

## INDEX

Page	Topic	Date	Category
1.	Children are facing more pressures nowadays from academic, social and commercial perspectives. What are the causes of these pressures and what measures should be taken to reduce these pressures?	19/12/2015 Australia	Family and children
2.	Some people believe they should keep all the money they have earned and should not pay tax to the state. Do you agree or disagree?	19/12/2015 India	Money and taxes
3.	Some people think that cultural traditions may be destroyed when they are used as money-making attractions aimed at tourists. Others believe it is the only way to save these traditions. Discuss on both sides and give your opinion.	19/12/2015 China	Tourism and culture
4.	Some people believe that reading stories from a book is better than watching TV or playing computer games for children. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	12/12/2015 China	Children – Reading vs TV and video games
5.	In many parts of the world, children have more freedom than in the past. Is this a positive or a negative development?	12/12/2015 India	Children and freedom
6.	Because of the global economy, many goods including what we use as daily basic produced by other countries have to be transported for a long distance. To what extent do the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?	12/12/2015 USA	Globalisation – goods transported long distance
7.	Environmental problems are too big for individual countries and individual persons to address. In other words, we have reached the stage where the only way to protect the environment is at an international level. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?	5/12/2015 India	Environment protection
8.	People are surrounded by advertising, which has an increasing effect on our lives. Do you think the positive effects of this outweigh the negative effects?	5/12/2015 China	Advertisements – positives and negatives
9.	Many people believe that today there is a general increase in anti-social behaviour and lack of respect for others. What might have caused this situation? How to improve it?	5/12/2015 Australia	Miscellaneous – Reasons for anti-social behaviour
10.	Interviews form the basic selecting criteria for most large companies. However, some people think that interview is not a reliable method of choosing whom to employ and there are other better methods. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	3/12/2015 China	Job - recruitment criteria
11.	In some countries, fast food restaurants and supermarkets give money to schools to promote their products. Do you think this is a positive or negative development?	10/1/2015 India 3/12/2015 India	Fast food outlets and supermarkets funding schools
12.	Some people believe that young people know about international pop and movie stars but know very less about famous people from the history in their own country. Why is this? How to create more interest in young people to gain more knowledge about their own famous people from history.	21/11/2015 USA	Young people – knowing celebs but not people from history
13	Environmental problems such as pollution and climatic	21/11/2015	Environmental

	variations are increasing nowadays. The governments have taken some measures at a global level. But they got only few solutions. Why is this? How can this problem be solved?	India	problems and solutions
14	Now a lot of people in college are doing academic study. We should encourage them to learn vocational skill (like plumbers and electricians). Do you agree or disagree?	21/11/2015 China	Education – University education vs vocational education
15.	In most of the societies, the role of mother and father differs. What are the causes of this difference? What will be the parental roles in future?	21/11/2015 China	Family – gender issues
16.	If children behave badly should their parents take responsibility and also be punished? State your answer and give your reasons.	21/11/2015 Australia	Juvenile delinquency – should parents be held responsible
17.	Some people say that industrial growth is necessary to solve poverty, but some other people argue that industrial growth is creating environmental problems and it should be stopped. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	19/11/2015 China	Environment and industrial growth
18	Many people use distance-learning programs to study at home, but some people think that it cannot bring the benefit as much as attending college or university. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	19/11/2015 India	Education – Distance learning vs classroom learning
19.	Government needs financial support to encourage the development of sport and art for school students, rather than the general public to spend money to support professional sports, art events.	14/11/2015 China	Sport – should govt. spend on professional sports or school children to take part in sports
20.	Now the machine is very complex, a lot of difficult work to be automated. Machine automation development pros and cons?	14/11/2015 China	Automation with intelligent machines – pros and cons
21.	Newspapers have influenced people's ideas and opinions. What are the reasons for this? Is this a positive or negative situation?	14/11/2015 India	Media – Effect of newspapers – positive or negative.
22.	As economy develops, people get richer. Studies show that people in developing countries feel happier than before, while people in developed countries feel less happy. Why do you think it is? What lessons can we learn from it?	31/1/2015 USA 14/11/2015 Australia	Rich Poor essay
23	People are encouraged to get rid of things in order to get the newest fashion and the latest technology. Do the disadvantages of a throwaway society outweigh the economic advantages?	14/11/2015 USA	Consumerism
24	Once children start school, teachers have more influence than parents on their intellectual and social development. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	7/11/2015 Australia	Education – Influence of teachers vs parents
25	<b>Some people think that the news media nowadays have influenced people's lives in negative ways. To what extent do you agree or disagree?</b>	7/11/2015 China 23/1/16 China	News media – influence negative –

		16/4/16 India	agree/disagree
26	Differences between countries are barely evident these days. Everyone in the world is wearing the same brands and watching the same TV channels and movies. Do you think it is a positive or a negative development?	7/11/2015 Australia 12/3/2016 India 9/1/2016 China	Globalisation – Countries looking similar – positive or negative
27	Some people believe that air travel should be restricted because it causes serious pollution and uses up the world's fuel resources. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	7/11/2015 USA	Air travel should be restricted – Agree/disagree
28	Some students take one year off between finishing school and going to university, in order to travel or to work. Do you think advantages outweigh disadvantages?	28/2/2015 Australia 7/11/2015 China	Gap Year – Advantages and disadvantages
29	Some people say that every human being can create art (e.g. painting), others think only the people born with the ability can create art. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	31/10/2015 China	Nature vs Nurture – Can everyone create art or only those with inborn talent
30	Some people say that economic development is necessary to reduce the poverty in the world. Others say that economic growth should be stopped immediately to stop damaging the environment. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.	31/10/2015 India	Environment and economic development
31	As we are facing more and more problems, which affect the whole planet, good relationships between different countries are becoming more important than ever before. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	31/10/2015 Australia	Government - Global problems need good relations among nations
32	Some people say that playing computer games is bad for children, whereas others say that it has positive effects on the way children develop. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.	24/10/2015 China 2/4/2016 India 27/8/2016 India (agree/disagree)	Computer games – Discuss essay
33	Fewer and fewer people today write by hand using a pen, pencil or brush. What are the reasons. Is this a positive or negative development?	24/10/2015 India	Writing by hand disappearing – positive or negative
34	Students today can access information online, so libraries are not necessary. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	24/10/2015 USA	Libraries vs online information
35	Some people think that teachers should be responsible for teaching students to judge what is right and wrong so that they can behave well. Others say that teachers should only teach students academic subjects. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	24/10/2015 Australia	Education – Role of teachers.
36	Celebrities complain about the way media publicize their private lives. Some people say should accept it as part of their fame. Do you agree or disagree?	10/10/2015 India	Media – should celebs accept the paparazzi
37	Some people think governments should focus on reducing environmental pollution and housing problems to help people prevent illness and disease. To what	10/10/2015 China	Government – Should it focus on environment

	extent do you agree or disagree?		and housing to help people
38	Most employers nowadays put increasing emphasis on social skills. Some people believe that social skills are important in addition to good qualifications for job success. To what extent, do you agree or disagree?	10/10/2015 India 13/8/2016 India	Job – Should employers put equal emphasis on social skills as qualifications
39	Recent advancements in technology have made the TV screen so live that people don't feel to go for any live performance (e.g. live shows or concerts). To what extent do you agree or disagree?	10/10/2015 Australia	Media – Watching live shows or shows on TV
40	Some children find some subjects such as mathematics and philosophy too difficult to learn, so some people argue that those subjects should be optional rather than compulsory. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	8/10/2015 India	Education – Maths and philosophy – optional or compulsory
41	Some people believe that teenagers should concentrate on all school subjects. But, others believe that teenagers should focus on the subject that they are best at or that they find the most interest. Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.	8/10/2015 China	Education – Should teenagers concentrate on all subjects or only on ones they have interest
42	In some cultures the old age is more valued, while in some cultures the youth is more valued. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	8/10/2015 Australia	Old people – value of old age vs value of young age
43	Studies show that many criminals have a low level of education. For this reason, people believe that the best way to reduce crime is to educate people in prison so they can get a job when they leave prison. Do you agree or disagree?	26/9/2015 Australia	Crime – Prison – should people be educated in prison
44	Many different countries have most shops and products as the same. Some consider it a positive development, whereas others consider it negative. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.	26/9/2015 India	Globalisation – similarity in shops and products – positive or negative
45	In some parts of the world, it is becoming increasingly popular to try to find out about the history of your family. Why do people do this? Is this a negative or positive development?	26/9/2015 USA	Family history – Is it important to know it
46	Everyone should become vegetarian because they do not need to eat meat to have a healthy diet. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	19/9/2015 China	Food – Everyone should become veg – Agree/disagree
47	Some people believe that success in life depends on hard work and determination, while others believe that other factors like money and personal appearance are important. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	19/9/2015 India	Success in life – Factors determining
48	Some teachers think that international student exchange would be beneficial for all teenage school students. Do you think its advantages outweigh its disadvantages?	19/9/2015 Australia	Education – Benefits of international student exchange
49	Students at schools and universities learn far more from	12/9/2015	Education –

	lessons with teachers than from other sources (such as the Internet and television). To what extent do you agree or disagree? <a href="https://youtu.be/ihkda4cqboQ">https://youtu.be/ihkda4cqboQ</a>	China 13/2/2016 India	Teachers vs technology
50	Animals are in danger of extinction. Some people say that we should protect only those animals, which are useful to humans. Do you agree or disagree? <a href="https://youtu.be/IQ8C7_he4os">https://youtu.be/IQ8C7_he4os</a>	3/9/2015 India 20/2/2016 India	Animal – We should protect only those which are useful to humans
51	Many people are afraid to leave their home because of crime. Some believe that more action should be taken to prevent crime. While others feel that little action can be done to stop crime. What is your view?	3/9/2015 China	Crime – people afraid to leave home – can anything be done
52	The best way to solve the world's environmental problem is to increase the price of fuel. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?	29/8/2015 India	Environment – Is increasing the fuel price best way to save environment
53	Some people think that universities should provide graduates with the knowledge and skills needed in the workplace in the future. Others think the true function of a university is to provide access to knowledge for its own sake. What do you think are the main functions of a university?	29/8/2015 Australia	Education – Main purpose of universities
54	Some people think that the government should provide assistance to all kinds of artists including painters, musicians and poets, etc. However, other people think that is a waste of money. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	29/8/2015 China	Art and artists – should government fund them
55	Some people think that government should not give international aid since they have disadvantaged people like unemployed and homeless in their own country. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	13/8/2015 India	Government - should it give international aid or help people of own country
56	International travel makes people prejudiced rather than broad-minded. What are its causes and what measures can be taken to solve this problem?	13/8/2015 China	International tourism – problem solution
57	Rich countries often give financial aid to poor countries, but it does not solve the poverty, so rich countries should give other types of help to the poor countries rather than the financial aid. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	8/8/2015 India 21/5/2016 China (vs)	Rich Poor essay
58	Some people who have been in prison become good citizens later. Some people think that they are the best people to talk to school students about the danger of committing a crime. Do you agree or disagree?	8/8/2015 China	Crime – ex-prisoners are the best people to guide school children.
59	The food travels thousands of miles from farm to consumer. Some people think it would be better to our environment and economy if people only ate local produced food. What extent do the advantages outweigh disadvantages?	8/8/2015 USA	Globalisation – local produce vs imported food
60	The natural resources such as oil, forests and fresh water are being consumed at an alarming rate. What problems does it cause? How can we solve these problems?	1/8/2015 China	Environment – Natural resources – Problem solution

61	The most important decision that young people have to follow is what career to choose. Do you agree or disagree?	1/8/2015 India	Young people – Most important decision is career choice – opinion
62	Most of our information comes from the Internet nowadays. Some people say that a large part of the information we get is incorrect. Do you agree or disagree? Give your opinion.	21/3/2015 USA Aug 2015 Australia	Media – Internet – Most info is wrong - Opinion
63	As well as making money, businesses should also have social responsibilities. Do you agree or disagree?	25/7/2015 China	Miscellaneous – Businesses - social onus or just money
64	People have to spend more and more time to travel from their homes for jobs and study. What are the reasons? How can we solve this problem?	25/7/2015 India	Miscellaneous – Commuting time has increased – problem solution
65	The main purpose of public libraries is to provide books and they shouldn't waste their limited resources and space on providing expensive hi-tech media such as computer software, videos and DVDs. To what extent do you agree or disagree.	23/7/2015 USA	Education - Libraries only books or hi-tech media
66	Many people think young people should follow traditions, others argue that young people should be free to be individuals. Discuss both views.	23/7/2015 India	Young people – should follow traditions or do what they want
67	Some people say that the government should give priority to health care whereas others say they should spend on other important priorities. Discuss both views and give your opinion	23/7/2015 China	Government – healthcare vs other issues
68	Government spends much money for education. More money should be spent on free-time activities. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	11/7/2015 India	Government – Education or free time activities
69	Some people say that in our modern age it is unnecessary to teach children about the skills of handwriting. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	11/7/2015 Australia	Education – Handwriting skills not needed today – Agree/disagree
70	Some people believe that countries should invite foreign companies to open their branches, offices and factories in order to develop their economies. Others think countries should invest in their own companies instead. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	11/7/2015 USA	Globalisation – MNCs – discuss essay
71	Advertising discourages people from being different individuals by making us all want to do the same and look the same. Do you agree or disagree?	11/7/2015 China	Advertisements and similarities
72	It is suggested that all young adults should undertake a period of unpaid work, helping people in the community. Does it bring more benefits or drawbacks to the young people?	4/7/2015 China	Young people – unpaid community work – Advantageous or not
73	There are social, medical and technical problems associated with the use of mobile phones. What forms do they take? Do you agree that the problems outweigh the benefits of mobile phones?	4/7/2015 India	Mobile phones – Advantages or disadvantages
74	Old generations often hold some traditional ideas on the correct way of life, thinking and behavior. However,	4/7/2015 Australia	Generation gap – opinion essay

	some people think that it is not helpful for the young generations to prepare for modern life in the future. What's your opinion?		
75	Some people think that government should subsidize food and vegetables to make a healthy food cheaper. Others argue that tax should be set on unhealthy food. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	27/6/2015 India	Government – Imposing fat tax or making healthy food cheaper
76	Many people nowadays do not feel safe either when they are at home or go out. What are the reasons and what can be done to solve this problem?	27/6/2015 China	Crime – People don't feel safe within the home and outside – Problem solution
77	People think it is more important to plant trees in towns and cities than to build more houses. Do you agree or disagree?	12/3/2015 APAC 18/6/2015 USA 27/8/2016 China	Important to plant trees instead of building more houses – agree/disagree
78	In many countries traditional foods are being replaced by international fast foods. This is having a negative effect on both families and societies. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	18/6/2015 India	Food essay – Traditional vs international food
79	In recent years, the number of crimes committed by teenagers in major cities throughout the world is increasing. Discuss this issue. Give reasons and suggest some solutions.	18/6/2015 China	Crime – Juvenile delinquency – Problem solution
80	Individual greed and selfishness has been the basis of the modern society. Some people think that we must return to the older and more traditional values of respect for the family and the local community in order to create a better world to live in. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	18/6/2015 Australia	Modern society greedy and selfish – we must return to older times – agree or disagree
81	University students often focus on one subject. However, some people think that universities should encourage students to learn a range of other subjects. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	13/6/2015 India	Education – university students – one subject or a range of subjects
82	As transport and accommodation problems are increasing in many cities, some governments are encouraging businesses to move to rural areas. Do you think the advantages outweigh disadvantages?	13/6/2015 China	Government – Businesses move to rural areas – advantages or disadvantages
83	Students should pay their full university fees themselves as they benefit from having university studies and not the society as a whole. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	13/6/2015 Australia	Education – University students should pay full fees
84	After completing high school and before going to college or university, some students take a year off either to work or travel. What do you think is better – travelling or working. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of both approaches.	6/6/2015 India	Education – Gap year – Travelling or working
85	Some people think that charity organizations should only offer help to people of their own country. But others believe that these organizations should give aid	6/6/2015 China 20/8/2016	Rich poor essay – charity organisations

	to people in great need wherever they live. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	China	should help people of country or anyone.
86	Some people argue that companies and private individuals, rather than governments, should pay the bill of pollution. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	6/6/2015 USA	Environment – who should pay the bill of pollution – individuals and companies or government
87	In the past, lectures were used as a way of teaching large numbers of students, but now with the development of technology for education, many people think there is no justification for attending lectures. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	30/5/2015 India	Education – need of attending lectures or not
88	Most countries believe that international tourism has harmful effects. Why do they think so? How to change their views?	30/5/2015 China	International tourism – harmful – problem solution
89	Some people think computer and Internet are important in children's study, but others think students can learn effectively in schools and with teachers. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion.	30/5/2015 Australia	Education – Computers and internet vs schools
90	In the last century when a human astronaut first arrived on the Moon he said: "It is a big step for mankind". But some people think it makes little difference to our daily life. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	30/5/2015 USA	Space research – It makes no difference to the life of common man – agree/disagree
91	In some countries around the world men and women are having children late in life. What are the reasons for this development? What are the effects on society and family life?	21/5/2015 China 7/5/2016 Australia	Miscellaneous – People marrying late and having children late – Cause effect essay
92	In some countries the number of people using bikes as main transport mode is decreasing, even though it is so beneficial. Why this is so? How people can be encouraged to use more bicycles?	21/5/2015 India 18/6/2016 and 13/8/2016 Australia	Health – Less use of bikes – Problem solution essay
93	Some people believe that the radio is the best way to get news, while others believe that TV is better for this purpose. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	May USA	Media – Radio vs TV
94	All over the world, people watch foreign films much more than locally produced films. Why? Should the government provide financial support to local film industries?	16/5/2015 India	Miscellaneous – Foreign films – why more popular - solutions
95	Nowadays education quality is very low. Some people think we should encourage our students to evaluate and criticize their teachers. Others believe that it will result in a loss of respect and discipline in the classroom. Discuss on both sides.	16/5/2015 China	Education – Students evaluating teachers - discuss
96	Development in technology causes environmental problems. Some people believe the solution in these	9/5/2015 China	Environment – solutions -

	problems is everyone accepts a simpler way of life, while others say that technology can solve these problems. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.		Discuss
97	Governments should focus their spending on public services rather than on arts such as Music and Painting. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	9/5/2015 Australia	Arts – Government – should government spend on it - opinion
98	The number of TV programs is growing day by day. Some people say that it is good as it gives people more choices, while others say it affects the quality of TV programs. Discuss both and give your opinion.	9/5/2015 India 3/9/2016 China	Media – TV – programs increasing – good or bad
99	Figures show that some countries have an ever-increasing proportion of the population who are aged 15 or younger. What do you think are the current and future effects of this trend for those countries?	30/4/2014 India	Miscellaneous – Population of those below 15 increasing – current and future effects
100	In some countries, more and more adults are living with their parents after graduating from college, university, or even after finding a job. Do the advantages of this outweigh the disadvantages?	30/4/2015 China	Family essay – adult children living with parents – good or bad
101	How important is it for individuals and countries to think about the future, rather than to focus on the present?	30/4/2015 Australia	Miscellaneous – Countries and individuals should think about future or the present
102	Young people who commit serious crimes should be punished in the same way as adults. Do you agree or disagree?	25/4/2015 China	Crime – should juvenile delinquents be punished as adults
103	News media is important in our society. Why is it so important? Do you think its influence is generally positive or negative?	25/4/2015 India	Media – positive or negative influence
104	Many animal species in the world are becoming extinct nowadays. Some people say that countries and individuals should protect these animals from dying out, while others say we should concentrate more on problems of human beings. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	18/4/2015 India	Animals – Should we save them from extinction or focus on human problems
105	Some people say that schools should reward students who show the best academic results, while others believe that it is more important to reward students who show improvements. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	18/4/2015 Australia	Education – Should schools reward meritorious students or those who show improvements
106	Some people believe that studying literature is important for individual character building while others think it is a waste of time. Discuss both points of view and provide your own opinion.	18/4/2015 China/Australia	Education – Importance of the study of literature

107	Some people think that children should obey rules or do what their parents and teachers want them to do, but others think that children controlled too much cannot deal with problems well by themselves. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	11/4/2015 India	Children – should they obey rules or do what they want to do
108	Nowadays, older people who need employment have to compete with younger people. What problems does this cause and what are the solutions.	11/4/2014 China/Australia	Job essay – old people have to compete with young – problem solution
109	Some people say the most important thing about being rich is that it gives you the opportunity to give back or help the poor. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Give reasons for your answer.	11/4/2015 USA	Rich poor – the best thing about being rich is the ability to help poor – agree/disagree
110	Some people think that increasing communication usage of computers and mobile phones by young people has had a negative effect on their reading and writing skills. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	28/3/2015 China/Australia	Education – Technology has negative effect on reading and writing skills
111	Some people think history has nothing or little to tell us, but others think that studying the past history can help us better understand the present. Please discuss the two views.	28/3/2015 India	Education – Importance of history
112	Some people think sports and games are important for society, while others believe they should be taken as leisure activities. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	28/3/2015 USA 1/8/2015 Australia	Sports – Important or just leisure activities
113	Some people believe famous people's support towards International aid organizations draws the attentions to problems, whereas others think celebrities make the problems less important. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.	21/3/2015 APAC	Famous people – Do they draw attention to problems or make them less important
114	Some people think that the government should establish free libraries in each own. Others believe that it is a waste of money since people can access the Internet at home to obtain information. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion.	21/3/2015 India	Education – Libraries – should there be free libraries or is it waste of money
115	Nowadays, many families have both parents working. Some working parents believe other family members like grandparents can take care of their children, while others think childcare centers provide the best care. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	14/3/2015 China/Australia	Children – should they be looked after by grandparents or childcare centres
116	As housing is a basic need for people, governments should provide free housing for everyone who can't afford it. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	14/3/2015 India 12/9/2015 India	Government – Should there be free housing for the poor
117	Today, the life expectancy of people is much higher than before. Some people think that older people should continue to be involved in the workforce. To what extent do you agree or disagree? (Agree)	12/3/2015 India 21/4/2016 India (vs)	Old people – Retirement – Old people should be allowed to work (Agree)
118	Today, the life expectancy of people is much higher than	12/3/2015	Old people –

	before. Some people think that older people should continue to be involved in the workforce. To what extent do you agree or disagree? (disagree)	India 21/4/2016 India (vs)	Retirement – Old people should be allowed to work (Disagree)
119	Some countries pay extremely high salaries for people. Some people believe that the country must not do that and makes a limit for the salaries. Do you agree or disagree?	28/2/2015 India	Job essay – Should there be a limit on salaries
120	A large amount of advertisements nowadays are now targeted at children. Many people say this has negative effects on children and should therefore be banned. Do you agree or disagree?	28/2/2015 USA 20/2/2016 China	Advertisement – targeting children should be banned
121	The use of mobile phone is as antisocial as smoking. Smoking is banned in certain places and so mobile phones should also be banned. To what extent do agree or disagree with this statement?	14/2/2015 China/Australia	Mobile phones should be banned as smoking is
122	Due to developments in science and technology, lifestyles of people across the world are becoming more and more similar to each other. Do you think this a positive or negative development?	14/2/2015 India	Globalisation – Lifestyles similar – positive or negative
123	Some people think we need to give aid to all poor countries. Others, however, argue that we should not give international aids to countries with corruption in their system. Discuss both views and provide your own opinion.	14/2/2015 USA	Rich poor essay – Aid should not be given to countries with corruption
124	Some people say that the best way to improve road safety is to increase the minimum legal age for driving cars or riding motorbikes. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	12/2/2015 China/Australia	Government – Best way to improve road safety is to increase minimum age of driving
125	Most countries do not recycle their waste like paper, glass, and aluminum cans. Why does this happen and what steps can be taken to encourage recycling?	12/2/2015 India 26/9/2015 China	Environment – Why people don't recycle and solutions
126	In many countries, mainly tourists, but not local people, visit museums and historical sites. Why does this happen and what can be done to attract more local people to visit these places?	7/2/2015 China/Australia 14/1/2016 Australia	Museums – Why local people don't visit museums and historical sites
127	Some people think it is important for children to take lessons outside classroom, for example, by visiting places such as local companies or public buildings. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	7/2/2015 India	Education – Lessons outside classrooms by visiting companies is good for children – Agree/disagree
128	Some people believe that teaching children at home is best for a child's development while others think that it is important for children to go to school. Discuss the advantages of both methods and give your own opinion.	7/2/2015 USA 13/2/2016 China	Homeschooling – Discuss essay
129	Some people say that too much attention and resources are given to protect wild animals and birds. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?	31/1/2015 China/Australia	Animals – Too much attention is given to wildlife – Agree/Disagree

130	The use of mobile phones should be banned in public spaces such as library, transportations, and shops. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	31/1/2015 India 24/10/2015 Australia	Mobile phones should be banned in public places
131	It is now possible for scientists and tourists to travel to remote natural environments such as the South Pole. Do you think the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?	29/1/2015 China/Australia	Tourism – Remote tourism – Good or bad
132	Some people believe that courses of performing arts, (eg. dance, music, drama etc.) should be funded by government. Others believe that they should be done through other ways (eg. businesses or student's family). Discuss both view and give your opinion.	29/1/2015 India	Arts/Education – Performing arts courses should be funded by government or others
133	Nowadays, people get information through news and papers, but meanwhile are uncertain about the truth of these news. Should we believe the journalists? What qualities should a good journalist or correspondent have? <a href="https://youtu.be/7dh1ZELb_VA">https://youtu.be/7dh1ZELb_VA</a>	29/1/2015 USA 5/3/2016 China	Media – Should we believe the journalists and the qualities of a journalist
134	Some people think that the amount of noise people make have to be controlled strictly. Others, however, say that people are free to make as much noise as they wish. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	17/1/2015 China/Australia	Noise pollution – Should there be regulation on the noise people can make
135	Health experts believe that walking is a good exercise for health. However, people are walking less nowadays. Why is this happening? How can people be encouraged to walk more?	17/1/2015 India 12/9/2015 Australia	Health – Why people are walking less - Solutions
136	The leaders or directors of organizations are often older people. But some people say that young people can also be a leader. Do you agree or disagree?	10/1/2015 China/Australia	Old people – Leaders or directors – Young vs old
137	In many countries, more and more people are competing for a place to study in universities. Why does this happen? Do you think this is a positive or negative development?	10/1/2015 USA 6/6/2015 Australia	Education – Competition for getting into universities is good or bad
138	It is more important for school children to learn local history than world history. To what extent do you agree or disagree? <a href="https://youtu.be/OJihMx5Kq34">https://youtu.be/OJihMx5Kq34</a>	9/1/2016 Australia	Education – Local history vs world history for school children
139	Holding International games such as the Olympic Games is an exciting event. Some people think it has positive effects while others argue it is a waste of money. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion. <a href="https://youtu.be/ynBJpGpEYE">https://youtu.be/ynBJpGpEYE</a>	9/1/2016 India	Sports – Hosting International games – Discuss
140	Some people think that the government should invest more money in teaching science than other subjects to make progress. Do you agree or disagree? <a href="https://youtu.be/Dkyz6RE_93s">https://youtu.be/Dkyz6RE_93s</a>	9/1/2016 China	Education – Government should spend more on teaching science than other subjects
141	Some people think music plays an important role in society. Others think it is simply a form of entertainment. Discuss both sides of this argument and give your own opinion.	9/1/2016 USA	Music – Important or just an entertainment

	<a href="https://youtu.be/lc-ZH2Unkyg">https://youtu.be/lc-ZH2Unkyg</a>		
142	Leisure is a growing industry, but people no longer entertain themselves as much as they used to because the use of modern technology has made them less creative. Do you agree or disagree? <a href="https://youtu.be/N-xwNOZo02Y">https://youtu.be/N-xwNOZo02Y</a>	14/1/2016 India	Miscellaneous - Leisure and technology
143	A recent newspaper article reported that a 14 year-old boy who seriously destroyed his school got the punishment to clean the streets, instead of being sent to prison. Do you think this is right, or do you think that such criminals should be sent to prison? <a href="https://youtu.be/6k88ZMBdSNo">https://youtu.be/6k88ZMBdSNo</a>	14/1/2016 China	Crime – Juvenile criminal – community work or prison
144	Although nowadays people are reading news through internet, newspapers still remain of value. Do you agree or disagree. <a href="https://youtu.be/szSBuwtiWEk">https://youtu.be/szSBuwtiWEk</a>	23/1/2016 Australia	Media – newspapers vs internet
145	Many people believe that healthy eating and the importance of healthy food should be taught in schools. Others say that parents should teach their kids about healthy food and diet. Discuss both views, give your opinion and relevant examples.	23/1/2016 India	Education – Should schools teach about healthy eating or parents
146	More and more people are using the Internet to do their tasks rather than doing in person. (e.g. banking, shopping, hotel booking etc. ). Do the advantage of this trend outweigh the disadvantage.	30/1/2016 India	Media – Internet – People doing tasks online and not in person
147	Prison is the common way in most countries to solve the problem of crime. However, a more effective solution is to provide people a better education. Agree or disagree.	30/1/2016 Australia	Crime – prison vs education
148	In some cities, the government has tried to reduce traffic. For instance, they imposed a congestion tax during rush hours. Do you think this development is positive or negative?	30/1/2016 China	Government – Congestion tax to reduce traffic
149	In some cities, there are few controls on the design, construction of homes, office buildings, and the owners can decide on the styles of their houses. Do the advantages on this outweigh its drawbacks?	13/2/2016 Australia	Government – Control on design of homes/offices should be with government or people
150	Some people think that schools should teach pupils according to their academic abilities, while others believe that it is better to have pupils with different abilities study together. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	13/2/2016 USA 7/5/2016 China	Education – Selecting students according to ability or teaching them together
151	Human activities have negative effects on plant and animal species. Some people think it is too late to do anything about this problem. Others believe that effective measures can be taken to improve this situation. Discuss both views and give our opinion.	18/2/2016 China	Animal – whether it is too late to save animals or still there is time
152	More and more people are using computers and other electronic devices to access information. So, printing of books, magazines and newspapers should not be done. Do you agree or disagree with this?	18/2/2016 India	Miscellaneous – Computers vs books magazines newspapers
153	Some people believe governments should spend money on building train and subway lines to reduce traffic	20/2/2016 Australia	Government – Should spend on

	congestion. Others think that building more and wider roads are the better way to reduce traffic congestion Discuss both views and give your opinion.		trains and subways or on widening roads
154	In many countries, women are allowed to take maternity leave during the first month after the birth of the new born. Do you think the advantages of maternity leave outweigh the disadvantages?	27/2/2016 China	Miscellaneous – Maternity leave – advantages / disadvantages
155	More and more children from wealthy countries are doing unpaid work in poor countries, such as teaching, building houses etcetera. Why are they doing this? Who gets more benefit, the community or the young people?	27/2/2016 Australia	Rich poor – Children from affluent countries doing voluntary work in poor countries – cause/effect
156	Towns and cities are attractive places. Some suggest the government should spend money putting in more works of art like paintings and statues to make them better to live in. Agree or disagree?	27/2/2016 India	Art – should government spend on works of art like paintings and statues
157	Some people think the main purpose of schools is to turn the children into good citizens and workers, rather than to benefit them as individuals. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion? <a href="https://youtu.be/JV6dJgnn9r8">https://youtu.be/JV6dJgnn9r8</a>	5/3/2016 India	Education – Main purpose of schools is to turn them into good citizens and workers or give personal benefits
158	In recent years the family has changed as well as family roles. Why is this happening and is this situation positive or negative?	5/3/2016 Australia	Family – why are family roles changing and is it positive/negative
159	In modern world, it is no longer necessary to use animals for food or use animal products, for instance, clothing and medicines. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	12/3/2016 China	Animals – not needed for food, clothing, medicine – agree/disagree
160	Some people think health care should be free for everyone. Others think they should pay for their medical costs themselves. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.	19/3/2016 China	Government – should healthcare be free for all or should people pay
161	Nowadays, people live in the society where consumer goods are cheaper to buy. Do you think its advantages outweigh disadvantages?	19/3/2016 Australia	Consumerism – Advantageous or not
162	Many countries construct modern buildings to give good view of towns and cities. Some people say that countries make new buildings with traditional style to preserve their culture as part of their identity. Do you agree or disagree.	31/3/2016 India	Architecture – Should new buildings be built in traditional styles to protect culture
163	Today, many children spend a lot of time playing computer games and less time on sports. Why? Is it a positive or a negative development?	31/3/2016 China	Children – computer games vs outdoor sports
164	Experts say if the old people spend time and get along with others and exercise every day will be healthier and	13/3/2016 Australia	Old people – problem solution

	happier. However, many elderly are suffering from loneliness and lack of fitness. Discuss the causes and solutions?		
165	Individuals and countries cannot help everyone who needs help in the world, so they should only be concerned about their own communities and countries. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	2/4/2016 Australia	Rich poor – Individuals and countries should help only their own country and people
166	Nowadays the football supporters behave violently. What is the cause? How can we solve it?	2/4/2016 USA	Sports – Football hooliganism – causes solutions
167	Some people think that to be successful, you need to get in a university education, whereas others say it is not true. Discuss both ideas and give your own opinion.	2/4/2016 India	Education – success and university education
168	Multicultural societies, where people of different ethnic groups live together can bring more benefits than drawbacks to a society. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	2/4/2016 China	Globalisation – Multicultural societies good or bad
169	In the future, it seems it would be more difficult to live on Earth. Some people think more money should be spent researching on other planet to live such as Mars. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	16/4/2016 Australia	Space research – for living in future should be done or not
170	There are more new towns nowadays. It is more important to include public parks and sports facilities than shopping centres for individuals to spend their free time. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	16/4/2016 China	Government – should make public parks and sports facilities vs shopping centres
171	Some people say that no one should do the same job forever, while others believe that doing the same job is beneficial for the individual, company and society. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	16/4/2016 India	Job – Job hopping or sticking to one job
172	Some businesses find that their new employees lack in basic interpersonal skills such as lack of ability to work with colleagues as a team. What are the causes and suggest possible solutions. Also provide relevant examples from your experience.	21/4/2016 USA	Job essay – New employees lack interpersonal skills – problem solution
173	It is more important for a building to serve a purpose than to look beautiful. Architects shouldn't worry about producing building as a work of art. Do you agree or disagree?	30/4/2016 Some parts India	Buildings – Should be utilitarian or beautiful
174	Certain people believe that only way to reduce crime is sentencing criminals for longer time periods while others believe alternative methods should be used to lower crimes. Discuss both views and your opinion.	30/4/2016 Some parts India	Crime – Longer term in prison is the only way to reduce crime
175	In some countries, university students live away from home and in another city while studying. Do you think the disadvantages outweigh the advantages of living in another city?	30/4/2016 Australia	Education – Should university students live near parents or away
176	People's shopping habits depend more on the age group they belong to than other factors. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	30/4/2016 China	Miscellaneous Shopping habits depend more on age
177	Money offered for postgraduate research is limited; as a	7/5/2016	Education –

	consequence, some people argue that financial support from the government should only be provided for scientific research rather than research for less useful subjects. Do you agree or disagree?	India	Postgrad research has limited funds. So government should spend on research of science subjects only
178	In many countries women are able to join the armed forces just as men. Some people say that only men should be members of the army, navy or air force. Do you agree or disagree?	19/5/2016 China	Men/Women essay – Should only men join the army, navy or air force?
179	It has been observed that in many countries not enough students are choosing to study science subjects at university. What do you think are the causes of the problem? What are the effects on society?	19/5/2016 Australia	Education – Not enough students studying science – Cause effect essay
180	In developing countries, rural children have less access to education. Some people say that the problem can be solved by providing schools and teachers. Others say that computers and internet should be provided. Discuss both sides and give your opinion	21/5/2016 Australia	Education – Schools and teachers vs computers and internet provided to the poor
181	Caring for children is an important thing of the society. It is suggested that all mothers and fathers should be required to take childcare training courses. To what extent do you agree or disagree?	28/5/2016 China	Family – New mothers and fathers should take childcare courses
182	Media and newspaper show vulgar crimes on news, which cause fear and provoke culprits. Some people think that crime news on TV should not be broadcasted. To what extent do you agree or disagree.	28/5/2016 India	Media – Should not show crime news
183	Nowadays young people are admiring media and sports stars, even though they do not set a good example. Do you think this is a positive or negative development?	28/5/2016 Australia	Miscellaneous - Young people admire celebs despite them setting bad examples.
184	There are an increasing number of people who do not know their neighbours. What causes this situation? How to solve it? 298	4/6/2016 India	Miscellaneous – People don't know neighbours – Problem solution
185	It has been suggested that everyone in the world want to own a car, a TV and a fridge. Do you think disadvantage of such a development outweigh advantages 192	4/6/2016 Australia	Miscellaneous – Consumerism – Everyone wants everything
186	Children are taught to push themselves to try and be better than their classmates, rather than work together for everyone's profit. Do you think the advantages outweigh its disadvantages?	4/6/2016 China	Children – competition vs cooperation
187	In some countries, schools arrange work for students without any payment, so that students get experience. To what extent is it beneficial for the student as well as the company or institution?	16/6/2016 India	Education – Unpaid internships as part of school –

			advantages/ disadvantages
188	The days the number of companies operating at multinational level has increased. To what extent are they responsible for the local communities in which they are located?	16/6/2016 Australia	Globalisation – MNCs and their local responsibilities
189	Some people think the government should pay for health care and education, but other people claim that it is the individual's responsibility. Do you agree or disagree? <a href="https://youtu.be/Juz1XhrH9po">https://youtu.be/Juz1XhrH9po</a>	18/6/2016 India	Government – should healthcare and education be free for all or should people pay
190	The best way to remove poverty in developing countries is to provide 6 years of free education to all children so that they can read, write and use numbers. Do you agree or disagree?	25/6/2016 India	Education – 6 years free education to all children is the best way for removing poverty.
191	There are some motives for people to work. Some people think money is the most important. Do you agree or disagree?	25/6/2016 Mexico	Job – motives to work
192	Some people say that the public funds should be spent on promoting healthy living than on the treatment of people who are ill. Do you agree or disagree?	25/6/2016 Australia	Government – Should spend on prevention than treatment
193	It is known to all that the technological and scientific advances have made great changes to the range and quality of our food. Some people regard it as an improvement while others believe that the change is harmful. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.	25/6/2016 China	GM foods – good or bad
194	There is an increasing amount of advertising directed at children, which encourages them to buy goods such as toys and snacks. Many parents are worried that these advertisements put too much pressure on children, while some advertisers claim that they provide useful information to children. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	9/7/2016 China	Advertisements – effect on children
195	Studies suggest that children spend more time watching TV than they did in the past and spend less on doing active or creative things. Why do you think it is the case? What measures and methods can be used to tackle it?	9/7/2016 Australia	Children and Television
196	Many people regard films as less important form of art than literature and painting. Do you agree or disagree?	14/7/2016	Art – Films vs literature and painting
197	The responsibility to prevent global environmental damage is on politicians rather than individuals. Do you agree or disagree?	9/7/2016 India	Environment – politicians vs individuals
198	Some people say that parents should organise free time activities for their children. Others say children should be free to choose what they do in their free time. Discuss both sides and opine.	14/7/2016 India	Children and planning their leisure
199	<u>Some people say that instead of preventing climate change we should find a way to live with it. Do you agree or disagree?</u>	16/7/2016 China	Environment – prevent it or find a way to live with it.

200	In many countries, government spent a large amount of money on improving internet access. Why is it happening and do you think it is the most appropriate use of government money?	16/7/2016 Australia	Technology – Media - Internet
201	Some people believe that women should play an equal role as men in a country's police force or military force, such as the army, while others think women are not suitable for these kinds of jobs. Discuss both views and give your opinion.	30/7/2016 India	Job – Gender issues
202	The gap between the rich and the poor is becoming wider, the rich more richer, the poor even more poorer. What problems can the situation cause and give the solutions?	30/7/2016 Australia	Rich –Poor essay
203	Some people think that the government should decide which subjects students should study at the university, while others think that students should be allowed to apply for the subject they prefer. Discuss the two views and give your opinion.	30/7/2016 China	Education – Should government decide the subjects
204	Nowadays in many countries household waste e.g. food packaging is increasing day by day. What are the causes for that? How can this problem be solved?	4/8/2016 India	Environment – Household waste increasing – problem solution
205	New research has shown that overeating has become a bigger problem in the world than hunger. What are the reasons of this problem? How can you solve it?	4/8/2016	
206	People can eat a wide variety of food of other regions. As a result they are eating a lot of foreign food instead of locally produced food. Do you think the advantages of eating foreign food are more than its harms?	13/8/2016 China (v similar to 59)	Globalisation – Advantages and disadvantages of imported food
207	In some cities people are choosing cars instead of bicycles, while in other cities riding bikes are replacing cars. Why is this the case? Which development do you think is better?	20/8/2016 Australia	Environment – cars vs bicycle
208	Nowadays, people are consuming more and more sugar-based drinks. Why do they do so? Suggest measures to solve the problem.	20/8/2016 Kazakhstan	Health – eating habits
209	Some people think that nowadays children have too much freedom. Do you agree or disagree?	20/8/2016 India	Children – Freedom
210	Consumers are faced with increasing numbers of advertisements from competing companies. To what extent do you think are consumers influenced by advertisements? What measures can be taken to protect them?	9/1/2010 India	Ads and consumerism
211	Intelligence is the most important quality for a leader. Do you agree or disagree?	10/9/2016 India	Miscellaneous – Qualities of a leader
212	Many employees may work at home with the modern technology. Some people claim that it can benefit only the workers, not the employers. Do you agree or disagree?	15/9/2016 India	Job – telecommuting benefits employees

www.MAKKARIELTS.COM

**1. Children are facing more pressures nowadays from academic, social and commercial perspectives. What are the causes of these pressures and what measures should be taken to reduce these pressures?**

It is commonly said that today's children are pressurized and are facing harder and harder academic, social and commercial challenges. This essay intends to discuss the causes of these pressures and suggest some remedial measures. I believe that children must be shielded from such mounting pressures.

Today's child is not competing with the child next door or even of one's town or country. He is competing with the child of the global village. The big planet Earth of yesteryears is a small well-linked global village of today. Parents want their children to excel in every field. They send their children to the best schools where the school bag is often heavier than the child himself. Even after school hours there are private tuitions. Children don't have time to bloom and bring out their hidden talents.

Socially also the children of today are in a huge dilemma. They want to adopt the global culture, whereas their parents force them to confine themselves to the traditions. This sometimes makes them rebel against the rules laid by their elders. Then, they also face peer pressure, which can be good if their peers are good and bad if their peers are not disciplined. This peer pressure coupled with the consumerist society of today can lead to a lot of stress and strain on children. They see the latest models of cell phones and other items with their peers and want to buy them. When they are unable to do so, they get stressed.

The solutions are not simple. Children have to be handled with great care. Parents should have realistic expectations from their children. They should have a pro-active approach and give time to their children. The biggest onus is on the schools and teachers. They should strive to bring out the best in every child and competition and co-operation should both be taught simultaneously. The aim of competition should not be to win at all cost. Children have to be taught to win with modesty and lose with grace.

To summarise, there is no doubt that the children of today are facing so many pressures, but steps should be taken on a war footing to protect their innocent childhood. Otherwise, these pressures will stifle the physical and psychological growth of children.

Plan followed

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Academic pressures*

*Para 2: Social and commercial pressures*

*Para 3: solutions*

*Conclusion*

**2. Some people believe they should keep all the money they have earned and should not pay tax to the state. Do you agree or disagree?**

I disagree with the statement that individuals should not pay taxes to the state. The government runs the country from the taxes it collects. Taxes are collected only from those who earn above a certain minimum limit. It is our moral duty to pay taxes.

Tax money collected by government is used to fund basic amenities, provide various services to citizens and for government administration and projects, running of jails and defense system, and many other operations. It is not wrong to say that "taxes run a country." Thus, it is very important for a government to make people pay taxes.

Let us analyze why people do not want to pay taxes. The main reason is their dissatisfaction with government in serving them. They blame government for things like lack of infrastructure, poverty and unemployment, but they are not completely wrong as tax revenue is misused in some or the other way in every country. In developed countries, however, because of higher satisfaction from government's functioning, citizens may be more willing to pay taxes. Another factor generating dissatisfaction is the tax structure itself. Often the tax system is complex and it drives people away from paying taxes. It is also felt that the tax rates are high and tax slabs are unequal. So they feel it is not unethical if one goes for tax avoidance or tax evasion.

Not paying taxes can drastically affect a country's revenue generation, my own country, India, for that matter. But then, it is equally desired that government come up with a fair tax structure and also make people aware where the taxes are being diverted. Even lowering the tax rates can help a country increase its tax collection as it would increase compliance among the taxpayers. Tax reform should also be fast so that no public grievance or non-compliance remains for long. A proper tax system backed up with strict tax laws can produce the best results.

Summing up, I reiterate my opinion by saying that it is the duty of every citizen to pay tax and the duty of every government to use it appropriately in public interest.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Advantages of taxes*

*Para 2: Why people don't want to pay taxes*

*Para 3: Disadvantages of not paying taxes and what steps to take*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**3. Some people think that cultural traditions may be destroyed when they are used as money-making attractions aimed at tourists. Others believe it is the only way to save these traditions. Discuss on both sides and give your opinion.**

It is irrefutable that cultural traditions attract lure from all over the world and develop local economy. Some individuals are of the opinion that these may be destroyed if they are modified to attract tourists. Others, however, hold the view that if we don't use them for tourism, these cultural attractions will die. In the following paragraphs, I shall discuss both sides of the argument. I, personally side with the latter view.

We have to make these cultural traditions alluring for tourists, because we need tourists. Firstly, tourism boosts our economy and secondly we get a chance to spread our culture to different countries. If our artists and artisans do not earn money from their art, which depicts our culture and tradition, then this art will die off and we'll only be the losers.

Tourism is the backbone of any country's economy and every country does its effort to attract tourists. Many people depend on tourism for their livelihood. People in the food industry, hotel industry and transport industry depend on tourism. Presentation is very important to attract visitors, and to present nicely some change is unavoidable.

On the other hand, when cultural traditions are used as money-making attractions, they lose their original features. Sometimes it makes cultural traditions disappear altogether. However, I believe that culture and tradition are deep rooted and minor superficial changes cannot harm them in any way. Change is the law of nature and all we should look into it that the changes are made with caution to retain the inherent elements of culture.

Summing up, to save cultural traditions we need to make some changes to make them captivating to the tourist of today. If we don't do so we'll lose our cultural traditions altogether and we'll lose our tourists also.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Why we should use cultural attractions for making money*

*Para 2: More advantages of tourism*

*Para 3: Disadvantages of using cultural attractions as money-making ventures*

*Conclusion: to save cultural traditions we need to make some changes to make them*

**4. Some people believe that reading stories from a book is better than watching TV or playing computer games for children. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Reading stories in books is a basic way for children to obtain knowledge and open their minds. Although watching TV and playing computer games are also popular educational methods among children, I believe that reading books is better and offers more advantages than watching TV and playing computer games.

