

Linear Regression HW 1

1.) False, the distribution of ϵ being normal is not included in the Gauss-Markov assumptions of Best Linear Unbiased Estimator.

$$2.) l(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) = \log \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^n} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$$

$$= \log(1) > \log((2\pi\sigma^2)^n) - \dots$$

$$= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \dots$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{-1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)(-1) = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) \checkmark$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{-1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) x_i = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) x_i \checkmark$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{-2nm}{4\pi\sigma^2} - \left(\frac{-1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \right)$$

$$0 = \frac{-n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$$

$$\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 3.) \quad \beta_1 &= \frac{\sum (x_i y_i - \bar{x} \bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i \bar{y} + \bar{x} \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i^2 - \bar{x} x_i - \bar{x} x_i + (\bar{x})^2)} \\
 &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \bar{x} \sum y_i - \bar{y} \sum x_i + \sum \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \bar{x} \sum x_i + \sum \bar{x}^2} \\
 &\supseteq \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \bar{x} \sum y_i - \bar{y} \sum x_i + n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \bar{x} \sum x_i + n \bar{x}^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i - n \bar{x} \bar{y} - n \bar{x} \bar{y} + n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \bar{x} \sum x_i + n \bar{x}^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i - n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \checkmark$$

$$4.) y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + e_i \quad \hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$$

$$E(e_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + e_i - (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i)$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + e_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$$

$$E(e_i) = E[(\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0) + (\beta_1 x_i - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) + e_i]$$

$$= E(\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0) + E(\beta_1 x_i - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) + E(e_i)$$

$$E(e_i) = \underbrace{E(\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0)}_0 + \underbrace{E(\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)x_i}_0$$

The expectations of $(\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0)$ and $(\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)x_i$ are both zero because the residuals are balanced above and below the line.

$$\text{So, } E(e_i) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

5a) No, because ϵ_i should not be included. The expectation of Y_i is $E(\beta_0) + E(\beta_1 x_i) + E(\epsilon_i)$

Since $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$, that term should be left out.

b.) $\beta_0 = 2, \beta_1 = 4, \sigma^2 = 9$

For $x=1, E(y_i) = 2 + 4(1) = 6$

$y \sim N(6, 9)$

For $x=2,$

$E(y_i) = 2 + 4(2) = 10$

$y \sim N(10, 9)$

For $x=4,$

$E(y_i) = 2 + 4(4) = 18$

$y \sim N(18, 9)$

I found the mean for each dist. by plugging each x val into $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ where $\beta_0 = 2$ and $\beta_1 = 4$. This is the mean because it is the average value of Y for each x with an error of 0 since the distances balance out.

6 a.) The slope indicates that for every increase in the critic rating, we expect the audience score to increase by the slope times a one-unit increase in the predictor.

Intercept indicates that for an observation where the critic rating is 0, we expect the audience score to be the intercept on average.

b.) $\beta_0 = 34.5089 \quad \beta_1 = 0.4461$

$$\hat{y}_8 = 34.5089 + 0.4461(8) = \boxed{38.0777}$$

c.) Critics are harsher since they give a lower rating suggested by b.

d.) $\hat{y}_{86} = 34.5089 + 0.4461(86) = \boxed{72.8735}$

e.) The audience since critics rate higher in d.

f.) The regression line is the average prediction result. Some will lie below and some above.

e.) $x = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x \rightarrow x - \beta_1 x = \beta_0 \rightarrow x(1 - \beta_1) = \beta_0$

$$x = \frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_1}$$
$$x = \frac{34.5089}{1 - 0.4461} = \boxed{62.3} \leftarrow$$