56%

Online Student Ratings Report

Period: Fall 2009 Responses/Enrolled: 6 / 9 = 67%

Instructor: McCarthy, Jay A (075667467) College: Physical/Mathematical Sciences

Course: C S 330-001: Concepts of Programng Lang Department: Computer Science

Course	Std Dev	Sect Mean		Dept Mean Instructor/		Univ Mean Instructor/		VSD	SD	D	SwD	SwA	Α	SA	VSA	NR	Res
Amount learned			Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		Rai
	0.75	7.2	7.2 / 6.1	7.0 / 6.7	7.0 / 6.4	7.0 / 6.7		0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	679
Materials & activities Iffective	1.10	7.0	7.0 / 6.3	6.8 / 6.5	6.8 / 6.2	6.8 / 6.5		0	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	0	679
Vell organized	1.17	7.2	7.2 / 6.7	6.9 / 6.5	6.9 / 6.4	6.9 / 6.6		0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	679
Evaluations good neasures of learning	1.51	6.7	6.7 / 6.0	6.4 / 6.2	6.4 / 5.9	6.4 / 6.3		0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	679
Grading procedures	1.51	6.7	6.7 / 6.0	6.8 / 6.5	6.8 / 6.3	6.8 / 6.5		0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	679
ntellectual skills leveloped	1.17	6.8	6.8 / 6.1	7.1 / 6.8	7.1 / 6.4	7.1 / 6.5		0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	679
Testimony strengthened	1.22	6.5	6.5 / 5.9	6.3 / 5.8	6.3 / 5.6	6.3 / 6.3		0	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	0	679
lours spent in class	0.20	2.9	2.9 / 2.6	3.0 / 2.9	3.0 / 3.4	3.0 / 2.8											679
	Std Dev	Sect Mean		Dept Mean Instructor/	Coll Mean Instructor/	Univ Mean Instructor/	0% 10% 20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%		90%	100%	NR	Res
/aluable time in class	27.97	01 7	Overall	Overall	Overall 77.5/75.3	Overall	(0) (10) (20) 0 0 0	(30)	(40)	(50) O	(60)	(70) 1	(80) O	(90) 1	(100)	0	Rai
lours spent out of					8.3 / 5.2		0 0 0	١.	U	U	U	'	U	١.	J	U	
class /aluable time out of	2.32	9.8							ء ا			اما	•				679
elass	23.17	81.7	81.7/77.8	78.8/79.4	<mark>78.8</mark> /78.9	78.8/80.9	0 0 0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	67
Instructor	Std Dev	Sect Mean	Crse Mean Instructor/	Dept Mean Instructor/	Coll Mean Instructor/	Univ Mean Instructor/		VSD	SD	D	SwD	SwA	Α	SA	VSA	NR	Res
nterest in student			Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		Ra
earning Opportunities to get	1.26	7.0		7.4 / 7.1	7.4 / 6.8	7.4 / 7.1		0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	67
nelp Active student	0.55	7.6			7.5 / 6.7			0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	56
nvolvement	1.10	7.0	7.0 / 6.5	7.5 / 6.8	7.5 / 6.5	7.5 / 6.9		0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	67
Prompt feedback	0.52	7.7	7.7 / 6.6	7.8 / 6.4	7.8 / 6.2	7.8 / 6.5		0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	67
Jseful feedback	1.38	6.5	6.5 / 6.1	6.9 / 6.3	6.9 / 6.0	6.9 / 6.4		0	0	0	0	2	1	1	2	0	67
Responded to students respectfully	1.47	6.8	6.8 / 6.8	7.3 / 7.1	7.3 / 6.8	7.3 / 7.0		0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	67
Explained concepts iffectively	1.64	6.5	6.5 / 6.0	7.0 / 6.7	7.0 / 6.3	7.0 / 6.7		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	67
ntegrates gospel into subject	1.47	6.2	6.2 / 6.3	6.6 / 6.3	6.6 / 6.1	6.6 / 6.6		0	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	67
Spiritually inspiring	0.89	7.0	7.0 / 6.4	7.1 / 6.5	7.1 / 6.3	7.1 / 6.7		0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	67
	ı		l	I	1												
Overall	Std Dev	Sect Mean		Dept Mean Instructor/	Instructor/	Univ Mean Instructor/		EP (1)	VP	P (2)	SP	SG	G	VG	EG	NR	Res
Overall Course	1.03	6.7	6.7 / 6.1	Overall 6.8 / 6.5	6.8 / 6.2	Overall 6.8 / 6.7		(1)	(<u>2</u>)	(3)	0	(5) 1	(6) 1	3	(8)	0	67°
Overall Instructor	0.41				7.2 / 6.6			0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1		67
	1		12.7 510	1.72.7 310	1.2.7 510				Ĭ	Ĭ							-
BYU Aims	Std Dev	Sect Mean	Crse Mean Instructor/	Instructor/	Instructor/	Univ Mean Instructor/		VSD (1)	SD	D	SwD	SwA	A	SA	VSA	NR	Res
Contributed to BYU	0.94	7.5	Overall 7.5 / 6.5	Overall 7.4.46.8	7.4 / 6.5	Overall		(1)	(<u>2</u>)	(3)	0	(5) 0	(6) 1	(7)	(8)	0	Ra
ims	0.84	7.5	7.5 / 6.5	7.4 / 0.8	7.4 / 0.5	7.4 / 0.9		0	J	J	0	0	ľ	'	4	U	07

Comments

C S 330 Fall 2009 - Comments

Responses (All Sections): 5 Total

001 Great class, some of the homework takes forever though.

001 I wish all my classes were taught this well. I really feel like this class has made me better at what I want to do.

It's even piqued my curiosity about studying programming languages. Seems like there is a lot of fun and interesting things to be studied there.

001 Honestly, this class was very confusing. I felt like I didn't get too much out of it that I can apply in the real world. I also felt that the class was overly hard. I learn the best when I learn the principle, then learn about the application of the principle. I relate very well with examples. I didn't hear too many examples, or the examples themselves were very abstract. The class was taught with a strong emphasis in academia and theory, as opposed to real-world application.

Having said that, I would definitely take a class from Jay again. He knows exactly what he's talking about. I think what little foibles he might have as a professor will quickly dissolve as he gains more experience as a professor. I also think that his monthly surveys on how the class is going is stellar.

001 I enjoyed this class, but it took me a while to get accustomed to it. Jay is very fair in his grading and knows his stuff well. I really liked that he did mid-course evaluations. He used them to adjust his teaching style and respond to the needs of the class. This really helped out. He was often available in his office and this helped out immensely. You could tell that he cared about the subject and knew his stuff. He was fairly strict on due dates and homework submission procedures. This is good, but it would also be nice if a couple 'late days' were allotted for students to use. I say this because there was a time in the semester when things got really busy and I was unable to finish an assignment, so I turned it in as far as I had completed. It would have been nice to have an extension in order to finish it, but I didn't feel like I could ask for one, because it wasn't an emergency or anything, it was just a busy week. I understand his reasoning, it's just a suggestion for future classes.

001 Very good course; the subject material is well organized.

The course assignments and lectures are very well synchronized with the course's text. All of the projects are very instructive.

The class might benefit from having some short quiz assignments (on BlackBoard, perhaps) to help solidify and reinforce concepts.

The instructor is always helpful.

Happy holidays, what!