Learning by reading is more effective compared to TV and games because it is an active activity. Like any other skill, reading takes practice. To fully understand the content, children have to give all their attention to the text. Also, more than just understanding a certain topic, children tend to develop imagination, as they imagine the characters from the description they read, which helps them to learn more efficiently and deeply in the future.

Furthermore, reading stories also helps children master language development, as by reading stories, children learn pronunciation and vocabulary. It also increases a child's attention span, and develops the ability to concentrate. It develops children's ability to express themselves more confidently, easily, and clearly in spoken and written terms. It develops and fosters a child's natural curiosity.

On the other hand, the knowledge disseminated by vivid pictures on screen is easier for children to imbibe, but too many moving objects and colorful images can distract children, especially some young kids, from other important tasks. Playing computer games also can be very addicting, as a result of which children fail to do their homework. Additionally, spending too much time before a computer screen or watching TV can lead to health problems such as shortsightedness. Therefore, reading is better for both efficiency and health purpose.

In conclusion, it can be reiterated that reading stories in book is better than watching TV and playing on computers, as it is a more effective way to learn and is better for health.

**Plan Followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Advantages of reading*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: Advantages of computer games and TV with reasons why they are not better than reading*

*Conclusion:*

## 5. In many parts of the world, children are given more freedom than in the past. Is this a positive or a negative development?

The world is changing fast and so are the rules and the norms of society. When grown-ups today compare their childhood with that of today's children, they find that today's children have more freedom than what they used to have. I believe this situation is largely positive.

There are many advantages of children enjoying more freedom. They grow up quicker than their parents did. This produces more liberal, mature and adventurous adults, which obviously has an effect on society in the long run. Today's children follow the global culture, which is the amalgamation of the good points of the various cultures of the world. Consequently, countries progress more rapidly because of this shedding of many traditional ways of doing things and becoming freer and more open societies. For example, in India, if today's youngster wants a dowry free marriage, he will go ahead and have it against all odds, where as earlier youngsters could not take a stand against it as it was considered customary.

Furthermore, today's children have the power of the Internet and the satellite TV in their hands. Today's young generation is the most well informed generation than ever in the history of mankind. They know what their parents and grandparents do not know. They have oceans of opportunities in front of them, which they want to explore. They adopt careers of their choice and do not hesitate walking on the un-trodden path. They are not afraid of taking risks. For instance, earlier children were pressurized by their parents to take up medical, non-medical or commerce streams, but today's children are going into computer animation, computer gaming and other fields, which were unheard of before.

On the other hand, sometimes this freedom can be detrimental for children. They like maturity and fail to see the far-reaching consequences of their actions. It is also the time when teens make mistakes and need someone there to guide them understand what went wrong, take a step backward, analyze, and again take a step forward. Freedoms should come in small steps so that the teens are ready to move forward having proven their ability to handle each small movement. Too much freedom can end them in bad company and also drugs.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that freedom enjoyed by children is, by and large, a good thing, but the onus is on the parents to prepare them for this in small steps, so that the negative side of this excess freedom does not go against them.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Advantages are more than disadvantages*

*Para 1: Advantages*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**6. Because of the global economy, many goods including what we use as daily basics produced by other countries have to be transported for a long distance. To what extent do the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?**

Globalisation has revolutionized our world in many aspects. Now, we don't belong to a big planet Earth. We belong to a small global village. Everything is available everywhere. There are many advantages and disadvantages of transporting goods over a long distance. In my opinion, the pros outweigh the cons.

On the positive side, transporting goods over a long distance gives us a lot of choices. We can taste a variety of fruits and vegetables from all parts of the world. For example, about ten years ago, we hardly saw kiwi fruit, which is from New Zealand. But, now it has a place on every fruit stand. Earlier, we had very few shoe brands like Bata and Carona, but now the market is flooded with Reeboks, Nike, Adidas and other foreign brands.

Secondly, many people get employment in this field. Small businesses have a chance to expand globally and it increases the overall economy of the country. Finally, it helps in developing good relations between countries, which helps in international co-operation and peace. If countries are dependent upon one another's economic success, then armed conflict would be less likely.

On the downside, importing goods can have a negative effect on local culture. This can be seen in countries such as Japan where imported food has become more popular than traditional, local produce, eroding people's understanding of their own food traditions. A second major disadvantage is pollution. When goods are transported thousands of miles by road, sea and air, it increases pollution from exhaust fumes.

To conclude, importing goods has both merits and demerits but the pros outweigh the cons.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: In my opinion, the advantages outweigh the disadvantages*

*Para 1: benefits*

*Para 2: More benefits*

*Para 3: Disadvantages – loss of culture and pollution*

*Conclusion: importing goods has both merits and demerits but the pros outweigh the cons.*

**7. Environmental problems are too big for individual countries and individual persons to address. In other words, we have reached the stage where the only way to protect the environment is at an international level. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?**

Climate change is a phenomenon affecting all people in all walks of life, from individual citizens to whole countries and huge multinational companies. Therefore, I disagree with the notion that the only way to address the environmental problems is at international level. I firmly believe that this colossal problem can only be handled if all individuals contribute; all governments take steps at national level and also join hands at an international level.

Undoubtedly, gigantic steps need to be taken, and are being taken at the international level. The 'COP21' in Paris in November 2015 is a step in this direction. The aim of 'COP21' is well known: to reach a universal agreement limiting the rise in global average temperature to 2°C above pre-industrial levels. All nations are realizing that climate change is one of the greatest challenges faced by mankind today.

Many steps can be taken at the national level. Governments can aware people of the benefits of using energy efficient devices. For instance, this year government of India gave 2 LED bulbs to each government employee at Rs 10/- each under the "Prakash Path" scheme. The cost of each bulb is Rs 400/-. The cost will be recovered by adding a very small amount in each month's electricity bill for about a year. In about 100 cities, the streetlights have been replaced with LED bulbs, which is a saving of a huge amount per day. LED bulbs use a tenth of electricity as compared to other bulbs. Once people realize that by using LED bulbs, their electricity bills will reduce, they will be prepared to make the initial heavy investment also.

Although the international and national level steps are imperative, it is also a fact that individual action combined with governmental action can do a lot more to prevent climate change than if individual citizens were not involved. In a world of six billion people, if everyone stopped wasting water, disposed off their rubbish properly, started accepting recycled material and stopped succumbing to consumerism, then it would go a long way in solving the problem of environment.

Summing up, individual citizens cannot sit back and say it is someone else's responsibility to protect the environment; we must all play our part - individual citizens and governments at the national and international level.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: steps can be taken at the international level*

*Para 2: Steps at national level*

*Para 3: Steps at individual level*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**8. People are surrounded by advertising, which has an increasing effect on our lives.  
Do you think the positive effects of this outweigh the negative effects?**

Whether it is on TV, radio or hoardings at the side of the road, advertisements have become a part of our lives. Advertising is a powerful and persuasive medium. I believe that the beneficial effects of ads overpower the detrimental ones.

On the positive side, advertisements provide us with information on new products. If it were not for electronic and print advertising, many products would not be bought. In this way, advertising provides an important service to manufacturers and some consumers. Additionally, it fuels the advertising industry, creating jobs for thousands of people. In this respect it has become the backbone of many economies of the world.

Furthermore, advertisements touch social issues. For example, when Amitabh Bachhan tells people to bring their children for pulse polio immunization, people listen. Then, there are ads against female foeticide which are very informative. Advertisements also teach a lot about the country from where the ads come. This is because through satellite TV we can see ads from all over the world. For instance, when we see a Japanese advert of a lady in a kimono, we come to know about the traditional clothes of Japan.

However, adverts also have a downside. Because of advertisements, sometimes we buy what tempts us without the insight of what we need actually. Impressive images, videos, or captions are bound to leave an imprint on us. Secondly, ads are very disturbing at times. Television commercials are a great example of this. Just as we are enjoying a TV program, a commercial break occurs, forcing us to listen. Then, ads show dangerous stunts, which may be copied by children and they may get hurt. Finally, some ads target vulnerable children and so children pester their parents to buy things which can upset the family budget.

To conclude, adverts have their advantages and disadvantages. Indubitably, the merits outstrip the demerits. The onus lies on us to look into our real needs and not be swayed by adverts.

**Plan followed**

*Intro – Agree*

*Para 1 – Advantages*

*Para 2 – Advantages*

*Para 3 – negative effects*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

## 9. Many people believe that today there is a general increase in anti-social behaviour and lack of respect for others. What might have caused this situation? How to improve it?

It is unfortunate that in the midst of vast progress in every field of life there is also a growth in the anti-social behaviour and people have become less respectful of each other. This essay intends to analyze some causes of this phenomenon and suggest some ways to ameliorate the situation.

Today, we live in an era of technology in which the whole Earth has shrunk and become a global village. Everybody is connected to everybody through telephone lines and the Internet, but the warmth of relationships has taken a back seat. Most people have more than enough wealth, comfort and freedom, but their hearts desire even more. To satisfy their hearts greed people have become workaholics, and as a result have no time for family and friends. People have become selfish, isolated and indifferent. Each person is busy in his own quest for more. To add to it, the youngsters who are at ease with the new technology think that the elderly are good for nothing and that is why they don't respect them.

The changing family structure is another big cause of this phenomenon. Earlier, people lived in joint families and the grandparents were there to supervise the children. Now there are nuclear families in which both parents go out to work, and children are left unattended in the hands of pervasive media like the TV and the Internet. No one monitors what they watch and they see the programs full of violence and crime, which makes them anti social. The pressure of consumerist society and peers also breeds anti social behaviour. To add to it, the values of traditional culture are being lost and people are following the global culture, which is also considered anti social by the orthodox elderly.

There are many solutions to this problem. To begin with, people have to learn to strike a balance between work and family life. Government should also fix the maximum hours a worker can work per week so that exploitation is not there in the job market. People should revert back to the old joint family system. This would be in the benefit of all. The children would learn moral values and the elderly would be well looked after. Negative effects of excessive consumerism should be taught to the people. Media can play a big role in highlighting the good points of the traditional and the western culture so that the people can adopt good social values. Neighbourhood associations should be set up to connect people to each other.

Summing up, anti social behaviour and mutual lack of respect in today's times can be dealt with by taking simple measures, and individuals and governments should collectively take these steps.

### Plan followed

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Causes*

*Para 2: More causes*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**10. Interviews form the basic selecting criteria for most large companies. However, some people think that interview is not a reliable method of choosing whom to employ and there are other better methods. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Recruiting new employees can be a long and painful process. The success of any business or organisation depends on the quality of its staff. Some employers conduct interviews to select new workers. I agree with the given statement which says that there are various other approaches. This essay intends to analyze methods of hiring new employees.

Undoubtedly, an interview is an important method of recruitment. If selection has to be done merely on an interview, then the interview has to be well executed. It requires a detailed understanding of the organizational needs as well as a careful grasp of the prospective employees' responses. It is not an easy task. If the recruitment is small scale and only a few employees are needed, it can prove fruitful otherwise alternative methods to hire have to be taken into account.

There are many other methods, which can be employed to hire new personnel. These hiring methods are a combination of several step-by-step tests. Initially, job vacancies are advertised, after which the recruiters select resumes, which meet the basic requirements for that particular job position. Then the written test is conducted to judge the skills and knowledge of the person. This is followed by group discussions and some other skill tests. Then the shortlisted candidates are interviewed. After this, background checks and reference checks are done of those shortlisted after the interview. It is important to check the credit record and criminal record. The reference checks are done to ascertain the authenticity of what is written in the resume. Finally, the selected candidates are sent for a health check to rule out any communicable diseases.

Analyzing the above mentioned methods it can be seen that no single method is perfect. Each job has its own requirements. Some jobs require qualification and some require experience. Big companies have a department devoted to human resources, which takes care of the hiring issues, but for small businesses finding the right employees at the right times can be an especially time-consuming and frustrating struggle. External recruitment agencies can be assigned the task of searching suitable candidates for jobs, but it may be very expensive. However, I believe that spending on the recruitment of new employees can prove to be a good investment, as good employees can breathe new life into your business.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that finding suitable employees is an arduous task and has to be a combination of written tests, group discussions, interviews and reference checks.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Importance of interviews*

*Para 2: Other methods*

*Para 3: More methods and own opinion*

*Conclusion:*

**11. In some countries, fast food restaurants and supermarkets give money to schools to promote their products. Do you think this is a positive or negative development?**

In the highly competitive era of today, marketers such as restaurants and supermarkets have realized the marketing opportunity offered by schools and so are giving money to schools. Even though the administrators of schools are using that money to raise funds for school activities, this is definitely disadvantageous for school children.

To begin with, adverts of fast foods and their availability within school premises would help to develop children's taste for such foods. This can increase problems like obesity, which in turn is the root cause of many other health issues among children. As it is, children today have sedentary lifestyles, which makes it imperative that healthier food options should be made available to them. The welfare of students should be the top priority of schools and they should not fall in the bait of these companies for monetary benefits.

Secondly, such commercial intrusions within the academic environment can promote consumerism. For instance, if schools promote advertisements of any products like toys or computer games from supermarkets through educational materials, billboards or school television, children would think that they have the approval of teachers and school authorities. Consequently, these vulnerable children pester their parents to buy those products, which can upset the family budget. This is a very vivid example of how commercialization of schools can promote materialism in children.

Supporters of such practices claim that the money such companies give for promoting their products inside the campus, helps financially-strapped schools to buy library books or improve sports facilities. They claim that children benefit from the additional resources and facilities that schools can afford due to such funding. What they fail to see is that commercial intrusions are already present in children's lives these days and there is no scope for more.

To sum up, it is definitely a negative development to use schools to advertise their products as this may lead to unhealthy eating and a materialistic attitude in children

**Plan followed**

*Intro: It is a negative development*

*Para 1: It promotes unhealthy eating and thus obesity*

*Para 2: It promotes consumerism*

*Para 3: View of the supporters of such practices*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**12. Some people believe that young people know about international pop and movie stars but know very less about famous people from the history in their own country. Why is this? How can more interest be created in young people to gain more knowledge about their own famous people from history?**

It is irrefutable that today we are flooded with information about everything. The youth of today know about global celebs but are increasingly ill informed about their own historical personalities. This essay shall analyse why this is happening, and also suggest some measures, to generate their interest in historical events and people.

The first and major reason for this is that the education system in most of the countries is test-based. Students are only taught to get good grades and as a result, they only focus on passing their exams, rather than gaining knowledge about any topic. Their interest is never developed in history and heroes of our past. On top of that, the youth today is more fascinated towards the celebrities because of the exposure and importance the media gives to them. Furthermore, most of the families have now started living in nuclear setups, where both the parents are working, and because of this the children's education about their roots and history has taken a back seat.

There are many methods that can be used by parents, schools and the media to develop the interest of youngsters in knowing about the famous people from history. The schools can incorporate technology in teaching methods, like the use of audio-visual aids. Educational trips to museums and famous historical places also help develop the children's interest. The government should set up museums and encourage children to visit them by highly subsidizing tickets for the students. For example, the Shaheed Bhagat Singh Museum has become a great source of information about the freedom struggle and the sacrifices made by freedom fighters. Also, the Virasat-e-Khalsa museum has become very popular among the youth as they can learn a lot about Sikh history and culture.

Moreover, TV shows and movies can be made around famous historical personalities and their contributions. For instance, the recent Bollywood movie Bajirao Mastani, has been a super hit at the box office and has made a lot of people more aware about historical events and characters. TV channels like History channel has shows which run throughout the day, giving information about famous people and events from history.

To conclude, there are several innovative and creative methods that can be used to make the youth more interested and aware about the notable people from history. This effort has to be made by parents, at schools and media also has a big role to play in spreading this awareness.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Steps, which can be taken*

*Para 3: More steps*

*Conclusion:*

**13. Environmental problems such as pollution and climatic variations are increasing nowadays. The governments have taken some measures at a global level. But they got only few solutions. Why is it so? How can this problem be solved?**

Saving the environment has become the top priority across the world. No country in the world disagrees with the fact that the Earth is at the brink of a climatic disaster, but the measures taken neither have legs nor the lengths to address the issue. This essay intends to look into why the measures have always fallen short of the mark, and suggest some solutions to mitigate the problem.

The first reason why global measures have failed is that the developed world is shying away from the burden it needs to bear for the damage it did to the environment in the colonial industrial revolution times. Hence we are stuck in a limbo of bipolar world of developing nations on one side and the developed on the other. And the compromises reached between the two have always been far from satisfactory. If we need to see a real positive change, the developed world has to do more than the developing world. It cannot just say that everyone should play an equal role. It can do so by providing wealth and technology related to renewable sources of energy to the developing and the least developed countries.

The second major reason of not being able to do for the environment is that our governments are acting in the interests of powerful lobbies and corporations. For example, the fossil fuel industries are trillion dollar businesses. If clean energy succeeds, these giants would lose. The clout of these is so strong that instead of acting with ambition and urgency, the governments are making feeble efforts. The solution, for this would be to create awareness that fossil fuel industries have to step down to let the greener energies succeed.

Finally, development and environment are always seen at loggerheads with each other. Environment always ends up taking a back seat to development. Thus, looking at the two as separate entities has delayed and brought us to our current position. The answer to this is sustainable development, which is development that meets the needs of the present, without compromising the environment.

To sum up, it can be seen clearly, why the steps taken so far have failed to save the environment. However, the time has come to do some soul-searching and take pro-active steps for the environment.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: First reason of failure with solution*

*Para 2: Second reason of failure with solution*

*Para 3: Third reason with solution*

*Conclusion*

**14. Now a lot of people in college are doing academic study. We should encourage them to learn vocational skill (like plumbers and electricians). Do you agree or disagree?**

People have different views about tertiary education. Some believe that it is very beneficial for the nation if majority of the youngsters go for higher education. However, others opine that they should go in for some vocational training to start earning soon. I firmly believe that university education has an edge over vocational education.

The option to start work straight after school seems attractive to some for several reasons. Many young people want to start earning money as soon as possible. In this way, they can become independent, and they will be able to afford their own house or start a family. In terms of their career, young people who decide to find work, rather than continue their studies, may progress more quickly. They will have the chance to gain real experience and learn practical skills related to their chosen profession. This may lead to promotions and a successful career.

On the other hand, I believe that it is more beneficial for students to continue their studies. Firstly, academic qualifications are required in many professions. For example, it is impossible to become a doctor, teacher or lawyer without having the relevant degree. Another advantage of graduating from a university is that it gives you more choices when it comes to choosing a job. Most employers will be more impressed by a candidate who has a degree than they would be by one who only has high school qualifications because it shows a certain level of intelligence and education, as well as the commitment and self-discipline that is needed in order to study a degree course for three or four years. University graduates also tend to earn higher salaries than those with fewer qualifications.

Furthermore, the job market is becoming increasingly competitive, and sometimes there are hundreds of applicants for one position in a company. Young people who do not have qualifications from a university or college will not be able to compete. What is more, those who work in the construction work or as car mechanics will always have to work under those who have civil and mechanical engineering degrees.

To sum up, for the reasons mentioned above, it seems to me that students are more likely to be successful in their careers if they continue their studies beyond school level.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of stepping into the job market before university education*

*Para 2: Advantages of university education*

*Para 3: Own view.*

*Conclusion: University education is definitely better*

### 15. In most of the societies, the role of mother and father differs. What are the causes of this difference? What will be the parental roles in future?

Families are the building blocks of the society. Parents play an incredible, crucial and indispensable role for the development of children. Fathers and mothers both have an individuality, and are equally responsible for the growth of the child physically, mentally and emotionally. But still most of the societies have a different thinking that their role differs. So here I would like to discuss the reasons of these differences.

In most of the societies, especially the remote areas and villages, people believe that role of mother is just to give birth to a child and look after child's schooling, eating and home work and father is the one who brings the money for bread and butter and doesn't have to do anything with the child's care. Due to this, women are deprived of working out and even are deprived of education. First and foremost reason for these differences is that people are illiterate and 60% of the people are still below the poverty line. They are not aware of the good and bad things. Secondly, they follow the old fuddy-duddy customs and traditions.

Thirdly, I believe that in these old fashioned societies people don't have family planning, birth rate is high so women are forced to sit at home and feed the children. Therefore, all the burden of child's development comes on her shoulders and men have nothing to do except working and earning money. Just imagine if a father is asked in which class his child studies he has to think so hard and even then he cannot recollect. If women go out and work, then older people get skeptical and scold them, as they are narrow minded.

However, in the future the scenario will change. We are living in an era of globalization and westernization in 21<sup>st</sup> century. We are influenced by western culture. Nowadays people are educated, literate and aware of things and are broadminded. Parents understand their responsibility and work equally for the holistic development of the child. So, in the future conditions will improve a lot. Parents will plan the things for the bright and shiny future of their children. And they will be united and the child will not be deprived of the fathers love. Both will work so that they can do savings in the future for their child and presently in urban areas things are like this only.

To conclude, there are many reasons for the gender differences in parental roles, but the future is predicted to hold no such differences.

#### Plan followed

*Intro: So here I would like to discuss the reasons of these differences.*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Third reason*

*Para 3: the future scenario*

*Conclusion:*

**16. If children behave badly should their parents take responsibility and also be punished? State your answer and give your reasons. OR If a five year old commits a crime (any kind), should his/her parents accept responsibility and how should they be punished. What is the age of a child when parents no longer have the responsibility of a child's behaviour?**

The debate on whether parents should be held responsible for their children's misdeeds has been going on for ages and people are divided on the issue. I believe that parents should be held responsible if their children commit any crime. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

To begin with, if parents know that they will be held responsible for their children's inappropriate actions, they will see to it that their children are well supervised and well cared for. Parents are responsible for bringing their children on Earth and only they are responsible for raising them in such a way that they act appropriately in society. Everyone knows that juveniles make mistakes but it is the onus of the parents to keep an eye on them so that they do not exceed their limits and commit grave crimes.

Secondly, it has been seen that if parents are held responsible then it would definitely lead to an improvement in family life. Such parental responsibility would become a cultural norm and families will develop closer bonds. Divorces would become rare and broken families would also become less. Finally, children are also less likely to commit a crime if they know that their parents would be held responsible.

There are those who oppose holding the parents responsible. They say that children today are independent and there are many external influences, which affect the behaviour of children such as TV and peer pressure. However, I firmly believe that if parents take care of their children and monitor their activities and friends circle, the effect of external influences could never be so overpowering that children commit crimes. Parents could be made to pay fine if children commit petty crime and the punishment could also be more severe such as a heavy fine or imprisonment if the child commits serious crime such as murder.

Summing up, if we want to reduce the number of such crimes, we need to make parents take more responsibility.

***Plan followed***

*Intro: parents should have responsibility*

*Para 1: Reason 1*

*Para 2: Reason 2*

*Para 3: Opponents view and restate your view*

*Conclusion:*

**17. Some people say that industrial growth is necessary to solve poverty, but some other people argue that industrial growth is creating environmental problems and it should be stopped. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

The persistent problem of poverty in the developing world has put a question mark on the relation between economic growth and poverty. Some individuals are of the opinion that when economy develops, because of industrial growth, poverty comes down. Others believe that economic growth leads to poverty. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. I, however, agree with the former view.

To begin with, industrial growth benefits nearly all citizens of a country, even if not equally, and therefore reduces poverty. For example, in rural areas, most of the poor are engaged in agriculture. When such a country grows through agricultural exports, it benefits both poor farmers and the even poorer labourers they employ. Similarly, rapid growth in manufacturing sector because of increase in demand creates a lot of new jobs, and eventually causes a rise in wages that further reduces poverty.

My second argument comes from historical records. Western countries began discovering the positive relation between economic growth and poverty reduction around 1820 and as a result the living standards in Europe and the United States improved many fold in the next years. Economic growth thus eliminated mass poverty in what is today considered the developed world. Even a short-term view confirms that the recent acceleration of growth in many developing countries has reduced poverty, measured the same way.

The opponents of this view claim that economic progress leads to inequality between the rich and the poor. They opine that a handful of rich are getting richer while the poor are being driven to the wall. However, results have proved that such inequality is only short lived and everyone has benefited in the long run. It is because of the high initial inequality that it appears as if economic growth is leading to poverty.

Summing up, industrial progress definitely reduces poverty. Sometimes, there is unequal distribution of wealth in the initial stages of economic development. Nonetheless, everyone benefits eventually.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: when economy develops, poverty comes down*

*Para 1: Reason for saying so*

*Para 2: My second argument*

*Para 3: opponents view -*

*Conclusion: economic progress definitely reduces poverty*

**18. Many people use distance-learning programs to study at home, but some people think that it cannot bring the benefit as much as attending college or university. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is undeniable that the distance learning mode is very useful, especially for those living in remote areas, for those who are physically challenged and for those who want to do a job while studying. However, there are many advantages in actually attending a university, which would not accrue to a person who prefers to learn through the mode of distance education. Let us see how going to a university scores over the distance-mode.

In the very first place, going to a university provides the opportunity of face-to-face interaction with the teachers. Classroom lectures are more educative and enlightening than mere reading of written courseware due to the direct interaction between the students and the teachers. The student not only masters the contents of the prescribed lessons, but also gains a deeper insight into the subject as the teacher elucidates from his own experiences. If there are any doubts in the mind of the student, the teacher can readily offer the necessary clarifications. If he has not been able to fully comprehend any particular aspect of the lesson, he can request that it be explained to him again. Students can also help each other through the exchange of ideas and information, after the class hours.

Secondly, one learns in a more disciplined atmosphere. One has to attend the classes regularly and in time. This results in a more focused and systematic study. This leads to better preparation for the examinations and consequently better results. Also, one can easily access reference books and other valuable reading material from the University Library.

Apart from the academic curriculum, the student also gains by useful participation in other extra-curricular activities like sports, competitions, contests and the like. All this helps in the sharpening of his communication skills and the overall development of his personality. He develops better team spirit and learns the art of working with others in a group. Another great advantage is that of campus placement opportunities. Many reputed companies approach the good universities with offers of campus placements for their students. They are thus saved from the uncertainty and hassles of a later job hunt.

To conclude, a university education is certainly packed with several privileges.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: going to a university scores over the distance-mode.*

*Para 1: 1<sup>st</sup> argument*

*Para 2: 2<sup>nd</sup> argument*

*Para 3: 3<sup>rd</sup> argument*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**19. Government needs to spend money to encourage the development of sport and art for school students, rather than to support professional sports and art events.  
Do you agree or disagree?**

The professional sports and the cultural programs have been in existence for a long time and have played an important part in people's life. Therefore, I disagree that government should direct the funds allocated to such events towards encouraging sports and arts among school students. A number of arguments support my opinion.

To begin with, the professional sports bring name and fame to a country. Countries, which spend a lot on such sports, are always leading in sports in the Olympic Games, the World Cup and other such international competitions. Professional sports also boost the economy as millions of spectators come to see and cheer for their favorite teams in the stadiums. There are also big benefits from advertisements on TV as millions of people sit in front of TV and watch sports shows.

Furthermore, the cultural programs are effective ways that help people relax and reduce stress after work. Every day, there are a variety of cultural performances happening all over the world. These fascinate thousands of young people as well as adults. These cultural programs not only enrich people's mind, but also spread our rich culture globally.

Finally, it is difficult to motivate children to take up sports and arts without naming and praising super stars in professional activities. The success from people such as Sachin Tendulkar (a cricket player) or A R Rehman (a musician) is even more important in encouraging children to participate in sport and art subjects than any other kind of motivators. How could we tell our children to put more efforts on arts and sports if it would not lead to any money and success in the future?

To sum up, it can be reiterated that spending on professional sports and cultural programs is imperative for the governments. Promoting sports in schools should also be there, but not at the expense of professional sports and cultural events.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Reasons for promoting professional sports events*

*Para 2: Reasons for promoting arts events*

*Para 3: Another reason for promoting both*

*Conclusion*

## 20. Now the machine is very complex, and a lot of difficult work is automated. Does this machine automation have more pros than cons?

Modern technology has equipped us with machines, which are working like robots. There are positive and negative effects of these machines on the individual and the society, which I shall highlight in this essay. Overall the pros outweigh the cons.

On the positive side, machines are faster, more convenient and energy saving. Households and industries are much facilitated with machines. Personal use of machines gives people more free time to spend with family and pursue hobbies. Likewise, industrial use of machines reduces manpower for work and increases output. In the words of Oscar Wilde – “On mechanical slavery, on the slavery of the machine, the future of the world depends.”

Moreover, computer machines or robots manage our banks and even the tickets at the airport are issued by machine-robot. The computerization certainly relieves human beings from dull repetitive tasks without any human error. What is more, robotic surgery is being done to overcome the limitation of human beings such as trembling of human hands. Microscopic surgeries and eye operations can be better done with the help of robotic surgeons.

On the negative side, machines reduce the need of manual work, which can lead to unemployment especially in the developing countries. What is more, insufficient knowledge of machine handling and operating is detrimental to uneducated workers and they end up getting injuries or disabilities while working with machines. Technical failures can also disturb the whole working system and lead to losses. Other negative effects of machines are on the health of individuals, especially the housewives who rely heavily on machines for the household chores. Machines are a failure where creative work is required. For example, machines cannot reproduce the unique and original work done by many artisans. It has been rightly said that a machine can do the work of a thousand ordinary men, but no machine can do the work of one extraordinary man.

Overall, the positive effects of machines outweigh the negative effects on the individual and society. However, in developing countries, in order to meet the employment needs of the population, a balance has to be maintained between manual work and mechanization.

### Plan followed

*Intro: Overall the pros outweigh the cons*

*Para 1: Advantages of machines*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion: pros more than cons*

## 21. Newspapers have influenced people's ideas and opinions. What are the reasons for this? Is this a positive or a negative situation?

Media has an indubitable role in refining the outlook of people. This essay intends to analyze the reasons for this. Admittedly, the influence created by media is largely positive, although there is a slight negative effect also.

Firstly, the diverse news in print media brings out huge responses and emotions in people. Newspapers have a wide coverage and manage to fetch the latest happenings around the globe. The way news items are reported influences the way people think and act. Moreover, media always presents the news in an appealing way, which catches people's attention and they want to dig into it deeper. People find such news hard to be neglected. The quest of people to know more about the world is undoubtedly well looked after by the media. It is the media because of which the people are aware about what the government is doing for them, and through letters to the editor they can reach out their voice to be heard by the government. People realize that media is a link between them and the government, and so it influences their opinions even more.

The influence created by the media is undoubtedly positive as knowledge about one's fellow beings makes one more empathetic and alert. The fact that media update people with both good and bad latest happenings is a helpful influence. For example, media's wide coverage of rapes and murders in surrounding areas creates sympathy as well as alertness in people. The live television of instances like earthquakes and floods helps people to be pro active. For example, when tsunami hit Japan in 2011, people from all over the world came out to help. The varied other information like entertainment, sports and arts is good enough to ease the stress level.

On the other hand, although there are some unhealthy practices like gutter-press journalism, cheque-book journalism and libel writing, people today are mature enough to take it all with a pinch of salt, and use their own analytical ability to shape their judgement. Such media, which takes the help of ill-founded news and other wrong means cannot sustain long. On the whole, media always act as custodians of the interest of the general public.

To conclude, media is powerful enough to influence ones attitudes and thought process because of many reasons. However, such changes always act positively despite some drawbacks.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Positive impact*

*Para 3: Negative impact*

*Conclusion:*

## 22. The economic growth in developing and developed countries is making people richer. However the research indicates that people in the developing countries is happier than people in developed countries. What is your opinion on this?

There is no denying the fact that economic growth has improved the standard of living all over the world. However, growth in global economy may mean different things to different people. To the developing world, recent growth may be coupled with a rise in standards of living, and thus seen as positive. To the already developed countries, this growth brings with it increased global competitiveness, and is thus seen as negative. Both sides will be analyzed in this essay. The lesson we get from this different perception towards development of global economy shall also be discussed.

For people in the developing world it means better opportunities for personal and professional growth. More and more people in developing countries such as India and China now earn more than enough to lead comfortable lives. Now they have high disposable incomes that make it possible for them to lead luxurious lives. They can now buy the latest gadgets, travel to the most exotic places on earth and own luxury apartments and cars. Their children now get world class education and healthcare. None of these would have been possible if the economy wasn't growing. Needless to say, for people in the developing world growth in the economy is a positive development.

Now let us see what global economic growth means to people in the developed world. Majority of people in the developed world already lead comfortable lives. They already possess disposable income and the recent growth in the global economy hasn't really done anything to further improve their standard of living. On the other hand, they now face stiff competition from their counterparts in the developing countries. Their market share has started eroding. They are no longer the most sought after candidates for jobs even in their own countries. Worse still, more and more jobs now get outsourced to the developing world, where the cost of labour is low compared to that in the West. As a result of this people in the developed world are now losing their jobs. Needless to say, they aren't all that happy with this sudden spurt in global economy.

The lesson we learn is that the growth of economy in developing world should not hamper the economy of the people of the developed countries. The focus should be on raising the bottom without pulling down the top. Jobs and opportunities for the people of the developing countries should be generated within their countries and not in the developed countries. Governments of developed countries should not lay-off their people to give low paid jobs to others.

The facts given above should explain why researchers feel that people in the developing countries are happier with the growth in global economy than the people in the developed world. These viewpoints are not expected to change much into the foreseeable future unless some steps are taken.

### Plan followed

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why developing countries are happier*

*Para 2: Why developed countries are less happy*

*Para 3: lessons we learn*

*Conclusion:*

**23. People are encouraged to get rid of things in order to get the newest fashion and the latest technology. Do the disadvantages of a throwaway society outweigh the economic advantages?**

It is irrefutable that consumerism is promoted in many nations of the world. This essay intends to analyse the positive and negative effects of this use-and-throw culture. I personally believe that the disadvantages outstrip the advantages.

On the positive side, consumerism leads to mass production, which is something good for the employment sector. Mass manufacturing definitely leads to mass employment. This is undoubtedly beneficial for the overall economy of the country. Furthermore, because of consumerism, people get choices. When there is demand, more and more manufacturers come in the market. For instance, about two decades ago, there was only Kissan tomato ketchup in the market; but today we have Heinz, Del Monte, Cremica, Maggi and so many more varieties of tomato ketchups in the market.

On the downside, consumerism promotes a use-and-throw culture, which is very bad for the environment. There is a lot of rubbish generated because of excessive consumerism. Unnecessary packaging is also done to make these goods appealing to the eye. Often, this rubbish is not decomposed and ends up in rivers and waterways. This is definitely harmful to the environment.

Secondly, consumerism makes people work more and more to afford these products. This workaholism makes them forget to draw a line between work and leisure and also between work and family. The desires never end and consequently over-working becomes a part of life. This leads to stress and strain in life. Another negative point is that when children or youngsters are lured by these things and their parents cannot afford them, then they resort to petty crime such as pick-pocketing and chain snatching, which is certainly not good for any society.

To sum up, consumerism has both merits and demerits, but the cons are definitely more than the pros.

Plan followed:

Intro:

Para 1: Positives of consumerism

Para 2: Cons of consumerism

Para 3: More cons of consumerism

Conclusion:

**24. Once children start school, teachers have more influence than parents on their intellectual and social development. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I definitely agree with the statement that once children get admission in school, teachers have more impact on them than even their parents. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

To begin with, children spend quality time with teachers. Although they spend more time with parents at home but this time is not as productive as the small amount of time spent with teachers. We all know that parents are busy with their work most of the time and even if children are around, they hardly pay any attention to them. Teachers, on the other hand are in school for the students. All the time they are either teaching the students or guiding them in extra-curricular activities. They keep children focused on study and as a result have more intellectual impact on them.

What is more, teachers are role models for students. They are scholars in action. They not only influence intellectually but socially. Students inadvertently follow their teachers' behaviour too. They observe how the teacher walks, talks and tackles difficult situations. At home they have parents to guide them socially, but it is seen that in nuclear families, parents hardly find time for this. Whatever social skills children learn are from TV or other activities they do at home. So definitely teachers have an edge over parents even as far as social skills are concerned.

Teachers also guide students for their future careers. Students follow teachers more than parents because they feel that teachers are more aware of the world around them and they have better capability of guiding them. So, they depend on teachers more than parents.

To conclude, it can be said that once the schooling starts, teachers and parents both have influence but teachers influence children more.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: once children get admission in school, teachers have more impact on them than even their parents*

*Para 1: how teachers are more important than parents for intellectual development*

*Para 2: how teachers are more important than parents for social development*

*Para 3: how teachers are more important than parents for career counseling*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

## 25. Some people think that the news media nowadays have influenced people's lives in negative ways. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

I disagree with the given statement that media has a negative influence on our lives. I believe that the media is doing a great service to us and whatever negative effect it has is negligible if we compare it to the benefits of the media.

There are many positive effects of media. To begin with, the usefulness of the media in almost instantly providing information about events around the world is undeniable. It is because of the media that today we don't belong to a big planet Earth; we belong to a small global village. Furthermore, media also shapes our opinions. It is a link between the government and the people. Our conceptions of our elected officials spring from television images and newspaper stories. Most of us will never meet prime ministers or presidents, but anyone who is regularly exposed to the media will know about them. When it is time to cast our vote, we will make our decision based on the media coverage of candidates.

The media are also influential in the way they facilitate the spread of culture and lifestyle. Today, the popularity of Indian culture is an example of the media's enormous impact. It is the media, which highlights the good points of our own culture through programmes such as 'India's got Talent'. What is more, the reality shows of today have given exposure and fame to the common man with talent today. Indeed, with technological advancements such as the Internet bringing even more forms of electronic media to our homes and workplaces, it is likely the media's influence will grow even stronger. Finally, the media also helps in providing justice to the common man. Who has not heard of the Jessica murder case and the case of Nithari killings? Were it not for the media, Jessica's parents would have never got justice.

On the other hand, the media too has its downside. The paparazzi can invade the privacy of famous people. Sometimes violence and vulgarity is shown and at times it can shape our opinion in negative ways. For that my counter argument is that once the person becomes famous then his private life becomes public and he has no right to crib about the paparazzi. People can choose what they want to see and technology has provided them the tools to block those channels, which they don't want their children to see. Finally, God has given us brains to judge what is right or wrong. The media is just doing its job by providing us with the latest information.

In conclusion, I would like to reiterate by saying that the influence of media on our lives is largely positive.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Positive side of media*

*Para 2: More positives of media*

*Para 3: Opponents view with counter-argument*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**26. Differences between countries are barely evident these days. Everyone in the world is wearing the same brands and watching the same TV channels and movies. Do you think it is a positive or a negative development?**

It is irrefutable that because of globalization, similarities between countries are more obvious these days than in the past. In many ways people around the world are becoming more and more similar. This situation is both – a positive as well as a negative development. I personally believe that the advantages are much more.

The main advantage of this change is that communication is much better nowadays; people understand other cultures much better and have become more open in their outlook of life. This has resulted in more business and cultural contacts among different nations. Multinational companies have opened in many parts of the world providing jobs to thousands of people. There is also more efficient trade between different countries around the globe thereby improving the economies of developing countries. People have more opportunities to travel and therefore have awareness of other cultures.

The major reason why people consider such a situation negative, is that national identities are being lost. We eat the same food, watch the same TV programmes, listen to the same music and wear the same clothes. For instance, the international outlets like Reebok, Nike and Levi's can be seen everywhere. Food outlets like MacDonald and Starbuck's etc. can be seen in almost all corners of the world. People wearing the same type of clothes can be seen everywhere. People have also started speaking one language, English, in many parts of the world. In fact, English has become the lingua franca today.

However, I feel that this is a very narrow definition of national identities and nations are as different as they were ever in the past. Cultural identity is based on far more than just the films we watch or the clothes we wear. For example, take my own culture of India and compare it to the west. We may wear any clothes, but we never take the names of elders and call them with respect. In the west, it is quite OK to call anyone by name. In fact, they appreciate it more. I believe that after knowing about other cultures, we learn to respect our culture even more. So, some very deep-rooted national identities will always be there.

To conclude, similarities among people and their lifestyles cannot be denied. However, the similarities we see today are only on the surface. Total loss of national identities can never take place. Therefore, this situation is largely positive.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why it is positive*

*Para 2: Why it is negative*

*Para 3: Why the similarities are only superficial*

*Conclusion:*

**27. Some people believe that air travel should be restricted because it causes serious pollution and uses up the world's fuel resources. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is irrefutable that air travel causes pollution and uses a lot of fuel, but I disagree that air travel should be restricted. I believe that restricting air travel would solve some problems but would lead to many other problems.

At a time when people all over the world worry about the decreasing level of fossil fuels and global warming, it is right to take action to save the planet Earth. However, to simply discourage flights is not the answer. International tourism has become the backbone of many economies of the world. Many countries are earning from tourism. Many people are employed in this industry. Many businesses like hotels and leisure centres are dependent on tourists. So, if we discourage international tourism, it would create new and even worse problems. Many businesses would go broke and many people would be without jobs.

Air flight also enables intercultural exchanges between countries. The advent of cheap air fare makes it possible for people the world over to travel regularly, regardless of the purpose of the trip. Therefore, people have the opportunities to learn from different cultures and have a better understanding of countries they used to be unfamiliar with. This, in turn, enhances cultural communications between countries.

It is true that air travel consumes oil, but other modes of transportation are also causing pollution and using fuel. Discouraging private cars and encouraging people to use public transport could help save the environmental resources in a big way. Therefore it would be a very unpractical decision to restrict air travel at the cost of people's mobility, or worse, at the cost of the development of the economy. Technology could also be used to produce more environmentally friendly and fuel efficient engines.

Summing up, instead of restricting air travel, we should develop more efficient engine that produces more energy output with less fuel and fewer major air pollutants. We should also focus on limiting private vehicles and encouraging public transport.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Why we should not discourage flights*

*Para 2: More advantages of tourism*

*Para 3: Talk about other things causing pollution and using fuel*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**28. Some students take one year off between finishing school and going to university, in order to travel or to work. Do you think advantages outweigh disadvantages?**

A gap year is a year after high school when a student takes time to explore his or her interests, which usually entails some type of travelling or working. After the gap year is over, the student begins his or her career. It has both pros and cons, which I shall discuss in this essay. I, personally believe that the benefits of 'gap year' outstrip the drawbacks.

There are many benefits of taking a year off. Firstly, the student can explore his interests before deciding on a major. Just passing out of secondary school, a student does know what his real interests are. A gap year gives him time to introspect and he may also find something he has never considered studying before. Secondly, he can save money to finance his education and ease some burden off his parents' shoulders. Higher education is very expensive and some parents cannot afford the full cost of students' university fees.

Furthermore, during this year, the student meets different people and experiences different cultures. As a result his personality develops and he comes to know about the outside world. Finally, a well-planned gap year is attractive to some admissions tutors and to future employers. For example, a student can add his activities of the gap year in his resume when he applies to the university, or for some job after completion of his education. This is taken in a positive light by the admissions committee and some job providers.

As every garden has weeds, similarly a gap year also has a downside. A student may find it difficult to get back to study. A year is a long time and once that tempo of attending classes and doing home-work etc. is lost, a student may not feel like studying again. Secondly, if he starts earning enough, education may seem unimportant. Finally, if a student doesn't plan it properly, it may end up as a wasted year.

To sum up, a gap year has a lot of advantages provided it is planned well.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of gap year*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion: Gap year has many advantages if planned well*

**29. Some people say that every human being can create art (e.g. painting), others think only the people born with the ability can create art. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

Nature vs nurture debate has been raging for generations and no clear-cut answer has come up so far. Some think that artistic ability is inborn, whereas others say that such a talent can be acquired by training and hard work. This essay intends to analyze both perspectives. I personally believe that artistic knack is a combination of both, talent and training.

On the one hand, there are evidences to prove that genes do influence the innate abilities of a person. When you see 2-5 year olds in reality shows like, 'India's Got Talent' and 'Dance India Dance', you are forced to believe that they have some inborn talent. They are gifted with that talent. They certainly have more natural aptitude than others in certain areas. Other well-known examples also can be cited here. Who has not heard of the achievements of people like Mozart and Einstein?

On the other hand, anyone can produce art by practice and training. Had this not been the case, there would be no schools for Art, and Art and Fine Art would not exist as subjects. More often than not, the verdict of someone being naturally talented is skewed. For example, if we see a beautiful painting or any other such work of art, we reach the conclusion that the person must be really gifted. We tend to ignore the fact that the person may have travelled a long journey of perseverance to reach that point.

In my opinion, to reach the recognizable top, even those gifted with talent have to work hard and practice a lot. Talented people may need less hard work to reach there, but they cannot reach the pinnacles of success without sufficient practice. Even the most talented can lead a life of oblivion if they don't polish their art by continued practice. Conversely, anyone with a passion and determination can succeed in creating unique masterpieces of art.

To sum up, both talent and hard work have a role in creating art. Both are inextricably linked. The nature vs nurture debate has no clear-cut answer and will never have.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Arguments in support of natural talent*

*Para 2: Arguments in support of hard work*

*Para 3: Own opinion*

*Conclusion:*

**30. Some people say that economic development is necessary to reduce the poverty in the world. Others say that economic growth should be stopped immediately to stop damaging the environment. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.**

People are divided on the role of economic development. Some opine that it is essential in addressing poverty whereas others say that it should be stopped as it is causing irreversible damage to the environment. This essay intends to analyze both perspectives. I personally believe that both arguments have weightage as poverty has to be mitigated, but saving the environment is also equally important. So, we have to look into methods of sustainable economic development. Stopping economic development is not the way out.

It is irrefutable that by the development of economy people can improve their quality of life. For example, in developed countries even the poorest of the poor has the basic amenities of life such as food, clothing and shelter. All the citizens enjoy high level of social welfare and they enjoy free medical care and free education. Even in countries like India and China, International Companies have created many jobs and as a result, economic development has accelerated even further.

However, it is also true that in our quest for development and becoming richer, we have harmed some of our environment. Most of the things we use require some kind of energy to manufacture, operate and maintain. Disposing-off these things is very difficult too. Most of the energy that we use is polluting the environment. Renewable energy forms a very small part of what is used on a significant scale. Effluents from large factories are being dumped indiscriminately and landfill sites are filling up with non-biodegradable wastes. All this is being done in the name of progress.

Looking at both arguments, it is clear that with economic development, the damage to the environment is inevitable. But, we cannot put a halt on economic progress because the status of the underprivileged has to be improved. Therefore, we have to look into methods by which we can achieve progress without damaging the environment. For instance, we know that there are renewable sources of energy which are less polluting. So, the answer lies in the green technologies, which increasingly use renewable resources of energy. We should also remember the three "Rs" - reduce, reuse and recycle. In this way we can achieve an ecologically sustainable development. In order for progress to cause minimum damage to environment, sustainability has to be worked at.

Summing up, there is no doubt that with economic development, poverty is lessened. At the same time, it is also definite that development is leading to pollution. But, instead of stopping development we can work upon environmentally friendly development.

