

**PRINCETON UNIVERSITY**  
**Department of Economics**  
**ECO468/FIN 568**  
**Professor Harrison Hong**  
**Homework Assignment # 4**

The model we consider here is a two asset static version of Hong and Sraer (2011). Please show all work. Please **do not** take formulae from the lecture notes and set  $N = 2$ .

Consider a two period model ( $t = 1, 2$ ). There are two risky asset and a risk-free asset. The risk-free asset is in perfectly elastic supply and pays interest rate  $r > 0$ . Each asset  $i = 1, 2$  delivers a dividend at date 2 of  $d_{i,2}$ . The dividend can be decomposed into its systematic and idiosyncratic components:

$$d_{i,2} = w_i z + \varepsilon_{i,2}$$

where  $z \sim N(\bar{z}, \sigma_z^2)$ ,  $\varepsilon_{i,t} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ ,  $cov(z, \varepsilon_{i,t}) = 0 \forall i$ . Here  $w_i$  is the cashflow beta of asset  $i$  and are assumed to be non-negative. Each asset has a supply  $s_i > 0$  and we assume without loss of generality that

$$\frac{w_1}{s_1} < \frac{w_2}{s_2}$$

We also assume that the supply of risky assets is normalized to 1 ( $s_1 + s_2 = 1$ ), and that the value-weighted average  $w$  in the economy is 1 ( $\frac{s_1 w_1 + s_2 w_2}{s_1 + s_2} = 1$ ).

The population of investors is divided into two groups (H and L) with mass  $\frac{1}{2}$ . At date 1, agents in group H (the optimists) (respectively L, the pessimists) believe that the average aggregate factor is  $\bar{z} + \lambda$  (respectively  $\bar{z} - \lambda$ ), where  $\lambda > 0$ . Agents continue to hold the same belief about the variance and normality of the aggregate factor.

We assume that agents face short-sale costs: shorting  $x$  units of the risky asset costs  $\frac{c}{1+r} x^2$ .

We assume that agents have some initial date 1 wealth  $W_1^k$  where  $k \in \{H, L\}$ . Agents are myopic mean-variance investors with utility function

$$U(W_t^k) = E_t^k[W_{t+1}^k] - \frac{1}{2\gamma} Var_t^k(W_{t+1}^k)$$

This homework will walk you through solving this model. Let  $\mu_{i,t}^k$  be agent  $k$ 's demand for asset  $i$  at time  $t$ , and  $P_{i,t}$  be the price of asset  $i$  at time  $t$ .

- (a) Assume that the optimists will long both assets, and the pessimists will long asset 1 and short asset 2. Write down the FOCs for each agent's optimization problem at time 1.
- (b) Let  $\sigma_c^2 = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \gamma c$ . Let  $S = w_1 \mu_{1,1}^L + w_2 \mu_{2,1}^L$ . Using the FOCs obtained in the previous part, together with the market clearing conditions, solve for  $S$  in terms of exogenous parameters only (not in terms of demand, prices, etc...). Also, express your answers in terms of  $\sigma_c^2$  (instead of  $c$ ), so your answers should not have  $c$  floating around.
- (c) Using the expression for  $S$  obtained in the previous part, together with the FOCs, solve for  $\mu_{i,1}^L$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . That is, solve for the pessimist's demand for both assets. Then use the market clearing conditions to get the optimist's asset demand ( $\mu_{i,1}^H$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ). Express your answers in terms of exogenous parameters only.
- (d) Solve for the asset prices  $P_{i,1}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  in terms of exogenous parameters only (no  $c$ , only  $\sigma_c^2$ ).
- (e) Let  $\theta = \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_c^2} = \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \gamma c}$ . Express the above prices in terms of  $\theta$  and other exogenous parameters. Your answer should no longer depend on  $\sigma_c^2$ . What is the range of  $\theta$ ? Interpret  $\theta$ .
- (f) We have assumed that group H will long both assets, and group L will long asset 1 and short asset 2 in equilibrium. Find conditions on  $2\gamma\lambda$  under which this assumption holds.

*Hint:* There are three conditions we need to verify. First, we need  $\mu_{1,1}^L > 0$  and  $\mu_{2,1}^L < 0$ . This will give an inequality of the form  $u_2 < 2\gamma\lambda < u_1$ . Then you need two more conditions:  $\mu_{1,1}^H > 0$ , and  $\mu_{2,1}^H > 0$ . These two conditions will give two inequalities in the form  $2\gamma\lambda < \eta_1$ ,  $2\gamma\lambda < \eta_2$ . Note that  $\eta_1, \eta_2, u_1$ , and  $u_2$  are expressed only in terms of exogenous parameters (no prices and other demands). Finally, we combine all these inequalities to say that

$$u_2 < 2\gamma\lambda < \max(\eta_1, \eta_2, u_1)$$

- (g) Define the return to asset  $j = 1, 2$  to be  $R_j = d_{j,2} - (1 + r) P_{j,1}$ , and the return to the market portfolio to be  $R_M = s_1 d_{1,2} + s_2 d_{2,2} - s_1 P_{1,1} - s_2 P_{2,1}$ . Define

$$\beta_j = \frac{\text{cov}(R_j, R_M)}{\text{Var}(R_M)}$$

Compute  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . Note that the covariance and variance is computed using the objective measure.

- (h) Compute the expected return for asset 1 and 2. Express the expected return in terms of  $\beta_j, \theta$ , and other exogenous parameters (no  $\sigma_c^2$ ).
- (i) Now suppose that we run a cross-sectional regression for  $R_j$  on  $\beta_j$  and a constant. What is the regression coefficient  $\hat{\mu}$  for  $\beta_j$ ? What happens to  $\hat{\mu}$  as  $c$  goes from 0 to  $\infty$ ? Show that  $\hat{\mu}$  is decreasing with aggregate disagreement  $\lambda$ . Show that this effect is stronger for larger shorting costs  $c$  (i.e., show that  $\hat{\mu}$  decreases at a faster rate with respect to  $\lambda$  as  $c$  gets larger). Interpret the results intuitively.