPDX FSF OSI GNU L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX FSF OSI GNU L366 Net CDF SPDX FSF GNU L367 Net scape Java Script SPDX FSF OSI L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI L371 NIST-PD SPDX FSF OSI L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX FSF OSI L373 NIST-Software SPDX FSF OSI L374 NLPL SPDX FSF OSI L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OSI	L361	Naumen	SPDX				
L364 NCSA SPDX FSF OSI GNU L365 Net-SNMP SPDX - - - L366 NetCDF SPDX - - - L367 NetscapeJavaScript - - GNU L368 Newsletr SPDX - - - L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI - L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX - - - L371 NIST-PD SPDX - - - L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX - - - L373 NIST-Software SPDX - - - L374 NLPL SPDX FSF OSI GNU L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L362	NBPL-1.0	SPDX				
L365 Net-SNMP SPDX Image: SPDX of the content of t	L363	NCGL-UK-2.0	SPDX				
L366 NetCDF SPDX	L364	NCSA	SPDX		FSF	OSI	GNU
L367 NetscapeJavaScript SPDX GNU L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OSI L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX FSF OSI L371 NIST-PD SPDX FSF OSI L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX FSF OSI GNU L373 NIST-Software SPDX FSF OSI GNU L374 NLPL SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L365	Net-SNMP	SPDX				
L368 Newsletr SPDX FSF OSI L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX Image: SPDX	L366	NetCDF	SPDX				
L369 NGPL SPDX FSF OSI L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX Image: SPDX state sta	L367	NetscapeJavaScript					GNU
L370 NICTA-1.0 SPDX	L368	Newsletr	SPDX				
L371 NIST-PD SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SP	L369	NGPL	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L372 NIST-PD-fallback SPDX SPDX SPDX L373 NIST-Software SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX SPDX	L370	NICTA-1.0	SPDX				
L373 NIST-Software SPDX SPDX L374 NLPL SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L371	NIST-PD	SPDX				
L374 NLPL SPDX SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L372	NIST-PD-fallback	SPDX				
L375 Nokia SPDX FSF OSI GNU	L373	NIST-Software	SPDX				
	L374	NLPL	SPDX				
L376 NoLicense GNU		Nokia	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
	L376	NoLicense					GNU