**Plan Followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: How poverty is reduced by economic development*

*Para 2: Pollution due to economic development*

*Para 3: own view*

*Conclusion*

**31. As we are facing more and more problems, which affect the whole planet, good relationships between different countries are becoming more important than ever before. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is irrefutable that nowadays the whole world has become a global village and communication and trade between different countries is also becoming more frequent than ever before. At the same time, the whole planet is facing problems, such as environmental problem, terrorism and poverty and diseases. In my view, countries cannot solve these problems on their own and governments of different countries must cooperate to fight these problems.

To begin with, take environmental problems. It is a bitter truth that the Earth is facing the problem of global warming and if the whole world does not unite to fight this problem, then the day is not far when the whole Earth will become a boiling pot and life would not be possible here. The Kyoto protocol has been signed by many countries of the United Nations in which, they have pledged not to make industries, which emit more than 5.2% carbon dioxide.

Furthermore, there are problems like terrorism, which are having a detrimental effect on our society. There are various global organizations, which are working towards eradicating these issues but it cannot be possible without trust and harmony between different nations.

What is more, the poor countries are getting aid from developed countries to develop their infrastructure and develop themselves. In these countries many people are suffering from starvation and poverty which can harm the neighbouring richer nations and that is why all nations realize the importance of maintaining good relations.

Summing up, good relations between different countries are absolutely necessary nowadays to solve these critical issues.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: To begin with, take environmental problems – Kyoto protocol*

*Para 2: Talk of problems like terrorism, which cannot be handled alone*

*Para 3: In poor countries many people are suffering from starvation and poverty, which can harm the neighbouring richer nations – so cooperation needed*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**32. Some people say that playing computer games is bad for children, whereas others say that it has positive effects on the way children develop. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.**

The computer game industry is a part of our world today. From its humble beginnings in the 80s, the video game industry has exploded into a 10-billion dollar empire. It is a debatable issue whether these games are good or bad. In this essay, I intend to delve into the pros and cons of these games. I personally believe that these games are good, but only if played in moderation.

There are many advantages of playing computer games. To begin with, video games introduce children to computer technology. Secondly, some games provide practice in problem solving and logic, e.g. Age of Empires. Video games have proved to improve visual skills. They also improve motor and spatial skills. Children who play video games have better reflexes.

What is more, these modern games make learning fun. The cost of failure is lower. This encourages risk taking and exploration. If the child gets the answer wrong or their character dies, he just starts the game over and tries again. Finally, some games have therapeutic applications.

On the other hand, there are some disadvantages of these technological inventions. Firstly, overdependence on these games leads to social isolation, as these are usually played alone. Secondly, some games have violent characters, and seeing their violent acts leads to aggressive behaviour in children. Moreover, these games can confuse reality and fantasy. For example, when children play car-racing games then they may race their own vehicles in real life, which can lead to accidents. Finally, these games are addicting and once a child sits to play these games, time flies and everything else, such as studies and outdoor games are forgotten, which is indubitably bad.

To summarise, on the whole these games are very good and certainly their advantages outweigh their disadvantages, but these should be played in balance. Parents should limit the game playing time and see to it that home-work and other important chores are done before playing.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages*

*Para 2: Advantages*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion*

### 33. Fewer and fewer people today write by hand using a pen, pencil or brush. What are the reasons? Is this a positive or negative development?

Writing by hand using a pen, pencil or brush has come under a serious threat. This essay intends to delve into the reasons of this phenomenon. I firmly believe that this is a negative development.

The decline in handwriting is mainly because there is little place for it in the average modern life. Today, people need to be able to reach many people and edit documents quickly. In the competitive era of today, business matters require speed and clarity for reaching a wide audience. Therefore, a technology-based solution is always better. Technology has put everyone on one level when it comes to the ability to communicate clearly. Handwriting may be beautiful or just a scrawl, but typing will always be uniform.

Secondly, the tablets, smartphones and laptops have come within the pocket of most individuals. The earlier traditional writing tools such as the pen and pencil have been replaced with the stylus. Some schools in developed countries have spent enormous amounts to equip all classrooms with tablets. For some courses each student has a tablet equipped with specific educational programmes. The teacher can see the students' work while they are actually working on the exercises. In place of the blackboard, a projector displays the image of the tablet from the teacher.

Despite the various advantages of digital writing, I believe the slow death of handwriting is a negative development. Research shows that when children learn how to write, they also learn how to express themselves. Handwriting is so much more than simply putting letters on a page; it is a key part of learning to communicate. Writing is almost as important as speaking, as a medium for communicating thought.

Moreover, handwriting is a complex skill that affects cognitive development of children. When they write, they build hand-eye coordination and practice fine motor skills. Good handwriting can lead to better grades, too. Studies show that pre-kindergarten kids with fine motor skills scored higher years later in reading and math than those with poor handwriting. In short, there's a direct link between writing skills and academic success.

To conclude, the traditional methods of writing with a pen, pencil or brush are dwindling because of the ease, which technology is bringing in, but this is indubitably a negative occurrence.

#### ***Plan followed***

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons for the death of handwriting*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Why it is negative*

*Para 4: Why it is negative*

*Conclusion:*

### 34. Students today can access information online, so libraries are not necessary. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Since centuries libraries have been in the service of man. These libraries are the repositories of never ending knowledge known as books. Some people opine that we can do away with traditional libraries because technology has given us the facility of virtual or online libraries. I, however, believe that even though technology has reduced our need to go to the libraries, our traditional libraries can never become redundant.

With the advent of new technologies in the field of computers and telecommunications, revolutionary changes have taken place in the field of Library and Information Science. The shape of traditional libraries containing a large number of printed documents is in the process of being transformed to paper less libraries containing a large number of digitized documents. The facilities offered by networking have not left libraries untouched. Modern libraries are not only digitized but networked also. This has led to the creation of virtual libraries i.e. libraries without walls through which the user has access to information at anytime, anywhere in the world by using the modern tools of communications, such as computers and Internet facilities.

However, one should keep in mind that a person goes to a library not only to search and get information from books, but also to sit and study there. The ambience and the peaceful and scholarly atmosphere of the library helps one to concentrate more on one's work and study. Thus, libraries will never become unneeded. They will always be there to indicate the presence of a well-read and educated society.

Another important point is that it is very difficult to always read books from the computer monitor. Traditional books can be issued from the library and read in the comfort of your bed. Virtual libraries can be accessed only by those who are computer literate. The access to virtual libraries can be affected by power cuts and network failures. Moreover, in a traditional library you are guided by the librarians if you need any help in searching for the book.

To conclude, it can be said that advancement should be welcome in every field but the importance of the libraries for their fundamental role cannot be put aside. Every library should have its digital segment also so that more and more people can access them. This will add more crowns of success to the importance of libraries.

#### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: How technology has brought about virtual libraries*

*Para 2: Advantages of libraries*

*Para 3: Advantages of traditional books vs high tech media*

*Conclusion: Every library should have its digital segment also, but libraries should be there.*

**35. Some people think that teachers should be responsible for teaching students to judge what is right and wrong so that they can behave well. Others say that teachers should only teach students academic subjects. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

A well-known adage from India says "Acharya devo bhava," which means teachers are next to God. Right from the ancient times, teachers are put on the highest position. It is a highly debatable topic whether teachers should be concerned with only academics or also teach manners and etiquettes to children. In this essay I intend to discuss both perspectives. I, however, believe that teachers have a much wider role than just teaching subjects.

Teacher is like a potter, who moulds the earthen vessels in whichever form he wants. A child's mind is like raw clay and is very much ready to be moulded in the shape it is guided to. A good teacher always feels responsible to develop a child's conscience. He has the potential to become a friend, philosopher and guide for his students. With this special position in students' life, he often acts as their role model. His words highly influence the minds of the students. Students always try to imitate his actions or implement his teachings, so he has to be much careful of his behaviour. Therefore, in that respect a teacher has a much more role than just teaching academic subjects.

Furthermore, teachers convey many good things to parents and society through students in many parts of the world. For example, in Nepal, where illiteracy rate is very high, teachers convey many valuable messages such as of personal hygiene through students. When a student goes home and tells his parents that his teacher says everyone should wash hands before meals, then parents listen. In such set-ups teachers are playing a much greater role than academicians.

On the other hand, those who say that teachers should only concentrate on teaching course material, argue that competition is stiff and if children lag behind in curriculum, they will never be able to catch up with their counterparts in other parts of today's global village. They opine that rules of behaviour can be taught by parents at home.

In conclusion, I believe that teachers have a much bigger role than just covering the syllabus.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para1: Role of teacher apart from teaching*

*Para 2: More role*

*Para 3: the other view*

*Conclusion: teachers have a much bigger role*

**36. In some countries celebrities complain about the way media publicize their private lives. Some people say that they should accept it as part of their fame. Do you agree or disagree?**

I disagree with the view that celebrities have to accept the manner in which their private lives are made public by the media. It is undeniable that they are in the spotlight and want to be popular by choice. However, their rights to privacy need to be protected as well, as they too are humans. I shall put forth my arguments in this essay.

To commence with, celebrities are already under a lot of pressure to perform well, as they are public figures and are always in the public eye. Be it a politician, a sportsperson, a singer or an actor, all these professions require them to be at their best at all times. This stress of someone constantly following them affects the way they perform, which ultimately leads to a loss for the masses.

Secondly, these celebs are role models for the people. When any negative side of their private life is exposed, their followers think it glamorous to do the same, which is definitely detrimental for the society as a whole. For example, if people come to know that the celeb they adore, smokes and drinks in private life, they think there is nothing wrong in doing so and also copy their idol. This is where responsible journalism is needed.

Undoubtedly, the celebrities choose this life for the name and fame and to be in the spotlight. Without the media, the term celebrity will not hold any meaning. However, that doesn't mean that the media and paparazzi have a right to stalk them at all times. To add to it, sensationalizing the news in order for the channel to get more TRP is unethical. Responsible journalism and some ethics need to be followed by those who get the news to the masses, as they may adversely affect someone's personal and professional lives. Who doesn't remember the unfortunate incident when the world lost a very eminent public figure, Lady Diana? That accident happened because the paparazzi were chasing the car she was travelling in. It is something which could have been easily avoided, had the paparazzi been a little rational in their behavior.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that responsible journalism means knowing its limits. Celebs too have their private lives and they have a right to privacy. The media has to be judicious in knowing what to report and how much to report, and should never misuse its power.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: It affects celebs performance*

*Para 2: It affects society*

*Para 3: Media should know its limits*

*Conclusion:*

**37. Some people think governments should focus on reducing environmental pollution and housing problems to help people prevent illness and disease. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is indubitable that people's health is influenced by the environment and housing conditions. That is why some people are of the opinion that governments should focus more on the environment and housing to avoid illness and disease. I, however, disagree with this notion. I believe that even though government should address environmental pollution and housing scarcity, there are many other things on which government must spend equally to prevent ill health.

Indeed, the environmental problems and housing conditions are two main sources for illness and diseases. For instance, in many parts of my country, India, people live in slums and do not have access to clean air and potable water because of air and water pollution. In these areas people suffer from diseases such as dengue, malaria, diarrhea and so on. So, if the government looks into environmental pollution and provides basic housing, such problems could be avoided.

However, simply focusing on the environment and housing would not prevent all diseases. Government also has to allocate budget for other preventable diseases such as polio and TB, whooping cough, measles, mumps and so on, which need immunization. All over the world all governments are spending on pulse polio vaccine to eradicate polio from the world. Then, there are diseases such as cancers, which can be prevented by screening tests. Government should spend on tests like PAP smear and mammography, through which cancer of the cervix and breast can be diagnosed much before the actual symptoms appear. In such stages, these cancers are totally curable with very inexpensive treatment.

Another important area, which needs government action, is the awareness of people. Mass awareness campaigns are needed along with looking into the housing so that people can take steps to prevent themselves. For example, even if the government provides housing to all, but the people keep stagnant water in coolers and other areas, dengue can spread like an epidemic and all government efforts will go in vain.

In conclusion, I reiterate that simply giving priority to environmental pollution and housing will not prevent all disease. Government should allocate funds to other things such as screening tests and awareness of the people also to prevent disease.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Advantages of addressing environmental problems and housing*

*Para 2: Other areas to focus*

*Para 3: More other areas*

*Conclusion:*

**38. Most employers nowadays put increasing emphasis on social skills. Some people believe that social skills are important in addition to good qualifications for job success. To what extent, do you agree or disagree?**

I definitely agree that today social skills are considered as important as good qualifications while recruiting new employees. Hiring the right candidate based on technical knowledge without looking at social skills is like designing a race-car with a powerful engine but substandard steering and braking systems. The car may go fast, but in the wrong direction and may even hurt a lot of innocent bystanders along the way.

A few years ago, when it came to recruiting strategies, hiring decisions often focused largely on candidates' technical skills and expertise, with relatively little attention given to soft skills. This resulted in hiring employees who had the intellect to succeed but lacked the social skills required to work effectively. This resulted in interpersonal conflict and frustration and sometimes such employees had to leave the job. What is more, such employees were unable to handle the social demands of leadership and so had to remain at lower level jobs.

Social skills reflect a person's ability to work with others in a way that strengthens long-term working relationships. Social skills depend primarily on four fundamental characteristics. The first is self-awareness, which means monitoring how our actions affect the behavior of those around us. The next is sensitivity to others, which implies concern toward the needs and feelings of others. Then there is social intelligence through which the person understands how to influence others' behaviors and finally there is self-control because of which a person is able to control his actions and emotions, particularly when under stress.

Undoubtedly, a university education is essential if you want to have a career in a profession such as law, engineering, teaching, or medicine. What is more, it opens more doors than you would have if you didn't get one. A university education is a great platform in learning more and gaining valuable knowledge in the field we are passionate about. Also, the university is a great place to network for friends and business associates or partners. So, definitely, in this respect, university education can help you get success.

In summary, it is clear to understand why social skills are given a lot of importance in addition to qualifications. Such skills are the key to success at work.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1- the disadvantages of not considering social skills*

*Para 2 – The type of social skills and their importance*

*Para 3 – Benefits of university education*

*Conclusion:*

**39. Recent advancements in technology have made the TV screen so live that people don't feel the need to go for any live performance (e.g. live shows or concerts). To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Although the live plays and musicals have a magic, and a strange elusive energy between the audience and the performers, I agree with the given statement that in the current age of live TV screening, there is little incentive to go out and see such events. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

Undoubtedly, the experience of live performance builds community. There's never going to be anything like sitting in a theater with hundreds of people around you who value what you value. The appeal of live performance also provides the excitement of the actor-audience connection in real time. These will keep the theater from fading away completely no matter how much technology takes over our lives. However, the TV of today has eroded the influence of live events so much so that the theatre and television have become competitors.

The main factors in favour of TV are the high cost and the limited accessibility of actual live shows. Even if more people wanted to actually go and watch live shows, the seating capacity is limited. On top of that the tickets are very costly, especially if you want seats near the stage.

Another thing, which goes in favour of TV is the lack of time in today's fast-paced world. People also have to stand in queues and drive distances to watch these shows. One 2-3 hour live show actually takes away a whole day at times. Despite the fact that people want to experience live shows, such shows have become once or twice in a lifetime events and that too for those, who have the time and money to do so.

Live television has also many other benefits. You don't need to dress formally. It can be watched in the comfort of ones sofa or bed and while doing some other household chores. The focus of the cameras is also on the main characters, because of which you can in fact get a better view of the actual show.

To conclude, I reiterate my view by saying that while actually going an attending a concert or drama is in itself a unique experience, the live TV screen of today has an edge over it all, because it has made arts accessible to all regardless of geographical, social or economic boundaries.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: In favour of attending in person*

*Para 2: Disadvantage of actually attending*

*Para 3: More disadvantage*

*Para 4: Advantage of live TV*

*Para 5: More advantages*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion.*

**40. Some children find some subjects such as mathematics and philosophy too difficult to learn, so some people argue that those subjects should be optional rather than compulsory. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Mathematics and Philosophy seek to find the truth behind the underlying workings and meanings of the universe. Mathematics teaches the formulation of proofs and concepts and Philosophy teaches the theoretical basis of our social organisation and social relationships. It is difficult to agree or disagree with the entire statement. I believe that maths should be compulsory in schools even if students find it difficult but philosophy can be made optional. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

Mathematics should be a mandatory part of school curricula because maths is the basis of all other subjects. Children need to be taught numeracy from early childhood. The knowledge of maths makes children smarter as it teaches them to think critically. If maths is not taught then the phobia some children have of maths will be even more pronounced when they are older.

Secondly, if maths is studied in school, then it opens doors to many interesting subjects and careers. Many good universities and colleges in Canada and USA, require the knowledge of maths till Senior Secondary level. Many high paid jobs require analytical thinking which only those children have who have a good mathematics base. Therefore, maths should be compulsory in schools. The onus is on the teachers to make it interesting for the students.

Coming to Philosophy, it can be made optional because school children are too immature to learn about the complexities of human relationships. They can learn such social skills from parents and teachers in an informal way by just observing them. Philosophy, as a subject, would be too boring and would only add to the burden of the students. As it is, today's children are over-burdened with tough academic subjects to make them competent enough to be a part of the global village of today. So, only those who are really interested to study philosophy should be given the option of studying it.

To conclude, it can be reiterated that maths should undoubtedly be compulsory but philosophy should be optional as maths is needed to survive today in this era of technology where as philosophy can be left for college or university studies. That is why, perhaps, in our Indian school curricula, maths is compulsory but philosophy is optional and that too in the senior secondary classes.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Maths should be compulsory but philosophy can be optional*

*Para 1: Importance of maths*

*Para 2: More importance*

*Para 3: why philosophy should be optional*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**41. Some people believe that teenagers should concentrate on all school subjects. But, others believe that teenagers should focus on the subject that they are best at or that they find the most interesting. Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.**

People are divided on the issue of the subjects which teenagers should be required to study. Some believe that these young school goers should be made to study all subjects, whereas others say that they should be given the choice of studying subjects of their interest. This essay shall analyze both perspectives. I, personally side with the former view. I believe that in today's competitive world, a broad knowledge is needed to succeed in any field.

There are many reasons why some people hold the opinion that students should not have to study all the subjects and should be allowed to choose the subjects they want to study. They opine that in this case the students will probably be more enthusiastic about their study. In addition, if students are forced to study all subjects, they can easily lose interest in education. What is more, if all subjects are compulsory for studying, students will not have enough time to learn all of them properly; therefore they will be constantly under a lot of pressure.

However, I believe all subjects are of great importance and for the holistic development of the students they need to study all subjects equally at school level. Later on, during admission to the colleges, students can select the subjects of their choice and can explore them further. At that age they are mature enough to decide their subjects for themselves. At school level the student may not know what his real interests are.

Furthermore, nowadays, the job market is very demanding and the recruiters select students who are skilled in various fields. Having the basic knowledge of varied subjects during school time definitely widens the horizons for the students. To add to it, it is a well-known fact that most subjects are related to each other in some way or the other. For example, a basic knowledge of mathematics is needed to excel in computer languages. Finally, I believe that it is up to the teachers to develop interest of the students in any subject. For instance, during my school days, my history teacher was so good that a boring subject like history was the favourite subject of the whole class.

To sum up, it can be said that students should learn all subjects at school level, as they are not mature enough to know their real interests at school level and a broad knowledge is also needed for their holistic development.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para1: Why some people opine that teenagers should not be forced to study all subjects*

*Para 2: Advantages of studying a range of subjects*

*Para 3: More advantages*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**42. In some cultures the old age is more valued, while in some cultures the youth is more valued. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.**

People of different cultures have varied attitudes toward age. They suggest that in Western societies old people are not respected, while in Asian societies elders are revered. Similarly, youth is either highly valued or ignored, depending on the culture. This essay intends to discuss both perspectives.

First of all, old age is valued everywhere. Old age is often associated with wisdom. With age comes experience, and in many societies younger family members consult older ones for advice on relationships or problems. In some societies, not just older living family members but ancestors are revered and consulted. For instance, in the Far East, people respect grandparents, older leaders, and bosses.

In some nations, the youth are valued more because a young, vibrant workforce in a country is usually regarded as an asset. Most governments give priority to youth in terms of education so that they can move the society forward. A case in point is America, a country sponsoring university students by different forms such as scholarship and student loans. By doing this, young people can be well educated and equipped with proper knowledge and useful skills. Consequently, the young will be able to promote the development of the society.

I believe that age in itself is not a qualification for anything. If we look at western countries, we also find politicians in their sixties or seventies, and company executives in their sixties, despite strong business competition. In addition, as life expectancy increases, older people are becoming more important as consumers and voters. On the other hand, even in countries where elders previously were respected and obeyed blindly, people are realizing that old age does not always mean wisdom. The old way of running families, companies, or countries may not work today.

In conclusion, the old and the young are essential for our society, so they both should be valued. An ideal society should have a balance. We should appreciate both the vitality and potential of youth and the advice and experience of old age.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why old are valued more*

*Para 2: Why young are valued more*

*Para 3: Personal opinion*

*Conclusion:*

**43. Studies show that many criminals have a low level of education. For this reason, people believe that the best way to reduce crime is to educate people in prison so they can get a job when they leave prison. Do you agree or disagree?**

Unemployment and poverty are indisputably the major reasons of crime. Providing vocational education to prisoners inside penitentiaries would definitely reduce joblessness and destitution. However, I disagree with the given statement that providing education to inmates is the best way to reduce crime. It is a good method, but there are other better methods to curb crime.

To begin with, the main purpose of prisons is not just to punish criminals, but also to rehabilitate them. If this rehabilitation part is not looked into, then majority of criminals would re-offend after coming out of prison. This is because, such criminals are not accepted by the general public, and nobody likes to give them jobs. Therefore just educating them is not enough to reduce crime. The government must ensure that they are given jobs to fend for their basic needs.

Another important fact, which has to be considered, is that these penitentiaries are very costly to maintain. When these inmates are taught vocational skills such as carpentry, a lot of infrastructure is required. While all this expenditure may help a few prisoners, it may be futile in case of those hard-core criminals who are not in there because of illiteracy or unemployment. They just have a criminal bent of mind. They would never become useful members of society even after such education. Rigorous imprisonment would be better to deter potential criminals.

The best way to reduce crime would be to never let it happen in the first place. Laws should be enforced so strictly that people should think a hundred times before resorting to crime. Compulsory primary education should be given to all children. Youth unemployment should be dealt with by creating job openings or by encouraging entrepreneurship.

To conclude, it can be reiterated that while educating the prisoners and trying to make them self-sufficient would be good to reduce crime, the better methods would be to stop crime from happening in the first place.

***Plan followed***

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: just educating is not enough; ensuring they get jobs is imperative*

*Para 2: such education would not work for many hard-core criminals*

*Para 3: Other better methods*

*Conclusion:*

**44. Many different countries have most shops and products as the same. Some consider it a positive development, whereas others consider it negative. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.**

It is indubitable that globalization has ushered in an era of similarities. People are divided on whether these likenesses are good or bad. This essay intends to analyze both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

On the positive side, people have more choices, as now everything is available everywhere. Earlier, only locally made products were available, but now people are able to enjoy things from all over the world. For instance, earlier there were only two popular brands of shoes available in India, which were Bata and Carona, but today we have Reebok, Nike, Adidas, Puma and so many more. Similar examples can be cited for infinite number of other products. Therefore, in this regard, having similar shops and products is a positive thing.

Secondly, people are becoming aware of other cultures and lifestyles through these products. They are becoming tolerant of each other. They have the choice of picking and adopting the best points of each culture, and as a consequence a global culture is emerging. The youth of today are adopting this culture and are wearing globally similar clothes, eating similar food, using similar cosmetics, having similar furniture in their homes and they are living similar lifestyles.

Another benefit of this similar situation is that relations between countries are improving. When countries import or export their products, they develop bonds with each other, which is good for both. For instance, India exports tea and iron ore to other countries, and imports automobiles, refrigerators and televisions etcetera. It is but obvious that countries, which are linked by trade, cannot afford to go against each other.

On the other hand, these similarities are diluting the national identities. Our diversity is disappearing. It is well known that diversity adds spice to life. Unfortunately, malls in any country have the same showrooms of the same brands that there is no charm of going anywhere for buying something unique. Another big demerit of all products being available everywhere is that the local businessman cannot compete with the international giants and is therefore going into oblivion. However, despite these disadvantages, I still believe that the advantages are much more.

To sum up, similarity in shops and products has its dark and light side, but the pros definitely edge over the cons.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of similarities*

*Para 2: More advantages of similarities*

*Para 3: More advantages of similarities*

*Para 4: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

**45. In some parts of the world, it is becoming increasingly popular to try to find out about the history of your family. Why do people do this? Is this a negative or positive development?**

The study of family history or genealogy has become very popular especially after the coming of the Internet. People are indulging into this study for various reasons, which I shall highlight in the following paragraphs. I believe that it is largely a positive development although there are some disadvantages also.

The most popular reason for studying the family history is that people want to know their roots. Besides honoring the significance of one's ancestors, studying one's family's genealogy can just be plain fascinating. It is considered by most to be the fastest-growing hobby in North America, with many surveys and media sources proclaiming that it has surpassed quilting, stamp collecting and even gardening in popularity. Another reason for studying family history is the potential to trace living relatives. This then opens up the possibility of finding out about and connecting with long-lost cousins or relatives. The ability to trace living relatives can also be important in tracing an heir or beneficiary.

Finally, the most significant reason is that studying family history is an important tool in maintaining good physical health. Studying family history helps to predict risk for a range of health concerns and diseases, including heart disease, osteoporosis, type 2 diabetes and some forms of cancer. Once a person's family medical history has been established, it is far easier for physicians to advise patients on how to keep healthy.

On the other hand, there are some disadvantages of tracking family history. Genealogy begins as a curiosity, which becomes a hobby and then may become an obsession. Then people waste too much time and money on it. One should not forget that it is the present, which influences the future more and not the past. Often knowledge of family history is disastrous, leading people to keep old enmities alive. It may also reveal unpleasant facts that one would regret knowing.

In conclusion, it can be said that there are many motivations for studying family history, from developing a sense of belonging to maintaining good health. However, one should not develop an obsession for it and waste too much time and money on it.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I shall highlight the causes in the following paragraphs. I believe that it is largely a positive development*

*Para 1: Why people study family history*

*Para 2: Final and the most important reason – helps in predicting likelihood of getting some diseases*

*Para 3: disadvantages of tracking family history*

*Conclusion: it is good to know family history provided it does not become an obsession*

#### 46. Everyone should become vegetarian because they do not need to eat meat to have a healthy diet. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

A lot of scientific evidence indicates that wholesome vegetarian diets offer distinct advantages compared to diets containing meat. However, I disagree with the given statement that everyone should adopt a meat-free diet to be healthy. In this essay I intend to support my opinion with my arguments.

Undoubtedly, there are many benefits of a vegetarian diet. To begin with, vegetarian diets are easy to digest, whereas meats can be difficult to digest. The fats in meat are saturated, which means they are unhealthy and contribute to higher cholesterol levels. Plant sources of food, on the other hand, are rich in fiber, complex carbohydrates, magnesium, folic acid, vitamin C and E, carotenoids and other phytochemicals. Dietary fiber helps prevent colon cancer, which is more prevalent in meat-eaters.

However, a totally vegetarian diet can be deficient in several nutrients including protein, iron, zinc, calcium, vitamin B12 and A, n-3 fatty acids and iodine. Although vegetarians can consume protein through legumes and nuts, these protein sources are incomplete. A complete protein contains the essential amino acids that your body needs, and animal products provide a complete protein. Many animal products are also high in iron and vitamin B12. Iron found in animal products is easier for your body to absorb. A deficiency in iron or B12 can result in fatigue. For women, who lose iron through menstruation, getting enough iron through their diet is important.

Proponents of vegetarian diet claim that veggie diets have high-protein gems like cottage cheese, nuts, and tofu. I still believe that people who go vegetarian may have to take a lot of supplements, and would definitely need to put in a lot of effort to have a balanced meal full of essential macro and micronutrients. A purely vegetarian diet, which is not planned well, may prove very bad for health. People, who are non-vegetarian, can easily have a mix of vegetarian and non-vegetarian diet to remain healthy. They can cut down their meat intake to avoid the detrimental effects of a non-veg diet.

To sum up, I reiterate that although a vegetarian diet has several benefits, I differ with those who say that everyone should turn vegetarian to be healthy.

##### Plan followed

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: advantages of vegetarian diet*

*Para 2: Advantages of non-vegetarian diet*

*Para 3: More advantages of being a non-vegetarian*

*Conclusion*

**47. Some people believe that success in life depends on hard work and determination, while others believe that other factors like money and personal appearance are important. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

Success means different thing to different people. Therefore, success by "hard work + determination" or "money + looks" is a hot topic of debate. This article will discuss both these views. I personally believe that success comes mainly from the hard work and perseverance and only on some odd occasion money and looks can play a role. It would be quite unwise to give credit solely to money and looks for success.

Determination and hard work is an important prerequisite for success. First of all, determination gives us an impetus of setting up goals, which become the cornerstone of success. For example, Marie Curie (Madame Curie) determined to finish her husband's lifetime unfinished work after his death and eventually discovered radium.

However, determination alone is not enough; efforts are also very important as without hard work determination is of no value. It has been well said that 'Genius is one percent inspiration and ninety nine percent, perspiration'. Take the example of businessmen. Only those succeed who have determination and who put in a lot of sweat and toil in their business. Good looks and money cannot help you sell your products.

Those who advocate that money and good looks are needed for success, believe that good looks increase the confidence of a person and a confident person has more chances to succeed in his life. With good looks a person can succeed in the acting and modeling fields. They also opine that money is needed to become an entrepreneur and those who have the capital to invest have more chances to succeed. They have faith in the dictum that money multiplies money.

To sum up, a powerful background of money as well as attractive looks can help a certain number of persons to succeed, but too much reliance on these is unpractical. So, success depends on how firmly people hold on to their determination and how much effort they put into their work.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Role of determination*

*Para 2: Role of hard work*

*Para 3: Importance of money and looks*

*Conclusion:*

**48. teachers think that international student exchange would be beneficial for all teenage school students. Do you think its advantages outweigh its disadvantages?**

International student exchange programs involve exchanging students of one country or place, with those of another country or place to complete part of their education there. A lot of people find these programs very beneficial, while there are some people who do not approve of these. I believe that the educational, personal and long-term advantages of these programs, to the teenage students, outstrip the disadvantages.

There are many educational benefits of these programs. Because of international learning and knowledge, students accept and understand different cultures and their perspectives. Secondly, as the students mingle with the local people in another country, they get to learn a new language. They become aware of, and adopt alternative, multi-faceted approaches to learning. They develop analytical and problem solving skills. Students have enhanced interest in global issues, as well as a broader general knowledge.

At the personal level, the most noticeable change in returned exchange students is their enhanced self-confidence and self-esteem. They become mature, as they get to confront challenges outside a familiar comfort zone. They develop life-long friendships and also appreciate their home and family even more than before.

In the long run, students who go on to tertiary studies find themselves more comfortable in 'foreign' environments. They have better job opportunities. This is because, prospective employers in almost every field look favourably upon experience gained while living overseas and knowledge obtained of another language and culture.

On the other hand, the most obvious disadvantage of a student exchange program is the cultural shock that any student has to go through. For instance, if a student from India has to shift for an exchange to China and the vice-versa, it is going to be a big challenge initially. The student needs to be acquainted with at least one of the official languages of the host country otherwise it would be difficult to stay there. Next, the student has to adjust or compromise with the habits of the homestay family. Finally the time zone difference is a big problem during the initial days in the guest country.

To sum up, there might be a lot of problems in another place for the teenage student, but it is an opportunity that can change the students' life for the better. It is altogether a good blend of educational benefits along with personality development.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Advantages more than disadvantages*

*Para 1: Educational benefits*

*Para 2: Personal benefits*

*Para 3: Long term benefits*

*Para 4: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

**49. Students at schools and universities learn far more from lessons with teachers than from other sources (such as the Internet and television). To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is irrefutable that students can learn a lot nowadays from Internet and television and these have become an indispensable part of education, but I firmly believe that teachers play a more significant role in the classroom. I believe that no amount of technology can ever undermine the importance of the teacher. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

To begin with, teachers can stimulate interest, and it is an undeniable fact that interested stimulated people tend to learn more. They can keep students focused on study. A student studying by himself through Internet and TV, may get bored and stop studying. Secondly, teachers can provide a faster and simpler way to present information to the students. They can come down to the level of a student and so are definitely better than computers. In addition, teachers are role models for students. They are scholars in action. They not only teach academic subjects, but also many social skills.

Furthermore, there are many practical subjects, which students can learn best from the teacher. For example, experiments of physics and chemistry, are best learnt by the teacher guiding you at every step. What is more, teachers give assignments and regularly check them. This helps the teachers to recognize the weak points of students and guide them accordingly. All this cannot be done by the Internet and TV.

On the other hand, it is also true that the Internet is an ocean of knowledge. You can get information about any topic on Earth from the Internet. But there is no authenticity of this information. What information to get and from where to get, requires a lot of expertise. The television also has a lot of educative programmes but students still need the guidance of the teachers at all stages of learning. Teachers can make even the dull and boring subjects seem interesting. So definitely students learn more from teachers.

To conclude, it can be said, that no doubt TV and the Internet are very educative these days but students definitely learn more from the teacher.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: no amount of technology can ever undermine the importance of the teacher.*

*Para 1: Advantages of teachers as compared to the internet and TV*

*Para 2: Advantages of teachers*

*Para 3: advantages of internet*

*Conclusion: Teachers are always better*

## 50. Animals are in danger of extinction. Some people say that we should protect only those animals, which are useful to humans. Do you agree or disagree?

Animal extinction has become a matter of great concern. I disagree with the given statement that we should conserve only those animals, which are beneficial to humans. I believe that all animals have direct or indirect effect on mankind. It has been rightly said that plans to protect wildlife are in fact plans to protect man.

The most important reason for saving all animals is that they are part of our ecosystem. Every species of wildlife plays a role to maintain the balance of life on Earth. Thus, the loss of any species can affect us directly or indirectly. For example, there are many bat species that are becoming extinct. Such bats help keep the insect population in control. If these bats die then the insects will increase a lot and destroy our crops. So, we will have nothing to eat. In addition, many animals, like rodents, help in the dispersal of plant seeds and in the pollination of plants. By protecting endangered animals we ensure not only their survival but also the biodiversity that is necessary for the ecological health of the planet.

Secondly, wild animals provide many valuable substances such as medicine and fur. The horn of the rhinoceros has medicinal value and the fur of the mink is very valuable. The recreational viewing of animals at zoos is also a source of revenue. Thus, the financial value of wild species is important to the economies of many nations.

Furthermore, wild animals have aesthetic appeal. They are beautiful creatures of nature and are a part of our bio-diversity. Their beautiful and mysterious life has enchanted mankind since the dawn of evolution. Scientists have been awed, by observing their behavior. Such study has helped scientists understand how the human body functions and why people behave as they do. Scientists have also gained medical knowledge by studying the effect of many drugs on these animals.

Finally, it is undeniable that animal species have been vanishing at a much faster rate for about a century now due to human factors such as pollution, commercial overexploitation, poaching and deforestation. Knowingly or unknowingly, we humans are doing so much damage to plants and animals that working for their conservation has become a necessity.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that saving all the animal species on the verge of extinction is well justified. All animals have direct or indirect relation to humans. So we should not work to save only those few species, which have a visible effect on humans.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Part of ecological chain – interconnected*

*Para 2: Provide us many things*

*Para 3: Aesthetic appeal*

*Para 4: we humans responsible for the damage to them*

*Conclusion:*

**51. Many people are afraid to leave their home because of crime. Some believe that more action should be taken to prevent crime. While others feel that little action can be done to stop crime. What is your view?**

It is irrefutable that crime is burgeoning day by day in many countries. Many people are scared to go away from their home because of crime. Some people contend that number of ways can be used to prevent crime. While other people argue that nothing can be done to prevent it. I believe that it is possible to tackle this serious issue by taking some action.

Firstly, some people do not want to leave their home because they think that they are secure only in their homes. They feel that if they go out of their home then they may be affected by crime like chain snatching, murder, kidnapping etc. One approach would be to increase the number of police on roads. If more police were on the streets, whether on foot or on patrol cars, criminals would be less likely to commit crime and people would feel much safer. Having more police especially at night would be particularly beneficial.

A second possibility would be to make laws stricter and punishments more severe. This could involve increasing fines or lengthening prison sentences. If a criminal has to pay more money for doing something illegal or would have to face more time in prison then I believe this is likely to reduce the crime rate.

On the other hand, some people are frightened to leave their home because they feel that if they are not present in their home then their home is not safe from crime. To solve this problem, methods to increase security might deter potential criminals. For example, more effective alarm devices can be used in houses. Technology is so advanced nowadays that the alarm could ring on your mobile device if someone breaks-in your home. This would reduce burglary and theft. In addition, hidden security cameras like surveillance cameras can be used in homes with the help of which people can watch what is happening in their homes from anywhere. In this way one can feel secure about one's home.

In conclusion, although crime is a major problem in most cities in the world, the situation can be addressed by adopting the methods mentioned above. It would definitely be wrong to say that nothing can be done to address this problem.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I believe that it is possible to tackle this serious issue by taking some action.*

*Para 1: increase the number of police on roads*

*Para 2: make laws stricter and punishments more severe*

*Para 3: effective alarm devices can be used in houses - hidden security cameras like surveillance cameras can be used in homes*

*Conclusion:*

**52. The best way to solve the world's environmental problem is to increase the price of fuel. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?**

Excessive traffic and increasing pollution are affecting every major city in the globe. To lessen such problems, some people say that governments should raise the price of fuel such as petrol and diesel. It may help to some extent, but I disagree that it is the best solution to solve the problem of environment.

To begin with, the number of cars in a country directly depends on the proportion of the population, affluent enough to own cars. As a result, raises in gas price could invoke hard feelings among this segment of people, but would not drastically change their behaviour in using cars. Even if the number of cars on road is reduced due to higher gas cost, this is not the best way to solve traffic problems. Such policy would hurt the auto industry, place higher costs on current and prospective car owners, and be detrimental to the economy of a nation. In the long run, the final way out could be the construction of better roads and more effective use of available public transport facilities.

Secondly, there is evidence that waste gas from cars is not the leading cause of air pollution. The culprit may be the discharge of polluting substances into the atmosphere due to the rapidly growing manufacturing industry. As a result, reduction of the number of cars would not return us a blue sky and fresh air. We could better handle this problem if we could increase control over industrial waste-discharge and adopt more environmental friendly materials and equipment.

Finally, other measures like the application of cheaper and cleaner energy resources could also be a better solution. For example, we now have the ability to make cell-powered or even solar-powered cars. Such energy is completely clean and plentiful.

Summing up, it is not the best way to control traffic and pollution by increasing gas price because such action will hurt consumers and economy without achieving what it is aimed for. Measures such as construction of better transport facilities and development of new energy resources could be more effective solutions.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Why it is not the best way*

*Para 2: Manufacturing industries are the main cause of pollution – better to have control over them*

*Para 3: Use of renewable (cheaper and cleaner) energy sources*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**53. Some people think that universities should provide graduates with the knowledge and skills needed in the workplace in the future. Others think the true function of a university is to provide access to knowledge for its own sake. What do you think are the main functions of a university?**

As university education is the last stage before the starting of career, many people believe that it prepares students for employment only, but the fact is that it serves a lot many purposes. In this essay I shall discuss the various functions of a university.

Universities provide specialised education in fields such as medical, engineering, commerce etc. They provide library facilities, which support the curriculum. They provide laboratory facilities for science and technology related subjects. They send students to factories and industries so that they get practical experience. This job-oriented training helps them to understand the working conditions and also gives them an idea about competition in the market. They also create job opportunities for the students by arranging campus interviews.

On the other hand, universities also perform other functions, which help the students in their personal life. They organise co-curricular activities such as cultural programmes, sports, debates, fairs etc. Students gain many qualities such as self-confidence and positive attitude, which help them in their future life. Moreover, some people just go to university for gaining knowledge just out of interest for the subject. For example, a doctor may want to learn French language just for interest in the language.

Furthermore, a university is a place to know more about the world because there are students from across the globe in a university. For many, who may never travel abroad, this may be a chance of a lifetime for them to broaden their horizons and know more about the different cultures of the world. For example, in LPU (Lovely Professional University), there are 200 students from Malaysia, Korea and other parts of the world.

To conclude, universities do not simply prepare a person for employment, but also have many other functions.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I shall discuss the various functions of a university.*

*Para 1: Universities provide professional knowledge and jobs*

*Para 2: Other functions*

*Para 3: a university is a place to know more about the world Conclusion:*

**54. Some people think that the government should provide assistance to all kinds of artists including painters, musicians and poets, etc. However, other people think that it is a waste of money. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

Many people's lives are richer because of art - music, paintings, calligraphy, pictures, sculpture, poems and dance. There are some who claim that it is important to support the artists, and others who are opposed to government funding. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

There are many reasons why government should fund artists. The contributions of artists to the society are very essential. Art can bring out people's creativity, views and personalities. For example, we learn about our history, traditions and culture through movies, songs and paintings made by artists. Artists are the media of diffusing tradition. All kinds of tradition are the basis of a country without which the country can't be civilised. So artists are the ambassadors of culture and play a vital role in elevating the level of civilization of the country. Art is a major form of cultural abundance.

Another important aspect of this is that art is an ancient means of communication. Our language is a result of people's need to communicate. Art is what differentiates us from animals. Art is our soul and it is a source of courage. Artists also entertain us. Finally, government should fund artists because earning a livelihood from art is difficult, especially in the budding stages.

Opponents of government funding on artists say that money spent on the arts could have been used for considerably more vital purposes. They have strong reasons as a nation's health and wellbeing should be paramount. The idea that elderly people are forced to wait for essential operations, whilst the money required to increase available medical provision is spent on opera and ballet is plainly immoral. There are also more deserving social causes for the money that should be considered before the arts. Homelessness, unemployment, illiteracy - all of these deserve to be addressed before money is spent on what is essentially little more than entertainment.

To summarise, I would like to say that even though both sides have strong arguments, spending on arts is a must, but funds allocated for spending on basic healthcare and education should not be diverted to the Arts. There should be separate funding for Arts, as it is as important as other basic necessities.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I shall discuss both sides of the argument and finally give my opinion*

*Para 1: why government should fund artists*

*Para 2: More contribution of artists*

*Para 3: Opponents views*

*Conclusion:*

**55. Some people think that government should not give international aid since they have disadvantaged people like unemployed and homeless in their own country. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

International aid is a voluntary transfer of resources from one country to another. I disagree with the given statement that governments should not give aid to poor countries if there are underprivileged people within their own country. I believe that the developing and developed countries should always step forward to help the impoverished countries.

My first argument is that if unemployment and homelessness are the criteria for not giving aid, then there would be no aid on humanitarian grounds at all. Some degree of unemployment and homelessness is there even in the most developed countries such as the USA and the UK. If such countries stop giving aid, then there would be no one to help the poverty stricken world. For instance, according to statistics of 2013, nearly 6% people in the USA were unemployed, but aid given by USA was \$30 billion approximately. Even the developing countries such as India have allocated some part of their budget for foreign aid.

Secondly, most aid indirectly helps the donor country, such as for resource extraction form the recipient country or to gain some commercial access. For example, the MNCs opened in India as a part of foreign aid, no doubt are providing jobs to many youngsters, but in fact are paying them much less than what they have to pay their own country's employees. Many foreign companies have opened their retail outlets in India because India is a strong commercial market due to its burgeoning population. Therefore, aid works as a win-win situation for both the giver and the taker countries.

Furthermore, in emergencies or natural calamities such as earthquakes and tsunamis, all countries should come forward and help. Aid need not be monetary; it can be in the form of volunteer workers or providing goods needed by the affected people. Such help should be given even if there are situations within the country needing attention. This also strengthens relations between countries.

To sum up, I reiterate my opinion saying that all countries should help by giving foreign aid even if there are problems of unemployment and homelessness within them.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: First reason for giving aid*

*Para 2: Second reason*

*Para 3: Third reason*

*Conclusion*

## 56. International travel makes people prejudiced rather than broad-minded. What are its causes and what measures can be taken to solve this problem?

It is irrefutable that international tourism has taken mammoth dimensions. Unfortunately, sometimes, international tourism creates tension rather than understanding between people from different cultures. In this essay I intend to delve into the causes of this situation and suggest some ways to alleviate the problem.

The most important reason why some are opposed to international tourism is that tourists may unknowingly show disrespect for local culture. For instance, we generally cover our heads in a religious place. A tourist may not do so or take his shoes inside a temple. This may offend the local people. Sometimes, youngsters may be attracted towards the western culture, which the tourists bring with them and many may find this as a threat to the local culture.

Moreover, the tourist dollar may not be helping the local people. We all know that tourists stay in five star hotels and enjoy the best facilities. They may be taking two showers a day, where as the local people may not have enough water to drink. This has been the case in Shimla, which is a very popular hill station in Himachal Pradesh, India. On top of that, when tourists buy souvenirs from local artisans, they bargain a lot. The poor artisans, who look up to tourists for their livelihood, end up earning the bare minimum. Finally, tourism creates pollution, which helps nobody. We are all suffering the consequences of global warming.

The solutions are not simple. We cannot discourage tourism. It is the backbone of many economies of the world. First of all, the tour operators should take the onus of guiding the tourists about the main things of local culture. Secondly, the tourists should stay with locals as paying guests. This would be a win-win situation for both. Local people would earn and the tourist would taste the local culture. Finally, ecotourism should be promoted. For example, if an elephant ride is possible, the tourist should avoid using car. After all, a good tourist is one – who takes away nothing, but photographs, and leaves behind nothing, but footprints.

Summing up, international tourism does have a downside to it, but many steps can be taken to lessen the negative effects.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro: This essay shall discuss why International tourism makes people prejudiced and suggest solutions*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: solutions*

*Conclusion: international tourism does have a downside to it but many steps can be taken to lessen the negative effects*

**57. Rich countries often give financial aid to poor countries, but it does not solve the poverty, so rich countries should give other types of help to the poor countries rather than the financial aid. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Even though technology is developing at a fast pace and the standard of living of most individuals has gone up, billions of people still live in poverty, and in many places, the rich countries give financial aid to developing and under-developed countries. Some people consider it improper to give direct monetary help. I agree with them. I believe that the developed countries should give some other types of help to the poor countries and not direct financial aid.

My first argument against financial aid is that this aid may not actually reach those for whom it is meant. It may go into corrupt pockets. Secondly, it would make those people lazy, as they would be getting aid without doing any work. Thirdly, the aid may be used for wrong purposes. For example, the aid may be given for development projects but it may be used to promote terrorism. Finally, if aid is given without proper research, then it may be used for projects, which are not the priority of the people. For instance, the people may need health and educational institutes, whereas the aid may be used for making dams or expanding roadways.

The best way to help poor countries would be to open good educational institutes, good health centres and create job opportunities for people of the poor countries. It has been wisely said by someone, ‘Give a man fish to eat, and you feed him for a day. Teach a man how to fish, and you feed him for a lifetime’. If people of the poor countries start earning well their standard would definitely become better and the whole country would become richer.

If rich countries open factories and multinational companies in poor countries it would be a win-win situation for both, as the poor would get employment and the rich would have to pay much lesser to these workers than they would have to pay their counterparts in their own country. So, more and more rich countries would step forward to help the poor. Direct financial aid would not bring such a situation.

Summing up, financial aid is not the best way to help poor countries. From my own perspective, the developed countries ought to assist the impoverished countries through other approaches.

Plan followed

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: negative effects of financial help*

*Para 2: advantages of helping in other ways*

*Para 3: More ways of helping*

*Conclusion:*

**58. Some people who have been in prison become good citizens later. Some people think that they are the best people to talk to school students about the danger of committing a crime. Do you agree or disagree?**

It is understandable that ex-prisoners can share the consequences of their crime with school children and educate them against delinquency. However, I disagree that they are the best people for this job. I believe that other measures taken by parents and teachers are better to teach the next generation how to become law-abiding citizens.

Obviously, the released prisoners have witnessed the tough life in the jail and can share the tears and sorrows they suffered in the jails and the harm they have brought to the victims and their family members. This may be more impressive than the traditional lectures given by professors. By listening to a wide range of real cases in person, young generation will realize the consequence of the irresponsible behaviour deeply and discipline themselves strictly in the future.

Nevertheless, I believe other alternatives should be taken into consideration as well. It is common for people to have a fear towards criminals, especially those who have committed violent crimes and therefore face-to-face conversation between the school students and people with such criminal record might be unfitting in some cases. Another point to be taken into consideration is that by inviting such people to schools to address the students, some vulnerable students might start thinking it glamorous to commit a crime.

By contrast, policemen normally have a good impression among youngsters and they can become the active voice in reducing juvenile delinquency. Senior police officials could be called to deliver lectures to students and show them videos of the harsh life in prisons to deter them from committing crime. Apart from them, parents can certainly be the first to help children learn the differences between right and wrong since they are the people who know their children the best in the world. Teachers also are good to guide children about what is right or wrong.

To sum up, I reiterate my opinion that ex-criminals are good, but not the best to guide children against immoral behaviour. It is the responsibility of every member of a society to help the young generation to become good citizens.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: How ex-criminals can help*

*Para 2: Why ex-prisoners are not the best.*

*Para 3: What other people can help*

*Conclusion:*

**59. The food travels thousands of miles from farm to consumer. Some people think it would be better to our environment and economy if people only ate only locally produced food. What extent do the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?**

Nowadays, supermarkets are stocked with food products from around the world. Some individuals are of the opinion that this imported food has detrimental effect on our economy and culture and it would be better if people ate only the local produce. Certainly, the disadvantages of imported food outweigh the advantages.

On the positive side, transporting food over a long distance gives us a lot of choices. We can taste a variety of fruits and vegetables from all parts of the world. For example, about ten years ago, we hardly saw kiwi fruit, which is from New Zealand. But, now it has a place on every fruit stand. Secondly, many people get employment in this field. Small farmers have a chance to expand globally and it increases the overall economy of the country. Finally, it helps in developing good relations between countries, which helps in international cooperation and peace. If countries are dependent upon one another's economic success, then armed conflict would be less likely.

On the other hand, importing food can have a negative effect on local culture. This can be seen in countries such as Japan where imported food has become more popular than traditional, local produce, eroding people's understanding of their own food traditions. Although some would claim that this is a natural part of economic development, in an increasingly global world, I feel strongly that any loss of regional culture would be detrimental.

A second major reason to reduce imports is the environmental cost. Currently, many food imports such as fruit, are transported thousands of miles by road, sea and air, making the produce more expensive to buy and increasing pollution from exhaust fumes. Despite the fact that trade in food exports has existed for many years, I am convinced that a reduction would bring significant financial and environmental gains.

In conclusion, I am certain that if people ate locally produced food, it would have environmental benefits. It would also benefit the local economy because, in time, people would prosper commercially as the demand for local and regional produce would remain high resisting the competition from overseas.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Certainly, the disadvantages of imported food outweigh the advantages*

*Para 1: advantages of transporting goods*

*Para 2: Negative effects on local culture*

*Para 3: Negative effect on environment*

*Conclusion:*

## 60. The natural resources such as oil, and fresh water are being consumed at an alarming rate. What problems does it cause? How can we solve these problems?

The time has come for international soul-searching about the environment. The industrial revolution has changed forever the relation between humanity and nature. In this essay I intend to explore the problems caused by the increased consumption of oil, forests and fresh water, and suggest some solutions.

Talking about oil first, it is well known that oil is non-renewable and so will finish very soon. Pollution is another problem that is caused by the use of oil. Oil is used in vehicles, industries and homes. Simple measures can be taken to lessen its use. At individual level we can use more public transport; we can make lifestyle changes and use fewer luxuries. At government level, people can be educated. More effort can be done to research alternative sources of energy.

Secondly, water, especially fresh water, is another big area of concern. There can be no life without water. Water is the most precious commodity today. Fresh water resources are depleting fast. At individual level we should use water conservatively and recycle water wherever possible. At government level desalination plants can be set. Strict laws can be enforced for industries against pollution of fresh water by effluents.

Finally, because of deforestation, many animals and plants, which provide us food, medicine and other valuable products, are facing extinction. We have less bio-diversity also. Moreover, when trees are cut, the soil is exposed to heavy rain and so is washed away. The soil also becomes infertile. Also, trees absorb carbon-dioxide, which causes global warming. If there are no trees, there will be increase of greenhouse gases and this would not be good for our environment. As a solution, afforestation should be promoted as a solution. If each one of us plants a tree and nurtures it for few months, then the day is not far when we shall have greenery all over.

In conclusion, I would like to reiterate that oil, forests and fresh water are very necessary if we have to survive. So we should use them judiciously, else our future is bleak.

### Plan followed

*Intro: I intend to explore the problems caused by the increased consumption of oil, forests and fresh water, and suggest some solutions.*

*Para 1: Oil – problems and solutions*

*Para 2: Fresh water – problem and solution*

*Para 3: Trees – problem solution*

*Conclusion*

### 61. The most important decision that young people have to follow is what career to choose. Do you agree or disagree?

I agree with the given statement, which says that the most pivotal decision that young people have to trail is what vocation to pick. It is indubitable that there are other critical issues of life, which are very significant but career choice remains at number one.

The main argument which goes in favour of making career choice the most vital decision is that when the young persons have an idea of the career path they want to pursue, it can help them make the best decisions about their training and education. Many lines of work require specific degrees and certifications, which can take years to pursue. Understanding the requirements of their chosen path will allow them to plan to prepare themselves for the career they want.

Secondly, when the youngsters choose a career path, they position themselves to look far into the future at their ultimate objective. This can help them identify positions they want to hold and income levels they want to achieve. It can also help to guide them in building their personal and professional networks in the industry in which they are interested. Having long-term goals can help him stay focused on their ultimate career objectives, rather than moving aimlessly from job to job.

Finally, choosing a career path can help the youth make other important life decisions. Their choice of profession can dictate where they live and may affect if and when they marry and have a family. Choosing a life partner is another very important life decision, but it comes secondary to a career choice. Achieving a satisfactory work-life balance can be a challenge for many professionals, but career planning can help to minimize some of this stress.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that choice of career is certainly the most important decision for the young people and all other decisions depend on this one primary decision.

#### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Main reason*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: More reasons*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**62. Most of our information comes from the Internet nowadays. Some people say that a large part of the information we get is incorrect. Do you agree or disagree? Give your opinion.**

Someone has rightly said that the Internet is the first thing that humanity has made that humanity doesn't understand, the largest experiment in anarchy that we have ever had. We are all bombarded with information on the Internet once we go online. Although some of the information may be wrong but I still believe that most of the information is correct.

My first argument is that only if the information is correct, it can enjoy a lasting attraction to readers. With an expectation to have more readers, the author will be encouraged to post correct and authenticated articles which will in turn attract more and more visitors to the website. Otherwise, when the audience comes to know they are being cheated, then they will stop visiting the blog or website.

Secondly, as the people are surrounded by such a wide sea of information, they will definitely not believe in one report, but may search out all the related information to compare and form their own opinion. To add to it, the issue of cybercrime has also led to the websites being supervised because of which discourages people to post wrong and misleading information. Plagiarism is also considered an offence and so those posting any information know that they are being monitored.

Admittedly, some false, misleading or incorrect information do exist, but the person surfing the net have to learn how to look for the authentic websites. This takes a little time but ultimately people come to know about the websites imparting useful and correct information and then bookmark those sites and avoid going back to the fake sites.

To sum up, most of the information on the internet is worth our trust. With the rise of people's awareness of its accuracy, more correct information will be there awaiting us in the future.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: First argument*

*Para 2: Second argument*

*Para 3: Responsibility of the person accessing the internet*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

### 63. As well as making money, businesses should also have social responsibilities. Do you agree or disagree?

I definitely agree with the given statement that the corporate sector should focus on creating personal wealth as well as shoulder social responsibilities. This would be beneficial to the society and also for the companies themselves to sustain in the long run.

To begin with, it is an inescapable responsibility of every business enterprise to create more money for itself and its employees. Only when businesses achieve profitability can the employees remain loyal to the organisations that they work for. Meanwhile, companies can themselves remain competitive in the long run. In the initial stages, great amount of investments are needed for employee training, buying equipment, advertising, marketing, research and development. Therefore, economic stimulus is the driving force for employers and employees to work hard.

On the other hand, social responsibility is also very essential for businesses. In times of natural disasters, business houses are supposed to make generous donations and set an example. All businesses should also abide by the law and never make profit by unethical means. If any business becomes prosperous by honest hard work, it can provide a number of job opportunities, which can greatly reduce the pressure of social unemployment.

Furthermore, taking social responsibility would help these large business houses themselves. For example, when any business house donates for charity, the media spreads a word about their efforts and they get advertisement for free. In my hometown, many parks and roundabouts are maintained by the textile, sugar and starch mill in my hometown. These business houses do not need to spend extra for their adverts. Providing scholarships to poor, meritorious students is another way these enterprises can take social responsibility.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that businesses need to make money and also look into their social obligations. This would be a win-win situation for the society and the enterprises themselves.

#### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Why businesses should make money*

*Para 2: Why and how they should have social responsibilities*

*Para 3: Doing for society would help themselves also*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

#### 64. People have to spend more and more time to travel from their homes for jobs and study. What are the reasons? How can we solve this problem?

It is indubitable that commuting time for study or work has increased in the past few years. This essay intends to analyze some causes behind this situation and also suggest possible ways to alleviate this problem.

The most obvious reason is that roads are very congested, as the number of vehicles has increased to unprecedented levels in the past decade or so. Everyone seems to own a car nowadays and so the traffic during peak hours moves at a snail's pace. What used to take 20 minutes earlier, takes about 50 minutes now. Second reason for this is the suburbanization of cities. People are moving to the suburbs in search of quieter, cheaper and more open houses, as a consequence of which travel time to work or study has gone up.

The solutions are not easy, but joint efforts by the government and the people can help to lessen the gravity of the situation. At the government level, steps could be taken to improve the public transport. This could be done by increasing the comfort and frequency of public transport and decreasing the fare. That way more people would be motivated to use the public transport. People should be motivated to do car-pooling by having HOV (High occupancy vehicle) lanes. Only those cars, which are fully occupied, are allowed to move on such lanes, because of which the traffic on these lanes moves faster. Such a system is being used very successfully in many parts of the USA.

At the individual level, people should voluntarily opt for public transport even if that involves being bound by the time of the bus or train. Wherever office and lecture timings are flexible, people can choose to work or attend lectures in those hours when commuting can be done at off-peak hours. Car-pooling should be done by conscious effort. For example, my friend works in an office, which is in a city, which is 20 km from her home. Other people in her neighbourhood also commute to the same city. She approached them for car-pooling, and now every day, one person takes his car. Instead of five cars on the road every day, now there is one and each one is doing some extra saving too.

To sum up, it is undeniable that commuting time has increased, but many steps can be taken to ameliorate the situation.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: solutions*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**65. The main purpose of public libraries is to provide books and they shouldn't waste their limited resources and space on providing expensive hi-tech media such as computer software, videos and DVDs. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?**

Since centuries libraries have been in the service of man. These libraries are the repositories of never ending knowledge known as books. Some people opine that libraries should only provide books and not other hi-tech media such as computer software, videos and DVDs. I, however, believe that such hi-tech media should be there in libraries in addition to books.

It is easy to see why some people say that libraries should not have CDs and DVDs. They fear that the traditional book will lose its appeal. Because of technology, books are now being converted into disc forms such as CDs and DVDs. Even the availability of books in the form of electronic media on NET is putting in danger the importance of the traditional libraries, which house only traditional books. Here, my argument would be that libraries have to keep in stock many copies of a single book. CDs and DVDs occupy less space and so the availability of CDs and DVDs in addition to books seems very practical because of the shortage of space in most libraries.

Secondly, one should keep in mind that a person goes to a library not only to search and get information from books but also to sit and study there. Some books, which are out of print or not available in that particular area can be accessed through CDs and DVDs. What is more, many students cannot afford the expensive hi tech media and Internet connection in their homes. It is well known that the Internet is an ocean of knowledge and students need to access it for assignments. Therefore, they can access all this in the library. Therefore, computers and the Internet should be available in libraries.

Another important point why people oppose such hi-tech media is that they fear that instead of using it positively students will use it for chatting and surfing objectionable sites. They have a point, but this can be looked into by blocking such sites in the libraries. My final argument would be that with the help of computers the records of the books in the library could be maintained very efficiently. For example, most modern libraries can be maintained by just one or two librarians, whereas earlier they needed a lot of manpower.

To conclude, it can be said that advancement should be welcome in every field but the importance of the libraries for their fundamental role cannot be put aside. Equipping libraries with high-tech media will add more crowns of success to the importance of libraries.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: such hi-tech media should be there in libraries*

*Para 1: why libraries should have CDs and DVDs.*

*Para 2: Other advantages of adding this media*

*Para 3: Another reason why people oppose high tech media*

*Conclusion: Equipping libraries with high-tech media will add more crowns of success to the importance of libraries.*

## 66. Many people think young people should follow traditions. Others argue that young people should be free to be individuals. Discuss both views.

It is a highly debatable issue whether the youth should follow traditions or have liberty to be what they want. This essay shall look into both sides of the debate before forming an opinion.

There are many reasons why some individuals opine that the youth should follow traditions. The prime reason is that the youth has been neglecting its own very rich culture and traditions in order to adapt to the western ideas. They have their mindset that what the west is doing is right and one should follow it without hesitation. For example, the youth of India does not perceive its identity as traditional Indians any more. They think that their centuries old traditions are outdated and prevent modern development. But they should know that nobody likes copy-cats. They should learn from a country like Japan, which is one of the leading economies of the world and also one of the most advanced countries in terms of modernization, but it still follows and respects its old traditions and cultures. Another reason of people's opinion is that some traditions are very good and need to be preserved at all costs. For instance, touching the feet of the elderly as a token of respect and welcoming guests with folded hands.

On the other hand, it is easy to understand why some people are in favour of letting the youth have their own individuality. This is mainly because the youth of today is better informed than ever before in the history of mankind. They do not just accept things without reasoning. Today, the nations of the world are more closely linked than ever before. It would be wrong to impose traditions on them, which are obsolete in the modern era. For example, the dowry system, in which the bride's parents gave her gold, money and household items, was acceptable earlier as women were not working, but today dowry system is being condemned because the youth of today realize that it has led to many vices such as female feticide.

What is more, today, we are living in a modern world calling for innovation and modernism, which pulls us toward a new vision of traditions; a vision which respects our traditional spirit but which concurrently supports newness and modernity, and promotes making the most of the global village of today. The youth of today are borrowing methods and taking aspirations from one country to the other. For example, the beautiful traditions of The West, such as celebration of Mother's Day and Father's Day are being celebrated in India today.

In conclusion, it can be said that the youth of today should be allowed all individuality. They are not part of a big planet called Earth; they belong to a small global village. Traditions should not define them; they should define traditions. It has been rightly said that 'Traditions' should be guides and not jailors.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro: This essay shall look into both sides of the debate*

*Para 1: Why the youth should follow traditions*

*Para 2: why favour the youth have their own individuality*

*Para 3: How the youth of today can blend traditions with modernism*

*Conclusion:*

**67. Some people say that the government should give priority to health care whereas others say they should spend on other important priorities. Discuss both views and give your opinion**

People are divided on the issue of the allocation of funds for healthcare by the governments. Some opine that health care is a crucial facet that governments have to pay attention to. Others, however, believe that there exist other areas on which governments should attach equal or even more weight. This essay intends to analyze both perspectives. I, however, side with the latter view.

On the one hand, prioritizing healthcare would lead to a healthier and happier society. It is quite obvious that the people would be less economically burdened if governments prioritize health care. It would make it more convenient and cost-effective for the public to see a doctor. For example, the financial support from governments is likely to make various medicines and treatments more affordable to people who are not so well-off. Compared with the situation where citizens have to bear the cost totally by themselves, the economical pressure will be much relieved.

However, governments' investment should not be confined within the boundary of health care. Education, which concerns the long-term development of the whole nation, should also receive similar funds. Primary education should be free and mandatory. Higher education should be highly subsidized for students whose families are under the poverty line.

Apart from education, it is also advisable that taxpayers' money should be distributed to public facilities, which will not only benefit every citizen's daily life, but also attract more investment and create more job opportunities. Public transport should be improved so that more and more people like to opt for it. Condition of roads should be bettered. Facilities for the elderly cannot be ignored in the greying society of today.

To sum up, the allotment of governments' funds should equally go to more sectors, which will exert more influence on public and the society as a whole, although the importance of health care can not be underestimated.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of spending on healthcare*

*Para 2: Other important issues*

*Para 3: More important issues*

*Conclusion:*

**68. Government spends much money for education. More money should be spent on free-time activities. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is true that spending on education is top priority for many governments across the world, and in doing so they ignore the recreational activities, which are as important. Therefore, I agree that the government should allocate more funds for such leisure time activities. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

Undoubtedly, spending on education is very essential for the progress of any nation. Educated people get higher paid jobs and add to the economy of the country. To add to it, educated societies are crime free and peaceful societies. That is why literacy rate is an important factor in determining the status of any country in the world.

However, spending on free-time activities is equally important. Such activities benefit students academically. They learn character-building lessons that they can apply to their study habits and to their lives. Activities such as athletics, music, theater, and organizations teach students how to discipline themselves through drills, practices, or rehearsals. In addition, extracurricular activities in the arts teach students analytical skills and creative problem solving skills since they have to think creatively to successfully perform music, act in a play, or produce a work of art.

Furthermore, life is stressful and free time activities act as stress-busters. They break the monotony of hectic day-to-day life. They also build community spirit among people as during free time people meet each other and socialize. It is the responsibility of the governments to provide stadiums, playgrounds, gyms and community centres where people can do free-time activities.

To sum up, I would like to reiterate my opinion that apart from education, spending on recreational activities is a must. These activities are as important as education for the overall development of any society.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Importance of education*

*Para 2: Importance of free-time activities*

*Para 3: Importance of free time activities*

*Conclusion:*

**69. Some people say that in our modern age it is unnecessary to teach children about the skills of handwriting. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

A common opinion is that with the increasing role computers play in our society; handwriting is no longer an important skill to learn at an early age. Unfortunately this opinion is misguided. I firmly believe that handwriting is very important even in today's era of technology. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

Handwriting is important because research shows that when children learn how to do it, they also learn how to express themselves. Handwriting is so much more than simply putting letters on a page; it is a key part of learning to communicate. Writing is almost as important as speaking, as a medium for communicating thought. For this reason it is said that "Writing is a secondary power of speech, and those who cannot write are in part dumb." Scrawls that cannot be read may be compared to talking that cannot be understood; and writing difficult to decipher, to stammering speech.

Handwriting is also important because kids are required to use it daily in school from kindergarten on. Children who struggle with the mechanics of handwriting may have trouble taking notes or tests or completing their schoolwork. This can affect both their self-esteem and their attitude toward school. Good handwriting can mean better grades. Studies show that the same mediocre paper is graded much higher if the handwriting is neat and much lower if the writing is not.

What is more, handwriting proficiency inspires confidence. The more children practice a skill such as handwriting, the stronger the motor pathways become until the skill becomes automatic. Once it's mastered, children can move on to focus on the subject, rather than worry about how to form letters. Furthermore, handwriting aids memory. For example, if a person writes a list or a note — then loses it — he is much more likely to remember what he wrote than if he just tried to memorize it.

In summary, handwriting skills are very essential even today. Apart from being a tool of communication, it helps the brain develop, it can improve grades and confidence and also aids memory.

***Plan followed***

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Writing is a method of communication*

*Para2: Handwriting improves grades*

*Para3: Improves confidence and memory*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**70. Some developing countries invite large foreign companies to open offices and factories in order to help their economy. However, others feel that foreign companies should be shut out and instead the government should help the local companies to contribute to the economic growth. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is a highly debatable issue whether multinational companies (MNCs) should be encouraged by the governments of developing countries, or whether the local companies should be promoted. I firmly believe that MNCs are good for the economic growth. Therefore, I disagree with the given statement that MNCs should be shut out. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

There are many advantages of MNCs. To begin with, these provide employment, which usually pays better than other available opportunities. What is more, they train local labour with more sophisticated techniques, which in the long run bring benefits to the host country. They also raise the growth rate of host nation by introducing new investment and new technology. To add to it, they promote efficient production and bring a broader range of products to the widest possible market.

Furthermore, such MNCs promote improvement or development of various supporting industries or complementary industries. For example, if an MNC opens in a place, then many businesses open in the neighbourhood, which cater to the workers working in these MNCs. In this way, these MNCs stabilize and stimulate local economies, and raise standards of living.

Another important advantage of MNCs is that they induce their local rivals to become more innovative and competitive. For instance, it is a well known fact that Indian company Videocon has improved its standard to compete with MNCs such as Samsung and Sony. Finally, these companies promote positive values, such as diversity, and equality for women. They also create an environment of nonviolence and international cooperation.

To conclude, MNCs may have their negative points, but their pros far outweigh their cons. So, they should be promoted by the governments of developing countries.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I firmly believe that MNCs are good*

*Para 1: advantages of MNCs*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: more advantages of*

*Conclusion:*

### 71. Advertising discourages people from being different individuals by making us all want to do the same and look the same. Do you agree or disagree?

The role of advertisements in destroying individuality has sparked much debate in the recent times. Some people opine that advertisements eliminate individual sense of identity, making people indistinguishable from each other. I, however, disagree with this allegation.

To begin with, when any advertisement bombards people with any new product, then people rush to buy it and it appears that all people are doing the same. However, this similarity is very short-lived, as sooner or later they realize that it is a huge waste of time and money to spend on something that is not really appropriate for them. Therefore, ads cannot suppress individuality permanently.

Secondly, all people cannot afford all things shown in the adverts. Even when ads use famous celebs to endorse their products, and people want to purchase those things, even then they have to consider their pocket and requirement. For instance, when it comes to luxury goods, solely a marginal number of purchasers can afford the financial cost. Therefore, no matter how attractive and persuasive an advertisement is, never can it tempt people to make the same purchase. Most people cannot afford to upset the whole monthly budget just because of certain alluring ads.

Furthermore, when we talk of the latest fashions, all people do not wear the similar clothes because of ads. If people wear jeans, it is because jeans are comfortable, and in today's fast life people need comfortable clothes. Nowadays, everyone knows that only that fashion should be followed which suits them. If adverts show celebs in flared pants, those who are not blessed with a good height would surely not follow them.

To sum up, from what has been analyzed above, it is concluded that advertisement cannot dominate the market trends as all people have different requirements, different material wealth and different choices. If at all there are any similarities, they are very short lived.

#### Plan followed

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Ads have short term effects*

*Para 2: People have to see their pockets too*

*Para 3: Similarity is not because of ads. It is because of comfort.*

*Conclusion:*

**72. It is suggested that all young adults should undertake a period of unpaid work, helping people in the community. Does it bring more benefits or drawbacks to the young people?**

The youth of today are generally considered to lack social knowledge and experience, which are of crucial importance in their future development. To solve this problem, some people suggest that they should undertake a period of voluntary work in the local community, helping people around them. Personally, I believe that making young people do voluntary work would be beneficial for the youth as well as for the society. The negative effects of such an approach would be negligible.

Undoubtedly, it can be a win-win approach - that is, it will benefit both the young and the community enormously if youth do a certain period of unpaid work. By helping the elderly, the sick and the disabled directly, or participating in charitable activities like raising funds or offering free consultation, young people will certainly gain and accumulate some valuable first-hand experience and know more about the society.

Moreover, young people with such experiences are more likely to become the most motivated ones in academic study or at work, and eventually become responsible citizens of the society. As far as the community is concerned, it will definitely become better with so many zealous young volunteers who are ready to help.

However, there may be some disadvantages if the young provide free service helping people in need. For example, it may conflict with their normal study or work if not arranged well. Then the result may be not as desirable as what is expected. But I think this sort of problem can be easily taken care of by meticulous planning by the school authorities.

To conclude, competitiveness of modern life and influence of global culture have isolated children from neighbourhood. So, such a step would be very beneficial to make today's children feel part of the community.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: its pros far outweigh the cons*

*Para 1: young people will know more about the society*

*Para 2: young people become the most motivated in academic study or work*

*Para 3: disadvantages*

*Conclusion: such a step would be very beneficial to make today's children feel part of the community.*

**73. There are social, medical and technical problems associated with the use of mobile phones. What forms do they take? Do you agree that the problems outweigh the benefits of mobile phones?**

Mobile phone is one of the most important inventions that have brought people tremendous convenience and efficiency. Admittedly, if misused or overused, it may cause some social, medical and technical problems. However, its pros far outnumber its cons.

Mobiles have helped people remain connected with the world from wherever they are. Distance is not a barrier any more there is a sense of security if you know you have a gadget with which you can be in touch with your near and dear ones. At the time of emergencies and calamities, they are one of the most used tools for supplying immediate help.

Mobile phones also provide us with facilities like messaging, camera, recording and the Internet. As a matter of fact, now they can serve as a good replacement of laptop. Business transactions can also take place at any time of the day and family commitments can also be fulfilled while at work. Cell phones also serve as entertainment tools as many games can now be played and some phones have FM radio connection too.

On the downside, mobile phones emit infrared rays, which directly affect the body part exposed to. According to Australian Health Research Institute, use of mobile phones can lead to ear, eye and brain cancer besides some other disorders like heart ailments. Overuse of mobiles takes away the privacy and sometimes even the peace of mind. Wherever we are, at work, at home or in a social gathering, we are hammered with unwanted calls or messages. It is a boon to roadside paparazzi for whom taking snaps is just too easy with more advanced mobiles.

To sum up, the advantages of the mobile phone far outweigh its disadvantages. Mobile technology definitely has brought a revolution and changed the way to work, to socialize and to entertain, but we must be more wise and responsible in using it.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: its pros far outnumber its cons.*

*Para 1: Some advantages of cell phone – stay connected – good for emergencies*

*Para 2: Uses in business and entertainment*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion: the advantages of the mobile phone far outweigh its disadvantages.*

**74. Old generations often hold some traditional ideas on the correct way of life, thinking and behavior. However, some people think that it is not helpful for the young generations to prepare for modern life in the future. What's your opinion?**

Generation gap has been a debated issue since the dawn of civilization. I partially agree with this statement. Modern life has changed beyond recognition in many ways and so many ideas of the old generation are not suitable for today's life, but still there are some traditional ideas, which are evergreen and hold true even today.

The elderly had a very disciplined life. For instance, they believed in sticking to one job for life. They also believed in fixed-hours job. They had a stress-free work life. Moreover, they believed that marriage was for life. Divorces were rare. They had a stable family life. These values, if followed, are good for today's generation also.

However, in many ways the ideas of the elderly are obsolete in the times of modernity. The elderly live like a frog in the well. They forget that change is progress. They also want their children to follow the same profession. They do not give importance to aptitude. Youngsters are more intellectually evolved. They want to explore the un-trodden path to face the cut-throat competition of today.

Furthermore, the young differ in dress, food and habits. These things were not available to the elderly. The elderly had lesser opportunities to come in contact with the western world. The Earth was a big planet. Now it is a global village. The young speak a universal language, eat Italian pizza and Chinese food and wear a universal dress. The leisurely ways of the old are gone. The young have the speed of bikes, cars and planes. What can link them to the old bullock cart? The young today have to change to survive.

To sum up, there are some traditional ideas of the elderly that are evergreen and will hold true for times to come. But, in many ways, they are obsolete in today's time.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Modern life has changed beyond recognition in many ways and so many ideas of the old generation are not suitable for today's life, but still there are some traditional ideas which are evergreen and hold true even today.*

*Para 1: Which ideas of the elderly hold true even today*

*Para 2: Which ideas of the elderly are obsolete today*

*Para 3: Why these ideas of the elderly are obsolete*

*Conclusion:*

**75. Some people think that government should subsidize fruits and vegetables to make healthy food cheaper. Others argue that tax should be set on unhealthy food. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

The increase in the consumption of unhealthy fast food has led to a rise in health related problems, and it is becoming a cause of concern in most countries. Some individuals opine that government should subsidize healthy foods, whereas others say that the solution is in levying a 'Fat Tax', which means a tax on fast food. This essay intends to delve into both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

The main argument in support of 'Fat tax', is that unhealthy food habits have led to an increase in health problems. The health of the general public is not only an individual's responsibility, but also the responsibility of the government. Moreover, the revenue earned can be utilized towards providing better health care, medical research and other such issues, which will in the development of the nation.

On the other hand, some people opine that a major reason for a higher consumption of fast food is that healthier food options are not affordable by everyone. For instance, a glass of sweetened soda is cheaper than a glass of milk. Therefore, instead of making the fast food more expensive, subsidies should be provided for producers of healthy foods, like vegetables, fruits, milk, etc. By doing so, people will have a choice to make between healthy and fast food, with both being priced equally. They also say that implementing the 'Fat Tax' is not an effective method, as it penalizes everyone, both the rich and poor, thus further increasing the gaps in society. This will affect the poor more and take away the simple pleasures that everyone enjoys.

I believe that taking care of one's health is an individual's responsibility and also is a right to freedom of choice. The causes of health problems like obesity, cardiovascular diseases, etc. are not limited to an unhealthy diet. Lack of exercise is also a major cause of an increase in obesity. These are all personal choices that people need to make and measures should be taken to make people aware of the consequences of the choices they make. It would not be wise to implement a fat-tax, as it will not be practical for the government to decide which food product should be taxed and which should be exempted. Also, it has been found through a research, that taste is the number one factor, when deciding what to eat. So increasing the tax on fast food will not make a considerable difference to the consumption of unhealthy foods.

To conclude, implementing the fat-tax is not an effective solution to the health problems. Subsidizing healthier food options should be done, so that those who want to refrain from eating unhealthy foods should have healthier options within the reach of their pocket.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay*

*Para1: For Fat Tax*

*Para 2: Other viewpoint*

*Para 3: Own opinion*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion.*

## 76. Many people nowadays do not feel safe either when they are at home or go out. What are the reasons and what can be done to solve this problem?

There is no doubt that an increasing number of people feel unsafe, not only when they go out somewhere, but also when they are at home. There are several factors, which have lead to this increasing fear. In this essay, I will look into the causes of this and suggest some solutions.

The reasons why people are afraid of going out of their homes are very obvious. The crimes committed on the streets, are on a rise. The road mishaps have risen to a proportion, more than ever before and continue to increase everyday. Some people are afraid to go out because of the fear of natural calamities and also, sometimes due to health related disorders, which might be caused due to the increase in pollution. The reports of bombings and terror attacks have become everyday news. As a result, people feel afraid of stepping out of their homes.

At the same time, people are also becoming increasingly scared within their homes. The main reason for this is the escalation in the crimes being committed at homes, like murders, robberies, etc. In a recent news article, it has been surveyed that there have been more crimes committed by domestic help at people's homes, than the crimes being committed on the streets. This has led to people feeling vulnerable, at home and when they are out on the streets.

There are certain steps, which can be taken, by the government and individuals, to make people more safe and protected. The increasing unemployment is the main reason of these crimes. If the youth of a country are provided vocational training and good job opportunities, they would not fall on the path of crime. Another important measure, which needs to be taken by the government, is to employ more security measures, like cameras, more police patrolling, and more security in public transport and public areas. This needs to be done in the residential and commercial areas, both, so that it deters the criminals and instills a sense of security in the public. For instance, in Bengaluru, government has now made it compulsory for all showrooms and shops to install security cameras. There are also random checks made by the government officials to ensure that the security standards are met in all commercial areas.

To add to it, stricter punishments for the offenders will act as a major deterrent for the criminals. This will greatly reduce the incidents of crimes on the streets and at home. Another important measure is the use of technology in the security systems installed at homes. People can install advanced alarm systems and security cameras in their homes, to avoid any unwanted incidents of crime. This also helps greatly in the reduction of domestic crimes.

To sum it up, people's fear and insecurity is justified, with the increasing reports of crime reported by the media. However, this situation can be mitigated by taking some measures, like tackling the unemployment problem, tightened security measures, stricter punishments and the use of advanced technology in households and commercial areas.

### Plan followed:

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why people are not safe when they are out of their homes*

*Para 2: Why they are not safe within their homes*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Para 4: Solutions*

*Conclusion*

**77. Some people think that it is more important to plant trees in open areas of towns and cities than to build more housing. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I completely agree with the statement that it is more meaningful to plant trees in the open urban areas, rather than building more houses. Trees not only provide environmental benefits, but also provide numerous social and economic benefits. This essay intends to discuss my opinion and these benefits.

Admittedly, housing is needed to meet the demands of the burgeoning population, but we can go for high-rise buildings and leave the open spaces for planting more and more trees.

To begin with the environmental benefits of trees, these help purify the polluted air in the cities and towns. Research has proven that 100 trees can remove tonnes of carbon dioxide annually. Trees are also known to create a buffer, which cuts down everyday noise of cities, thus tackling noise pollution also. To add to it, the water is also managed better, if there are more trees in a city. The rainwater doesn't run off into drains if there are trees in urban areas. It is filtered into the ground, thus saving the city money that is spent on drains and artificial controls. Providing a natural habitat to birds and animals is another advantage.

To add to it, trees also help save energy consumption. If planted in the right place, urban forests provide shade to homes, roads, office areas and parking spaces. Cooler homes and offices means, lesser air conditioning is needed, thus reducing the energy consumption. In parking lots too, trees help keep cars cooler, which leads to less pollution.

Planting trees in cities helps the city grow socially and economically as well. They provide an area where people can meet, socialize and also exercise. Children also get a close to nature place, where they can play. The commercial value of an urban property with trees, is more than one without trees. Retail outlets and businesses located in areas with trees, attract more customers.

To sum it up, we need houses in cities to live in, but to strengthen and improve the quality of the life in overcrowded cities we need trees. They play a vital role in combating climate change and provide numerous economic and social benefits.

**Plan Followed:**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Housing is needed but trees are more important*

*Para 2: Advantages of trees*

*Para 3: More advantages*

*Para 4: More Advantages*

*Conclusion*

**78. In many countries traditional foods are being replaced by international fast foods. This is having a negative effect on both families and societies. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

In this era of technology and globalization, all spheres of life have changed dramatically and food is also no exception. I agree that international fast foods and restaurants have eaten up traditional foods and cuisines and this has had a detrimental effect on families and societies. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

There are a lot of damaging effects on families. Firstly in this torrid pace of life, people are working till their death. They have no time to prepare and enjoy traditional home cooked food. Ultimately, they switch to an easy option of restaurants. McDonalds have become a ubiquitous term in every home. Secondly, there is the influence of occidental culture over the oriental one. People are forgetting their roots. For example, in earlier times all family members used to sit together and eat, and over the dining table they shared their happenings of the day. These fast foods are eaten alone mostly because they don't appeal to the palate of the older members. As a result, family bonds and relationships are getting blurred. Moreover, the art of home cooking is suffering a lot.

Admittedly, this trend has harmful effects on individuals. Undoubtedly, people are affected by health hazards like obesity and other diseases. Obesity is the root cause of many other diseases. Fast foods are rich in fats and salts which are not good for health. An obese person is more likely to suffer from diseases like hypertension and diabetes.

There are tangible consequences on society too. Broadly speaking, as people get inclined towards fast food and restaurants, local culture dies out. It is because traditional food is inextricably linked with culture. Undoubtedly, the identity of the society and nation will disappear. It will be monopolized by western societies. Also, if people are not healthy, the productivity of the nation will come to a standstill. Last but not least, fast foods promote use-and-throw culture, which adds to the problem of garbage dumps, contamination, pollution and eventually many diseases.

To summarise, it can be reiterated that international fast foods have carved their niche and traditional food has taken the backseat. Certainly, this has adverse effects on individuals, families and societies.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I agree*

*Para 1: Effects on families*

*Para 2: Effects on individuals*

*Para 3: Effects on societies*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**79. In recent years, the number of crimes committed by teenagers in major cities throughout the world is increasing. Discuss this issue. Give reasons and suggest some solutions.**

It is a very shocking situation that the number of youngsters involved in crime is increasing day by day. In this essay, I intend to discuss the reasons for this phenomenon and suggest some solutions.

A number of factors are responsible for juvenile delinquency. Media is one powerful influence. Many times, vulgarity and violence is shown on TV. Children are vulnerable and accept it as natural and try to copy what is shown. For example, in Virginia USA, a student killed 30 students just after watching a TV program. Another cause of crime among youth is the changing family structure. Nuclear families are the norm of the day. Earlier, there were joint families in which grandparents used to teach moral values to children. They kept an eye on the friend circle of their grandchildren. Nowadays, both parents are working and children are left unattended at home. They may fall into bad company and resort to drugs under peer pressure. For drugs they desperately need money, which turns them towards crime.

Furthermore, increasing poverty, unemployment and competition is causing hopelessness and frustration among the youth. They are over ambitious and want to earn quick money. They have a lot of energy and if that energy is not harnessed in the right direction, they can go astray. Consumerist society is also a big factor to put them on the path of crime. When they see new things in the market, they want them by hook or by crook. Parents cannot satisfy all their whims and so they start doing petty crime, which turns to major crime very soon.

The solutions are not simple. The issue has to be dealt with on a war footing. Some censorship of TV channels is needed. Parents should ration the TV viewing hours of children. Parents should watch TV with children so that they know what their children are being exposed to. We should also encourage joint families. Parents should be good role models. Good family atmosphere should be provided to children. Friend circle of the children should be monitored. We should also educate children about the harms of consumerism. Schools should also provide good education. Finally, government should try and reduce unemployment and poverty, which are the root causes.

Summing up, crime among teens is a big problem and youth alone cannot be blamed for that. We should look into the causes and take relevant steps to fight this problem.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**80. Individual greed and selfishness has been the basis of the modern society. Some people think that we must return to the older and more traditional values of respect for the family and the local community in order to create a better world to live in. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Dwight D. Eisenhower has rightly said, "A people that values its privileges above its principles soon loses both." Therefore, I agree with the given statement that we must revert to the golden times when we valued traditions and respected the elderly and our neighbourhoods so that we have a happier today and a happier tomorrow.

Today we live in an era of technology in which the whole Earth has shrunk and become a global village. Everybody is connected to everybody through telephone lines and the Internet but the warmth of relationships has taken a back seat. Most people have more than enough wealth, comfort and freedom but their hearts desire even more. To satisfy their hearts greed people have become workaholics and as a result have no time for family and friends. People have become selfish, isolated and indifferent. Each person is busy in his own quest for more. To add to it, the youngsters who are at ease with the new technology think that the elderly are good for nothing and that is why they don't respect them.

On the other hand, life in the past was slower and simpler. People loved and respected each other. Family members had a lot of face-to-face contact with each other. Older members of the family were well looked after and their advice was valued. Divorces were very rare as marriage was considered a sacred institution. Moreover, community get-togethers were often organized and people knew the farthest neighbours. Nowadays, the next-door neighbours are also not recognized. There were lesser worries and tensions in earlier times.

To conclude, living in this modern toxic world, we are all slowly being poisoned to death. Therefore, it is clear that the traditional value-based society is a better world to live in. We cannot return from the age of the jet plane to the age of the bullock cart but we can certainly return to these traditional values to try to create a modern world which has both, material wealth and prosperity and also respect for the family and local community.

**Plan Followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Where all we have fallen back in the modern world and why we need to go back to those traditions*

*Para 2: Good points of the old societies*

*Conclusion: Reiterate point.*

**81. University students often focus on one subject. However, some people think that universities should encourage students to learn a range of other subjects. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I agree with the given statement that students should study a range of subjects at university and not focus on only one major. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

There are many advantages, for students, of studying a number of subjects. The first and foremost advantage is that if they don't get a job in their field they will be able to get any job related to their other subjects. They will not suffer unemployment and they will not be stuck to menial jobs despite being highly qualified.

Moreover, a well-rounded education is very important in today's time. Without a diverse background, a graduate will not be competent in any job. For example, excellent communication skills are very important while looking for a job. For that you need a basic English class. Most jobs require problem-solving skills which you get from basic knowledge of math and science. For instance, a doctor specializing in MRI scan, needs to have a good knowledge of physics – magnetism etcetera.

Another advantage is that learning a range of subjects can add spice to the students' studies. Students may be fed up with study when they concentrate on one subject constantly. Finally, it is well known that most of the subjects are linked to each other, to some extent. With a range of knowledge, students can find different solutions to approach the problems they encounter either at work or in life, which will definitely make them more creative and innovative in the field they specialize in. Clearly, the students with all-round knowledge have an apparent advantage over those specializing in only one subject.

Opponents claim that studying only one subject at university would make you a master in that field and you stand a chance of getting a high-paid job in that field. However, I still believe that that studying a range of subjects has an edge over specializing in just one.

To conclude, I reiterate my opinion by saying that studying a variety of subjects is beneficial to the university students. In this case, not only can the students better themselves, but also become adaptable and flexible in the increasingly challenging and competitive world. It is advisable that students spend time learning more subjects instead of focusing on one specific subject, so that they can prepare themselves for the global society.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: advantage of studying a range of subjects – more choices of job*

*Para 2: another advantage -*

*Para 3: learning a range of subjects can add spice to the students' studies*

*Para 4: Opponents view*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion.*

**82. As transport and accommodation problems are increasing in many cities, some governments are encouraging businesses to move to rural areas. Do you think the advantages outweigh disadvantages?**

It is true that the world's largest companies are located in large cities. In the city many people expect to find better employment opportunities, often with bigger, secure companies. As cities become overcrowded, problems relating to housing and transport arise, because of which some people suggest that the larger companies should relocate to the countryside. While this may have some advantages, I firmly believe that the disadvantages would be far more than the advantages.

It is reasonable to think that moving thousands of employees from large companies out to remote areas would have a positive impact on the overcrowding of some cities. There would be less traffic because of less people in the city centre, and this would obviously be highly desirable. In addition, there would be less strain on the services offered by the city – banks, public transportation, restaurants and the like. This would mean a reduction in queues and faster customer service. To add to it, housing would also become affordable. It is generally seen that because of too many people wanting to live in the city, land prices and even rents of houses start touching the sky.

The problem however would be that a dramatic reduction in numbers of people in the cities would mean that many businesses would go broke. Restaurants, cafes and other service areas would suffer tremendously. What would happen is that overcrowding would occur where the new, large organisations relocate. More and more people offering services would spring up - restaurants, shopping centres and other businesses would be needed to serve the increasingly larger numbers of people who moved to the area. In addition, the rural area may not be able to provide the enormous quantities of housing, electricity and raw materials required to run a huge company.

Furthermore, relocating businesses to rural areas may upset many households. Children also may have to change schools. So it would not be practical to do so. Transport and accommodation problems in cities need to be sorted out by connecting the villages and cities by very efficient public transport, so that people come to work in the cities and live in rural areas. Newer businesses should be encouraged to open in the suburbs; the already existing ones should continue to be where they already are.

To sum up, relocating the companies would assist with the overcrowding problem in some cities. However, a new set of problems would surface, which would be far more than the benefits. Therefore, I reiterate my point that the idea of moving larger corporations out to rural areas would not be beneficial.

**Plan followed**

Intro: the disadvantages would be far more than the advantages.

Para 1: Advantages of relocating

Para 2: Problems that would arise

Para 3: More disadvantages

Conclusion: Reiterate opinion

**83. Students should pay their full university fees themselves as they benefit from having university studies and not the society as a whole. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

People have different views about who should pay the fee of university education. Some believe that students should pay the full tuition fee as they benefit the most from university education. I disagree with the notion. I firmly believe that along with the student, the society also benefits from tertiary education. Therefore, the government and the student should share the tuition fees equally.

There is no doubt that the whole society benefits if majority of people receive higher education. In all developing countries there has been a strong effort to raise the educational level of the society by putting as much money as possible into providing educational institutions. Without enough educated professionals, such as doctors, teachers, scientists and engineers, developing countries cannot move ahead. Governments in such countries provide scholarships or free education, to as many students as they can fund, because they realize that the whole country will benefit. Many developed countries also try to offer free tertiary education because they want a highly educated population.

However, there is also a strong argument that individuals benefit so much from tertiary education that they should be expected to pay for it. Doctors, lawyers, accountants and engineers have some of the highest incomes in most societies. People argue that the government, and therefore the taxpayers should not have to pay for students who will later earn more than anyone else.

Moreover, most students come from the middle classes and their parents can afford to pay for their fees. There are, of course, some students who cannot afford to pay. This problem can be overcome by a system like the one being used in Australia, for example. The government gives the students a loan to pay for their fees, and later, when they are earning a good salary, they repay the loan.

To sum up, as the modern world is becoming increasingly complex and knowledge is becoming more and more specialised there is no doubt that tertiary education is necessary both for the whole society and for individuals who want to ensure that they have a good profession. It is probably impossible to decide whether the individual or the society benefits more from tertiary education, but since both benefit, the costs should probably be paid for by both equally.

Plan followed

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Advantages to society of higher education*

*Para 2: Advantages to individual. Example of Australia*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**84. After completing high school and before going to college or university, some students take a year off either to work or travel. What do you think is better – travelling or working. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of both approaches.**

Taking a gap year to travel or work is in vogue in some countries, resulting in a whole market being built around providing travel and work services to these youngsters. This essay intends to explore the pros and cons of working or travelling in this year and to find out which approach edges over the other.

There are several benefits of travelling or working before embarking on further studies. Firstly, travelling broadens the mind giving young people skills they can use later on in life. They learn how to be independent, manage their budget, improve their social skills, and enhance their geographical, cultural and general knowledge. On the other hand, if they choose to work, they get a taste of the working world and know what to expect when they complete their studies. This is especially helpful in cases where they are uncertain about their study choice. Work experience gives them time to introspect and decide on what they want to actually do in life. They also earn enough to partly fund their higher education.

There are a few drawbacks of both approaches. The first has to do with finance. Some families are unable to fund their child's round-the-world trip. The negative side of working is that their earning may deter them from going back to study. Also, when young people are out of a study mind frame they might have difficulties getting back to study.