xii Appendix A

L378 Noweb SPDX I <th< th=""><th>L377</th><th>NOSL</th><th>SPDX</th><th></th><th>FSF</th><th></th><th>GNU</th></th<>	L377	NOSL	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L380 NPL-1.1 SPDX FSF OSI	L378	Noweb	SPDX				
L381 NPOSL-3.0 SPDX OSI L382 NRL SPDX OSI L383 NTP SPDX OSI L384 NTP-0 SPDX OSI L385 O-UDA-1.0 SPDX FSF L386 OCCT-PL SPDX FSF L388 OCLC-2.0 SPDX FSF L389 Oculus VR Rift SDK License FSF FSF L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX FSF L391 OFFIS SPDX FSF L392 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX FSF L393 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX FSF L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX SPDX L395 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX SPDX L396 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX SPDX L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX OSI L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX SPDX L404	L379	NPL-1.0	SPDX				
L382 NRL	L380	NPL-1.1	SPDX		FSF		
L383 NTP SPDX OSI L384 NTP-0 SPDX OSI L385 O-UDA-1.0 SPDX OSI L386 OCCT-PL SPDX OSI L387 OCL-1.0 SPDX FSF L388 OCLC-2.0 SPDX FSF L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX FSF L391 OFFIS SPDX FSF L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX FSF L393 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX FSF L394 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX FSF L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX FSF L396 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX L397 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX L400 OGTSL SPDX L401 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX	L381	NPOSL-3.0	SPDX			OSI	
L384 NTP-0 SPDX Image: spd color of the color	L382	NRL	SPDX				
L385 O-UDA-1.0 SPDX L	L383	NTP	SPDX			OSI	
L386 OCCT-PL SPDX FSF L387 OCL-1.0 FSF FSF L388 OCLC-2.0 SPDX FSF L389 Oculus VR Rift SDK License FSF FSF L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX FSF L391 OFFIS SPDX FSF L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX FSF L393 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX FSF L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX FSF L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX DFSG FSF L396 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX SPDX SPDX L397 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX SPDX OSI L400 OGTSL SPDX OSI L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX OSI L401 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX SPDX L404 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX	L384	NTP-0	SPDX				
L387 OCL-1.0 SPDX FSF L388 OCLC-2.0 SPDX FSF L389 Oculus VR Rift SDK License FSF L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX FSF L391 OFFIS SPDX FSF L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX SPDX L393 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX SPDX L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX FSF OSI L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L396 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX	L385	O-UDA-1.0	SPDX				
L388 OCLC-2.0 SPDX FSF L389 Oculus VR Rift SDK License FSF L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX FSF L391 OFFIS SPDX FSF L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX SPDX L393 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX SPDX L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX DFSG FSF L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX DFSG FSF L396 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX SPDX L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX OSI L400 OGTSL SPDX OSI L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX OSI L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX OSI L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX OSI L404 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L386	OCCT-PL	SPDX				
L389 Oculus VR Rift SDK License FSF L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX L391 OFFIS SPDX L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX L393 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX L396 OFL-1.1-no-RFN SPDX L397 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX L400 OGTSL SPDX L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L387	OCL-1.0			FSF		
L390 ODbL-1.0 SPDX FSF L391 OFFIS SPDX L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX L393 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX L396 OFL-1.1-no-RFN SPDX L397 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX L400 OGTSL SPDX L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L388	OCLC-2.0	SPDX				
L391 OFFIS SPDX	L389	Oculus VR Rift SDK License			FSF		
L392 OFL-1.0 SPDX Image: separate content of the part of the p	L390	ODbL-1.0	SPDX		FSF		
L393 OFL-1.0-no-RFN SPDX Image: Reconstruction of the content of	L391	OFFIS	SPDX				
L394 OFL-1.0-RFN SPDX JESG FSF OSI L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L396 OFL-1.1-no-RFN SPDX SPDX Image: SPDX	L392	OFL-1.0	SPDX				
L395 OFL-1.1 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L396 OFL-1.1-no-RFN SPDX SPDX<	L393	OFL-1.0-no-RFN	SPDX				
L396 OFL-1.1-no-RFN SPDX L397 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX L400 OGTSL SPDX L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L394	OFL-1.0-RFN	SPDX				
L397 OFL-1.1-RFN SPDX L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX L400 OGTSL SPDX L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L395	OFL-1.1	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L398 OGC-1.0 SPDX L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX L400 OGTSL SPDX L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L396	OFL-1.1-no-RFN	SPDX				
L399 OGL-Canada-2.0 SPDX OSI L400 OGTSL SPDX OSI L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX OSI L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX OSI L403 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX OSI L404 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX OSI L404 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX OSI L405 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX OSI L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX OSI L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX OLDAP-2.1 L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX OLDAP-2.2 L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX OLDAP-2.2.1 L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX OLDAP-2.2.2	L397	OFL-1.1-RFN	SPDX				
L400 OGTSL SPDX OSI L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX Image: SPDX of the content of the co	L398	OGC-1.0	SPDX				
L401 OLDAP-1.1 SPDX L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L399	OGL-Canada-2.0	SPDX				
L402 OLDAP-1.2 SPDX L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L400	OGTSL	SPDX			OSI	
L403 OLDAP-1.3 SPDX L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L401	OLDAP-1.1	SPDX				
L404 OLDAP-1.4 SPDX L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L402	OLDAP-1.2	SPDX				
L405 OLDAP-2.0 SPDX L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L403	OLDAP-1.3	SPDX				
L406 OLDAP-2.0.1 SPDX L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L404	OLDAP-1.4	SPDX				
L407 OLDAP-2.1 SPDX L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L405	OLDAP-2.0	SPDX				
L408 OLDAP-2.2 SPDX L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L406	OLDAP-2.0.1	SPDX				
L409 OLDAP-2.2.1 SPDX L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L407	OLDAP-2.1	SPDX				
L410 OLDAP-2.2.2 SPDX	L408	OLDAP-2.2	SPDX				
	L409	OLDAP-2.2.1	SPDX				
L411 OLDAP-2.3 SPDX FSF	L410	OLDAP-2.2.2	SPDX				
	L411	OLDAP-2.3	SPDX		FSF		