It is evident that both approaches have their own set of pros and cons. A well-planned gap year may provide the opportunity of working while travelling. For example, they may work in local farms or local hotels. This may be a win-win situation for them because they may get the opportunity of mingling with the local people and getting know-how of their culture.

To sum up, a gap year is a good idea for the youngsters of today and the choice to travel or work is a matter of personal choice as there are advantages and disadvantages of both. If planned well, the advantages outweigh the disadvantages.

**Plan followed**

*Intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of both*

*Para 2: Disadvantages of both*

*Para 3: The midway approach*

*Conclusion:*

**85. Some people think that charity organizations should only offer help to people of their own country. But others believe that these organizations should give aid to people in great need wherever they live. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

"To have enough to share; to know the joy of giving; to thrill with all the sweets of life - is living". Helping others is a very virtuous thing. Charities help in basically two ways. One is by offering support to people in their own country, and the second is by helping the needy irrespective of their country. In this essay I intend to delve into the benefits of both approaches.

There are many advantages if charities help their own country's people. Firstly, these organisations remain directly in touch with the needy. They can see how the money or the other resources provided by them are being used. It has been well said that charity begins at home. What is more, domestic charities target problems specific to their home country. For instance, the Help Age India is an Indian charity providing help for the aged in India.

There are also many advantages if these organisations help the needy in any corner of the world. In such cases these organisations work on a larger platform and provide help for global issues. A larger platform is a must if one has a lot to offer in charity. Help activities can be better spread through a larger network. Help need not be always in the form of money. It can be in the form of services also. For example, these organisations can provide doctors and teachers, who volunteer to provide medical aid and also teach in the under-developed nations.

In my opinion, help in any form is good. The condition of one's country could influence the way of helping. In a developed country, where even the poorest of the poor has the basic amenities of life, it would be better to help in any part of the world where people need help. But, in the case of a developing or underdeveloped country, it would be better to help those around you.

Summing up, the purpose of charity organizations is to help people in need, and it does not matter where this help goes. If people of the home country need help then it would certainly be advisable to help those around you first.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of helping your home country*

*Para 2: Advantages of helping the needy irrespective of country*

*Para 3: Own opinion*

*Conclusion:*

**86. Some people argue that companies and private individuals, rather than governments, should pay the bill of pollution. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Environmental pollution is a burning issue these days and to save our planet Earth, it has to be tackled on a war footing. Some individuals are of the opinion that businesses and individuals should pay for the bill of pollution rather than the governments. Although it seems reasonable to ask them to do so, it would not be easy. Therefore, I disagree with the above statement. In the following paragraphs, I shall put forth my arguments to support my views.

First of all, it may not be possible to say who is to blame. For instance, in my home town, there is a sugar mill, a starch mill and a textile mill. All are adding to pollution in their own way. It would be very difficult to pin point the extent to which each one has to pay for pollution. Such businesses will find loopholes to avoid heavy bills. Therefore, the government should take the onus of handling the pollution costs.

Secondly, the big companies may be unwilling to accept the responsibility of paying the additional bill of pollution by saying that they are already paying heavy taxes to the government. They could also argue that they are assisting the governments indirectly by aiding many charity organizations.

Moreover, in places where governments have tried this policy and successfully prosecuted the companies who violated the law, it took years to get the result. Therefore, it would be very unpractical to make companies and individuals to pay the bill of pollution. The governments could, however, make it mandatory for companies to set up effluent treatment plants and subsidize them greatly so as to increase compliance of the companies to set them up.

Summing up, pollution is a serious issue and the government should not leave it to the individuals and companies to pay its bill. It can however, make it mandatory for the companies to set up effluent treatment plants so that pollution is minimized.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: it may not be possible to say who is to blame*

*Para 2: the big companies say that they are already paying heavy taxes to the government.*

*Para 3: in places where governments have tried this policy and successfully prosecuted the companies who violated the law, it took years to get the result.*

*Conclusion:*

**87. In the past, lectures were used as a way of teaching large numbers of students, but now with the development of technology for education, many people think there is no justification for attending lectures. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I disagree with the view that technology has reduced the need to attend lectures. I firmly believe that going to college or university and attending lectures is as important as before and even more so. In the following paragraphs I shall put forth my arguments to support my views.

It is irrefutable that computer and Internet have made possible distance education and on-line education. In this regard, computers are a boon for the handicapped, those living in remote areas and those in job. They can study any time of the day or night because of the Internet. This has made education approachable for many who cannot for some reason or the other attend a college or university.

However, I still feel that technology cannot replace the need for going to the classroom. When students attend lectures, they learn from teachers. In learning and practice of more complex ideas, the computer is not adequate. It can tell if the answer is right or wrong but it cannot tell where the student went wrong. Tasks involving reasoning cannot be taught using computers. Moreover, teachers add their own knowledge gained through experience to that of books and other resources.

Furthermore, teachers can stimulate interest and it is an undeniable fact that interested stimulated people tend to learn more. They can keep students focused on study. A student studying by himself may get bored and stop studying. Teachers can provide a faster and simpler way to present information to the students. They can come down to the level of a student and so are definitely better than computers. What is more, teachers are role models for students. They are scholars in action. They not only teach academic subjects, but also many social skills. Finally, when students attend lectures, they have interaction with other students, which gives them a sense of competition to study more.

To conclude, it can be said that, there is no doubt that modern technology has added many new ways to reach education to students, but attending lectures will always hold its importance.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I firmly believe that going to college or university and attending lectures is as important as before and even more so.*

*Para 1: Some benefits brought by computers and the internet*

*Para 2: Advantages of teachers as compared to the computer*

*Para 3: More advantages of teachers*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

### 88. Most countries believe that international tourism has harmful effects. Why do they think so? How to change their views?

It is irrefutable that international tourism has taken mammoth dimensions. However, the potential negative effects of international travel have made people to grumble. This essay will outline the main reasons for these complaints and provide certain measures to change their opinion.

The most important reason why some are opposed to international tourism is that tourists may unknowingly show disrespect for local culture. For instance, we generally cover our heads in a religious place. A tourist may not do so or take his shoes inside a temple. This may offend the local people. Sometimes, youngsters may be attracted towards the western culture, which the tourists bring with them and many may find this as a threat to the local culture.

Moreover, the tourist dollar may not be helping the local people. We all know that tourists stay in five star hotels and enjoy the best facilities. They may be taking two showers a day, where as the local people may not have enough water to drink. This has been the case in Shimla, which is a very popular hill station in Himachal Pradesh India. On top of that, when tourists buy souvenirs from local artisans, they bargain a lot. The poor artisans, who look up to tourists for their livelihood, end up earning the barest minimum. Finally, tourism creates pollution, which helps nobody. We are all suffering the consequences of global warming.

The solutions are not simple. We cannot discourage tourism. It is the backbone of many economies of the world. First of all, the tour operators should take the onus of guiding the tourists about the main things of local culture. Secondly, the tourists should stay with locals as paying guests. This would be a win-win situation for both. Local people would earn and the tourist would taste the local culture. Finally, ecotourism should be promoted. For example, if an elephant ride is possible, the tourist should avoid using car. After all, a good tourist is one – who takes away nothing but photographs, and leaves behind nothing but footprints.

Summing up, international tourism does have a downside to it, but many steps can be taken to lessen the negative effects.

#### Plan followed

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: solutions*

*Conclusion: international tourism does have a downside to it but many steps can be taken to lessen the negative effects*

**89. Some people think computer and Internet are important in children's study, but others think students can learn effectively in schools and with teachers. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion.**

Some individuals opine that computers and the Internet have become an indispensable part of education and therefore schools and teachers do not play a significant role in education. Others say that for effective learning, schools and teachers are still required. This essay intends to delve into both perspectives. I, however, side with the latter view.

It is an undeniable fact that teachers can never lose their importance. In learning and practice of more complex ideas, the computer is not adequate. It can tell if the answer is right or wrong but it cannot tell where the student went wrong. Tasks involving reasoning cannot be taught using computers. Moreover, teachers add their own knowledge gained through experience to that of books and other resources.

Furthermore, teachers can stimulate interest and it is an incontestable fact that interested stimulated people tend to learn more. They can keep students focused on study. They can provide a faster and simpler way to present information to the students. They can come down to the level of a student and so are definitely better than computers. What is more, teachers are role models for students. They are scholars in action. They not only teach academic subjects, but also many social skills.

Schools are places where students learn many social skills apart from academic education. There are also practical subjects which students can learn best from the teacher. For example, experiments of physics and chemistry are best learnt by the teacher guiding you at every step in the school setting. What is more, teachers in schools give assignments and regularly check them. This helps the teachers to recognize the weak points of students and guide them accordingly. The Internet and computer cannot do all this.

On the other hand, it is also true that the Internet is an ocean of knowledge. You can get information about any topic on Earth from the Internet. But there is no authenticity of this information. What information to get, and from where to get requires a lot of expertise. Students still need the guidance of the teachers at all stages of learning. Teachers can make even the dull and boring subjects seem interesting. So definitely students learn more from teachers.

To conclude, it can be said that, no doubt computers and Internet have become important in education but the role of schools and teachers can never be undermined.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of teachers as compared to the computer*

*Para 2: Advantages of teachers*

*Para 3: Advantages of going to schools*

*Para 4: advantages of Internet*

*Conclusion: Teachers are always better*

**90. In the last century when a human astronaut first arrived on the Moon he said: "It is a big step for mankind". But some people think it makes little difference to our daily life. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Almost every day we read something in the papers about the latest exciting developments in the space race. Many people are of the view that all the money and energy spent on space exploration and research is a complete waste because it has no effect on our daily life. I, however, disagree. In the following paragraphs I shall discuss how space research has touched our lives in more ways than one.

The technology, that put men on the moon, launched space shuttles and will build a space station, has found its way into everyday life on Earth. Common secondary uses of space research are called spin-offs. The common smoke detector used in homes was first prepared for space-crafts as a warning system. Computer bar codes in retail stores, shock absorbing shoes used by tennis players and athletes, lightweight materials used for helmets and sporting materials and non stick coating used in pans, were all first developed as part of space research.

Space technology has provided many benefits to the medical field as well. Pace makers used to treat cardiac as well as remote monitoring devices for intensive care patients, and portable medical equipment carried aboard ambulances are but a few applications of space technology providing daily benefits in hospitals, offices and homes. Artificial limbs of lesser weight are also a by-product of space research, and these are a blessing for the physically challenged.

Not only that, it is well known that global warming will soon transform our Earth into a boiling pot. Then it would be very essential to find alternative places to live. If we are able to find signs of life elsewhere in space, it would be a jackpot for mankind.

To sum up, space exploration and research has touched our everyday lives in numerous ways. So, it definitely is a big step for mankind.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree.*

*Para 1: Some spin-offs of space research, which have touched the common man*

*Para 2: More ways in which space research has touched the common man – in medical field*

*Para 3: Why it is necessary to see possibilities of life in space*

*Conclusion: So, it definitely is a big step for mankind.*

**91. In some countries around the world men and women are having children late in life. What are the reasons for this development? What are the effects on society and family life?**

In the modern society, young people have a tendency of postponing their parenthood until late 30's or even early 40's. This essay shall deal with the reasons for this phenomenon and the effects this has on the families and societies.

Many factors could be responsible for this trend. The most important reason is that in today's era of cut-throat competition, young people have to focus on their jobs and therefore have little time for their families. What is more, women of today have become more career oriented and do not wish to be held back by family responsibilities. Obviously under such circumstance, committing to a serious relationship or starting a family, which means tremendous responsibility and dedication, is not a preferable choice. The cost increase of raising a child is another barrier to late parenthood, and this is especially obvious in major cities around the world.

This change of lifestyle can have some negative effects on the society as a whole. Firstly, low birthrates can result in an ageing population and a lack of labor force in the future. A graying society is a dependent society and is a burden on the shoulder of the government. Medical care services can be in high demand and medical cost can rise significantly.

The families too cannot escape the brunt of such a situation. The most disturbing effect is that chances of congenital anomalies rise significantly if a woman bears the first child after the age of 40. The families with mentally or physically challenged children can never be happy families. Another effect can be that the age gap between parents and children is too much and so chances of generation gap are strong.

Summing up, there are many reasons, which are responsible for people marrying late and having babies late in life and this definitely is detrimental for the societies and families. Therefore, young people should learn to give importance to both, a career and a family life.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: This essay shall deal with the reasons for this phenomenon and the effects this has on the families and societies.*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: negative effects on society*

*Para 3: negative effects on families*

*Conclusion:*

**92. In some countries the number of people using bikes as main transport mode is decreasing, even though it is so beneficial. Why is this so? How can people be encouraged to use more bicycles?**

It is indubitable that the bicycle is being used less and less as a preferred mode of transport, despite the fact that it is advantageous. This essay intends to analyze some reasons of this phenomenon and also suggest ways to motivate people to use more bicycles.

There are many reasons for not using the bicycles in today's world. The first reason why people have abandoned the bicycle for the faster modes like car and motorbike is that life has become busy and everyone has so much to do and so little time. Other modes of transport are more time effective. Secondly, the roads are so full of heavy traffic that bicycles are not safe. If it were safer to ride bicycles, many people would commute short distances on bike. Another reason for not using the bike is the unfavourable weather. The hot and humid summer months make it impossible for anyone to use the bike. Of course, no one wants to reach the office smelling of sweat. Last but not least, people want to show off their status, and riding a bike does not solve this purpose.

There are many ways to motivate people to use the bike. The onus is on the government to make cycling safer and more inviting. Investment needs to be done in a vast network of cycling paths. For example, in Denmark, there are 19000 km of cycle tracks, as a result of which many people ride bikes. These cycle tracks are clearly marked, have smooth surfaces, separate signs and lights for those on two wheels, and wide enough to allow side-by-side cycling and overtaking. Perhaps, that is why, there are more bicycles than the number of people in Denmark.

Furthermore, people could be made aware of the benefits of cycling. Bicycle is a cheap and green mode of transport. Media, such as the TV, could be used for this purpose. Our celebrities, who act as the role models for many, could be a lot of help. If they start using the bicycle, many youngsters would follow them and do the same. For example, a few months ago, Vidya Balan, India's famous film star, was seen on TV saying that whenever she has to gift something, she gifts a sapling. Since then, I have started giving a sapling to my friends on their birthdays.

To sum up, there are many reasons why people do not use the bicycle now, but some effective steps could be taken by the governments to promote the use of bicycles.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: ways to motivate people*

*Para 3: More ways*

*Conclusion:*

**93. Some people believe that the radio is the best way to get news, while others believe that TV is better for this purpose. Discuss both views, and give your opinion.**

People receive news from different sources. This essay shall compare two popular media from which people receive news – the radio and the television. I believe that both have their own importance as far as getting news is concerned, but TV certainly has an edge over the radio.

Radio, can be accessed in a variety of situations. You need to sit in front of the TV sets to watch it, but in case of radio you can put it in a pocket and listen to programs of your interest while jogging at a park, driving, commuting to and from work, having meals or even lying on the bed with lights off and resting.

Furthermore, the news script is also different as a radio newsreader has to describe the whole event through words and not to pause long whereas news reading script for TV newsreel is punctuated with long pauses when footage of some event is shown. For example, if a cricket sports match is going on, a radio commentator will have to speak a lot more than what a TV commentator will have to speak. This is very helpful to those people who cannot take a break from work, but still want to know what is going on in their favourite match.

On the other hand, TV seems overwhelmingly outplaying radio on various counts. Firstly, it combines both sight and sound, which are the two major human senses for communication, and is therefore more influencing. For instance if you hear a news item about an accident, you may forget it soon, but if you have seen horrifying images of the same accident on TV news, you may not forget the impact on your mind for a long time to come.

Secondly, with the advent of the latest technology, the TV has become as portable as the radio. The smart phones of today have apps such as 'Hotstar', which enable people to watch news on the go.

To conclude, both these media are not equivalent and interchangeable with each other, as they have unique characteristics. The television, however, has a slight edge over the radio because of its visual impact.

*Plan followed*

*Intro: Opinion to be reached after comparing the two media.*

*Para 1: advantages of radio*

*Para 2: Advantages of radio*

*Para 3: Advantages of TV*

*Para 4: Advantages of TV*

*Conclusion: TV is better*

#### 94. All over the world, people watch foreign films much more than locally produced films. Why? Should the government provide financial support to local film industries?

Foreign films such as the Hollywood blockbusters are released all over the world and are enjoyed more than the locally produced films. This essay shall look into the reasons of this phenomenon and also discuss whether the government should provide financial aid to support the local film industry.

The popularity of Hollywood films and foreign films in general can be attributed to the fact that they use the most modern technology. For instance, the amazing visual effects and acoustics of the Hollywood movie Avatar won global audiences. What is more, these films are produced by big companies that have the money and the means to generate huge publicity for them. Today, we all know that marketing is what decides the fate of a product – be it a film, a book or a gadget. So it is not surprising that these films tend to do well overseas. In contrast, the locally produced films are usually produced by small production houses and on top of that they often have familiar storylines that may not interest some people. That probably explains why most people watch foreign films more than locally produced ones.

Another significant reason for watching foreign films is that people are curious to know about foreign lifestyles and culture. Today, we do not belong to a big planet Earth; we belong to a small global village. So, people are exposed to different cultures and watching foreign movies satiates their curiosity of knowing about them. Recently, I watched a Japanese movie, 'Okurobito' which means 'Departures' from which I learnt how the Japanese do the last rituals when a person dies. This movie fascinated me a lot and I came to know about Japanese traditions. Some people also watch Hollywood movies to learn English, which has become a global language and watching English movies is a good way to learn English.

I firmly believe that the government should invest in the local film industry. To begin with, films are the carrier of a country's culture. Supporting the local film industry will enable to spread its culture and this seems an essential approach to help a country be more powerful in the world. Secondly, the boom of this industry would, to a large extent, produce an increasing number of job positions and consequently, the unemployment rate in this country might substantially decrease, which will contribute to its economy. Recently, our Bollywood movies such as 'Dabang' and 'Jab Tak Hai Jaan' have done business worth crores and this has definitely boosted our economy.

Summing up, people watch foreign movies because of their better technology and to satisfy their curiosity of foreign culture. The government should definitely promote local film industry for spreading our culture and for boosting the economy.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons for the popularity of foreign films*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Why the government should support local film industry*

*Conclusion:*

**95. Nowadays education quality is very low. Some people think we should encourage our students to evaluate and criticize their teachers. Others believe that it will result in a loss of respect and discipline in the classroom. Discuss on both sides.**

It is a highly debated issue whether students should evaluate their teachers after each course. Some people believe the system has many advantages to the students and the teachers. Others argue that it would lead to poor discipline in the classroom. Indeed, evaluation of teachers by students has both pros and cons.

There are many drawbacks in student assessment. First of all, students are very young and, compared with their teachers, are less knowledgeable both in theory and practice on a subject. Therefore, they are unable to evaluate their teachers' performance in a sound way. Secondly, student assessment would lead to poor discipline in the classroom. If each of the students is allowed to comment on the teacher's performance, then there would be chaos in the classroom. Thirdly, in order to gain a better evaluation, teachers would seek to please the students and not bother about the content of their lectures. This would harm the students' academic performance in the long run.

However, advocates of student assessment have their reasons. Firstly, this kind of feedback can be used to improve teachers' performance. Teaching methods can only be successful if they are student-centered. Therefore, listening to the students' constructive suggestions, teachers will have a better idea of what students' needs are and can then adjust their teaching to meet them. Secondly, the process of writing evaluations will help the students think in their own way independently. Students should be encouraged to learn by even challenging their teachers' thinking. Thirdly, it would help the administrators. Teachers who provide good educational services could be retained and others could be laid off. So, all teachers would strive to do their best. This would be a win-win situation for all.

In my opinion, student evaluations of teachers would be beneficial to both teachers and students. Of course, the evaluations must be done responsibly, but when the students feel that their views are important and are listened to, I think they will do their best to contribute to the improvement in education.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: - evaluation of teachers has both pros and cons.*

*Para 1: Disadvantages*

*Para 2: Advantages of evaluation and criticism of teachers by students.*

*Conclusion and own view: Evaluation of teachers by students has more pros than cons*

**96. Development in technology causes environmental problems. Some people believe the solution in these problems is everyone accepts a simpler way of life, while others say that technology can solve these problems. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.**

It is irrefutable that progress in technology leads to environmental problems. Some individuals are of the opinion that if people live a simple life and do not use the things that technology has brought us then these problems can be solved, whereas others opine that only technology can solve these problems. In the following paragraphs, I intend to discuss both viewpoints. I, however, side with the latter view.

Some people say that if humans stop using technology and lead a simple way of life, then only we can save the environment. They argue that it is the luxuries people use, which damages the environment. If people don't use air conditioners, automobiles and other such things that technology has brought us, then naturally there would be less pollution and natural resources like fossil fuels would be saved and all this would save the environment.

On the other hand, there are people who say that technology alone could save the environment. They opine that now we have come so far ahead in technology that there is no going back. We cannot ask the people of the jet age to go back to the age of the bullock cart.

There are a lot of advances going on in technology, which are helping the environment a lot. One prime example is finding solutions to water problems in developing countries such as Africa. Here, desalination plants have been set up to get clean drinking water from sea water – an almost inexhaustible resource. Furthermore, Japan is working to build a working space solar power system by 2030. By drawing on the colossal energy of the sun, it could meet the entire world's electricity requirements indefinitely without nuclear or GHG emissions. If successful, the impact on the world would be monumental. It would mean energy for schools, hospitals, and homes. It would mean another industrial revolution.

Summing up, technology alone can solve the world's environmental problems. We are finally entering an era where engineering and technology are making the world a better place. It would be highly unpractical to ask people to adopt a simpler way of life.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Why some people opine that we should adopt simpler ways of life*

*Para 2: Why some people say technology alone can help*

*Para 3: Some examples of how technology is helping us*

*Conclusion:*

**97. Governments should focus their spending on public services rather than on arts such as Music and Painting. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Some people argue that arts like painting and music fail to directly improve people's quality of life and consequently government should allocate money on other things like infrastructures to promote people's quality of life. However, I disagree with this view. In this essay, I will explain why I think that arts, such as music and painting is as essential for people as other public services.

The advantages of spending money on arts cannot be overlooked. The arts clearly make people's lives better. To begin with, the arts give us immense joy and relaxation. Consider listening to a beautiful song sitting on the sofa after a whole day's work; it really relieves the tiredness and we feel rejuvenated. Paintings, photographs and other pictures add color to our lives and often inspire people to create works of art of their own. I am not only thinking of artistic works produced by renowned artists, but also those produced by children for their parents to attach to refrigerators using magnets.

Furthermore, the arts like music and painting are part of our culture and give identity to the country. Arts also bring people together. By appreciating arts, individuals from different parts of the nation could feel connected and related. Moreover, arts like music and painting can help us express our emotions. It is a basic human need to be able to express ourselves. Art is what differentiates us from animals. As humans, most of us need an outlet for our creative and emotional needs and the best way to express this is through arts like music and painting.

Many people feel that the government should spend money only on certain things, such as defense, health and education. Whilst I agree that these things are important, I think that governments should be able to find a little money to spend on arts, not only because they raise our quality of life, but because they can remind us of our heritage and show the world what kind of a country and people we are.

To sum up, our government is responsible for improving the quality of people's lives both physically and spiritually, therefore proper amount of money should be allocated wisely on arts. Only by this can we progress our society in a more balanced and more sustainable way.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Benefits of arts*

*Para 2: More benefits*

*Para 3: Opponents view*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**98. The number of TV programs is growing day by day. Some people say that it is good as it gives people more choices, while others say it affects the quality of TV programs. Discuss both and give your opinion.**

Television has become the most pervasive of all media, and there is no doubt that the number of TV programs has also grown by leaps and bounds in the last one to two decades. Some individuals opine that this situation is good as it gives us a plethora of choices, whereas others believe that this is leading to a deterioration of the quality of TV programs. This essay intends to look into both perspectives. I, however, side with the latter view.

On the one hand, people have a lot of choices because of too many TV programs. For example, if we look at the number of daily soaps, there are quite a few running on many different channels and people can select any one or two according to their taste. Even the reality shows related to music, dance, adventure and many other things are also far too many and people watch whichever they can relate to or whichever they are interested in.

Secondly, some reality shows have given an opportunity to the common man with talent to come forward and show his talent to the world. For instance, in recent years, singing contest programs such as 'Sa-Re-Ga-Ma-Pa' and Indian Idol have uncovered many talented singers. This, is definitely a good practice for the Indian TV.

On the other hand, it is indubitable that there are too many such talent shows on television, which are similar in concept as well as content. Fierce competition between satellite channels for audience ratings has led to a large number of copycat programs. The excessive production of such programs that are quite similar to each other is a huge waste of resources and a waste of audience time. To exemplify, since the overwhelming success of Sony TV's 'Indian Idol' in 2005, talent shows have hit major TV stations across India. These programs have attracted a large number of viewers. However, at the same time, the similarity of such shows has made TV quite inanimate. Vitality of the cultural industry comes from originality and creativity. Program producers must realize that people need a richer and varied choice.

Furthermore, too many programs have resulted in choice overload. It is virtually impossible to keep up with everything that's worth watching, and at some point, viewers need to decide what they have to watch and what they can ignore. Even the popular programs may not always be on top, and they might gradually become paltering while quality declines.

To sum up, even though there are choices because of an abundance of TV programs, an excess of TV programs is definitely not good. We need fewer, quality programs, which make our leisure time worthwhile.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Advantage of many programs*

*Para 2: More advantage*

*Para 3: Disadvantage*

*Para 4: Another disadvantage*

**99. Figures show that some countries have an ever-increasing proportion of the population who are aged 15 or younger. What do you think are the current and future effects of this trend for those countries?**

Some demographic surveys have shown that the proportions of young people are rising rapidly. This may be because of any reasons such as illiteracy and poverty or wars or any other reasons. This essay shall delve into the immediate and long-term outcomes of this trend on those countries.

The most important current impact of such a trend would be on the national economy. For instance, goods and services would need to be imported, which would lead to an unstable economy. In addition to the effects on economy, there would be social implications also. The young population has lot of energy, which must be channelized in the right direction, or it would lead to crime and violence in society. The government will have to invest a lot on educational institutes, as more children need more schools and colleges. In addition more spending on health would also be needed.

If careful management of such a situation is done by the government, for instance, by providing good education and health facilities, then the future outcomes could be quite positive. The long-term result would be that the education and health infrastructure would be well developed. The society would be younger and more vibrant. The culture of those countries would be more fast-paced than the traditional culture.

However, in case the present time is not managed nicely, then the future effect would be different as there would be more people within the country needing employment. Then there might arise the problem of unemployment. Unemployment invariably leads to petty crime and violence.

Summing up, the long-term effects of having more young people, depends on how the situation is handled today. If handled well, the culture and lifestyle of these countries would be transformed if the population would be younger. Fresh opportunities and challenges would both be on the way. The trend would cause multiple possible effects and these countries should strengthen the education and health infrastructure to overcome its negative influence.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Current effects on economy and society*

*Para 2: Future effects on society if today is handled well*

*Para 3: Future effects if careful management is not done today*

*Conclusion:*

**100. In some countries, more and more adults are living with their parents after graduating from college, university, or even after finding a job. Do the advantages of this outweigh the disadvantages?**

It is irrefutable that the economies are struggling all over the world. As a result, the youth of today is finding it very difficult to find good employment and become financially independent. This has consequently led to an increase in the number of adult children returning home to live with their parents. I believe that the advantages of children staying with parents, after completing their college or university education, are more than the disadvantages. I will put forth my views in the upcoming paragraphs.

The first and foremost advantage of working adult children staying at home is that they contribute towards the household income and share the expenses. This reduces the burden on the earning members of the family. Not only this, it also helps them reduce their own expenses and helps them save money. Rather than struggling to pay rents and take care of other expenses, they can invest money or save money for future home.

Furthermore, there is a better bonding and stronger family ties in a family that stays together. Even though life has become hectic and there is not enough time to spend with family and friends, it is very comforting to know that there is someone at home that they can share their problems with. This helps them deal better with stressful situations in life and at work. It is also said that if children stay longer with their parents, they are more likely to support and take care of their parents in old age, due to the strong family bonds.

On the other hand, there are some disadvantages as well, which cannot be overlooked. Living with the parents after completing their education, may make the children more dependent, rather than independent. They depend on someone to do the daily chores, like washing clothes, cooking food, cleaning dishes, and so on. They might not also become financially responsible. Also, if the parents have a retirement plan, they have to put it on hold, till the time the children are staying at home.

To sum up, it can be said that living at home can be very beneficial for both the adult children and the parents, provided the children contribute towards the household resources, in terms of expenses and sharing work at home.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Advantages more than disadvantages*

*Para 1: Economic benefits*

*Para 2: Better family ties*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

### 101. How important is it for individuals and countries to think about the future, rather than to focus on the present?

It is pivotal to keep the future in mind and not fritter away everything to enjoy the present. One should always remember that the present would one day transform into the future, and when it eventually does, it should be safe and secure. The same holds true for nations. This essay shall discuss, why it is so important to be prepared for the coming time.

The most important reason to keep the future in mind is that the mortality rate has come down and the span of life is generally longer, but the period of earning is comparatively limited. Nobody knows how long he would live, but the age of retirement is generally fixed. One cannot work indefinitely. Therefore, during one's earning span, one has to put aside enough money for the later years, when it will be impossible to work any more. Further, the requirements in old age are sometimes more than a person's needs during the period of his youth. Deteriorating health translates into higher medical bills and hospital charges. Being weak and infirm, one needs to spend more on commuting. He will need to hire assistants to help in the house.

Next come the needs of the family. One has to provide for the education and marriage expenses of the dependent members of the family, like children and even grandchildren. One has to pay one's insurance premiums, and even for the day-to-day needs of the younger members of the family, till such time as they are employed and earning. Moreover, one may incur extra expenditure on leisure activities. People generally travel more after retirement to meet their relatives and friends who may be settled and staying far away from them. There would also be the usual expenses on house maintenance and repair, and the payment of personal and property taxes.

The countries should also think about tomorrow. Cities should be planned nicely. Good educational institutes and health centres should be there in all areas. If only today is looked into and no planning is done for tomorrow then crime and violence would increase in society and everyone would suffer. Relations with other countries should also be maintained. After all we all live in a well-connected global village today.

To sum up, it is imperative that people and countries plan wisely for the future. If one has saved enough, one can sit back and enjoy peace and comfort in one's later years and even witness the smile of joy on the faces of one's children. If he has saved not, then the journey ahead would be painful indeed.

#### **Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: How saving money is important for one's own needs in future*

*Para 2: How saving money is important for one's family in future*

- *More time for leisure in old age so more money needed*

*Para 3: Why countries should think about tomorrow*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**102. Young people who commit serious crimes should be punished in the same way as adults. Do you agree or disagree?**

The rising crime among youth has led to the debate whether they should be tried in court as adults or treated differently because of their age. The reasoning given is, 'old enough to do the crime, old enough to do the time.' However, I do not agree with this policy. I believe that trying juveniles in criminal court may actually result in bigger problems.

Firstly, although adolescents are old enough to understand the difference between right and wrong, they are too young to make the right choices yet. The teenage brain can be likened to a car with a good accelerator but a weak brake. Studies have shown that adolescents are more likely to act on impulse and engage in risky behavior. They are less likely to think before they act, or pause to consider the potential consequences of their actions. These brain differences don't mean that they shouldn't be held responsible for their actions. It just means that they should be sent to juvenile courts and put in reformatory schools, so that they get a chance to realize their mistakes and become good citizens.

Secondly, if tried in an adult court, they may even be sent in prison. As you all know, adult prisons are very harsh. These prisons expose these young kids to hardened criminals who may act as professors of crime for them. Statistics show that juveniles tried and prosecuted in adult courts are more likely to re-offend than those who were tried in juvenile court.

There are people who opine that a young person who does a serious crime knows very well that what he is doing is wrong and so should be held responsible for his actions. There were heated debates on this topic, when in August 2013, a juvenile court in India sentenced the boy to three years detention in a juvenile home after finding him guilty of charges including rape and murder. Another big question was raised in those debates regarding the official age when a person steps into adulthood. In most countries it is 18, but, is proposed to be brought down to 15, which sounds more practical.

To sum up, children can and do commit terrible crimes, and it is true that the reform and rehabilitation of child offenders under the juvenile justice system is not only in the best interests of children, but also the short and long-term interests of society. So, juvenile delinquents should not be punished like adults. However, the age for defining adulthood could be brought down slightly.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Opposing view*

*Conclusion*

### 103. News media is important in our society. Why is it so important? Do you think its influence is generally positive or negative?

News media is indeed very significant nowadays. This is because without the news media we would be totally in the dark and cut off from the rest of the world. Its impact is largely positive, although there is a negative side of the news media as well.

There are many benefits of media. To begin with, the usefulness of the media in almost instantly providing information about events around the world is undeniable. It is because of the media, that today we don't belong to a big planet Earth; we belong to a small global village. Furthermore, media also shapes our opinions. It is a link between the government and the people. Our conceptions of our elected officials spring from television images and newspaper stories. Most of us will never meet prime ministers or presidents, but anyone who is regularly exposed to the media will know about them. When it is time to cast our vote, we make our decision based on the media coverage of candidates.

The media are also influential in the way they facilitate the spread of culture and lifestyle. Today, the popularity of Indian culture is an example of the media's enormous impact. It is the media, which highlights the good points of our own culture through programs such as 'India's got Talent'. What is more, the reality shows of today have given exposure and fame to the common man with talent today. Indeed, with technological advancements such as the Internet bringing even more forms of electronic media to our homes and workplaces, it is likely that media's influence will grow even stronger. Finally, the media also helps in providing justice to the common man. Who has not heard of the Jessica murder case and the case of Nithari killings? Were it not for the media, Jessica's parents would have never got justice.

On the other hand, the media too has its downside. The paparazzi can invade the privacy of famous people. Sometimes violence and vulgarity is shown, and at times it can shape our opinion in negative ways. For that my counter argument is that once the person becomes famous then his private life becomes public and he has no right to crib about the paparazzi. Moreover, people can choose what they want to see and technology has provided them the tools to block those channels, which they don't want their children to see. Finally, God has given us brains to judge what is right or wrong. The media is just doing its job by providing us with the latest information.

In conclusion, I would like to say that the influence of media on our lives is largely positive.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Positive side of media*

*Para 2: More positives of media*

*Para 3: negative side of media*

*Conclusion:*

**104. Many animal species in the world are becoming extinct nowadays. Some people say that countries and individuals should protect these animals from dying out, while others say we should concentrate more on problems of human beings. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

In the past few decades, animal extinction has become rampant because of human activity. Therefore, some people opine that it is imperative to save these dying species, whereas others believe in focusing more on human problems. This essay shall look into both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

Conserving endangered species is essential, as they are part of our ecological chain. The removal of a single species can set off a chain reaction affecting many others. For example, the gray wolf was once on the verge of extinction, but has now been restored to Yellowstone National Park. These grey wolves control the growing population of elk, which had been over consuming the trees that grew along streams. These trees are now cooling the stream water, which is benefitting native trout. These trees are also the habitat for migratory birds. Beavers now have willow branches to eat, and beaver dams create marshland habitat for otters, mink, and ducks. So, it can be seen that saving one species has led to benefit many other species, which would have otherwise also gone into extinction.

Another benefit of conserving endangered animals is their contribution to the field of medicine. Each living thing contains a unique reservoir of genetic material that cannot be retrieved or duplicated if lost. More than a quarter of all prescriptions written annually in the United States contain chemicals discovered in plants and animals.

Furthermore, many species of animals are benefitting agriculture. Farmers are using insects and other animals that prey on certain crop pests, as well as using plants containing natural-toxins that repel harmful insects. They are a safe, effective, and less expensive alternative to synthetic chemicals. Therefore, each and every species has its own unique value and so should be saved.

On the other hand, in some nations, especially developing countries, human beings are still suffering from poverty, famine, epidemics and natural disasters. If the limited government budget is allocated to animal conservation, many people will face huge difficulty making a living. Therefore, many people believe that protecting basic human rights should be the top priority of these countries.

In conclusion, protecting wildlife is of great significance, as it is a vital cornerstone of the survival and future development of mankind. However, the funds allocated for animal conservation should not come at the cost of basic needs of humans.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages of conservation*

*Para 2: more advantages of conservation*

*Para 3: more advantages of conservation*

*Para 4: other view*

105. Some people say that schools should reward students who show the best academic results, while others believe that it is more important to reward students who show improvements. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

People are divided on the issue of rewarding students for academic achievements. Some support the idea of rewarding pupils who are the toppers, whereas others opine that it is better to reward those who show major improvements. This essay intends to delve into both perspectives. I, however, opine in encouraging both categories of students with rewards.

There are several reasons, why the students with the best academic performance should be granted an incentive. The core of this argument is that rewarding them will motivate all other students to study hard and achieve the best results. Exams are like a competition, and the winners deserve to be recognized.

However, it's undeniable that in the same class, there are various student levels. The toppers are very few, and are in most cases capable of working hard as well as doing smart study. They know how much effort is required in which areas. All students are not so gifted. The mediocre students, despite spending lots of time and effort, are still incapable of competing with those having higher IQ. Therefore, it is imperative to acknowledge their effort also. The policy of rewarding them would encourage a wide range of students who persevere and make improvements in their results.

I believe that encouraging all achievers is a must. The achievement of the toppers, is visible to all, and should be remunerated with reward. However, the onus is on the teachers to identify the students who show the maximum improvements and encourage them by bringing them into the limelight. For example, if a student gets 40% marks in first trimester exams, but manages to reach 60-70% in second trimester, then he should be given due recognition for his hard work. This would be a better motivation for a wider group of students.

To conclude, it is necessary to reward all achievers – the toppers, as well as the ones showing good progress in their study.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: benefits of rewarding toppers*

*Para 2: benefits of rewarding those who show progress*

*Para 3: Own view*

*Conclusion:*

**106. Some people believe that studying literature is important for individual character building while others think it is a waste of time. Discuss both points of view and provide your own opinion.**

Broadly speaking, "literature" is most commonly used to refer to works of the creative imagination, including works of poetry, drama, fiction, and nonfiction. People are divided on the issue of the importance of study of literature. Some say that it is worthwhile to study literature, whereas others are opposed to its study. This essay shall look into both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

The most important advantage of the study of literature is that it has cultural value. Cultures are built on stories, which may be historical, mythical or religious. Historical stories, allow us to learn about history in an easier way. The stories told in works of literature illustrate how it would feel to live through famous battles, famines, times of prosperity and times of depression. For example, a book 'Pinjar' by Amrita Pritam, can help us understand what women faced when India was going through the freedom struggle.

The second advantage of the study of literature is that it expands our horizons. When we read a piece of literature, we get to read about a life experience through the eyes of someone other than ourselves. We get to walk in the shoes of a character whose life is different than our own. Such reading offers us the opportunity to feel the feelings of the characters described, allowing us to understand things through a fictional world.

Last but not least, literature expands our vocabulary. Having a large and wide-ranging vocabulary is essential for a number of reasons. The larger our vocabulary is, the more in depth and thoughtful discussions we can have on important topics and issues, both in and outside of the classroom. The best way to become exposed to new words, is to read. Due to the descriptive nature of a story, any novel will include plenty of words we have perhaps never seen or heard before. When we see those words in context, we learn their meanings passively and don't have to work hard on learning them. We probably don't even realize we are building our vocabulary.

On the other hand, there is a school of thought that the study of literature has little or no utilitarian value. Those people opine that studying the practical skills such as business and technical education is what is needed in today's era. We belong to a time when there is cut throat competition, and so students should not waste time on the study of literature. They should focus on subjects, which would help them earn a better livelihood today.

To sum up, the study of literature is very essential even in the practical world of today. We need to study literature to understand the world and its people better.

***Plan followed***

***Introduction:***

*Para 1: Reason for study of literature*

*Para 2: Another reason*

*Para 3: Another reason*

*Para 4: The other view*

***Conclusion:***

**107. Some people think that children should obey rules or do what their parents and teachers want them to do, but others think that children controlled too much cannot deal with problems well by themselves. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.**

Young children are beginners. They have lots to learn and one of the biggest lessons they must learn is how to behave or act in an acceptable manner. So, they need rules. When young children have rules, they know what is expected of them. However, the extent to which children have to follow rules is a very sensitive issue. In this essay I shall discuss the benefits of imposing rules on children and the negative consequences of having too much restriction.

Firstly, rules of behaviour create responsible and respectful children who in turn mature into respectful adults. They know the value of respect for elders. They know the importance of relationships. They know about their cultural values as well. This forms a stable society, which is virtually free from vices such as prostitution and drug abuse. It would be wrong to say that having rules mars the problem solving ability of children. They, in fact, face difficulties in a more mature and disciplined way. They are not lured by peer pressure and refrain from acting on impulse.

However, if too strict rules are imposed on children then they may become rebels. They may start doing just the opposite of what is told them to do. What is more, they may show abnormal behaviour. They may fall into bad company or resort to drugs because of frustration. Finally, imposing too strict rules destroys the individuality of children. They may withdraw into a shell. This may suppress their creativity and as a consequence, they may not be able to deal with problems well by themselves.

What is important is that parents and teachers should learn where rules are needed and where it is necessary to give room to the children. Having absolutely no rules and letting children do whatever they wish to do would also be wrong. They are not mature enough to solve all problems and they have to be taught their limits.

To conclude, parents and teachers should impose rules on children but they should know where to draw the line. They should be flexible and modify the rules according to the circumstances.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Importance of having rules*

*Para 2: if too strict rules are imposed on children then*

*Para 3: parents and teachers should learn where rules are needed and where it is necessary to give room to the children*

*Conclusion:*

**108. Nowadays, older people who need employment have to compete with younger people. What problems does this cause and what are the solutions.**

It is undeniable that a growing number of young adults are faced with increasing competition from the elderly in the workplace. This essay will endeavor to explore the negative effects caused by this hot competition between the young and the old job-seekers, and then present some suggestions to alleviate these potential problems.

The most troubling effect would be on the young, unemployed graduates, who may feel unmotivated and frustrated. Senior job candidates have rich experience, and therefore they tend to outshine young adults. Young employees are relatively restricted in terms of work experience and communication skills, because of which employers prefer to recruit older people with higher working efficiency rather than those who have just graduated from the university. Without a decent job and salary, it is extremely difficult for young people to make a living, as a consequence of which, some of them might resort to crime to fulfil their desires.

Another negative implication could be on the senior workers. They might find it daunting to keep up with the latest advancements in technology. In manufacturing industry, for example, the use of advanced machines is quite common. But, how to operate the equipment could be a tough challenge for older workers, whereas it may be simple and easy for their younger counterparts. Therefore, the elderly employees might suffer both physically and psychologically, even if they secure reasonable jobs. Finally, if senior employees constitute the largest proportion of the company's staff, then, it could lead to a decline of productivity and efficiency of the enterprise.

These problems can certainly be solved. Considering the severity of this problem, it is imperative for the government to encourage self-employment for fresh graduates, so that young people still have huge room for employment even though they are eliminated by the job competition. Governments should encourage businesses to create more jobs for less experienced young employees who will then have the opportunity to accumulate experience. Further, the governments should allocate more money to pension system, which can basically guarantee the basic needs of most elders, so that they do not have to look for jobs. At the individual level, the elderly should plan ahead for their retirement and enjoy their retired life, instead of competing for the limited job vacancies. This would be a win-win option both for the aged and the young.

To sum up, competition between both age groups for the jobs will continue to be more intense, but some steps at government and individual level can help to lessen the severity of the situation.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Problem solution essay intro*

*Para1: Problems to youth*

*Para 2: Problems to elderly and companies*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**109. Some people say the most important thing about being rich is that it gives you the opportunity to give back or help the poor. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?**

It is indubitable that money is very important for helping others. However, I disagree with the given statement that it is the most essential thing in terms of a chance to help the needy. I believe that there are numerous ways of helping the underprivileged, and monetary aid is certainly not the best forms of aid.

My first argument to support my viewpoint is that giving money to the poor would be a very shortsighted approach. It would satiate their needs for some time, but ultimately they have to be made capable of standing on their own. Providing education and job training is better way of helping them. For example, my friend's mother, teaches children of the slum area every evening. She is herself not very rich, but she is helping in better ways than money can do.

Secondly, it is not always the financial help, which is needed by people. A timely helping hand when a person is suffering is better than helping with money. For instance, in natural calamities, such as earthquakes and floods, the immediate need of people is food and medical care. Many college students volunteered to carry food and medicines to the needy, when there was a flood in some areas of Punjab, a few years ago. Fresh medical graduates also went and stayed there and helped people with immediate medical care. In times of crises, such help outstrips any help that money can provide.

Opponents would argue that only the rich are in a position to help the poor, as people need money for even the basic necessities of life such as food, clothing and shelter. Even providing education and job training to the destitute, needs money. They have a point, but I still believe that the help in terms of personal effort provided by those who are, themselves, not so affluent is equally good.

To sum up, if one is rich, he is in a better situation to help others who need money and all the things which money can provide. However, I reiterate my view by saying that to help others, one need not be rich as help can be provided in many better ways than with money.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Monetary help is short sighted*

*Para 2: A timely help in terms of personal effort*

*Para 3: Opponents view*

*Conclusion:*

**110. Some people think that increasing communication usage of computers and mobile phones by young people has had a negative effect on their reading and writing skills. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I agree with the given statement, which says that modern communication technology is leading to the deterioration of reading and writing skills. I believe that both writing and reading skills have suffered a setback, due to computers and smart phones powered by the internet.

To begin with, modern day technology has an overall negative effect on students' writing skills because it encourages cyber slang. Cyber slang is a term used to describe shortcuts, alternative words, or even symbols used to convey thoughts in an electronic document. This is because most digital media limit the number of characters a person can use at a time, students device ways to get the most out of their limited space. However, the problem arises when this slang finds its way into formal writing. For example, abbreviations, such as 'lol', 'C U L8R' and 'bcoz' seem OK when used on Facebook and Whatsapp, but are grossly wrong when used in school essays. Moreover, the autocorrect feature fixes their mistakes, so they don't even realize that they wrote something wrong. In future, this generation will need good, solid reading and writing skills in their jobs and professional lives, but they will find it difficult to get rid of these habits.

Secondly, due to technological development, reading habits are fast vanishing into thin air. Students now spend more hours browsing the net, playing games on their handsets and writing non-stop SMSs to their contacts. Reading a book or any other piece of written material has become an archaic idea for most school children and adults. Students are rarely interested in reading for pleasure and enjoyment instead they read only to pass examination. The declining interest in reading culture among our children, especially those in primary and secondary schools, is a cause for alarm. Unfortunately, reading is not a subject and is just regarded as a tool facilitating many other types of learning. Therefore, lack of reading culture among our youth nowadays, has greatly affected quality of graduates being produced. Consequently, the influence of electronic media on the reading habits of pupils has become an issue of concern.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that the communication technology of today is definitely hampering the reading and writing skills. The onus is on the parents and teachers to teach children to teach children of today, the right ways to use this technology so that its negative effects are minimized.

*Plan followed*

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: negative effects on writing*

*Para 2: negative effects on reading*

*Conclusion:*

**111. Some people think history has nothing or little to tell us, but others think that studying the past history can help us better understand the present. Please discuss the two views and give your own opinion.**

History refers to all the things that happened in the past, especially the political, social, or economic development of a nation. Literally, everything, including a nation, a city, a town, a subject, a business, and even a product, has its unique history. Some individuals are of the opinion that studying the past history is useless today but others believe it is very essential to know history to better understand the present. I intend to discuss both sides of the argument in this essay. Personally, I side with the latter view.

Undoubtedly, the present is the continuation of the past. Therefore, studying the past history can enable us to better understand what is going on around us. For example, if we are equipped with relevant knowledge of history, it will be easier for us to understand the evolution of mankind. It would also tell us how we progressed and reached where we are today. We would also know how technology developed step by step. History can serve us as a guide because of which we would not repeat the mistakes of yesteryears. We also get inspiration from the great men of the past through history.

Those opposed to the study of history argue that the past was very different from the present, and we cannot apply that knowledge to the present. For example, they say that the French Revolution and the Freedom Struggle of India have no need to be learnt because those situations will never arise again. What they forget is that this knowledge makes us realize the importance of liberty and self-governance.

Opponents of the study of history also claim that history has nothing to do with professionals, such as architects and accountants. However, I believe they would be better in their professions if they know something about the history of architecture or that of accountancy. As a matter of fact, you are supposed to demonstrate some evidence of historical ability if you want to pursue almost any career.

To sum up, studying the past history can not only deepen and widen our knowledge, but also help us develop the power of analysis. Thus, we are able to look at what is happening at present from a historical perspective and better understanding. It has been rightly said by Cicero

“History is the witness of the times

The torch

The teacher of life

The life of memory

The messenger of antiquity”

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Importance of the study of history*

*Para 2: Views of those who say that history is not important*

*Para 3: Views of those who say that history is not important*

*Conclusion: Study of past history is very important*

**112. Some people think sports and games are important for society, while others believe they should be taken as leisure activities. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

It was man's desire for a healthy pastime and a method of self evaluation and competition that gave birth to sports. Sports have been part of humanity from the earliest chapters of history. Some individuals are of the opinion that sports and games play a significant role in society whereas others say that they should be just taken as playtime activities. This essay intends to delve into both views. I personally side with the former view.

One important effect of sports on society is the fact that they draw people together. Whether it is members of a team, or fans rooting for a certain team, sports have always bonded people of different backgrounds together with a common goal. Even thousands of years before professional sports, sport events were places where people could gather and socialize with other people from the same city while they watched events. Even though times have changed since then, sports still have the same unifying effect over people.

Secondly, all positive traits for a healthy mind and a healthy persona can be gained from sports. People who do sports remain physically fit. Healthy people make a healthy society. People's work efficiency also increases and so it benefits the society as a whole. Sports also teach us how to handle failures with dignity, while enjoying victories to the maximum. Also, it trains people to handle crunch situations, where stress can try and pull them down. Sports can also be chosen as a career. Sportspersons are heroes of national and regional importance who are looked up to. They are role models for many and are imperative people who do the nation proud throughout the world.

On the other hand, there are people who assert that sports should be only taken as leisure activities. Sports should only play the role of stress-buster. They believe that when and commercialization comes into sports, then a win-at-all-cost attitude also comes up which does more harm than good to the society. Players resort to unethical means to win such as taking steroids or even harming the opponents in any way. Moreover, if sports are taken too seriously, then supporters of the losing team resort to hooliganism. Who can forget the Heysel disaster of 1985, in which Liverpool fans charged at rival Juventus supporters, which caused a wall to collapse, resulting in 39 casualties?

To sum up, sports have a great role to play for societies, but we should not let the ugly claws of commercialism creep into the field of sports.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Importance of sports for society*

*Para 2: More importance*

*Para 3: Why some people say sports should be taken as recreational activities*

*Conclusion:*

**113. Some people believe famous people's support towards International aid organizations draws the attentions to problems, whereas others think celebrities make the problems less important. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.**

Charity organizations are responsible for aiding the needy and taking care of the victims of natural disasters. Some individuals are of the opinion that if celebrities provide assistance to global charity organisations, people become more attentive towards these issues, whereas others believe that celebs can lessen the severity of the situation. This essay intends to delve into both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

It is irrefutable that international charity groups can get publicity through celebrities. Famous singers, movie stars and sports professionals can endorse the activities or campaigns of international charity organisations. If the stars advocate for charitable organizations, these charities attract more people to participate. Stars enhance the reputation and credibility of the charities.

Furthermore, when celebrities are called upon for helping NPOs (Non-Profit Organisations) or Charities, they themselves become aware of these problems, and themselves too come forward in donating for such causes. Their selfless donation could fulfil their social responsibilities towards the have-nots and consequently bring their own self a great sense of satisfaction.

On the other hand, it cannot be denied that in some instances, celebrities can cause more harm than good because of their bad reputation. For example, some celebs take part in charity work just to improve their image. This can make the messenger (celeb), more important than the message. For example, if some stars are doing publicity for the anti-drug organization, but they themselves have their own history of drug use or crime, then obviously the public would look at that charity with suspicion. Secondly, sometimes, when the famous people are seen working for some charity then people start thinking that enough is already being done, and that their help is not needed. This can be detrimental in the long run.

To summarise, international aid organizations can attract more citizens' attention on problems if celebrities give the right support and set up good images. I believe that all famous people should do their utmost to fulfill their social obligations, to do some public service activities for those charity organizations, which can be an effective way to serve this purpose.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: How celebs can help*

*Para 2: How celebs can help*

*Para 3: How celebs can harm*

*Conclusion:*

**114. Some people think that the government should establish free libraries in each town. Others believe that it is a waste of money since people can access the Internet at home to obtain information. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion.**

Some individuals opine that the public should be provided free libraries, whereas others say that these days access to the Internet can provide all kinds of information and so we can do without free libraries. This essay shall look at both viewpoints. However, I personally believe that to enhance the quality of life, it is better to make the most of both - modern web-based and traditional library resources.

In today's era of modernization, the advantages of using the Internet are only too obvious. Online resources can be obtained from all over the world 24 hours a day, seven days a week, while a library's publications cannot provide up-to-minute information, the way the web sites can. Some sites feature rare books, documents and special collections that traditionally have been only available in libraries. Almost at no cost and merely a few clicks away, the public can read newspapers, magazines, journals and encyclopedias, no matter when or where. Furthermore, the Internet offers a complete multimedia experience such as texts, video, audio, and graphics, all at once, but such advantages often cannot be expected from town libraries.

On the other hand, money spent on public libraries is certainly worth it. To start with, knowledgeable librarians can be of practical help when it comes to offering professional advice about where to find information on particular topics. Now that anyone can create a web site, reliability of information on the Internet is not guaranteed, and as such there are cases in which the Internet is no substitute for the library. Secondly, libraries can house printed copies that date back many decades, whereas the Internet provides the current information only. In addition, computers in libraries also offer free Internet connection and other electronic resources, which all people may not have in their homes to access the Internet.

Furthermore, libraries are places, which provide the ambience to sit and study; an atmosphere is created where one feels like studying. Such places are needed to increase the reading habits among the youth of today. Additionally, the elderly, who are not so tech-savvy, can come and sit there to satiate their hunger of knowledge. A variety of magazines and newspapers can be provided in a section of the library, so that people can keep abreast of what is happening all around.

To sum up, traditional libraries and virtual or online libraries should complement each other. We cannot do away with libraries just because of the online resources available today.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Importance of Internet for getting information*

*Para 2: Importance of traditional libraries*

*Para 3: More importance*

*Conclusion:*

115. Nowadays, many families have both parents working. Some working parents believe, other family members like grandparents can take care of their children, while others think childcare centers provide the best care. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

It is irrefutable that both parents are working nowadays, and as a result children have to be raised by either child-care centres or grandparents. This essay intends to compare both options. I, however, believe that day-care centres are better than grandparents for the pre-school years of children.

To begin with, most parents choose to leave their children with grandparents for 'positive' reasons, such as the 'caring environment' rather than simply because they can't afford formal childcare. Looking after the grandchildren also keeps the grandparents energetic and vibrant. Grandparents bathe, feed and even read books to the child. This leads to a sort of symbiotic relation in which both grandparents and grandchildren are benefited. In other words we can say that it is a win-win situation for both. Grandparents don't suffer from loneliness and depression, which is very common at that age and children are also well looked after.

Day-care centres, on the other hand, have an edge over care given by grandparents in many ways. A study found that grandparents may struggle to provide the educational and social experiences infants need. Children looked after by grandparents at the age of nine months were considered to be less sociable with other children at age three than those who had been in a child-care centre.

Moreover, grandparents can make terrible child-care providers if they're in poor health and feel forced to provide childcare to their grandchildren because their children seem to have few other options. In such cases, the results can be nothing short of disastrous. Early childcare is a challenge, which may be simply too much for some grandparents to cope with, at this point in their lives.

To sum up, although grandparental care is good in many ways for grandchildren, the advantages of day-care centres are more than those brought about by grandparents.

*Plan followed*

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Adv of grandparents looking after grandchildren*

*Para 2: adv of day care centres over disadv of grandparental care*

*Para 3: Disadv of grandparents*

*Conclusion: Day-care centres are better*

### 116. As housing is a basic need for people, governments should provide free housing for everyone who can't afford it. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Homelessness is a big problem faced by the developing and under-developed countries. However, I do not agree that the governments have the obligation to provide free homes to everyone, as it would not be a practical and sustainable solution to homelessness and would be a burden on the taxpayers. I would like to support my opinion with my arguments in the following paragraphs.

First of all, if government started providing homes to all the people, it would imbalance the economy. The government has so much on its shoulders. Providing basic education and healthcare and maintaining other infrastructure of the country, is also the onus of the government. Moreover, the taxpayers would not be happy about it as their money, which they pay as taxes, would be helping some people who may be unemployed just to get government benefits. If people knew they would get free housing, they would stop working hard and become complacent. Such a policy would not be good for the economy of any country.

The government should provide free housing only if homelessness is because of its inefficiency. It is a well-known fact that the economy of any country depends on internal and external factors. A government can be held responsible for the internal conflicts that might be causing homelessness. However, no government in the world can effectively control external affairs. For example, a lot of people lost jobs due to the economic crisis in 2008. Consequently, they became homeless. In fact, the US recession caused job loss in countries like India and China. It affected India's booming outsourcing industry. And because it was an external factor, the government in India couldn't be held responsible for this situation. So, it is not possible for any government to provide free housing to one and all.

Undoubtedly, it is the responsibility of the governments to improve the living standards of the poorer sections of the society. In India, for example, the government has launched several schemes to provide housing and employment to people. Although the country still faces these problems, the situation has considerably improved in recent years. This is a clear indication that political willpower and proper governance can improve the economic status of a country and its people.

To sum up, it is difficult for governments to provide free housing to all its citizens. Of course, the governments have a role to play in easing the problem of homelessness, but cannot, and should not provide free housing to all.

#### **Plan followed**

*Intro: I do not agree*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: In which case should the government provide free housing*

*Para 2: how good governance can help ease the situation*

*Conclusion:*

117. Today, the life expectancy of people is much higher than before. Some people think that older people should continue to be involved in the workforce. To what extent do you agree or disagree? (Agree)

It is irrefutable that average lifespan of human beings has increased with the advancement in medicine, leading to new discoveries and treatments, every day. I agree with the given statement that the elderly people should continue to work till a later age. I shall support my point of view in the upcoming paragraphs.

Firstly, looking at it from a country's economic point of view, increasing the retirement age will help decrease the government's burden on providing benefits for the elderly. For developing countries this is very beneficial, as the workforce will contribute towards the country's economy and the government will need to spend lesser on providing the retirement benefits to the senior citizens, such as senior citizen discounts, healthcare benefits, travel benefits, etc.

Moreover, working till a later age will be very beneficial to the elderly, at an individual level. They will not need to depend on anyone for their needs. Also, they'll be busy and involved in work, which will help tackle the old-age problems of loneliness and depression. These days the family members do not have the time to spend with the old members of the family, which leads the elderly to feel isolated. Increasing the retirement age will, to a great extent, reduce this problem.

The opponents of this view believe that the old are not physically fit for much of the stressful work. Some also say that since they have worked all their lives, it's the time for them to enjoy the later years of life, away from the pressures of work. I, however, believe that to enjoy in the later years too, money is needed. So, even if they work for fewer hours, it is a necessity.

Overall, a increase in the retirement age, will benefit the country, society as well as individuals. Voluntary retirement offers can be set up for those who wish to retire early, due to their health or other circumstances.

**Plan Followed:**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Benefit*

*Para2: Benefit*

*Para3: Opponents' view*

*Conclusion*

**118. Today, the life expectancy of people is much higher than before. Some people think that older people should continue to be involved in the workforce. To what extent do you agree or disagree? (Disagree)**

It is true that longevity has led to an increase in the ability of people to work for longer years. On the face of it, increasing the retirement age seems to be a logical outcome of the increase in the lifespan. However, I disagree with the statement that older people should continue to be a part of the workforce. I shall support my point of view in the following paragraphs.

The proponents of this view suggest that if we can live longer, we can work longer. No doubt people are living longer than in the past times, due to the advancement in the discoveries in medicine, however, after a certain age the physical capability of a human reduces. Also, many people suffer from health ailments. That is the time they need to relax and enjoy, after working for decades. They should be utilizing that time in travelling and pursuing their interests and hobbies, which they couldn't because of their hectic work life.

Moreover, those who work throughout their lives in physically demanding and challenging jobs, it might not be possible for them to work in old age. Also, some studies have shown that the increase in life expectancy has a direct relation with the income. Those who earn well are healthier than the low paid workers. This is because the better salaried people normally do not have physically demanding jobs and can afford better treatments.

Another major disadvantage of increasing the retirement age is an increase in the unemployed youth. Unemployment among the younger population leads to bigger problems, like increase in the crime rate, violent behavior, drug addiction, and so on. That is a bigger menace, which needs to be curbed before it goes out of control. Increasing the retirement age will definitely not help with the issue of unemployment of the youth. In fact, it will only worsen it, especially in developing countries, like India and China.

In conclusion, an increase in the retirement age should not be made without careful consideration of what consequences it might have on the future generations and the entire workforce of the nation. Considering that the youth is the future of any nation, an increase in the older workforce is definitely not a good solution to any country's economic and social problems.

**Plan Followed:**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Proponents view*

*Para2: Disadvantage*

*Para3: Disadvantage*

*Conclusion*

**119. Some countries pay extremely high salaries for people. Some people believe that the country must not do that and make a limit for the salaries. Do you agree or disagree?**

Wealth inequality is a problem faced by many countries across the world. This is because a few people get astronomically high salaries. Therefore, some people say that there should be an upper limit on the salaries. I, however, disagree with this notion. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

To begin with, I believe that those who are the top have achieved that place with their effort and so they deserve what they are getting. Wealth is not the culprit. There should be no negative associations with the word wealth in the context of people having it. The current President of United States, Barack Obama is a typical example of a person who has achieved his dream. He succeeded in transcending his social class, his race etc. and became the president of United States. Thus capitalism provides everyone with a fair chance to reach great achievements in their life if they seize the opportunities.

Secondly, it is the people who decide the high salaries of a select few. For example, let us presume that many people want to see high quality cricket. So, a person like Mahendra Singh Dhoni, who has a talent for cricket and has honed his cricket skills, would be much in demand. People would be ready to pay for the service he offers (excellent cricket) and consequently his high wage will be justified. On the other hand a mediocre cricket player would not be paid at all since there is no demand to see mediocre cricket.

Finally, this discrepancy in salaries ultimately benefits the whole society. The strongest motivational force, a human being can feel towards work, is a potential reward for their efforts. Therefore, those who work hard and contribute most to society should justly also gain the most in form of increased wealth. If those who work will benefit equally as the ones who do not, there will be no reason to work and the overall productivity will be lowered, which is bad for society. Incentives are therefore necessary since it increases the overall standard for the whole society in form of material wealth. Even the worst off may benefit more than they would have, if the productivity had been low e.g. through charities etc.

To sum up, I reiterate my opinion by saying that the extremely high salaries are justified and there should not be a limit on it. A system which, values individuality, rewards ability and risk-taking, ultimately prospers. Poverty and social dysfunction cannot be fixed by taking from the haves to give to the have-nots. To improve the situation, the poor must be motivated to work hard and do something.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: First argument*

*Para 2: second argument*

*Para 3: Third argument*

*Conclusion*

**120. A large amount of advertisements nowadays are now targeted at children. Many people say this has negative effects on children and should therefore be banned. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Advertisements are ubiquitous nowadays and especially advertising targeting children, who are considered vulnerable target by companies. Many people are worried about this phenomenon. However, we cannot ban advertisements because they serve a lot of useful purposes as well.

Admittedly, in sensitive areas such as the toy industry, some censorship should be there to limit children's access to excess advertisement. This is because children under a certain age lack abilities to make wise judgment as to what they really want. They are attracted by colourful pictures on advertisement and swayed by misleading information. So, they pester their parents to buy those things and this can upset the budget of many families. Even the advertisements of fast foods are bad for children. Children cannot understand that the slim-trim models advertising Mac Donalds burgers hardly ever eat such foods themselves. They are attracted to fast foods and these are very detrimental for their health. Finally, children try to copy the stunts, which can be disastrous for them.

On the other hand, advertising provides us with information on new products. If it were not for electronic and print advertising, many products would not be bought. In this way, advertising provides an important service to manufacturers and some consumers. Additionally, it fuels the advertising industry, creating jobs for thousands of people. In this respect it has become the backbone of many economies of the world.

Furthermore, advertisements touch social issues. For example, when Amitabh Bachhan tells people to bring their children for pulse polio immunization, people listen. Then there are ads against female foeticide which are very informative. Advertisements also teach a lot about the country from where the ads come. This is because through satellite TV we can see ads from all over the world. When we see a Japanese advert of a lady in a kimono, we come to know about the traditional clothes of Japan.

To conclude, it is imperative that regulations be imposed on advertisers who target children or who make false claims. However, advertisement is indispensable in this highly competitive market and produces much more positive effects than negative ones to the society as a whole. So, we should not ban advertisements.

**Plan followed**

*Intro – We cannot ban adverts because they serve a lot of useful purposes as well.*

*Para 1 – Some people's view – bad ads*

*Para 2 – Good points*

*Para 3 – More good points*

*Conclusion:*

**121. The use of mobile phone is as antisocial as smoking. Smoking is banned in certain places and so mobile phones should also be banned. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?**

Although smoking and the nuisance of mobile phones are both antisocial activities, I disagree with a ban on cell phones. I believe that smoking does not have any good side to it and therefore, its ban is justified, whereas the cell phone has its own set of advantages and so should not be banned.

To begin with, smoking causes harm to the smoker as well as the non-smoker who is in the propinquity of the smoker. Studies have proven that the passive smoker is even more at risk of lung cancer than the active smoker. This is because he inhales the second-hand smoke, emitted by the smoker. Similarly, in public places, the cell phone can distract other people and divert their attention. For instance, in libraries, people can be easily distracted through ringtones of incoming calls or people talking over the phone loudly with their loved ones. Even in public places like hospitals, cell phones can easily interfere with the medical equipment and also irritate the patients waiting to see the doctor. Moreover, its usage in religious places can spoil the peace of those paying obeisance.

However, despite all the abuses of cell phones, one cannot deny the uses of mobiles. They are one's easily available help in case of an emergency. They also keep loved and near ones well connected. No matter where one goes, cell phones are the only hope of keeping families connected without any hassle. The SMS is also a convenient way to keep in touch with your loved ones when no one has the time for writing long letters. Mobiles are also a great source of entertainment. You can listen to songs, play games, get live cricket scores, market-updates and even the headlines. They are convenient, easily accessible and of great use.

Moreover, these modern devices are very important in this commercial and financial society. Therefore, the total banning of pagers and mobile phones in public places is not practical. It will cause inconvenience and the people would not be able to catch up with the modern trend of communication. Cellphones have become vital tools in today's world and blocking them in public places will result in a gross violation of personal freedom.

To sum up, mobiles were invented for our convenience and not to create nuisance. If used judiciously, wisely and with public etiquette, mobiles can be of great use. Comparing the cell phone to smoking and banning the cell phone would not be practical.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: why ban*

*Para 2: Why not ban*

*Para 3: Why not ban*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**122. Due to developments in science and technology, lifestyles of people across the world are becoming more and more similar to each other. Do you think this a positive or a negative development?**

Science and technology have ushered in an era wherein more and more people are connected to each other. This also means that in many ways people around the world are becoming very similar. This situation is both – a positive as well as a negative development, which I shall discuss in this essay.

There are many benefits of these global similarities. To begin with, people have become aware of other cultures and so are adopting the good points of all cultures, as a result of which, a global culture has arisen, which is being embraced by people around the world. Secondly, there is more efficient trade between different countries around the globe, thereby improving the economies of developing countries.

There are also many reasons why some people think it to be a negative development. They say, that because of this cultural homogenization, national identities are being lost. We eat the same food, watch the same TV programs, listen to the same music and wear the same clothes. People have also started speaking one language, English, in many parts of the world. In fact, English has become the lingua franca today. They opine that the world is beautiful and enchanting because of its diversity. If the diversity is lost, it would become boring.

However, I feel that this is a very narrow definition of national identities and nations are as different as they were ever in the past. Cultural identity is based on far more than just the films we watch or the clothes we wear. For example, take my own culture of India and compare it to the west. We may wear any clothes, but we never take the names of elders and we always call them with respect. In the west, it is quite OK to call anyone by name. In fact, they appreciate it more. I believe that after knowing about other cultures, we learn to respect our culture even more. So, some very deep-rooted national identities will always be there, even if on the face value it is apparent that people are similar.

To conclude, there are more advantages of similarities among nations. People are becoming tolerant of each other and are adopting the best of all cultures. Total loss of national identities can never take place as all cultures have their inherent strengths, which can never fade away.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: This situation has both pros and cons, which I shall discuss in the following paragraphs*

*Para 1: why similarity is good*

*Para 2: Why similarity is bad*

*Para 3: Own view refuting the opponents view*

*Conclusion:*

**123. Some people think we need to give aid to all poor countries. Others, however, argue that we should not give international aid to countries with corruption in their system. Discuss both views and provide your own opinion.**

International aid refers to money, equipment or services that are provided by a country or international organization for countries that need them, known as recipient countries. People are divided on the issue of giving aid to poor countries. Some say that it reflects the goodness of mankind, whereas others tend to believe that the recipient countries, which have venality in their system, can misspend the aid money. This essay intends to discuss both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

Aid on humanitarian grounds is extremely imperative. Members of the global community have the responsibility to provide relief to each other, especially to those have-nots and those victims of natural disasters and civil unrest. This aid is essential to the homeless and useful in helping recipient countries return to their normal state after major disturbances. For example, with the humanitarian relief obtained worldwide, victims of natural disasters (such as tsunami, draught, flood) throughout the world can recover rapidly and rebuild their homeland.

Humanitarian relief is also an instrument to promote peace and security. The deep-rooted hostility between some countries can result from the gap in the standard of living between these countries. When aid is given, it opens up the possibility of cultural, economic and social interaction between countries, and thereby easing tension. Recipient countries can thus participate in the global economy, which is a crucial step in warding off poverty.

Admittedly, monetary aid is sometimes misused or miss-spent, but these cons can never overshadow the benefits. Misuse can be tackled by tight regulations and scrutiny. In general, the abuse of international aid is an isolated event, so its effect should not be overstated.

In conclusion, the role of humanitarian relief is not just to deliver prompt assistance to people in need, but also to strengthen ties between countries. Although fraud and corruption occur every now and then, they can be addressed in strict ways.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Why aid should be given*

*Para 2: Another reason for giving aid*

*Para 3: Why some people are against monetary aid*

*Conclusion:*

124. Some people say that the best way to improve road safety is to increase the minimum legal age for driving cars or riding motorbikes. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Some people believe that the ideal way to ensure road safety is to raise the minimum age for getting a driving licence. I believe that this may help, but it is certainly not the best method, and other solutions should be considered as well.

Proponents of the given statement say that an experienced and highly skillful driver usually needs long training and practice. Therefore, drivers who are under the age of 18, in most cases, fail to have sufficient time to perfect their driving techniques. Moreover, teenage drivers are more likely to have collisions on the road, compared to drivers in other age groups because they like to take risks to get the excitement of driving. This is because young drivers, especially those who are in adolescence, tend to overestimate their driving abilities and underestimate the dangers on the road. Nevertheless, apart from controlling the legal age strictly, I believe that other measures must be taken to prevent deaths and serious injuries.

Firstly, the government should set higher standards for testing drivers' ability to drive and prolong the training time since better prepared drivers and riders can reduce the number of mishaps on roads. Besides, eating, drinking or talking on the phone while driving should be strictly prohibited as these activities might distract the driver's attention and cause some untoward consequences. Additionally, for those disqualified drivers, who have caused some accidents, a retest should be mandatory.

Finally, the stricter age limitation for drivers is especially suitable for those senior drivers who have a failing eyesight or problems with night vision. Although senior drivers might be adept at driving a car, their energy, eyesight and reaction time sometimes cannot make them cope with some unexpected traffic conditions.

In conclusion, although raising the lowest legal age can prevent some road mishaps, other considerations are equally important in tackling the issue.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Proponents view*

*Para 2: Other methods*

*Para 3: Other methods*

*Conclusion*

**125. Most countries do not recycle their waste like paper, glass, and aluminum cans.  
Why does this happen and what steps can be taken to encourage recycling?**

Many people opine that recycling is the most wasteful activity: a waste of time and money, a waste of human and natural resources. However, environmentalists vigorously refute this. This essay intends to look into the reasons why people don't recycle and also suggest ways to encourage them for recycling.

There are many reasons why people don't recycle. Firstly, people don't want to put in the extra effort. Some places have no pick-up and so they find recycling inconvenient. Secondly, the lack of space is an issue for many. People don't want to see garbage and with little storage space for recycling bins, the trash is an eyesore. Thirdly, people think that it does not make a difference, so they don't bother to do it. They also find it too hard to do. Since there are so many facets to recycling glass, plastic and paper. It's hard to decipher which kinds go where.

Furthermore, people feel that recycling consumes energy. They talk about the fuel needed to drive those recycling trucks around carrying old newspapers from place to place. The cost involved in the recycling industry is much more than trash disposal at times. What they don't know is that even taking this into account, there is a net benefit from recycling compared to landfill or incineration. The benefits to society from recycling compensate for any difference in cost. According to a current UK survey, the recycling of paper, glass, plastics, aluminum and steel was estimated to save more than 18 million tonnes of carbon dioxide a year through avoided primary material production.

People can be encouraged in many ways. To begin with, they should be aware about the benefits of recycling. Integrating recycling into the high school educational system could also help. An economic incentive to recycle should be there. In a study it was seen that offering reward for recycling can clearly contribute to increasing recycling rates, and almost a quarter of adults would be encouraged to recycle more frequently if they received a financial benefit. Moreover, some day could be dedicated to recycling. For example, America Recycles Day (ARD) is a day dedicated to encouraging Americans to recycle and buy, recycled products. ARD is celebrated annually on November 15. Thousands of events are held across the U.S. to raise awareness about the importance of recycling and to encourage Americans to sign personal pledges to recycle and buy products made from recycled materials.

To sum up, recycling is the need of the day, but many people do not recycle for various reasons given above. However, effective steps could be taken to encourage people to recycle.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why people don't recycle*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**126. In many countries, mainly tourists, but not local people, visit museums and historical sites. Why does this happen, and what can be done to attract more local people to visit these places?**

Museums and other historical sites seem to be less attractive to local people than to tourists. This essay intends to delve into some reasons why this is occurring, and put forward some solutions to kindle the interest of local people in visiting these places.

The first reason is due to the fact that the local people take these places for granted. They think that these places are not running away anywhere, and so they will see them sooner or later. What happens is, that the time seldom comes, when they take the time out of their hectic schedule to see these places. On the other hand, tourists who come there are on a holiday, and their main motive is visiting these historic places and museums. For instance, recently, I visited Hyderabad as a tourist and went to see Ramoji Film City, which is the biggest Film City in the whole world, spread over 5000 acres of land. My relatives who are staying in Hyderabad have never been there once. When I told them that it is a must-see place, they decided to visit it with their children.

Secondly, nothing new is added to these places over the years and so local people who have been there once, do not wish to frequent these places again and again. These places do not intrigue the locals so they do not feel motivated to visit regularly. In other words, the renewals of existing exhibits, or the organization of new programs and events are few and far between. Furthermore, museums and historical sites are not cheap to visit. In fact, to get admission to these places, visitors are often required to buy expensive tickets.

In order to spur up more interest of local people in coming to museums, a number of ways can be employed. School trips could be organized for children to enjoy these places with their friends. Passes for entry to these museums and historical sites could be arranged for local people so that it doesn't cut a hole in their pocket every time they visit them. A day could be fixed every month when the entry to the museum is made free. For example, on every first Tuesday of the month, the entry to the Houston Museum of Natural Science is free, so there is a great rush of local people on that day.

To conclude, many reasons can be given as to why local people lose their enthusiasm for museums and places of historical importance. However, the revival of these places is within our grasp should proper actions be taken.

**Plan followed**

*Intro*

*Para 1: First reason*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion*

127. Some people think it is important for children to take lessons outside classroom, for example, by visiting places such as local companies or public buildings. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Many individuals believe in providing more innovative learning experiences to children by taking them to local commercial establishments or public buildings where they are exposed to a great deal of practical knowledge. I agree with their perspective. This essay shall discuss, how such practices have an edge over mere classroom learning.

To begin with, classroom learning can sometimes be monotonous, and therefore, such outings can make learning creative, fun-filled and more effective. Museums, galleries, zoos and farms can be of great interest and can provide learning experiences that won't be forgotten fast. There are even some evidences to show that pupils' grades improved if they spent more time learning outside the classroom.

Furthermore, field trips to local businesses can introduce pupils to the practical component of learning, which is not available in schools. This can give students the real hands on experience of the world of work. All students should have the opportunity to participate in internships and programs to enrich their education. This should not be separate from their education at school, but an extension of their academic learning.

Finally, relationships between teachers and students are often transformed by field trips. The absolutely critical aspect of such outdoor learning experiences is the development of the relationship between the young students and the teachers they are with. That can be life-changing, and a bond can form with a particular teacher or all the teachers.

To conclude, most children benefit greatly from such educational tours. Therefore, school authorities should encourage children to visit local businesses or public buildings in order to make education more creative and interesting.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: First reason*

*Para 2: Second reason*

*Para 3: Third reason*

*Conclusion:*

**128. Some people believe that teaching children at home is best for a child's development, while others think that it is important for children to go to school. Discuss the advantages of both methods and give your own opinion.**

From homeschooling statistics, it's clear that homeschooling is becoming a more accepted method of education. However, some people still believe that nothing can be better for children than actually going to school. This essay intends to explore the benefits of both approaches. I, personally, side with the latter view.

The most important benefit of home schooling is individual teacher's attention. When homeschooled, the child has the undivided attention of the teacher. Moreover, lesson plans can be tailored according to the ability of the child. In traditional school environments, classmates often learn at very different speeds. This helps slower learners stay on track with the class, but often leaves bright children bored and distracted. Homeschooling also helps parents incorporate subjects that might not be included in the local school's curriculum, such as foreign language.

Perhaps, one of the best arguments in favor of homeschooling is the guarantee of the child's safety. Bullying, fights and other school violence become a non-issue when the child is educated from home. What is more, sleep is vital to the emotional and physical well-being of kids, especially teens and preteens. As homeschooled children can accomplish in a few hours what takes a typical classroom a week or more to cover, they get more time for their much-needed sleep.

On the other hand, keeping the child out of a traditional school environment greatly inhibits his/her access to other children. Learning to make friends and exist within a group are important life lessons that children could miss out on through homeschooling. Homeschooled children may be less inclined to share, demand personal attention by acting out, or become socially awkward and anxious.

Additionally, school life is filled with plenty of exciting opportunities for children. These extracurricular activities can help children better adjust in society. While these cultural and other opportunities can be provided while homeschooling the child, these are not the same as the child would experience in a group of his or her peers.

Finally, homeschooled children are generally not provided with competitive opportunities. Traditional schooling events such as team sports and spelling bees encourage the child to do his very best. These things give children something to strive for, and to uncover natural aptitudes that might go untapped in homeschooled environments.

To sum up, even though homeschooling has its merits, nothing can be better than sending the child to a traditional school.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: for homeschooling*

*Para 3: for traditional schooling*

*Para 2: for homeschooling*

*Para 4: for traditional schooling*

**129. Some people say that too much attention and resources are given to protect wild animals and birds. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?**

In recent times, there has been a great hue and cry over the money and efforts being spent on conservation of wild animals and birds. However, I disagree with the given statement that 'a lot' is being done for them. I believe that whatever is done for them can never be 'too much'. It has been rightly said that – "Animals gone, Trees gone, Humans gone".

The most important reason for saving wild animals and birds, is that they are part of our ecosystem. Every species of wildlife plays a role to maintain the balance of life on Earth. Thus, the loss of any species can affect us directly or indirectly. For example, there are many bat species that are becoming extinct. Such bats help keep the insect population in control. If these bats die then the insects will increase a lot and destroy our crops. So, we will have nothing to eat. In addition, many animals, like rodents, help in the dispersal of plant seeds and in the pollination of plants. By protecting endangered animals we ensure not only their survival but also the biodiversity that is necessary for the ecological health of the planet.

Secondly, wild animals provide many valuable substances such as medicine and fur. The horn of the rhinoceros has medicinal value, and the fur of the mink is very valuable. Moreover, the recreational viewing of animals at zoos is also a source of revenue. Thus, the financial value of wild species is important to the economies of many nations.

Furthermore, wild animals have aesthetic appeal. They are beautiful creatures of nature and are a part of our bio-diversity. Their beautiful and mysterious life has enchanted mankind since the dawn of evolution. Scientists have been awed, by observing their behavior. Such study has helped scientists understand how the human body functions and why people behave as they do. Scientists have also gained medical knowledge by studying the effect of many drugs on these animals.

Finally, it is undeniable that animal species have been vanishing at a much faster rate for about a century now due to human factors such as pollution, commercial overexploitation, poaching and deforestation. Knowingly or unknowingly, we humans are doing so much damage to plants and animals that spending an exorbitant amount on saving them has become a necessity.

To sum up, the resources spent on these animals and plants, are well justified. Wildlife is Mother Nature's greatest treasure. To protect it, we must take every measure.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Part of ecological chain – interconnected*

*Para 2: Provide us many things                            Para 3: Aesthetic appeal*

*Para 4: We humans responsible for the damage to them*

*Conclusion:*

**130. The use of mobile phones should be banned in public spaces such as libraries, transportations, and shops. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Mobile phone etiquette has become an important issue, with mobiles ringing at funerals, weddings, movies and plays. Therefore, some people advocate their prohibition in public places. I, however, disagree with a ban on cell phones in all public places. I would like to suggest that in some places where "silence" is necessary, such as in concerts, meetings, and cinemas, the use of pagers and mobile phones should be banned. However, in public places such as restaurants or buses and public transports, where "silence" is not so strictly needed, the use of pagers and mobile phones can still be allowed.

Undoubtedly, using mobile phones in public places can distract other people and divert their attention. For instance, in libraries, people can be easily distracted through ringtones of incoming calls or people talking over the phone loudly with their loved ones. Even in public places like hospitals, cell phones can easily interfere with the medical equipment and also irritate the patients waiting to see the doctor. Moreover, its usage in religious places can spoil the peace of those paying obeisance.

However, despite all the abuses of cell phones, one cannot deny the usefulness of mobiles. They are very helpful in case of an emergency. They also keep loved and near ones well connected. No matter where one goes, cell phones are the only hope of keeping families connected without any hassle. The SMS is also a convenient way to keep in touch with your loved ones, when no one has the time for writing long letters. Mobiles are also a great source of entertainment. You can listen to songs, play games, get live cricket scores, market-updates and even the headlines. They are convenient, easily accessible and of great use.

Moreover, these modern devices are very important in this commercial and financial society. Therefore, the total banning of pagers and mobile phones in public places is not at all practical. It will cause inconvenience and the people would not be able to catch up with the modern trend of communication. Cellphones have become vital tools in today's world and blocking them in public places will result in a gross violation of personal freedom.

To sum up, mobiles were invented for our convenience and not to create nuisance. If used judiciously, wisely and with public etiquette, mobiles can be of great use. Banning the cell phone would not be practical.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: why ban*

*Para 2: Why not ban*

*Para 3: Why not ban*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**131. It is now possible for scientists and tourists to travel to remote natural environments such as the South Pole. Do you think the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?**

Remote tourism, or the name given to visiting undiscovered habitats, is becoming very fascinating for both scientists and tourists. While the exploration of such areas has its positives, I believe the associated drawbacks are far more severe.

On the one hand, the idea of more people travelling to remote locations is perceived favorably for a number of reasons. First, some people regard such remote voyages to pristine areas as exquisite adventures. On top of that, new jobs can be created and economic benefits can be derived. Furthermore, geologists may now tap these previously inaccessible sites as resources of fossil fuels. For example, in Pennsylvania's Endless Mountains, oil has been found and is now being drilled out. This is increasingly significant to human kind, as the majority of the current fossil fuel sources have been overexploited and there can be a global energy scarcity in the near future.

On the other hand, substantial disadvantages can be there because the burgeoning of tourism and geological research may heavily disturb the flora and fauna of the remotely located places. This is due to the callous attitude of the tourists and the authorities both. It is highly unlikely that tourists bring waste back to the departure point. Secondly, the journey to these inaccessible places is also perilous and tourists may suffer from any medical emergencies.

Furthermore, the work of geologists involves the analysis of ice-shelves hundreds of meters thick, and the drilling for and the hauling of oil and gas afterwards. These activities may also consequently have a disastrous effect on the natural habitats. As a result, what used to be a pristine land can eventually be polluted.

In conclusion, travelling to secluded places can definitely be advantageous for not only researchers but also normal travellers. Nevertheless, in my opinion, the drawbacks to the ecosystem are of greater concern.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Advantages of remote tourism*

*Para 2: Disadvantages*

*Para 3: More disadvantages*

*Conclusion*

132. Some people believe that courses of performing arts (e.g. dance, music, drama etc.), should be funded by government. Others believe that these should be funded through other ways (e.g. businesses or student's family). Discuss both views and give your opinion.

People are divided on the source of funding for courses of performing arts. Some opine that government should provide financial support to talented students who intend to pursue a career in performing arts such as dance, music or theatre. However, many people believe that taxpayers' money should not be wasted for this purpose, and students should look for sponsorship from other sources like corporates or their own families. This essay intends to examine both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

The major reason for state funding for students of performing arts is that this education contributes to making a well-rounded student. Arts education has been proven to help students increase cognitive development, inspire motivation and discipline, develop confidence and inventiveness, and hone communication and problem-solving skills. So, children do well in other subjects also. For example, in a study it was seen that students who study the arts continued to outperform non-arts students on the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT).

Another big advantage is that it encourages the pursuit of extra-curricular activities. Children get a chance to show their creative expression. When such hidden abilities are exposed in school time, then those with exceptional talent can be encouraged to adopt it as a profession later-on in life. Secondly, these subjects are excellent stress-busters. Performing arts break the monotony of tough academic studies. Last but not least, such performing arts help to keep our culture and tradition alive. For the reasons given above, it is believed that government funding on such subjects is justified.

On the other hand, those who are opposed to state funding contend that since it is mostly students themselves who benefit from art education, they should seek aid from other sources such as corporate funding and their own parents. For instance, like any other professionals musicians, dancers and actors also earn large sums of money from stage shows and other public performances. They also believe that since enormous amounts of money is required to fund art education, it would become an unnecessary liability for government, as it has more important priorities to deal with.

To sum up, funding of performing arts courses, should be done by government, as these courses keep our culture and tradition alive, complement academic study, bring out hidden talent and break the ennui of tough academic studies.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why government funding*

*Para 2: More reasons for government funding*

*Para 3: Opponents view*

*Conclusion*

**133. Nowadays, people get information through news and papers, but meanwhile are uncertain about the truth of these news. Should we believe the journalists? What qualities should a good journalist or correspondent have?**

News and newspapers are an indispensable part of our everyday life, because they keep us connected with the outside world. This essay shall discuss whether or not we should believe the journalists, and also explain the characteristics of a good journalist.

We have to believe the journalists because they are our only source of information. But, we should take it all with a pinch of salt. Sometimes they may spread ill-founded news just to sell their papers. On the whole, they are doing great service to us. They are a link between the government and the people. They shape our opinions. They make us feel part of this global village by keeping us in touch with it, and at times they even provide justice. For example, in the Jessica murder case, it was because of the efforts of these journalists that a politician's son got punishment and Jessica's family got justice.

A good journalist should have many qualities. First of all, he should promote the truth, and not rumours of ill-founded news. Only authenticated news should be given. Secondly, he should be unbiased and not favour any group or political party. He should not hurt the sentiments of any particular community. This is very important in a pluralistic (multicultural and multi-religious) society like India. He should also have excellent communication skills.

Furthermore, a good journalist should be versatile – he has to cover varied fields such as sports, business, entertainment and politics. He should always be on his toes, because you never know when the sky is going to fall. Finally, he should be bold and brave because he has to handle tough situations. For instance, during the 26th November terrorist attack at Mumbai, it was these journalists who brought us the first-hand news.

Summing up, we have to believe the journalists because they bring us the latest news, and a good journalist should be a multifaceted personality.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: This essay shall discuss whether or not we should believe the journalists and also explain the characteristics of a good journalist*

*Para 1: Why we should believe the journalists*

*Para 2: Qualities of a good journalist*

*Para 3: More qualities*

*Conclusion:*

134. Some people think that the amount of noise people make has to be controlled strictly. Others, however, say that people are free to make as much noise as they wish. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

Noise pollution is a matter of great concern nowadays. Knowingly or unknowingly, every one of us contributes to noise pollution, because most of our day-to-day activities generate some noise. Some people are of the opinion that there should be some restriction on the level of noise from human activities. Others do not believe in such regulations. This essay intends to discuss both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

The most important argument in favour of noise control is that loud noise may adversely affect people in many ways. Noise above a certain level can cause hearing loss. Occupational noise exposure, is the most common cause of Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL). For example, repeated exposure to noise pollution at a construction site can cause NIHL to construction workers, an effect that cannot be reversed.

Furthermore, there are the emotional or psychological effects such as irritability, anxiety and stress. Lack of concentration and mental fatigue are significant health effects of noise. It has been observed that the performance of school children is poor in comprehension tasks when schools are situated in busy areas of a city and suffer from noise pollution disturbance. Therefore it is imperative that some check should be there on noise production.

There are some people who oppose such laws against noise generation. They assert that some amount of noise is inevitable. The sources may be domestic, natural, commercial or industrial. People believe that making noise at times is their basic right to express their emotions. They want to cheer and shout for their favourite team and dance to the beat of loud music when happy. They take any regulation on noise, as infringement of their basic rights.

To sum up, suitable action has to be taken to attenuate the noise levels and control noise pollution. It is high time we all realize that noise pollution is a slow poison.

*Plan followed*

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Why it should be controlled*

*Para 2: Why it should be controlled*

*Para 3: Why some people say it should not be controlled*

*Conclusion:*

135. Health experts believe that walking is a good exercise for health. However, people are walking less nowadays. Why is this happening? How can people be encouraged to walk more?

Regular physical exercise is a vital part of maintaining our health and wellbeing. Yet, we are walking much lesser per day than our parents and grandparents used to do. This essay intends to look into the causes behind this phenomenon, and suggest some ways to motivate people to walk more.

There are many reasons why people shun walking. Firstly, everyone is very busy nowadays. There are too many pressures and people have become workaholics. Another reason is that everything is spread out. We live far from the places we need to go. We have to drive to work. We have to drive to the grocery store. We have to drive our children to school. More time spent driving, means less time spent on other activities, including walking. On top of that, we don't walk because the car is right there. In short, we drive because we no longer have to walk. Finally, most people who want to walk but don't, is because it is not safe to walk. Modern cities are not built with the pedestrian in mind.

To encourage people to walk, it has to be made safer. People need to feel that walking is a safe, pleasant and convenient way for short journeys. If walking feels like a dangerous hassle, no one would like to walk. Why would somebody choose to walk the half-mile to the shops, if they have to wait at three or four separate 'red man' lights to cross one road, while their neighbor who took the car gets to cross the same junction in one go? The onus is on the governments to redesign the cities and have pavements on sides of the roads for people who wish to walk.

Furthermore, people have to be made aware of the potential health benefits associated with regular walking. Walking is good for our brain, our fitness, our memory, our longevity, our blood pressure, and our general health. This can be done through the media such as the TV and the Internet. Parks can be made and maintained by the authorities to encourage people to go for morning and evening walks.

To sum up, there are many reasons why people don't walk, but effective measures can be taken to motivate people to do so.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Why people don't walk*

*Para 2: Steps to encourage them*

*Para 3: More steps*

*Conclusion*

**136. The leaders or directors of organizations are often older people. But some people say that young people can also be a leader. Do you agree or disagree?**

When a company has to select someone for an executive position, mostly the senior employees are considered. Most youngsters are not usually thought of as leaders. However, I believe that in today's world, the young are better equipped to handle the top managerial posts. Therefore, I agree with the latter statement, which says that young people can also be leaders.

On the one hand, the senior leaders have practical experience, which is equal to the age of the young leaders. This experience gives them an edge. They can prove their viewpoint with case studies and practical examples of their previous professional life. Therefore, the young leaders can't counter their edge in this area.

On the other hand, the younger lot of today has flair in digital technology, because of which they are fast learners. They take no time to learn the things, which their seniors have learnt in quite a long time. There is no doubt that given the right opportunity, they can rise to the occasion. Secondly, because of the Internet, the young leaders can reach out farther in the global arena. Here, I would like to cite an example of my uncle, who had been running his business of readymade garments for the last 20 years. His business was doing fairly well, but has grown exponentially ever since he handed the reins to his son two years ago. Today, they are the biggest exporters of readymade garments from the whole of Punjab. His son used his digital network to spread his business.

Secondly, an organization's success today depends on a variety of talents and skills. There are technological issues, global issues, financial issues, human resource issues, legal issues, and more. Nobody is born with all such talents. The young are willing learners and dare to bear hardships. They are also not afraid of taking risks. The older workers, in contrast, like to play it safe. In the competitive era of today, it is impossible to survive without the ability of taking calculated risks.