Appendix A xiii

L412	OLDAP-2.4	SPDX				
L413	OLDAP-2.5	SPDX				
L414	OLDAP-2.6	SPDX				
L415	OLDAP-2.7	SPDX		FSF		
L416	OLDAP-2.8	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L417	oldOpenLDAP					GNU
L418	OLFL-1.3	SPDX			OSI	
L419	OML	SPDX				
L420	Open Publication License v1.0			FSF		
L421	OpenPBS-2.3	SPDX	DFSG			
L422	OpenSSL	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L423	OpenSSL-standalone	SPDX				
L424	OpenVision	SPDX				
L425	OPL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF		
L426	OPL-UK-3.0	SPDX				
L427	OPUBL-1.0	SPDX				
L428	OriginalBSD					GNU
L429	OSET-PL-2.1	SPDX			OSI	
L430	OSL					GNU
L431	OSL-1.0	SPDX			OSI	
L432	OSL-1.1	SPDX	DFSG			
L433	OSL-2.0	SPDX				
L434	OSL-2.1	SPDX			OSI	
L435	OSL-3.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L436	PADL	SPDX				
L437	Parity-6.0.0	SPDX				
L438	Parity-7.0.0	SPDX				
L439	PerlLicense					GNU
L440	Phorum-2.0			FSF		
L441	PHP-3.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L442	PHP-3.01	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L443	PINE			FSF		GNU
L444	Pixar	SPDX				
L445	Plexus	SPDX				
L446	pnmstitch	SPDX				