To sum up, I reiterate my opinion saying that it is imperative to assign top-level managerial tasks to younger people, as they own infinite potential and can benefit the company in the long run.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para1: in favour of senior leaders*

*Para 2: For young leaders      Para 3: For young leaders*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**137. In many countries, more and more people are competing for a place to study in universities. Why does this happen? Do you think this is a positive or negative development?**

More high school students than ever are competing for seats in the freshman classes of universities. This essay shall analyze the reasons for this phenomenon. I believe this is largely a positive occurrence.

The first explanation for why it is harder to get into four-year colleges now than ever before seems to be supply and demand. The number of students graduating from high school is rising steadily each year. For example, in 1997 there were 2.6 million high school graduates in USA, but today, the number has grown to 3.3 million.

Secondly, a university education is increasingly seen as key to economic success in our society, as a result of which more students are seeking to attend four-year colleges, including students from under-represented minority groups whose college participation rate used to be low.

Another major reason is the marketing efforts made by the universities. Colleges want to attract talented, and diverse groups of applicants from which to select their freshman class, and they often go to great lengths to do it. This, coupled with the ease of application process through the Internet, enables more students to apply.

This is a positive development because students plan well in advance for getting into the university of their choice. They focus on doing well in school to get good grades. Secondly, more students going for higher education can never be a negative development. The universities also make efforts to better their standard so as to lure the top cream of students. More and more universities are coming up which are hiring the best faculty. For instance, in my small hometown, Lovely Professional University opened about a decade ago, and today it has about 30,000 students from across the globe. It is also true that it is hard for students to get into colleges because they only want to get into colleges that are hard to get into. As word spreads about the competition for college admission, students respond by applying to even more colleges to increase their chances of acceptance. In doing so, they end up contributing to the very problem they are trying to solve for themselves.

To sum up, there are many reasons for the increasing competition for university entrance, and it is advantageous for students in the long run.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: 1st reason*

*Para 2: 2nd reason*

*Para 3: 3rd reason*

*Para 4: why it is a positive development*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**138. It is more important for school children to learn local history than world history. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

History is the witness of the times, and acts as a torch for the present and the future. It is very important to study history, whether it is local or world history. However, I agree that priority should be given to local history.

There are profound advantages of teaching local history to the students. The study of local history provides the student with increased interest in the larger subject of history. This information is relevant to the students' own surroundings. Once they study their local history, they would be even more enthusiastic to learn the world history and compare it with their own. Therefore, the syllabus of today's schools should include local history, and teach it before going on to teach world history.

Secondly, the knowledge of local history may encourage preservation. As it is, today we are living in a global village, where social and geographical mobility is more than ever in the past. As people move away, all the roots, which bind them to their local area, are forgotten. The people tend to tear away the familiar buildings and the local communities. If they study local history, they might wish to preserve the local areas. It would make them aware of their own links to their past.

On the other hand, the study of world history cannot be undermined in today's era of globalization. World history helps prepare young people for college studies, international experience, and active participation in civic life. It helps get them become global citizens, who know and care about the world issues and problems. World history is a shared knowledge that citizens, whatever their country, need, to function on our planet in the twenty-first century.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that the study of history is important in toto, but local history must be taught before teaching the history of the world.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Importance of local history*

*Para 2: More importance*

*Para 3: World history importance*

*Conclusion*

**139. Holding International games such as the Olympic Games is an exciting event. Some people think it has positive effects while others argue it is a waste of money. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion.**

People are divided on the issue of hosting Olympic Games. Some individuals opine that it is advantageous to host such events, whereas others believe that this could be detrimental for the host country. This essay shall look into both arguments. I, however, side with the former view.

There are many advantages that can ensue from hosting such events. To begin with, such events would mean a lot of international tourists, which in turn would mean a lot of tourist dollars. For example, during the Olympics many athletes, spectators, officials, sponsors and broadcasters come to the host country and spend money on hotels and restaurants. What is more, the country also earns by selling tickets and selling souvenirs. Additionally, many people get employment because of the jobs related to hosting such events. For instance, there are many new jobs in construction projects of stadia and hotels and also in advertising related to such events. All this improves the overall economy of the people and the country.

Furthermore, the host country gets recognition in the whole world because of media exposure. It is also an opportunity for the host country and its people to know about the culture of other countries. This broadens the outlook of people and helps to make them true citizens of the global village of today. Last but not least, the infrastructure of the host country develops at an accelerated pace. For example, when New Delhi hosted the Commonwealth Games, many flyovers and stadia were built which changed the overall look of Delhi and now, all these new facilities are being enjoyed by the local people of Delhi.

On the other hand, it is also easy to see why some people are opposed to hosting such events. The main reason is that the development of that part of the country is at the expense of other parts. This is because the government of the host country usually allocates resources from other parts of the country to that part. Another reason why people are against such events is because of the Olympic drain associated with such events. In other words, the tourism in the neighbouring area suffers, as all visitors are attracted towards the host city. Finally, crime is another factor why people don't like such events. When many people get together at the same place then there are chances of petty crime and the host city needs to hire more police officers.

Looking at both arguments, it is clear that there are both – advantages as well as disadvantages of hosting such events, but on the whole the pros far outweigh the cons.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay*

*Para 1: - advantages*

*Para 2: advantage*

*Para 3: disadvantages*

*Conclusion: pros outweigh cons*

**140. Some people think that the government should invest more money in teaching science than other subjects to make progress. Do you agree or disagree?**

It is irrefutable that scientific knowledge is increasingly important in our technological world and in the practical world of jobs and careers. Therefore, some individuals opine that government should spend more resources on imparting science education. However, I disagree with this view. I firmly believe that in a technological age the study of arts and humanities is probably more important than ever and so these subjects should be given as much importance as is given to science.

Undoubtedly, the study of science is essential. Science is the study of the world around us - by learning science we learn all about the world that we live in - how things work, what living things there are, how things happen, etc. Science helps us to become less gullible - there is a lot of wrong information on the television, the internet, and in rumors - if we learn the truth by learning science, we won't fall for all those hoaxes and superstitions.

On the other hand, studying the arts and humanities can help students become better scientists. For example, recent studies of cognitive development show that studying music at an early age can strengthen a child's later grasp of science. What is more, understanding philosophical concepts has helped scientists recognize their scientific research areas more accurately.

Secondly, we all need some spark and beauty in our lives that only the study of arts can bring in. Studying the creative and intellectual achievement of others helps inspire our own creativity and intellectual questioning. This is particularly important in an era dominated by science and technology, where we run a serious risk of becoming automatons.

Finally, we need the study of arts to help us select what is worthwhile and ethical. Technology is valuable as an efficient means to our important goals. But neither technology, nor the science on which it is founded, decides which goals are best, or judges the moral value of the means we choose for their attainment.

In conclusion, I reiterate my opinion that governments should not devote lesser funds to the arts and humanities. These areas of study augment and enhance learning in science, as well as help to preserve the richness of our entire human legacy while inspiring us to further it. Moreover, disciplines within the humanities provide methods and contexts for evaluating the morality of our technology and for determining its proper direction.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: advantages of studying science*

*Para 2: advantages of studying arts and humanities*

*Para 3: More advantages*

*Para 4: More advantages*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**141. Some people think music plays an important role in society. Others think it is simply a form of entertainment. Discuss both sides of this argument and give your own opinion.**

Music is a unique language, which is understood by everyone on this planet. It is the soul of the universe. There are different views as to the importance of music. Some say it is purely for entertainment. Others opine that it has many other functions. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. I, personally, side with the latter view.

There is no doubt that music is a good source of entertainment. Music helps to cheer everyone up. When people come home after a hectic day's work and they need some entertainment, they play music and it calms them and they feel relaxed. Music is also played in parties and ceremonies to entertain everyone. Young people dance to the beat of music and release their pent up energy.

Music also links us to our culture and tradition. The folk songs sung by our great artists like Gurdas Mann are on everyone's lips. His lyrics contain many things of our culture, which are alive today because of these folk songs. Otherwise, under the influence of western culture people are forgetting their roots. Moreover, music is a lucrative profession these days. Our famous singers and musicians like Lata Mangeshkar and A.R. Rehman have earned millions from music and are famous in the whole world because of their musical talent.

Another role that music plays is of connecting people. When people go to a musical concert, they are with those people who share their musical tastes. Together, they feel the emotions that the music evokes. Music has always been a part of ceremonies because it has the ability to make people feel connected. To add to it, music improves concentration. Students can concentrate better if light music is played in the background because it kills the other disturbing noises.

To sum up, music is not just for entertainment. It has many roles in society such as connecting people to each other and to their culture, making them rich and famous and also relaxing them. Music is all around us. Right from the first lullaby that the mother sings to the cradle, to the dirge of the funeral pyre, music accompanies everything of life.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I shall put forth both sides of the argument*

*Para 1: How music entertains us*

*Para 2: Music also links us to our culture and tradition*

*Para 3: Another role that music plays is of connecting people. Music improves concentration.*

*Conclusion:*

**142. Leisure is a growing industry, but people no longer entertain themselves as much as they used to because the use of modern technology has made them less creative. Do you agree or disagree?**

I disagree with the statement that people do not entertain themselves as much as they used to because of modern technology. I believe that modern technology has not made people less artistic. In fact it has changed the definition of leisure and the lifestyle of people, and has also given people more options for creativity.

Modern technology has changed our definition of leisure. Earlier, leisure meant going out and meeting people, playing outdoor games, going to cinema to watch movies and so on. However, today, leisure time is full of choices. We have so many things to do within the four walls of our house. We can watch countless programs on national and international channels; we can play online games; we can chat with friends and relatives in any corner of the world; we can do arm-chair tourism by which we can visit any historical place or museum sitting in our arm-chair. That is why perhaps it looks as if we people do not entertain ourselves as much as we used to in yesteryears.

Another reason why people don't entertain as much as before, is also not because of technology. Technology has, in fact, given us more time to enjoy but we can't strike a balance between work and leisure. We have become workaholics. Life in the past was simpler. People worked for basic needs. Now work is not just a way of life. It is for personal fulfillment. We set goals for ourselves such as a house or a car. We choose this way of life. Now we have improved standard of living but this has come at a very high cost.

Finally, I would like to state that the given statement is flawed, because nowadays people specially take out time to entertain themselves. This can be evident from the mushroom growth of leisure centres such as hotels, restaurants, fun parks and spas. Tourist places are full of people, and train and air reservations have to be done well in advance.

To sum up, technology has not made us less creative. We entertain ourselves more than earlier times but the ways of entertainment are different and technology has given us more choices than before.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree.*

*Para 1: How modern technology has changed our definition of leisure.*

*Para 2: Another reason why people don't entertain as much as before is also not because of technology.*

*Para 3: the given statement is flawed because nowadays people specially take out time to entertain themselves.*

*Conclusion:*

143. A recent newspaper article reported that a 14 year-old boy who seriously destroyed his school got the punishment to clean the streets, instead of being sent to prison. Do you think this is right, or do you think that such criminals should be sent to prison?

Juvenile delinquency is a problem with a difference; not only does it need to be handled urgently but also delicately. There are two questions that need to be answered while tackling the problem – should juveniles be treated differently compared to adults and secondly, should the severity of the crime be considered while making this decision. I believe that such criminals should be treated differently, but only if the crime is not heinous in nature.

There are many reasons behind not sending juvenile offenders to prisons like adults. One main reason is that at such young ages, children and teenagers do not know any better. They are not psychologically developed to register the import of their actions. Age, not only brings physical development, but also mental maturity. Therefore, treating them similar to adults would not be fair.

Secondly, juvenile delinquency is a phenomenon of dysfunctional families. Most delinquents have unhappy home lives and feel discontented with their lives' circumstances. They indulge in delinquency to get their parents attention or attention at school. They need love and affection, and jails and prisons are the last places where they can find the love they need. What is required is treating the causes for such behavior, like talking to family members.

Finally, these ages from 10-18 are the career building ages. Punishing individuals at such ages would make them lose precious years of their life and leave little scope for them to rebuild them. This would destroy their chances at good jobs in the future and also make it impossible for them to become fruitful members of the society. The only future path left open to them would be becoming criminals and carrying on with such activities.

However, if the crime is very severe, age cannot become an excuse to avoid punishment. If we treat crimes like rape, murder lightly just because of young age, we are doing a disservice to the society.

To sum up, youth are the future of a country and adolescence requires enough light to see the steps directly in front. Imprisonment is not the light but a permanent darkness, which destroys all chances of re-entry into society. We have to treat juveniles differently but not for brutal and heinous crimes.

### Plan followed

---

### *Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: First reason for not sending them to prisons*

### *Para 2: Second reason*

### *Para 3: Third reason*

#### *Para 4: Exceptions*

#### *Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**144. Although nowadays people are reading news through Internet, newspapers still remain of value. Do you agree or disagree?**

Much has changed for the news industry since the rise of the Internet. However, I agree with the given statement that newspapers are still of great importance. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

The main advantage of the printed newspaper is that it does not require any sophisticated, cumbersome technical equipment to read. This offers the reader a high level of flexibility. The printed newspaper can basically be read in any place at any time. For instance, a person may read the paper while commuting to and from work. He may just have enough time to go through the headlines in the morning, and then he may go through the news, which interests him later on. Secondly, the reader can absorb the information offered at his own pace. What is more, the level of importance of each news story is made more obvious by use of different sized headline fonts.

Furthermore, local information such as local news, listing of movies and entertainment in the local area, news of local sporting events and other events in the local area are best assessed through the printed newspaper. Readers will always want to know about things closest to home, and no news organization is better equipped or staffed to supply this information than a newspaper. To add to it, the newspapers also have coupons and advertisements for stores having sales. Finally, in many parts of the world that day is still very far when each and every person will have a computer and an Internet connection.

Undoubtedly, the appetite for news from the Internet is growing, but it's just one part of a varied diet. The average person gets news from a variety of sources--some online, some from TV or radio and some from newspapers and magazines. It is irrefutable that the technical potential of the Internet greatly surpasses that of the printed newspapers in a number of ways. Through the Internet there is the possibility of regular updates, access to archives, rapid access to a large number of newspapers, and being paperless it is very eco-friendly. However, I still believe that the printed newspaper is the king of all news media.

Summing up, the online newspaper and the printed newspaper are not mutually exclusive. One can use both and many people do. Online news has not lead to any decline in the circulation of newspapers.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I agree*

*Para 1: main advantage of the printed newspaper Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: Advantages of the Internet but still newspaper is the king*

*Conclusion:*

**145. Many people believe that healthy eating and the importance of healthy food should be taught in schools. Others say that parents should teach their kids about healthy food and diet. Discuss both views, give your opinion and relevant examples.**

The importance of a healthy diet has grown ever since we have stepped into a sedentary lifestyle topped up with a mushroom growth of affordable fast-food outlets. Some people opine that parents should apprise children about a healthy diet, whereas others say that it should be on the teachers to teach students about healthy eating. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. I believe that in today's scenario, both teachers and parents have to share this task pro-actively.

It is easy to see why teaching children about healthy eating should be parents job. Parents are the people children look upon as role models. Children imitate their parents almost immediately, smiling when they smile, repeating what they say and imitating their mannerisms. Eating is no different, and the way parents talk about food, cook meals and eat are the most important influence of developing healthy eating habits on a child. As role models, parents need to make sure they're demonstrating a healthy attitude toward food so their children do, too. Researches have shown that children who ate with their families had healthier overall diets than those who did not. As a result, these kids also tended to have a lower prevalence of obesity too.

On the other hand, some people contend that teachers are in a better position than parents to act as positive role models for students, as far as healthy eating is concerned. Students watch what the teacher says and does very carefully. A teacher who makes healthy choices – including healthy eating and regular physical activity – can have a good influence on the health of students. Students spend only about 6-8 hours in a school every day, but that time is the most impressionable time. Moreover, some parents themselves are not much aware of healthy eating. Teachers can in fact teach them too through their children.

I believe, neither teachers nor parents can shrug their shoulders and say it is not their job to teach children about healthy eating. It has to be a combined effort to ward off the menace of childhood obesity. Obesity is the root of several other diseases, which can afflict children. If both parents and teachers accept this responsibility and strive to be good role models for children, the whole community would be benefited.

To sum up, talking about wholesome diet to children is very essential in today's times and both teachers must do it together to leave an imprint on the minds of children.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Parents role*

*Para 2: Teachers role*

*Para 3: My opinion*

*Conclusion:*

**146. More and more people are using the Internet to do their tasks rather than doing in person. (e.g. banking, shopping, hotel booking etc.). Do the advantage of this trend outweigh the disadvantage.**

It is impossible today to imagine the world without the Internet: it enables us to do things that only a few years ago would have been unthinkable, and reaches every facet of our lives. As a result, daily tasks and business transactions can be performed without meeting other people in person. This practice certainly has more positive effects on the individual and the society as a whole.

The availability and application of modern devices and tools of communications like Fax machines, telephone, interactive TV and computer have brought tremendous convenience and efficiency to both life and work. Thanks to telecommunications, undoubtedly, e-shopping and e-banking make life more convenient than ever before. People can stay home comfortably, looking on the Internet where a vast sea of information is available to find what they need. They Log on to the relevant websites and look for detailed information on the products and services that they are interested in. They read the descriptions, examine the pictures, and check the prices. Eventually, they make a decision and send an e-mail to order and pay for it by e-banking. All can be done at home, without scanning newspaper advertisements, reading reference print materials, going around downtown as they did before. As a result, they have more time to take part in social activities, pursue hobbies and interests and communicate with families, which are all indispensable parts of quality life.

The trend of doing business by telecommunications equally benefits the whole society because business can be done more efficiently and effectively at a much lower cost. An extra benefit is that more natural resources can be saved. Moreover, small businesses have almost the same opportunities to advertise and promote their products and services on line to compete with those large ones, which makes the whole society fairer.

On the downside, there is an increasing concern about the loss of direct human contact as a result of which there is impoverishment of people's emotional lives as well as the loss of social cohesion. Moreover, the privacy of the individual can suffer. So, proper steps have to be taken to protect the public against cybercrime and cyber terrorism.

To sum up, performing everyday tasks and business transactions by means of telecommunications benefits the individual and the society enormously. There is simply no going back.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Advantages are more*

*Para 1: Advantages*

*Para 2: Advantages*

*Para 3: disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

**147. Prison is the common way in most countries to solve the problem of crime. However, a more effective solution is to provide people a better education. Agree or disagree.**

There are many different opinions on the best way to reduce crime. The traditional solution is to punish the criminals by putting them in prison. Some hold the view that education and job training are the long term solutions to cut crime. In my opinion, prison is the only answer in a few situations, but in most cases education, vocational training and rehabilitation are better.

Prison is the only answer in case of criminals who are a risk to the society, such as murderers. They cannot be made to mix with society. Some people also say that people would not be afraid of doing crime if fear of imprisonment is not there. But I still feel that in majority of cases, we can do without prisons.

In traditional prisons, people learn a lot about crime and so when they leave prison they will commit even more crime. In other words prisons act as universities of crime. So petty offenders like shop-lifters and pick-pockets should be given some vocational training and education. It is a well known fact that the basic causes of crime are poverty, illiteracy and unemployment. So, if we provide education and job training then we would be removing the causes of crime. If criminals are rehabilitated by some form of employment then they would certainly not re-offend.

Furthermore, the prisons are expensive to maintain. The government can spend that money on other important matters such as education and healthcare. This would ease some burden from the government's shoulders. The petty and minor criminals can also be employed in some community service projects after providing education and vocational training.

Summing up, we should hate the crime and not the criminal. To fight crime we should focus on the causes of crime. Education and job training help to rehabilitate the criminals. So, people who commit less serious crimes should not be sent to prison. Focus should be on reforming them.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: partially agree*

*Para 1: Enumerate those crimes for which prison is the only answer - Society needs to be protected from them*

*Para 2: Why prison is not the answer -*

*Para 3: Maintaining prisons is a burden on the govt.*

*Conclusion:*

**148. In some cities, the government has tried to reduce traffic. For instance, they imposed a congestion tax during rush hours. Do you think this development is positive or negative?**

The transportation system of any nation is an indicator of its progress. With the increasing number of vehicles on the roads, the traffic problems have also increased. In certain cities, these traffic-related problems, like congestion, are addressed by implementing taxes and other traffic rules strictly. I think such taxes have more benefits than disadvantages.

The first major advantage of imposing traffic rules and taxes is that the more and more people are discouraged from using their private vehicles. It has been noticed in cities where the road taxes are high, most of the people prefer using the public transport, thus leading to less congestion on the roads. For example, in London, when the congestion tax was implemented, it reduced the traffic in the central parts of the city by around 15%. People start looking at other options of transport, like car pooling, walking short distances and using the public transport more often. The added advantage is the reduced pollution, which has also become the need of the hour.

Moreover, the government can use the money collected from such taxes and use them for improving the public transport infrastructure. Government can invest in building new transport systems, like the metros and the subways, building more freeways, widening the existing roads, etc. For instance, in New Delhi, many people now prefer to travel by Metros rather than their private vehicles because of the comforts and the quality. The government has constantly maintained and improved the quality of this system over the years and more and more routes have been added. This has been a major factor in reducing the use of private vehicles.

Some people argue that there are already very high charges for buying a private vehicle, starting from getting it registered, paying road taxes and then further maintenance, when needed. They feel that such rules are inequitable and are not the answer to the congestion issues. Yet, we pay for all the other resources, like water, electricity, etc. according to their use. So, I believe that even for traffic there should be use-based charges, as it is also a service.

To sum up, the pros of implementing more road and traffic related taxes are definitely more than the cons. These benefit both the individuals and the nation.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Pros more than cons*

*Para 1: Advantages of such taxes*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: The other view*

*Conclusion:*

**149. In some cities, there are few controls on the design, construction of homes, office buildings, and the owners can decide on the styles of their houses. Do the advantages on this outweigh its drawbacks?**

In some parts of the world, there are no regulations and rules to be followed for building design and construction. Although the supporters of this freedom have their arguments to justify it, I believe that there are more disadvantages of there being no laws and rules for building design than its merits.

To begin with, having no control on the design of offices and homes may lead to compromising the safety of the residents of the respective building. For example, if there are no fire safety regulations followed in a building, it may lead to destruction of property and loss of lives in case of a fire. Also, some regions are prone to natural calamities like earthquakes, floods, etc. In such regions, the buildings need to be designed keeping in mind these situations, so that the loss of life and property is minimized.

To add to it, the design of residential buildings, offices, educational facilities, hospitals etc. should be made keeping in mind the special needs of the disabled people. This can be regulated if there are rules made by the government, making it compulsory for all such buildings to have proper ramps, lifts etc. to cater to the needs of the old people and those with some disabilities or those who are handicapped.

Furthermore, if there is only a certain type of design allowed for buildings, it leads to a better-planned city. There would be no encroachments onto another person's property or on public property. Proper utilization of space can be done and also it gives a more aesthetic appeal to the city. For example, in Chandigarh there are limitations to the height of buildings and there are no random constructions allowed. Areas for constructing offices and other businesses have also been segregated. Proper approval is required before any new building is to be constructed. This has helped make the city more beautiful and appealing for the visitors.

Another very important reason for having design restrictions is that it may affect the neighbouring houses. Everyone has a right to solar access and proper ventilation. The new constructors may obstruct the light and air of the older houses, which may lead to bitterness among societies. For example, in Punjab, the PUDA (Punjab Urban Design Association) has made it mandatory to leave a certain amount of space in the front and back of each house. So, such rules are in the interest of everyone.

Some people argue that it restricts the creativity of the architects if they have to conform to the rules of the design. It hinders the creativity and stops them from creating beautiful and unique buildings. There are many famous buildings all over the world that are known because of their unique design. For instance, the Marina Bay Sands hotel in Singapore, the Opera house in Sydney, the Guggenheim museum, etc., which have become great tourist attractions because of the building design. They have given an identity to the cities they are in.

To summarize, it is true that there are a few benefits of giving people independence to design their homes and other buildings, but the drawbacks are much more. Normal residential areas should conform to certain rules and regulations. Unique buildings should need special approval, and should not be many in number. Normal residential areas should conform to certain rules and regulations.

Plan followed

*Intro: Disadvantages are more*

*Para 1 – 4 : Disadvantages*

*Para 5: Advantages*

*Conclusion:*

**150. Some people think that schools should teach pupils according to their academic abilities, while others believe that it is better to have pupils with different abilities study together. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.**

Children are put in a particular grade according to age in our education system. It is obvious that all children are not of the same intelligence level and sometimes it becomes difficult for educators to teach them in the same class. Therefore, some people feel that there should be separate teaching for intelligent students and weak students. However, others believe that it would be better to teach all children together. This essay shall look into both views before forming an opinion.

If we look at the benefits, then yes, intelligent students can be taught at their pace and the sessions can be made more interesting for them and the same thing applies to the weak students. Thus, both the categories of students would enjoy learning. Moreover, different teaching tactics can be adopted in each group to give students maximum benefits. In a mixed group, many times weak students cannot cope up with the pace of studies and so come under high pressure and get de-motivated. When they are separated, teachers can handle them tactfully and bring them back the lost confidence.

Furthermore, it has been seen that sometimes the more intelligent students show disruptive behaviour. They can grasp things very soon and then disturb the others. It can become very difficult for the teacher to maintain discipline in the class.

On the other hand, weak students would automatically develop an inferiority complex if they are made to realise that they are weak in studies by separating them. In addition to that, the other group might not treat them well, which can have a direct effect on their psyche. On the contrary, when the students study in a mixed group, a sense of competition develops and weak students are motivated to study. Even intelligent students can help weak students in studies and the bond can be strengthened. This way, even weak students would not feel belittled.

In my opinion, children should not be separated as only academic abilities are not enough to judge the intelligence of the students. I would rather suggest what I had in my school, and that is extra coaching to weak students. It serves the purpose best as teachers save their time and energy and yet maintain the effectiveness.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Benefits of teaching intelligent and weak students separately.*

*Para 2: More benefits*

*Para 3: Disadvantages of teaching separately and advantages of teaching together.*

*Conclusion: Children of all abilities should be taught together.*

**151. Human activities have negative effects on plant and animal species. Some people think it is too late to do anything about this problem. Others believe that effective measures can be taken to improve this situation. Discuss both views and give our opinion.**

Human activities, such as deforestation and urbanization, have narrowed the space for other species on the Earth or even threatened their existence. Some believe that the damage is irreversible and so we can do nothing, whereas others persist in carrying out effective measures to alleviate the current situation. This essay intends to examine both perspectives. However, I personally side with the latter view.

Throughout history, there are many examples, which support the pessimistic view. The South Andean toad became extinct in 1968, and the Sri Lanka legume tree died out completely in the 1980s. They even point out that even if we bring them back, there is no room for them to survive today. The dinosaurs are a good example in this context. If, by some miracle, we are able to bring them back, from where do we get the space for them to move about and proliferate? Finally, they claim that it is futile to save the weak species, unless these species themselves are able to continue their life.

On the other hand, we cannot leave things like that to go on, otherwise we are heading for doom. Firstly, overexploitation of natural resources should be reduced. Hunting and smuggling of wild animals should be banned. Overgrazing and over cultivation should be stopped so that we can have a sustainable development. Afforestation should be encouraged, which means planting trees where there were none before. Just imagine, in a country like India with a population of 120 crores, if we adopt the motto of 'each one plant one', then the results would be stupendous.

There are many examples of successful steps taken by the government and the NGOs to prove that if we try, we can achieve. For instance, the 'Royal Bengal Tiger', our national animal, was once on the verge of extinction, but with the efforts of our government and some NGOs we have succeeded in bringing up their numbers. People also donated a lot for the 'Save the tiger' project, which was advertised through the media. Many other steps, such as using pre-fabricated materials for doors and windows, has reduced the cutting of trees for wood.

To sum up, although human activities have done a lot of harm to the animal and plant species, it is still not late to make a beginning. Although we cannot reverse the damage, we can stop a lot of further damage, which is otherwise imminent.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: First perspective – why it is too late*

*Para 2: Second perspective – we can still do a lot*

*Para 3: Examples of what has been done*

*Conclusion: There is still time and it is never too late to begin.*

**152. More and more people are using computers and other electronic devices to access information. So, printing of books, magazines and newspapers should not be done. Do you agree or disagree with this?**

It is irrefutable that technology has become a part of many aspects of life, including the information available through e-books, e-magazines and online newspapers. I disagree with the view that there is no need to print books, magazines and newspapers because of these e-reading materials. There are many arguments that surround my opinion, which I shall discuss in the forthcoming paragraphs.

The first and foremost reason for continuing to print magazines and books is that there are still many people all over the world, who either do not have access to such technology or who are not comfortable with the use of computers and other such electronic devices. This populace depends on printed information, rather than on the electronic form of that information. For example, newspapers are still the most popular and the most affordable source of information among the masses in India. There are many parts of India where there is no access to technology. In such remote areas, books are still the best source of information.

In addition, all the information available on the Internet may not be reliable, as there are a lot of fake news and articles posted online. Everyone who has access to this resource can post anything online and sometimes the information posted is discrepant. So, at times it becomes impossible to rely solely on the Internet and we need to turn to the printed material. The printed material is always authenticated and published after careful consideration of facts and is reviewed several times. So, information that is printed is always more reliable and authentic than the information available online.

The opponents of this view say that there are more benefits of the electronic medium, as it leads to an ease of storage, it is more portable and is cheaper and more environmentally friendly than the printed form. There is no denying the fact that in this progressive era of technology, the popularity of printed material has been affected, however, it can never be completely done away with. The charm of holding a newspaper and the aroma of the printed books can never be replaced by reading something on the screen of a computer or a smart phone.

To summarize, it can be re-iterated that the electronic information can never completely eradicate the need and the use of printed material, like books and periodicals. They will still remain an integral part of our education system and for the news.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Importance of traditional reading materials*

*Para 2: More importance*

*Para 3: Opponent's views*

*Conclusion*

**153. Some people believe governments should spend money on building train and subway lines to reduce traffic congestion. Others think that building more and wider roads are the better way to reduce traffic congestion. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

It is indubitable that traffic congestion is a growing anxiety in many parts of the world. In order to mitigate this problem, some suggest that roads should be fabricated. Others, however, believe that public transportation, such as trains and subway lines should be constructed. Both of these methods will be analysed below. I, personally side with the latter view.

On the one hand, expanding the road network could naturally ease traffic jams. Obviously, more and more private vehicles would be accommodated and commute time would reduce. This strategy would also be good from the economic perspective also. The cost of road construction is much lower than that of subway lines. The money thus saved can be used for other key sectors, such as healthcare and education.

On the other hand, construction of mega rail systems is a more effective method to improve traffic condition. There are compelling evidences to support the investment in such public transportation. In countries where subway systems have been made up to standard, the number of private vehicles has come down. Trains can carry a much larger number of passengers than any other form of transport. Additionally, city dwellers can save time and also money on traveling expenses. For instance, in New Delhi, many people now prefer to travel by Metros rather than their private vehicles because of the comfort and the quality.

I personally believe that in places where the budget of the governments is constrained, a combination of both approaches is needed. For example, in India, the road networks are being expanded in almost all places, but subways lines are also being added slowly and steadily in many cities. In the foreseeable future, the expanded road networks are also going to prove inadequate, and so subway lines would remain the only solution.

To conclude, both methods discussed above can provide relief on our traffic congestion. However, it is my opinion that the method to build trains and subway makes more sense in the long run.

***Plan followed***

*Intro: Discuss essay*

*Para 1: Advantages of road network*

*Para 2: Advantages of subways and train network*

*Para 3: Own view*

*Conclusion:*

**154. In many countries, women are allowed to take maternity leave during the first month after the birth of the new born. Do you think the advantages of maternity leave outweigh the disadvantages?**

I definitely agree that the pros of maternity leave outweigh the cons. A number of arguments support my opinion.

First, maternity leave is very advantageous for the newborns. We all know that the future of the world rests largely in the hands of the generation we are rearing. Once a child is born, it becomes national property. Mothers are the front line childcare providers and therefore, if they are given maternity leave, they can do their job better. In most Indian homes the mother's salary is necessary to support the family. So, if the mother does not get a paid maternity leave, then she has to go back to job earlier and this affects the childcare. Nurseries fail to provide the one-to-one interaction children need.

Secondly, maternity leave is beneficial for the mother herself. It is an undeniable fact that a woman has to go through very stressful time physically, emotionally, and financially during pregnancy period. Therefore, if women are allowed maternity leave, they can look after their health.

Another important advantage of the maternity leave is that, the job is secure. Where maternity leave is not allowed, the woman has to leave her job the vacancy may get filled when it is time for her to get back to work. The fear of losing the job altogether makes many women apprehensive and so they get back to work at the cost of their health and their child's health.

There are a few disadvantages of the maternity leave. A long maternity leave may be an obstacle for career progression. Secondly, in cases of unpaid maternity leave, there may be financial strain on the households.

To sum up, I reiterate my opinion saying that the disadvantages of maternity leave are negligible as compared to the advantages. That is why some countries have taken a step forward and allowed maternity leave to women after child-birth.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Advantages to the child*

*Para 2: Advantages to woman herself*

*Para 3: Job is secure*

*Para 4: Disadvantage*

*Conclusion: I reiterate my opinion saying that the disadvantages of maternity leave are negligible as compared to the advantages*

**155. More and more children from wealthy countries are doing unpaid work in poor countries, such as teaching, building houses etcetera. Why are they doing this? Who gets more benefit, the community or the young people?**

In the last few years, volunteer tourism has become very popular among the youth from the developed countries. They travel to the developing and under-developed nations to contribute towards the development of the infrastructure or to educate and aware people. There are many reasons that have led to this tendency, and in my opinion, both the youth and the communities they are serving, benefit from it equally.

There are several factors that have led to the popularity of the volunteer work in the underprivileged sections of some nations. The main reason is the spread of awareness and information by the digital and other forms of media, which connects us to the events all over the world. There is news about natural calamities and other emergency situations from all over the world, which makes the youth, want to help the needy and lend a helping hand to the local authorities. Also, in most developed countries, it is a part of the education to sensitize the students towards the importance of sharing and helping others, as this helps them to become better citizens.

This development has benefits for both the youth volunteers and the people they are serving. For the youth, volunteer work adds to their work experience and gives them an opportunity to put to work the skills they have learned at school and college. These days even the employers look for employees who have a volunteer work experience. So, the youth can get better jobs if they have a good background of some social work. To add to it, they get more exposure because of the travel to other countries and coming in contact with people from different cultures and social strata. It broadens their horizons.

The communities these youth volunteers work in are definitely benefitted, as their standards of living are improved, with the development of the homes and other infrastructure. In times of calamities and other natural disasters, the volunteer workers help rebuild their homes and other facilities. The education provided by them helps them to gain knowledge and makes their future brighter. It improves their chances of getting a better job, which in turn improves their quality of life.

To conclude, it can be said that the volunteer work done by the youth is a positive trend, which is advantageous for them as well as the communities they work with.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Benefits to young volunteers*

*Para 3: Benefits to communities*

*Conclusion:*

**156. Towns and cities are attractive places. Some suggest the government should spend money putting in more works of art like paintings and statues to make them better to live in. Agree or disagree?**

It has long been a debatable issue if governments should spend on the beautification of towns and cities through works of art, like statues and paintings. I agree with the given statement and in the following essay I will put forth my views in support of my argument.

Art has always been an inseparable part of our lives as it helps us express ourselves and instills happy feelings in us. Putting up statues or sculptures in open areas, gives an opportunity to people to enjoy it and acts as a distraction from the monotony of everyday activities. Research has proved that appreciating art helps people relieve stress. In addition, during tough times, people need some form of art to release tension. It could be through music, dance, painting, etc. Having sculptures, statues or murals in public places, gives an access to people from all strata's of society to enjoy art and refresh their mind.

Moreover, installing statues in public places is not only a way to commemorate a famous historical figure, but also is an interesting way for children to learn about the history or the accomplishments of that person. India has a rich culture and history and in most of the towns and cities there are statues of famous persons or those depicting historical events. For example, in my hometown, there is a statue of Dr. B.R Ambedkar. Everyone who passes by that statue reads about him and children are fascinated by it and know all about Dr. Ambedkar.

Another added advantage is that statues become a tourist attraction and people like to visit places, which have a rich history, art and culture. This helps the local business and thus, helps make the economy of the place better. For example, the Rock Garden in Chandigarh has modern sculptures made of trash, which attracts a lot of tourists from all over the world.

No doubt, the government should focus more on other more important issues, like poverty, unemployment, illiteracy, etc. However, art should also not take a backseat and should be focused on to make the cities and the country more beautiful and attractive, for the residents and for those who visit.

In conclusion, I would reiterate that art cannot be separated from our lives and importance should be given to making a place more appealing, by installing works of art, like sculptures, statues and paintings in public places.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: More reasons*

*Para 4: Opponents view*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**157. Some people think the main purpose of schools is to turn the children into good citizens and workers, rather than to benefit them as individuals. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?**

I definitely agree with the statement that the primary aim of schools is to turn the pupils into good inhabitants and personnel rather than benefit them personally. I feel that schools have to fulfil both things but the primary aim is towards the welfare of the society, and the benefit to the individual automatically ensues.

Schooling can do a lot for shaping children. Firstly, school is a system with so many possibilities for a child to grow into what he or she actually is. For example, teachers are able to mould children by identifying their hidden strengths, and the same strength may later make the child what he actually is in this world for.

Secondly, since children spend a sizeable amount of their time with teachers and a community of boys and girls from different faiths, statuses and family values, therefore, there is great possibility for a child to undergo a transformation into a good human being. Teachers are a great force to influence children.

Of course, learning academic subjects is the main aim for what students go to schools. Definitely, the job market requires professional knowledge the most. But it is also true that if students become good citizens and workers, they are themselves equally benefited too.

To conclude, raising children into real human beings involves several factors. Among them, the prominent one is good schooling. Schools' main function is to make students good and responsible workers and the personal benefit to students also takes place simultaneously.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree.*

*Para 1: Teachers can bring out the hidden strengths of the child by which he can become a good citizen*

*Para 2: As children spend quality time with teachers and other children they learn to become good citizens because of that influence.*

*Para 3: Schools give academic education, which helps them to get good jobs and hence they become good citizens and workers.*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion.*

**158. In recent years the family has changed as well as family roles. Why is this happening and is this situation positive or negative?**

Change is the law of nature and with the changing times the family's inner construction, along with the positions of its members, has also changed. This essay intends to analyse the reasons of this trend. I believe that this situation has advantages as well as disadvantages.

The main factor contributing to this trend is the social change brought about by globalisation. The exposure to foreign cultures has changed people's mindset, and has also redefined the role of women in the family. Women are no longer limited to household chores, and are working shoulder to shoulder with men. The reins of the households are no longer in the hands of the man, the bread-earner, of the family.

The second major reason for this change in the fabric of the family is the economic condition. Since it is challenging to maintain a family with many members and to make ends meet, it is more feasible to keep the size of the family small. Thus, according to a recent study, the nuclear family has risen in popularity in the last one or two decades.

This modification in the family structure and family roles has brought about many benefits. Firstly, it has led to an egalitarian society, where gender differences are disappearing, and women can also fulfill their ambitions. The society has also benefited from a greater labour force.

On the other hand, the bonds between family members are being weakened. Since most parents have to spend time working, their children are left unattended or sent to childcare centers or boarding schools. The shrinkage in family size also results in more elders being sent to old-age home instead of staying with their children and grandchildren.

To sum up, due to the changes in culture and economy, the family structure and responsibilities among family members have been modified. While this trend positively contributes to gender equality and strengthen labour force, it also has many adverse effects on family relationships.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Reasons*

*Para 3: Advantages*

*Para 4: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

**159. In the modern world, it is no longer necessary to use animals for food or use animal products, for instance, clothing and medicines. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Trying to live without exploiting animals for food, clothing or medicine can certainly be done nowadays, and people in the modern world seem to agree that it is not 100 percent justified to eat meat, clothe in leather, or use animals as medical research subjects. Therefore, I agree with the given statement that we can do without animal products.

To begin with, a vegetarian diet has proven to be a healthy choice. The earlier beliefs that vegetarian diet is deficient in proteins and other micronutrients, such as vitamins and proteins, have been challenged successfully. On top of that, a vegetarian diet, which is rich in fiber, prevents cancer of the colon, which is the most prevalent cancers in the West, where people mostly eat non-vegetarian food. Even the vegans, the very strict vegetarians who don't even use animal products such as milk and eggs, have not been seen to be suffering from any malnutrition. So we can safely spare the animals from becoming our source of such nutrition.

Talking about clothes, today pure leather shoes and garments can be easily replaced by faux leather, which is just like pure leather and only a true connoisseur can tell the difference. Such products have the added advantage of being easier on the pocket, as their initial cost is also less and the maintenance cost is also lesser than that of pure leather products. So, even for clothing, animals can be left alone.

It is true that animals have been used for experimenting new drugs and are also the source of many medicines, but for that too today we have computer-simulated experiments, which give better results than those done on animals. Therefore, animals are not needed anymore in this field also. It is beyond argument that for this Earth to go the distance we must respect nature and its elements. We mustn't abuse or exploit the animal kingdom because preserving those results in long term and ultimate good for mankind.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that, it is definitely possible to lead a good life without depending on animal products, and so we have no right to exploit them.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: no need to use them for food*

*Para 2: no need to use them for clothes*

*Para 3: no need to use them for medicines*

*Conclusion:*

Some people think health care should be free for everyone. Others think they should pay for their medical costs themselves. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.

**160. Nowadays, health care has become the focus of the people's concern. It is a highly debated issue as to who should pay for this service. There are those who argue that the government should pay for it while others think individuals should shoulder the costs. Personally, I think that basic health care should be on the government but advanced health care should be borne by the individual.**

It is irrefutable that it is the government's responsibility to make basic health care accessible to everyone. The reason is that a nation's prosperity very much depends on the contribution made by its citizens who are in good health. After all we all pay taxes and so we are entitled to get something back in return. Private hospitals can be available for those who want and can afford it but the free government hospitals should always be there.

On the other hand, individuals should be responsible for their advanced health care. Actually, the advanced medical and surgical treatments are very expensive. So, instead of depending on government we should take some health insurance or save in any other way with the tomorrow in mind. If the government pays for the advanced health care, then it would be a big burden on its shoulders and many other essential areas, such as primary education would be ignored.

There are, of course, some sections of society who cannot afford their own healthcare. The government should have some system of knowing their financial status and provide free healthcare so that nobody dies for want of treatment.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that basic education and primary education should be borne by the government, but advanced health care should be paid by the individual from his pocket.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I think that basic health care should be on the government but advanced health care should be borne by the individual.*

*Para 1: Why govt. should spend on basic healthcare*

*Para 2: Why individuals should themselves pay for advanced healthcare*

*Para 3: How government can help the poorer sections of society for advanced healthcare*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**161. Nowadays, people live in the society where consumer goods are cheaper to buy. Do you think its advantages outweigh disadvantages?**

Globalization has ushered in an era of consumerism and we are flooded with choices. Certainly, this phenomenon has both pros and cons. However, I believe that the disadvantages far outweigh the advantages. I shall present arguments to support my views in the following paragraphs.

On the positive side, cheap consumer goods means they can be afforded by the majority and this in turn means mass production, which is something good for the employment sector. This is definitely beneficial for the overall economy of the country. Furthermore, it reduces the gap between the rich and the poor to some extent because everyone can afford the things. For example, the market is flooded with cheap mobile phones from China, which can be seen in the hands of every Tom, Dick and Harry.

On the downside, cheap consumer goods means there is a compromise with the quality and more often than not, we bring home things, which become useless after one or two uses. This promotes a use-and-throw culture because the repair is sometimes costlier than the actual cost of the product. These products also do not carry any guarantee with them. So, in the long run the consumer suffers.

Another big disadvantage of cheap consumer goods is to the environment. There is a lot of rubbish generated because of excessive consumerism. Unnecessary packaging is also done to make these cheap goods appealing to the eye. Often, this rubbish is not decomposed and ends up in rivers and waterways. This is definitely detrimental to the environment.

To conclude, cheap consumer goods do have their advantages but these advantages come at a heavy price to the consumer and the environment.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I believe that the disadvantages far outweigh the advantages*

*Para 1: Advantages*

*Para 2: Disadvantages*

*Para 3: Disadvantage to environment*

*Conclusion:*

**162. Many countries construct modern buildings to give good view of towns and cities. Some people say that countries make new buildings with traditional style to preserve their culture as part of their identity. Do you agree or disagree.**

Architecture plays an astronomical role in our lives. Modern buildings have their own aesthetic appeal, because of which planners are constructing such buildings. Although traditional buildings give an identity to a place and preserve the culture, I disagree that modern buildings should be built in traditional styles. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

Firstly, in most large cities, land is scarce and consequently it is very valuable. This has led to the construction of tall buildings, which occupy only a small area of land while providing lots of floor space where people can live or work. We also have to meet the needs of the growing population for which tall buildings are the answer. Moreover, there would be no need for deforestation to provide more land.

Secondly, modern materials are more practical. Now we use concrete and steel instead of stone, timber or brick. Because of these things buildings can be built comparatively quickly using prefabricated materials. They do not use local materials, such as stone, timber or brick, which used to give character to those buildings. Finally, changes are taking place in climate and energy sources are depleting fast. So we need energy efficient houses. Modern buildings use double glass front walls and POP (Plaster of Paris) ceilings, which lessen the energy requirements. Moreover, now we need smaller houses as family structure is changing.

However, I believe that every city should preserve the already existing historic buildings, which give character and identity to the city. The various forts and palaces in Rajasthan, India have been preserved and are being used to attract tourism. I agree with this kind of initiative taken by the government.

To conclude, it is the need of the day that modern buildings should be built in today's contemporary styles. However, to give identity to a place one or two historic buildings may be there in every city.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Land is scarce – population growing – tall modern buildings are the answer*

*Para 2: Secondly, modern materials are more practical Finally, changes are taking place in climate and energy sources are depleting fast.*

*Para 3: importance of traditional buildings which are already there*

*Conclusion:*

**163. Today, many children spend a lot of time playing computer games and less time on sports. Why? Is it a positive or a negative development?**

Today's children are different from the children of previous generations. They are more interested in playing video games or sitting hours on the play stations than in playing outdoor games. This essay intends to analyze the reasons of this phenomenon. I believe that this is a negative development.

The main reason why children spend more time on electronic games is that today's children are technology oriented. No matter how young the child is he knows everything about the features of computer and mobile phones. To add to it, parents also prefer their children playing indoor games under the air-conditioner, instead of going out and getting dirty.

There are definite advantages of playing video games in moderation. But the problem is that these games are addicting and when children play these games for hours at a stretch, at the cost of outdoor activities, the negative effects overshadow the positive ones. The major disadvantage is that these games are isolating, as these are usually played alone. In contrast outdoor games teach children to be socialize and make friends.

Another disadvantage of playing less outdoor games is that the children of today are suffering from obesity, which in turn leads to many other problems. Children today, lack in the strength and stamina, which develops by outdoor play. Furthermore researchers have found a new disorder, known as 'Nature Deficit Disorder', which is seen in children who play indoors. They found out that children who were in contact with nature were less stressed out with life. So basically when kids don't play outside in the natural world, they miss the great benefits that nature provides.