xiv Appendix A

L448	L447	PolyForm-Noncommercial-1.0.0	SPDX				
L450 PPL3a SPDX L L GNU L451 PSF-2.0 SPDX L L OSI L452 psfrag SPDX L L L L453 psutils SPDX L FSF GNU L454 PublicDomain SPDX FSF GNU L455 Python-1.6a2 SPDX FSF GNU L456 Python-2.0.1 SPDX FSF FSF GNU L457 Python-1dap SPDX FSF FSF OSI FSF USI FSF QNU FSF QNU FSF USI FSF OSI FSF USI USI FSF USI USI FSF USI	L448		SPDX				
L450 PPL3a SPDX L L GNU L451 PSF-2.0 SPDX J J OSI L452 psfrag SPDX J J J L453 psutils SPDX J FSF J GNU L454 PublicDomain J FSF J GNU L455 Python-1.6a2 SPDX FSF J J L456 Python-2.0.1 SPDX FSF J	L449	PostgreSQL	SPDX			OSI	
L452 psfrag SPDX L L L L L L L L L L FSF GNU L454 PublicDomain - - - FSF GNU L455 Python-1.6a2 - SPDX - FSF GNU L456 Python-2.0.1 SPDX - FSF FSF CNU L457 Python-2.0.1 SPDX - FSF FSF CNU L458 python-ldap SPDX - FSF OSI	L450						GNU
L453	L451	PSF-2.0	SPDX			OSI	
L454 PublicDomain FSF GNU L455 Python-1.6a2 FSF GNU L456 Python-2.0 SPDX FSF GNU L457 Python-2.0.1 SPDX FSF GNU L458 python-ldap SPDX FSF GNU L459 Qhull SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L460 QPL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L461 QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004 SPDX FSF OSI L462 radvd SPDX FSF OSI L463 Rdisc SPDX FSF OSI L464 RHeCos-1.1 SPDX FSF OSI L465 RPL-1.3 FSF OSI OSI L466 RPL-1.5 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L471 Ruby SPDX SPDX SPS GNU	L452	psfrag	SPDX				
L455 Python-1.6a2 spDX FSF spb L456 Python-2.0 spDX FSF spb L457 Python-2.0.1 spDX FSF spb L458 python-ldap spDX spDX spb L459 Qhull spDX DFSG FSF OSI L460 QPL-1.0 spDX DFSG FSF OSI L461 QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004 spDX spDX spo	L453	psutils	SPDX				
L456 Python-2.0 SPDX L	L454	PublicDomain			FSF		GNU
L457 Python-2.0.1 SPDX FSF L L458 python-ldap SPDX FSF L L459 Qhull SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L460 QPL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L461 QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004 SPDX FSF OSI L462 radvd SPDX FSF FSF L463 Rdisc SPDX FSF SPS L464 RHeCos-1.1 SPDX FSF OSI L465 RPL-1.1 SPDX SFSF OSI L466 RPL-1.3 FSF OSI SPDX OSI L467 RPL-1.5 SPDX SPDX OSI SPDX OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX SPDX OSI SPDX OSI SPDX OSI SPDX	L455	Python-1.6a2			FSF		
L458 python-ldap SPDX L	L456	Python-2.0	SPDX				
L459 Qhull SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L460 QPL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L461 QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004 SPDX	L457	Python-2.0.1	SPDX		FSF		
L460 QPL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L461 QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004 SPDX	L458	python-ldap	SPDX				
L461 QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004 SPDX	L459	Qhull	SPDX				
L462 radvd SPDX	L460	QPL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L463 Rdisc SPDX	L461	QPL-1.0-INRIA-2004	SPDX				
L464 RHeCos-1.1 SPDX FSF OSI L465 RPL-1.1 SPDX OSI FSF OSI L466 RPL-1.3 FSF OSI FSF OSI L467 RPL-1.5 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L468 RPSL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX OSI OSI L470 RSCPL SPDX FSF GNU L471 Ruby SPDX FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX FSF GNU L473 SAX-PD-2.0 SPDX FSF FSF FSF L474 Saxpath SPDX FSF FSF GNU L475 SCEA SPDX FSF GNU L476 SchemeReport FSF GNU L478 Scratch FSF GNU L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF FSF GNU L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF FSF FSF <td>L462</td> <td>radvd</td> <td>SPDX</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	L462	radvd	SPDX				
L465 RPL-1.1 SPDX OSI L466 RPL-1.3 FSF OSI L467 RPL-1.5 SPDX OSI L468 RPSL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX OSI COSI	L463	Rdisc	SPDX				
L466 RPL-1.3 SPDX FSF OSI L467 RPL-1.5 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L468 RPSL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX OSI COSI L470 RSCPL SPDX FSF GNU L471 Ruby SPDX FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX FSF GNU L473 SAX-PD-2.0 SPDX FSF GNU L474 Saxpath SPDX FSF FSF L475 SCEA SPDX FSF GNU L476 SchemeReport SPDX FSF GNU L478 Scratch FSF GNU L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF GNU L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF FSF	L464	RHeCos-1.1	SPDX		FSF		
L467 RPL-1.5 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L468 RPSL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX SPDX OSI L470 RSCPL SPDX FSF OSI L471 Ruby SPDX FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX SPDX SAX-PD SPDX L474 Saxpath SPDX	L465	RPL-1.1	SPDX			OSI	
L468 RPSL-1.0 SPDX DFSG FSF OSI L469 RSA-MD SPDX - - - L470 RSCPL SPDX - - OSI L471 Ruby SPDX - FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX -<	L466	RPL-1.3			FSF		
L469 RSA-MD SPDX OSI L470 RSCPL SPDX OSI L471 Ruby SPDX FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX FSF GNU L473 SAX-PD-2.0 SPDX Image: Comparison of the comparison of	L467	RPL-1.5	SPDX			OSI	
L470 RSCPL SPDX OSI L471 Ruby SPDX FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX Image: SP	L468	RPSL-1.0	SPDX	DFSG	FSF	OSI	
L471 Ruby SPDX FSF GNU L472 SAX-PD SPDX	L469	RSA-MD	SPDX				
L472 SAX-PD SPDX Image: SPDX series of the content	L470	RSCPL	SPDX			OSI	
L473 SAX-PD-2.0 SPDX	L471	Ruby	SPDX		FSF		GNU
L474 Saxpath SPDX L475 SCEA SPDX L476 SchemeReport SPDX L477 Scilab-old FSF L478 Scratch FSF L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF	L472	SAX-PD	SPDX				
L475 SCEA SPDX	L473	SAX-PD-2.0	SPDX				
L476 SchemeReport SPDX FSF L477 Scilab-old FSF GNU L478 Scratch FSF GNU L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF FSF L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF	L474	Saxpath	SPDX				
L477 Scilab-old FSF L478 Scratch FSF GNU L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF FSF L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF	L475	SCEA	SPDX				
L478 Scratch FSF GNU L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF FSF L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF	L476	SchemeReport	SPDX				
L479 SCSL-2.8 FSF L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF	L477	Scilab-old			FSF		
L480 Sendmail SPDX FSF	L478	Scratch			FSF		GNU
	L479	SCSL-2.8			FSF		
L481 Sendmail-8.23 SPDX	L480	Sendmail	SPDX		FSF		
	L481	Sendmail-8.23	SPDX				

Appendix A xv

L482	SGI-B-1.0	SPDX				
L483	SGI-B-1.1	SPDX				
L484	SGI-B-2.0	SPDX		FSF		
L485	SGI-OpenGL	SPDX		I DI		
L486	SGIFreeB	SIDA				GNU
L487	SGP4	SPDX				divo
L488	SHL-0.5	SPDX				
L489	SHL-0.51	SPDX				
L490	SimPL-2.0	SPDX			OSI	
L491	SimpleM	DI DA		FSF	051	
L491 L492	SimplePermissive			FSF		
L493	Simple Permissive No Non Warranty			FSF		
L494	SISSL	SPDX		FSF	OSI	GNU
L495	SISSL-1.2	SPDX		FSF	ODI	divo
L496	SL SL	SPDX		1 01		
L497	Sleepycat	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L498	SMLNJ	SPDX		FSF	001	
L499	SMPPL	SPDX		101		
L500	SNIA	SPDX				
L501	snprintf	SPDX				
L502	softSurfer	SPDX				
L503	Soundex	SPDX				
L504	Spencer-86	SPDX		FSF		
L505	Spencer-94	SPDX				
L506	Spencer-99	SPDX				
L507	spin		DFSG			
L508	SPL-1.0	SPDX		FSF	OSI	
L509	Squeak-old			FSF		
L510	ssh-keyscan	SPDX				
L511	SSH-OpenSSH	SPDX				
L512	SSH-short	SPDX				
L513	SSLeay-standalone	SPDX				
L514	SSPL-1.0	SPDX				
L515	SSSCFR-1.1			FSF		
L516	StandardMLofNJ					GNU

xvi Appendix A

L517	SugarCRM-1.1.3	SPDX			
L518	Sun-PPP	SPDX			
L519	SunPro	SPDX			
L520	SWL	SPDX			
L521	swrule	SPDX			
L522	Symlinks	SPDX			
L523	TAPR-OHL-1.0	SPDX			
L524	TCL	SPDX	FSF		
L525	TCP-wrappers	SPDX			
L526	TermReadKey	SPDX			
L527	TGPPL-1.0	SPDX	FSF		
L528	THL-1.1		FSF		
L529	TMate	SPDX			
L530	TORQUE-1.1	SPDX			
L531	TOSL	SPDX			
L532	TPDL	SPDX			
L533	TPL-1.0	SPDX			
L534	TrueCrypt		FSF		
L535	TTWL	SPDX			
L536	TTYP0	SPDX			
L537	TU-Berlin-1.0	SPDX			
L538	TU-Berlin-2.0	SPDX			
L539	UCAR	SPDX			
L540	UCL-1.0	SPDX		OSI	
L541	ulem	SPDX			
L542	UMich-Merit	SPDX			
L543	Unicode-3.0	SPDX			
L544	Unicode-DFS-2012		FSF		
L545	Unicode-DFS-2015	SPDX		OSI	
L546	Unicode-DFS-2016	SPDX			
L547	Unicode-TOU	SPDX			
L548	UnixCrypt	SPDX			
L549	Unlicense	SPDX		OSI	GNU
L550	UPL-1.0	SPDX		OSI	
L551	URT-RLE	SPDX			

Appendix A xvii

L552	UtahPublicLicense				GNU
L553	Vim	SPDX			GNU
L554	VOSTROM	SPDX			
L555	VSL-0.1			OSI	
L556	VSL-1.0	SPDX			
L557	W3C	SPDX			GNU
L558	W3C-19980720	SPDX			
L559	W3C-20150513	SPDX		OSI	
L560	w3m	SPDX			
L561	Watcom-1.0	SPDX			
L562	WebM				GNU
L563	Widget-Workshop	SPDX			
L564	Wsuipa	SPDX			
L565	WTFPL	SPDX	DFSG		GNU
L566	wxWindows			OSI	
L567	X-OZ		DFSG		
L568	X11	SPDX			
L569	X11-distribute-modifications-variant	SPDX			
L570	X11License				GNU
L571	Xdebug-1.03	SPDX			
L572	Xerox	SPDX			
L573	Xfig	SPDX			
L574	XFree86-1.1	SPDX			
L575	xinetd	SPDX			GNU
L576	xkeyboard-config-Zinoviev	SPDX			
L577	xlock	SPDX			
L578	Xnet	SPDX		OSI	
L579	xpp	SPDX			
L580	XSkat	SPDX			
L581	Yahoo				GNU
L582	YPL-1.0	SPDX			
L583	YPL-1.1	SPDX			
L584	Zed	SPDX			
L585	Zeeff	SPDX			
L586	Zend-2.0	SPDX			

xviii				A_{\cdot}	ppend	ix A
L587	Zimbra-1.3	SPDX				
L588	Zimbra-1.4	SPDX				
L589	Zlib	SPDX	DFSG		OSI	GNU
L590	zlib-acknowledgement	SPDX				
L591	Zope					GNU
L592	ZPL-1.1	SPDX				
L593	ZPL-2.0	SPDX				
L594	ZPL-2.1	SPDX				