To sum up, there are many reasons why children of the modern world play more video games, and it is indubitably a negative development.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Disadvantages*

*Para 3: More disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

**164. Experts say if the old people spend time and get along with others and exercise every day will be healthier and happier. However, many elderly are suffering from loneliness and lack of fitness. Discuss the causes and solutions?**

It is indubitable that we are heading towards a graying society. The life spans have increased, but along with it the problems of elderly related to health and social isolation have also seen a surge. This essay intends to analyse the reasons of this phenomenon and also suggest ways to mitigate the problem.

The main reason of social isolation of the elderly is that they find it difficult to let go. The elderly have held the reins of the household for a long time, and they want to keep that authoritative power. But, their children and grandchildren don't want to be held back all the time because of their orthodox customs. So, they start ignoring them, which leads them to be secluded. If they spend time with youngsters, be flexible and adopt the newer ways of life, they would be more accepted in society.

Secondly, the health of the aging population is a major concern. Our body is just a machine and just like any machine needs lubrication from time to time to function well, the body machine also needs that oil. Exercise acts as the lubricant, which can keep the problems of the elderly away. The common problems faced by the elderly are hypertension, arthritis and diabetes. At a young age, this exercise is not much needed, but as the body machine grows older, it needs daily exercise. The elderly who do not exercise daily, suffer from these problems, which are further worsened because of the financial constraints of the elderly, who are no longer working and earning.

Simple steps can help to ameliorate the problem. One thing that we have to accept gracefully is that we cannot run away from the problems of the elderly. We have to face them, and that too on a war footing, otherwise the situation is going to worsen and would be a big burden on the government. The elderly themselves have to plan for their retired life well in advance. They should try to catch up with the latest happenings and learn the use of latest technology, which can help them to be better accepted by the young people. Daily exercise should be a mandatory part of their day. The government should set up highly subsidized gyms for the elderly, along with free computer classes.

To conclude, the problems of the elderly, such as loneliness and failing health, can be alleviated to a large extent by the elderly themselves, but some steps can also be taken by the government.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: 1<sup>st</sup> problem and solution*

*Para 2: 2<sup>nd</sup> problem and solution*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion*

**165. Individuals and countries cannot help everyone who needs help in the world, so they should only be concerned about their own communities and countries. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Some people opine that we cannot help everyone in the world and it would be better if we help the needy in our own communities and country. I disagree wholly with the first part of the statement that individuals and countries cannot help everyone who needs help in the world. I believe that in today's era of globalization there are many national and international organisations through which we can extend a helping hand to anyone in any corner of the world. However, I do agree that priority should be given to helping the impoverished in ones vicinity.

It is unquestionably wrong to say that it is not possible to help anyone in the world, in today's era. The world has shrunk and everyone is at an arm's length. Monetary help can be transferred to any nook or corner within seconds. Help in kind is also possible by faster means of travel. Those who cannot help in cash or kind can volunteer with their services. For example, when Nepal suffered an earthquake, help poured in from all corners of the world. Similar was the case when tsunami hit Japan.

If one's own country is developing or under-developed and the people around need help then priority should be given to help those around you first. There are many advantages of direct help. Firstly, a person remains directly in touch with the needy. You can see how your money is being used. There are no mediators and so there are no chances of corruption. It has been well said that charity begins at home. Naturally, if you help those around you, the people in your locality love and respect you and you enjoy a better status in life.

If one belongs to a prosperous country where even the poorest of the poor has all the basic amenities of life then it would be commendable to help through national and international organisations. You can work on a larger platform and provide help for global issues. A larger platform is a must if you have a lot to offer in charity. Help activities can be better spread through a larger network. You feel part of a global village. Help need not be always in the form of money. It can be in the form of services also. For example, if you are a doctor, you can provide medical aid and if you are a teacher, you can volunteer to teach in the under-developed nations.

Summing up, it is human nature to help those who need it. If your own country's people need help then they should be helped first. Otherwise it is good to help people in other parts of the world.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree.*

*Para 1: Why it is wrong to say that we cannot help in any corner of the world.*

*Para 2: if own country needs help, then priority should be given to own country.*

*Para 2: Why we should help the needy in any corner of the world*

*Conclusion:*

### 166. Nowadays the football supporters behave violently. What is the cause? How can we solve it?

Football hooliganism is not a new phenomenon. It dates back to 14<sup>th</sup> century England, when this sport was banned by the king of that time. In the modern form of football such violence has also been reported many times. This essay intends to analyse the causes of this phenomenon, and suggest ways to mitigate the problem.

The main reason of violence by football supporters is that football is a competitive, physical sport, which is played by and attracts an audience, which is youthful and aggressive. The supporters of the teams form gangs, and these gangs want to assert themselves over their rivals. They have strong emotional ties with their teams. The Heysel stadium disaster is an example of such hooliganism, in which Liverpool supporters and Juventus supporters had a fight, because of which a wall collapsed and there were 39 casualties.

Secondly, the influence of alcohol is there on football violence. There were clear evidences that most of those involved in football hooliganism were drunk. Finally, the media is also considered a culprit in contributing to football violence. Studies have suggested that the language of war and combat employed by the media in covering football, incited the young supporters to indulge in violence. For instance, the Daily Mirror's headlines "Achtung! Surrender" before the match between England and Germany in 1996, was very provocative for the young supporters.

Many solutions have been proposed and tried, which has resulted in a significant decrease in football hooliganism. Such measures should be implemented more stringently to completely eradicate the menace of football violence. First of all sophisticated policing measures should be employed. Spotting and barring the hooligans to attend further matches would be very helpful. Alcohol consumption during the matches should be banned. Finally, the media should be wise enough to play its role in such a way that it does not give air to hooliganism.

To sum up, football violence is a problem, but many effective steps can be taken to address the issue and prevent further mishaps.

#### Plan followed

*Intro:*

*Para 1: reason*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion*

**167. Some people think that to be successful, you need to get in a university education, whereas others say it is not true. Discuss both ideas and give your own opinion.**

Success is a very subjective term. It is very difficult to define a successful life. All too often we equate a successful life with material possessions. Yet, there are millions of people out there who lead successful, fulfilled lives and are not financially sound. They may not be rich in the financial sense, but they are rich in life and values. Therefore, I partially agree with the statement that university education is not necessary for success in today's time.

Undoubtedly, a university education is essential if you want to have a career in a profession such as law, engineering, teaching, or medicine. What is more, it opens more doors than you would have if you didn't get one. A university education is a great platform in learning more and gaining valuable knowledge in the field we are passionate about. Also, the university is a great place to network for friends and business associates or partners. So, definitely, in this respect, university education can help you get success.

However, there are other types of people who make it big without going to college. For instance, there are examples of people who started their own business and took risks to pursue a dream. And, for them, it was a very profitable decision. Take for example, Michael Dell, the founder and CEO of Dell who dropped out of college at 19. He first started his computer company in his college dorm room, later using company's earnings and family loans to expand. Henry Ford never graduated high school, but went on to start one of the largest automobile manufacturing companies in the world, Ford Motor Company.

Furthermore, in today's scenario, people with some talent, for example, in the entertainment or sports field can achieve name and fame very soon. The reality shows have made it possible for the girl or boy next door with some talent to achieve success overnight. Finally, a university education does not generally enable you to achieve successful relationships with family and friends.

To conclude, it can be said that the attributes needed to become successful in today's world do not necessarily depend on a university degree. However, in some situations such as professional courses, it is needed to get success.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Partially agree*

*Para 1: How university education can get success*

*Para 2: Examples of people who got success without college.*

*Para 3: success through some talent*

*Conclusion:*

**168. Multi-cultural societies, in which there is a mixture of different ethnic peoples, bring more benefits than drawbacks to a country. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I definitely agree with the statement that cosmopolitan societies are better for the overall progress of a country. That is perhaps why nations such as the U.S.A., Canada and Australia, which are primarily multi-cultural societies, enjoy great prosperity, stability and harmony. In the following paragraphs I shall provide arguments to support my views.

Apparently, a multi-cultural society can bring a wide variety of benefits to a nation in terms of economic, cultural and social development. To begin with, the majority of immigrants from different cultural backgrounds are high achievers, including experts, scholars, engineers, business people and other well-trained people. Their arrival means an inflow of expertise, experience, investments as well as a dynamic labour force, which are all key factors to the increase of competitiveness, efficiency and productivity of a nation's economy.

What is more, the new arrivals know very well the importance of respecting the local traditions and customs. Therefore, they co-operate and collaborate well with the natives. They work very harmoniously side by side in offices, factories and schools. In addition, with a rich blend of peoples, cultures and lifestyles, people in multi-cultural societies tend to be more open-minded and tolerant of other people's customs and religions.

However, multi-societies also have some problems. Sometimes, people from overseas will try to maintain their unique ethnic cultures with their own distinctive characteristics. These differences may seem trivial, but they can cause some conflicts that make it difficult for immigrants to become assimilated into the mainstream of the local social life. But multi-cultural societies are usually based on equality and diversity, so these problems can eventually be dealt with successfully.

To sum up, after what I have discussed, the advantages of multi-cultural societies far outweigh the disadvantages. That is the reason so many countries make great efforts to attract more immigrants from abroad.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: agree*

*Para 1: Advantages of multicultural societies*

*Para 2: More advantages*

*Para 3: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**169. In the future, it seems it would be more difficult to live on Earth. Some people think more money should be spent researching on other planet to live such as Mars. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

It is irrefutable that if the present trends continue, it will become increasingly difficult to sustain life on Earth. I agree that it is vital to tackle this problem, but I disagree that we should waste our resources in finding a new planet to live. I firmly believe that other, more practical, solutions should be researched upon.

To begin with, there is no doubt that life is not as easy as it was in the past. The main problem is the growing level of environmental pollution, which has affected life adversely in all parts of the world. The rising consumption of natural resources such as gas, oil and coal has resulted in an enormous amount of carbon emissions being released into the atmosphere, which has spoiled the air quality and hastened climate change. If things go on like this the future scenario is apparently going to be bleak.

However, spending money on finding another home in space for all people on Earth would not be an effective measure. First, there is little hope of seeking a planet that has favourable conditions for life. In addition, the cost of researching such a planet would be exorbitant. At a time when millions of people are suffering from poverty, homelessness and illiteracy, it would be worthwhile to spend on the present problems and leave the future in the bucket list.

I believe that instead of spending on research on space, a much better solution would be to invest in environmental projects and encourage people to lead a more environmentally friendly lifestyle. For example, green energy should be harnessed and made more available to replace our dependence on fossil fuels. People should also opt for public transport rather than driving their vehicles. Such actions can cut exhaust emissions and slow down the effects of global warming, making the earth a more desirable place for us all.

To conclude, I reiterate that although global warming is making Earth uninhabitable, researching outer planets for scope of life is not the plausible solution. It would be more worthwhile to spend those resources on improving life on Earth.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Why life on Earth is worsening*

*Para 2: Why spending money on other planets is not the right solution*

*Para 3: What can be done instead*

*Conclusion:*

**170. There are more new towns nowadays. It is more important to include public parks and sports facilities than shopping centres for individuals to spend their free time. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Building healthy, functional, and productive cities is perhaps the greatest challenge facing humanity today. A key part of these cities is the public spaces. I disagree with the given statement, which says that parks and sports facilities are better than shopping centres as public spaces. I firmly believe that shopping centres are as important as parks and sports facilities, so when designing new towns, all these public spaces should be given equal importance.

To begin with, public parks if developed as “places” and planned around major public destinations, build social connection and human happiness — both precious commodities in an increasingly congested urban landscape. A great urban park is a safety valve for the city, where people living in high density can find breathing room. Public parks are places where all people feel safe to play and relax can relieve stress. Another benefit is that crime rates and gang activity go down when more people are out on the street and know their neighbors. These places also have substantial environmental benefits. Trees reduce air and water pollution and thus they help to keep cities cooler.

Furthermore, sports facilities need to be designed and constructed so as to be functional as well as attractive in a way that encourages people to do sports and boost their feeling of wellbeing. Modern lifestyle is very sedentary, and such public spaces such as stadia and playgrounds would definitely promote active living and provide important physical, psychological and social health benefits for individuals and the community.

Visiting a shopping centre is one of most desirable activities of people everywhere in the world. Today, it is observed that shopping centers are used as public spaces by many urban inhabitants. Shopping centers have become places where social life is experienced and leisure time is spent through a wide range of activities offered besides shopping. For example, some shopping malls have skating rinks, where parents and children can spend good time together and have fun. The elderly come there for sitting and relaxing on the sofas and couches placed at regular intervals.

To sum up, public parks, sports facilities and shopping centres are all equally important public spaces. They are different, but have a common role of improving the life of urban residents in their own specific way.

Plan followed

Intro: Disagree

Para 1: Importance of parks

Para 2: Importance of sporting facilities

Para 3: Importance of shopping complexes

Conclusion:

**171. Some people say that no one should do the same job forever, while others believe that doing the same job is beneficial for the individual, company and society. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

Job-hopping is a relatively new trend. A few decades ago, it was a term highly frowned upon. It was a norm to stick to one job for life, grab a pension and retire. This essay intends to analyse the merits and demerits of both approaches.

To begin with, there are some strong benefits that can come from a career change. One of the major benefits of changing careers is that the person can get a ton of experience and a fresh look on things. The employers and the company also benefit because such employees can overcome challenges sooner and better. Secondly, the person who has worked in many places, develops a huge network, which is an asset for employees and employers alike. Finally, by working at various organisations, a person gets opportunities to figure out which type of employer and company culture suits him/her the best. Then that person can finally stick to that job for the rest of his/her work life.

On the other hand, there are many reasons why some people like sticking to one job. Firstly, the chances of promotion are much more because of longevity of service. Furthermore, they find greater depth of satisfaction from their working relationships. There is also much better work-life balance if a person's job is stable and secure. Employers are also hesitant to hire a person who has a history of many job hops. They don't want to waste resources on training such employees. To add to it, in times of recession, the last hired is first fired. So, sticking to one job is advantageous for the employers and employees both.

In my opinion, very frequent job-hopping is certainly not good. Job-hopping is acceptable if done for the right reasons, such as learning new work patterns. If it is done for money alone, it may prove to be detrimental in the long run. Job hoppers are usually not liked by employers because they see them as directionless and disloyal.

To sum up, an occasional job change is understandable, but hopping from one job to the other every year or two is surely not good for the employees and employers both.

Plan followed

Intro: Discuss essay

Para 1: Advantages of job hopping

Para 2: Advantages of sticking to one job

Para 3: Own view

Conclusion:

**172. Some businesses find that their new employees lack in basic interpersonal skills such as lack of ability to work with colleagues as a team. What are the causes and suggest possible solutions. Also provide relevant examples from your experience.**

While recruiting new employees, businesses generally look for the best in terms of qualifications but when these new workers join the workforce, they sometimes cannot get along well with their colleagues. This essay shall delve into the causes of this phenomenon and suggest some ways forward.

The main reason for this is that when it comes to recruiting strategies, hiring decisions often focus largely on candidates' technical skills and expertise, with relatively little attention to soft skills. This leads to interpersonal conflicts and frustration and sometimes such employees have to leave the job. Secondly, while major organisations train their employees, most firms do not spare the time and effort to do so. Moreover, some senior workers have ego problems and make it difficult for the new employees to settle down in the new work atmosphere.

The solutions are not all that difficult. While hiring, employers should give importance to these traits. Ignoring a person's experiences and skills is not good. Personal skills and life experiences are also as important as good qualifications while recruiting new employees. There are many personal traits such as creativity, adaptability, honesty, integrity, and effort, which are also important. A university degree does not signal whether a person has those traits. A degree does not indicate in any way how a person will function in the real world. Secondly, proper time should be given to orientation and hands-on training by the organisations.

There are several methods for assessing job candidates' personal skills. An interview is one good method. Other ways are group discussions or luncheons that require candidates to display social skills. Social skills can also be assessed using standardized questionnaires such as personality tests. Many of these measures are relatively straightforward to use, fairly inexpensive and can be highly valid.

Summing up, it is irrefutable that many new employees face the problem of lack of interpersonal skills. Nonetheless, this can be handled successfully by elaborate interviews and training after recruiting.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: This essay shall delve into the causes of this phenomenon and suggest some ways forward*

*Para 1: Reasons for this phenomenon*

*Para 2: solutions*

*Para 3: methods for assessing job candidates' personal skills*

*Conclusion:*

**173. It is more important for a building to serve a purpose than to look beautiful. Architects shouldn't worry about producing building as a work of art. Do you agree or disagree?**

It is true that buildings should be more utilitarian than beautiful. However, I disagree that architects should not make efforts about making artistic buildings. I believe that today's architects have the expertise and the resources to design buildings which are both – beautiful and useful.

A good building should satisfy the three principles of durability, utility and beauty. It should stand up robustly and remain in good condition. It should be useful and function well for the people using it. It should delight people and raise their spirits. A good architect should strive to fulfil each of these three attributes as well as possible.

To begin with, the burgeoning population and the scarcity of land today have raised the debate whether the buildings of today should only be useful and not beautiful. However, the skyscrapers of today are the answers to both these problems. They accommodate a lot of people in the least amount of space and these skyscrapers are architectural marvels in terms of beauty.

Secondly, the natural resources are limited and it is the need of the hour to make energy efficient buildings. For example, using solar panels and other energy saving measures are the need of the day. Today's architects have been successful in designing aesthetically appealing solar panels which need less space. The climate changes that are taking place because of global warming need buildings that need less air conditioning and yet remain cool.

To summarise, it is commendable for architects to understand function, and aesthetics both. It is a big responsibility to have buildings which are both useful and beautiful and architects of today have the capability to do so.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Disagree.*

*Para 1: What should a good building have*

*Para 2: The modern skyscrapers are both – beautiful and utilitarian*

*Para 3: Need of the hour is to make energy efficient buildings –*

*Conclusion: It is a big responsibility to have buildings, which are both useful and beautiful and architects of today have the capability to do so*

**174. Certain people believe that only way to reduce crime is sentencing criminals for longer time periods while others believe alternative methods should be used to lower crimes. Discuss both views and your opinion.**

There are many different opinions on the best way to reduce crime. In my opinion, long term prison is the only answer in a very few situations, but in most cases education, vocational training and rehabilitation are better.

Long terms in prison are the only answer in case of criminals who are a risk to the society, such as murderers. They cannot be made to mix with society. There are also mentally insane people such as serial killers who should be kept away from the people. In all other cases we can do without long terms in prisons.

My first argument against longer terms in prisons is that in traditional prisons, people learn a lot about crime and so when they leave prison they will commit even more crime. In other words prisons act as universities of crime. So for petty offenders like shop-lifters and pick-pockets should be given some vocational training and education. It is a well known fact that the basic causes of crime are poverty, illiteracy and unemployment. So, if we provide education and job training then we would be removing the causes of crime. If criminals are rehabilitated by some form of employment, then they would certainly not re-offend.

Furthermore, the prisons are expensive to maintain. The government can spend that money on other important matters such as education and healthcare. This would ease some burden from the government's shoulders. The petty and minor criminals can also be employed in some community service projects after providing education and vocational training.

Summing up, we should hate the crime and not the criminal. To fight crime we should focus on the causes of crime. Education and job training help to rehabilitate the criminals. Longer terms in prison are not the answer to fight crime. Focus should be on reforming the criminals.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Enumerate those crimes for which long term prison is the only answer*

*Para 2: Why prison is not the answer -*

*Para 3: Maintaining prisons is a burden on the govt.*

*Conclusion:*

**175. In some countries, university students live away from home and in another city while studying. Do you think the disadvantages outweigh the advantages of living in another city?**

It is a highly debated issue whether it is better for university students to live far away from home than to live at home with their parents. There are pros and cons of both approaches. It is necessary to look at both sides of the argument before forming an opinion.

There are definite benefits of staying at home. To begin with it is much more economical to stay at home than to stay near the university. If you choose to stay at the university then you either stay at the university dormitory or rent your own apartment. Both university options are more expensive as compared to home. Then you have to do your own cooking and cleaning which is not the case if you stay at home where your mother looks after all these things. You do spend some time commuting to and from the university but then you save your time on cooking and cleaning. The disadvantage of staying at home is that you may be disturbed by siblings and you have to help in household chores.

There are many advantages of staying near the university. University education is a time for you to mix with people of different backgrounds and cultures. This cultural exchange usually occurs after class hours. If you have to return home then you miss out on this golden opportunity. Secondly, there are good study facilities such as library, computer lab etc. if you are on or near the campus. You also get to experience some independence. The downside is that it is expensive and to cut the cost you may have to share your apartment with someone you don't like.

In my opinion, it is definitely worthwhile to live at the university than with your parents even if you have to shell out some extra money for that because it is a golden opportunity to interact with people of different parts of the world and you get to enjoy the benefits of facilities like the library and sports stadiums and gyms.

To put it in a nutshell, it can be said that there are benefits and drawbacks of both approaches and the decision is purely subjective. However, in my opinion staying near the university is better.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: It is necessary to look at both sides of the argument*

*Para1: advantages of staying at home*

*Para 2: disadvantages of staying at home*

*Para 3: Own view*

*Conclusion: decision is purely subjective. Staying at university is better*

**176. People's shopping habits depend more on the age group they belong to than other factors. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

I disagree with the given statement, which says that age of a person is the main determinant of consumer behaviour. I believe that apart from age, there are numerous other factors, which have equal impact on how and why people buy things.

Undoubtedly, age is an important factor affecting people's shopping. A consumer does not buy the same products or services at 20 or at 50 years. His lifestyle, activities, hobbies and habits evolve throughout his life. Accordingly, his shopping needs also change. For example, during his life, a consumer could change his diet from unhealthy products, such as fast foods to a healthier diet to avoid health problems. His clothing preferences also would change with age. Therefore, age does affect the shopping habits.

Another factor, which is as important as age, is the gender. In general, men have a different attitude about shopping than women do. In fact, women are responsible for two-thirds of all household product purchases, whereas men buy about three-quarters of all alcoholic beverages. Their personal needs are also different. The clothes, toiletries, accessories etc. all requirements are different.

Furthermore, the socioeconomic status of the person also determines buying choices. The upper strata of society, is very brand conscious. The middle and lower income groups have to see their pocket. Brands are like sour grapes for them. Peer pressure is another important element, which controls purchasing choices. If one friend has an I-phone, the other also buys the same. Advertisements, which keep bombarding people with info about any product, also affects consumerism.

Finally, there are individual differences. Two consumers can be similar in age, personality, gender, and so on but still purchase very different products. On top of that biological and chronological age are very different. A 60 year old may be young at heart and buy clothes, which a 20 year old may find too flamboyant.

To conclude, it can be reiterated that shopping habits depend on age, gender, financial status, family, friends and many other factors equally. To label any one of these factors as the most important would be wrong.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: influence of age*

*Para 2: Gender*

*Para 3: Other factors*

*Para 4: Individual differences*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**177. Money offered for postgraduate research is limited; as a consequence, some people argue that financial support from the government should only be provided for scientific research rather than research for less useful subjects. Do you agree or disagree?**

With limited public funding, many countries tend to give priorities to research for "hard sciences" rather than "soft sciences." Research on both can benefit the society as a whole in different ways. Therefore, I disagree with the given statement that government aid should only be for scientific research.

Government funding for postgraduate research is limited, so clearly decisions need to be made with regard to the research that receives priority. Our modern global system relies a lot on science and technology. So I think that this is where the majority of funding should go. Countries that are in the lead as far as scientific development is concerned are the ones most likely to be successful. If we rank countries by their level of scientific development and then by their economic success, the two lists will look very similar. Scientific developments will most probably result in work opportunities, including chances for those without science backgrounds.

The role of science and technology in promoting the development of a country is widely acknowledged. One prominent example is the prevalent use of computer technology, which has significantly improved the efficiency of our work and changed our lifestyle. Technological achievements are attributed to the advancement of scientific theories and their application. The government ought to provide financial support for the teaching of science, such as building new laboratories, purchasing advanced equipment and awarding outstanding scientists. If the government gives priority to scientific subjects, it would encourage more students to devote themselves to science, which would benefit the country and the world as a whole.

However, I do not think that all of the government money should go towards scientific research at postgraduate level. Science is important, but it is not everything. Other subjects, for example, arts, also deserve the attention and support of the public and the government. Philosophy and literature prompt students to reflect on the significance of life and develop a positive attitude towards the world. History and geography help to broaden the horizon of students and make them wise and open-minded. Therefore, we should not ignore the status of other subjects in our education system.

For these reasons, I think that government funding of postgraduate research should focus on science, but include some share of funding for non-scientific research too.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Importance of spending on scientific research*

*Para 2: Importance of scientific research*

*Para 3: Advantage of spending on other research*

*Conclusion:*

**178. In many countries women are able to join the armed forces just as men. Some people say that only men should be members of the army, navy or air force. Do you agree or disagree?**

Increasing numbers of women are choosing to work in the police and armed forces nowadays and have showed their mettle in these fields. Some individuals opine that women are not suited for such jobs, and only men should pursue such careers. I tend to disagree and would put my arguments to support my views in the upcoming paragraphs.

My first argument is that nowadays we are heading towards an egalitarian society in which all should have equal rights. Therefore, women should have the same right as men to choose their profession. People should be chosen for jobs based on their skills, qualification and character. So if a woman has enough ability to join armed forces, she should be welcome to become a soldier or a police officer. Gender should not come in the way of the selection process.

There is a group of people who claim that women lack physical strength and therefore they are not fit to be in the army or police as these jobs require physical strength. I would however, argue that it would be wrong to say that women are less able than men in certain jobs. In fact, women may be better at controlling a situation by communicating more effectively. Police and military jobs require more than just physical strength. Teamwork, leadership and communication may be just as important.

Finally, it could be argued that even physical strength can be increased by effective workouts and muscle training. Women who join such jobs do undergo rigorous training and are no less than men when they are on the job. It is also a matter of personal choice. More and more men are now entering fields such as nursing and teaching which were earlier thought to be a woman's domain. So, it should come as no surprise if women choose to enter the police and armed forces.

Summing up, women should have the same role as men in police and armed forces. It is the need of the times.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: women should have the same right as men to choose their profession*

*Para 2: Opposing views and refute this point*

*Para 3: physical strength can be increased by effective workouts*

*Conclusion: women should have the same role as men in police and armed forces. It is the need of the times*

**179. It has been observed that in many countries not enough students are choosing to study science subjects at university. What do you think are the causes of the problem? What are the effects on society?**

It has been seen that very few students opt for science stream for their tertiary education. This essay intends to delve into the reasons of this trend and also discuss what effects this development has on societies.

There are many reasons for lack of interest in science subjects. The first reason is that in most schools the sciences that are taught have outdated and irrelevant curricula. Most of the education is theoretical and leaves little room for enjoyment. On top of that, most primary school teachers are themselves not very interested in science and so are not good teachers who can stimulate children's interest in science. Secondly, learning science therefore often requires hard work and considerable intellectual effort, which is not present in the contemporary youth culture. What is more, students who choose to study science are perceived as boring and somewhat crazy.

Furthermore, a white-coated, hardworking and not very well paid scientist in a laboratory is thus not a role model for many of today's young people. Football players, film stars and pop artists receive global publicity and earn fortunes. The lives of journalists and others working in the media seem interesting and challenging. Young people also know that lawyers and some of those trading in the financial markets earn ten or a hundred times more money than the physicist in the laboratory. The social climate, especially in developed countries, is not one, which it is easy to convince young people that they should concentrate on learning science at school or beyond.

A shortage of scientists and technologists can be very detrimental for the societies. Our societies are dominated by ideas and products from science and technology and the influence of science and technology on our lives will continue to increase in the years to come. Scientific and technological knowledge and skills are crucial for most of our actions and decisions, as workers, as voters, as consumers, etc. In short, modern societies need people with scientific and technological qualifications at the highest level. If there will be less of these scientists, then societies will stagnate and further progress will stop altogether.

To sum up, there are many reasons for students not taking up science subjects at university and this phenomenon shall definitely be detrimental for society.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: reasons for not opting to study science*

*Para 2: More reasons*

*Para 3: Effects on societies*

*Conclusion:*

**180. In developing countries, rural children have less access to education. Some people say that the problem can be solved by providing schools and teachers. Others say that computers and internet should be provided. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.**

Education and technology are instruments for accelerating development in the developing countries. The issue of debate is whether new technology should be provided in developing countries or education should be offered. I believe that a combination of education and technology has to be given.

Those in favour of providing free education say that these countries need schools and teachers before anything else. For the majority of underdeveloped countries, the quality of life is deteriorating despite several decades of development efforts. It is very imperative that swift steps be taken to bridge this knowledge gap without which the economic and social disparity will widen even more rapidly. A good educational system should focus on laying the best foundation of knowledge and skill that are laid during the first years of education. What these countries need is good education and training that can match today's times.

Supporters of technology say that if these poor countries were provided access to technology they would catch up with the rich and this would definitely bridge the gap between the rich and the poor. They also opine that it would be almost impossible for these countries to setup efficient educational systems without the availability of multimedia and information technology.

What is more, students can use technologies to access courses not available at their school; rural students can complete their studies without leaving their communities, and adults can take advantage of a more flexible study schedule. Cultural development will also benefit as knowledge bases of art, culture and history can be easily created, made widely accessible and easily updated.

To conclude, the best possible thing to do would be to provide both education and technology simultaneously. Education without technology and technology without education would be futile.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I believe that a combination of education and technology has to be given.*

*Para 1: Views of supporters of providing free education*

*Para 2: Views of supporters of providing technology*

*Para 3: How students would benefit from technology*

*Conclusion: Both together would be much better*

**181. Caring for children is an important thing of the society. It is suggested that all mothers and fathers should be required to take childcare training courses. To what extent do you agree or disagree?**

Children represent both the present and the future. In recent years, childcare has gained as much importance as any other subject of academic studies. Traditional childcare skills, which are passed on from one generation to another through oral and informal instruction, are not sufficient to guarantee quality care, so parents' participation in care training is advisable.

To begin with, a misconceived idea of childcare is that it is a simple process of feeding children, changing diapers and making them sleep regularly. But, contemporary childcare is not limited to this. Childcare is a complex science that goes beyond nutrition. It involves the physical and emotional well-being of children. With the changing times and changing family structure, childcare training courses should be the norm for all would be parents.

Secondly, childcare training teaches parents how to take a holistic approach to care for their children and prevents them from answering children's inappropriate needs. Many people might wrongly think that childcare is all about love. Parents who give whatever children want, known as indulgent parents, can be blamed for their children's behaviour problems and unhealthy habits. It is true that parents are responsible to attend to children's needs, it is also important to note that children are seldom satisfied. For example, children are candy lovers without knowledge that candy can cause obesity and tooth damage. Parents should not respond to children's needs for candy permanently.

To summarise, successful childcare does not lie only in love but also in other skills. Children's healthy development is measured not only by physical wellbeing but also by growth in other dimensions. With these borne in mind, parents might now be compelled to join childcare training.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: parents' participation in care training is advisable.*

*Para 1: Childcare is much more than changing diapers and feeding children*

*Para 2: Childcare training teaches parents for a holistic approach of childcare.*

*Conclusion: Children's healthy development is measured not only by physical wellbeing but also by growth in other dimensions. With these borne in mind, parents might now be compelled to join childcare training.*

**182. Media and newspaper show vulgar crimes on news, which cause fear and provoke culprits. Some people think that crime news on TV should not be broadcasted. To what extent do you agree or disagree.**

It is irrefutable that the films and TV programmes today are filled with violence and as a result violence is increasing in our societies. I agree that censoring such programmes and films, some amount of violence can be decreased. In the following paragraphs, I shall put forth my arguments to support my views.

Reducing the amount of violence on TV and in the cinema would certainly be a good start to decrease violence among people. It is a well-known fact that the media possesses a lot of power to influence people. So, those in the media must be judicious about delivering news in a balanced manner that brings the story to the consumer without showing too much violence. Journalism is a profession like any other and certain standards of quality and professionalism need to be maintained.

There are many harmful effects of such programmes on the individuals and society. The most disturbing effect is on the children and youth. Media violence can stimulate fear in some children as it frightens them, making the effects long lasting. This can become traumatic in our children as they see it more and more. Children are starting to grow and are shaping their personality, values and beliefs. They can become aggressive or they can lose a sense of reality and fiction of what they are seeing.

Moreover, young people imitate what they see and it is logical that they see glamour in what they do when they commit violence. Consequently, the society suffers as the streets are full of violence. Finally, too much portrayal of these also leads to immunity among the people and they are not affected by the disasters any more. Disasters like Tsunami and earthquakes don't make people shed a tear any more.

Summing up, having a check on the violence in TV programmes and films, would certainly be a good start to decrease the violence and crime in society.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I agree*

*Para 1: media must be judicious about delivering news in a balanced manner*

*Para 2: Media violence can stimulate fear in some children*

*Para 3: young people imitate what they see. People become immune to bad happenings around them*

*Conclusion:*

**183. Nowadays young people are admiring media and sports stars, even though they do not set a good example. Do you think this is a positive or negative development?**

Celebrities are increasingly becoming role models for the youth as their images and lifestyles are splashed across television, movies, magazines and the internet in today's era of technology. I strongly believe that this is largely a negative development. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

To begin with, celebrities are often portrayed drinking heavily or in excess in movies, magazines and on TV. This can be harmful to teens that pick up on these behaviors and think it is normal to drink excessively like their favorite celebrity. What is more, almost every other day, a celebrity is in trouble with the law in terms of drug abuse or addiction. The youth see their favorite movie stars or singers doing drugs and they think they should too.

Furthermore, some celebrities create impossible standards of beauty as a result of which more and more young adults feel less confident and more dissatisfied with their looks. Teenagers are at a phase of their life where they undergo massive changes physically, mentally, and emotionally. As celebrities are becoming thinner and thinner, much self-confidence is lost and images of television stars or models have been connected to body displeasure. This causes young fans to strive to be unhealthily thin.

Another major issue of concern is that these celebs are putting up too much inappropriate content in the media. Youngsters normally like to have a role model they can turn to for how to dress, look and act. The idolization of such celebrities has destroyed and will continue to destroy teenagers' perception of reality. They lose touch of their priorities and often ruin their studies and their future in the process. Some teenagers spend so much money on celebrity endorsed goods that they are forced to spend all their time working for it. The more obsessed event demand or steal money from their parents or others.

In conclusion I would like to reiterate my views by saying that, celebrities influence fans to be destructively thin, put harmful substances in their bodies, and many parents are concerned with the overall content these celebrities are putting on television, in movies, and over the Internet. Celebrities need to change the way they act and how they are viewed. Their actions have more of an effect on us than many people believe they have. Thin, addicted celebrities are changing the way many young fans think and act. Celebrities need to change these horrible habits now and realize that they need to be more concerned with themselves and with their portrayal in the media.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I strongly believe that this is largely a negative development*

*Para 1: How celebs are setting some bad standards*

*Para 2: More ways in which celebs are negatively affecting their fans*

*Para 3: The youth are mad after celeb endorsed products.*

*Conclusion:*

**184. There are an increasing number of people who do not know their neighbours.  
What causes this situation? How to solve it?**

It is undeniable that in today's contemporary society, more and more people are leading self-centered lives, unaware of who their neighbours are. This essay intends to look into the causes of this situation and suggest some remedial measures.

The first reason is workaholism, which may be by choice or due to the pressures of the highly competitive era of today. People work late hours and so whatever time is left is hardly sufficient for one's own family. Therefore, socializing with neighbours is out of question. People work more because they want to keep up with the Jones'. The consumerist society also lures people with glittering things, which need money to buy, because of which people are becoming workaholics and this ultimately becomes a way of life. A vicious cycle is created and people find it difficult to come out of it.

Secondly, people do not meet their neighbours because they don't need to do so these days. They have become self-dependent and their entertainment sources are also within the four walls of the house. Earlier, neighbours used to meet after work hours and chat and play with each other, but now all the recreational activities are at home only such as watching TV and surfing the net. Earlier, people did shopping from local small shops where one could accidentally bump into neighbours, but now the mall-culture has even deprived people of such accidental collisions.

The solutions are not simple but the onus is largely on people themselves. Neighbourhood associations should be set up and neighbourhood parks should be maintained. People should take time off on festivals and celebrate them together. As all are busy, potluck lunches and dinners could be organized which would be welcomed by all. Children should be encouraged to play outdoor games with other children of the neighbourhood.

To sum up, we are forgetting the community spirit and do not interact with their neighbours. Whatever the reasons, steps should be taken to spend more time and know our neighbours. After all, neighbours are our family apart from our family in today's time of nuclear families and neighbours are the people with whom we share our walls.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Reason one*

*Para 2: Reason two*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**185. It has been suggested that everyone in the world wants to own a car, a TV and a fridge. Do you think the disadvantages of such a development outweigh advantages?**

Today we belong to an era of materialism and everyone wants to have more and more in life. Things like a fridge, TV and car were the luxuries of yesteryears and have become the necessities of today. There are many advantages and disadvantages of this phenomenon but the disadvantages definitely outweigh the advantages.

On the positive side, the quest for material possessions is what keeps the society going. People work hard to fulfil their needs and achieve their goals. It is everyone's right to own the comforts of life. Secondly, because of the demand for such things, the national economy is boosted. Manufacturing units provide round-the-clock employment to thousands of people to produce things in bulk. Mignon McLaughlin has rightly said that, "Be glad that you're greedy; the national economy would collapse if you weren't." What is more, when demand is more and mass production is done, then the cost of the things is cut down and the consumer is ultimately benefitted.

On the downside, this is leading to stress and strain in the lives of people. People have become workaholics and are missing out on the joys of family and social life. Sometimes, people even adopt unethical means to get these things and this leads to crime and violence. There is no harm in owning things such as a car, TV or fridge, but things turn bad when this simple materialism turns into over-materialism and people start wanting a TV in each and every room of the house and a car per person of the family. Our neighbours have a triple storey house and there is a refrigerator on every floor just for their comfort. People fail to draw the line between necessity and indulgence and this creates all problems. They fail to realize that - "If you live for having it all, what you have is never enough."

The most significant disadvantage of excessive materialism is on the environment. Manufacturers promote their products through ads and people are lured into buying new things even without need. It is a bitter truth that a society in which consumption is artificially stimulated in order to keep production going is a society founded on trash and waste, and such a society is a house built upon sand. For example, new models of TVs and refrigerators are introduced every other day and people just go and buy them even if they do not need those things. The disposal of old ones is adding to global litter and is destroying our environment.

To sum up, there is no harm if everyone wants to own a fridge, a TV and a car but it would be much better if we give importance to the word 'a' and instead of everyone the word should be every family.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: the disadvantages definitely outweigh the advantages*

*Para 1: Advantages of materialism*

*Para 2: Disadvantages of materialism*

*Para 3: Disadvantages of materialism*

*Conclusion:*

**186. Children are taught to push themselves to try and be better than their classmates, rather than work together for everyone's profit. Do you think the advantages outweigh its disadvantages?**

The relative importance of competition and cooperation is a frequent topic of discussion, when people try to explore the primary aim of education. These days, competitiveness is encouraged more than cooperation. My stand, however, is that both competition and cooperation are equally important to be instilled in children. Therefore the disadvantages of teaching children how to compete at the expense of cooperation are more.

There are many reasons why competition is important for children's growth. When children are pushed to compete, their unlimited potential can be tapped. This also helps them to develop confidence. Pressure and competition is all around us nowadays. In achieving academic excellence as well as doing well in one's career, every person has to compete. There are many examples of people around us who have become successful in their lives by competing in sports events or music contests.

At the same time, many educators attach great importance to cultivating cooperation abilities in children. Children who do not learn to cooperate are usually isolated, introverted and consequently they are socially inadequate. Cooperation is a contributing factor to the success of a community. Only with cooperation can children learn to live in harmony with each other.

I personally believe that children should be taught both, how to compete, as well as how to cooperate. It is more important to teach children the situations where they need to compete and where they need to cooperate. Both these virtues are needed in adult life. For instance, in solo sports and for sitting in an exam, children need to compete, whereas in team sports and in group-assignments children have to cooperate. Similarly, in adult life, no business house can rise to the pinnacles of success with the efforts of a single man. To compete with other businesses, members of one business house have to cooperate with each other. No single scientist can find the cure for cancers or AIDS. Scientists all over the world have to join hands to fight these deadly diseases.

To conclude, it can be reiterated that forcing children only to compete rather than cooperate has more disadvantages than advantages, as both competition and cooperation are equally important in our lives.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disadvantages are more*

*Para 1: Importance of competition*

*Para 2: Importance of cooperation*

*Para 3: Own view*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

187. In some countries, schools arrange work for students without any payment, so that students get experience. To what extent is it beneficial for the student as well as the company or institution?

Unpaid internships are a part of many degree programs nowadays. I believe this trend has many advantages, along with a few disadvantages for the student as well as the places they work in.

The main advantage of working in companies for free as part of course is to the students themselves. Students gain valuable experience, which can help them in deciding the career of their choice. An internship can also give them the possibility of securing a job upon graduation or shortly thereafter. Former interns have a competitive advantage over other job seekers since the company knows them.

These internships also benefit the employers in several ways. They get services at no cost. They can screen trainees and get acquainted with their quality of work. They can always hire employees who show good progress when performing duties assigned by them. In this way employers can convert interns to full-time employees seamlessly, which reduces or eliminates any training-related costs.

There are benefits to the educational institutes also. Their student interns tend to bring their real-world experience back to the classroom, which helps keep courses relevant and curriculum up-to-date with the current trends. This results in a richer learning experience for everyone.

On the other hand, unpaid internships also have a downside. Those who do unpaid internships can be exploited and made to work longer hours, which may affect the current employees. They may find these younger counterparts a threat. Secondly, many students can't afford to take an internship if it doesn't pay anything, which can contribute to social inequality. Finally, it has been seen that doing a free internship does not actually increase employability. Employers start thinking that such unpaid interns may also be willing to work for lesser money than their regular employees.

To sum up, unpaid internships have advantages to interns, employers and educational institutes. There are a few disadvantages, but the advantages overpower them.

#### **Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Advantages to students*

*Para 2: Advantages to employers*

*Para 3: Advantages to educational institutes*

*Para 4: Disadvantages*

*Conclusion:*

**188. The days the number of companies operating at multinational level has increased. To what extent are they responsible for the local communities in which they are located?**

The advent of globalisation has brought about dramatic changes in the business world. Multi National Companies have opened across the globe. These corporate houses are having environmental, social and economic impact on the host countries. Therefore, I firmly believe that they should give back something to the local communities in which they operate. I believe that only if they do so will they sustain in the long run.

To begin with, these MNCs should shoulder social responsibilities. All MNCs should abide by the law and never make profit by unethical means. In times of natural disasters, business houses are supposed to make generous donations and set an example. This would be a win-win situation for the business houses and local communities. When any business house donates for charity, the media spreads a word about their efforts and they get advertisement for free. These business houses do not need to spend extra for their adverts.

Secondly, these businesses should also fulfil economic responsibilities. These can provide a number of job opportunities, which can greatly reduce the pressure of social unemployment. These corporate giants can also spend on the beautification of local areas. For example, in my hometown, many parks and roundabouts are maintained by the textile, sugar and starch mill in my hometown. Providing scholarships to poor, meritorious students is another way these enterprises can take social and economic responsibility.

Furthermore, MNCs should also bear environmental responsibility by doing waste minimization and pollution prevention. Indeed, it is argued that these corporate houses should go beyond this and embrace tomorrow's clean technology. For instance biofuels can be used in place of conventional fossil fuels.

To sum up, MNCs are thriving on the local communities. Therefore, these should bear the responsibilities of these communities.

**Plan followed**

*Intro*

*Para 1: Social responsibilities*

*Para 2: economic responsibilities*

*Para 3: Environmental responsibilities*

*Conclusion:*

**189. Some people think the government should pay for health care and education, but other people claim that it is the individual's responsibility. Discuss both views and give your opinion?**

Nowadays, health care and education have become the focus of people's concern. It is a highly debated issue as to who should pay for these services. There are those who argue that the government should pay for them, while others think individuals should shoulder the costs. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. Personally, I think that basic health care and primary education should be on the government, but advanced health care and higher education should be borne by the individual.

It is irrefutable that it is the government's responsibility to make basic health care and primary education accessible to everyone. The reason is that a nation's prosperity very much depends on the contribution made by its well-educated citizens who are in good health. After all we all pay taxes and are entitled to get something back in return. Private schools and private hospitals can be available for those who want and can afford it, but the free schools and free government hospitals should always be there.

On the other hand, individuals should be responsible for their advanced health care. Actually, the advanced medical and surgical treatments are very expensive. So, instead of depending on government we should take some health insurance or save in any other way with the tomorrow in mind. Even the higher education benefits the individual more than the nation. So, it is quite reasonable to pay for it from one's pocket.

There are, of course, some sections of society who cannot afford their own healthcare. The government should have some system of knowing their financial status and provide free healthcare so that nobody dies for want of treatment. As far as higher education is concerned, the government can have some system of interest free loans for the needy and meritorious students, who cannot afford their own education.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that basic health care and primary education, should be paid for by the government, but advanced health care and education should be paid by the individual from his pocket.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I think that basic health care and primary education should be on the government but advanced health care and higher education should be borne by the individual.*

*Para 1: Why govt. should spend on basic healthcare and education*

*Para 2: Why individuals should themselves pay for advanced healthcare and education*

*Para 3: How government can help the poorer sections of society for advanced healthcare and higher education*

*Conclusion: Reiterated opinion*

**190. The best way to remove poverty in developing countries is to provide 6 years of free education to all children so that they can read, write and use numbers. Do you agree or disagree?**

Education plays a key role in alleviating poverty. That is why, providing six years of free education to children seems to be a good solution to reduce poverty. However, I disagree that it is the best solution. I believe that primary education alone is not enough and steps also need to be taken to maintain the quality of free education provided.

Admittedly, the basic skills of reading and writing, throws open many doors. Building a skilled workforce can lift many households out of poverty. Many economists around the globe have proposed that if all students of low-income countries left school with basic reading and writing skills, it could eradicate 12% of the world poverty. It has been seen that the direct cost of sending a child to school, as well as the indirect cost of losing a source of labour, deters the poor from sending their children to school. Therefore, providing 6 years of free education seems a viable solution to eradicate poverty.

However, delivering six years free access to education alone is not enough. All children need the chance to complete not only primary school, but also secondary school. For instance in a survey in El Salvador it was seen that 5% of working adults had only primary education, compared with 47 % who had secondary education.

Furthermore, just providing six years free education would not be enough, if steps were not taken to ensure the quality of such education. It should be ensured that children actually learn. The quality of this education should be equitable to that of other premium educational institutes. Faculty recruitment, infrastructural maintenance and pro-learning programs should be effectively monitored by the authorities. Otherwise, all the government funding can prove futile.

To sum up, six years of free education can go a long way in eradicating poverty, but I reiterate that six years education is not the best solution, as it is not enough, and also steps need to be taken to ensure the quality of this education.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: why 6 years free education can help to decrease poverty*

*Para 2: Why six years is not enough*

*Para 3: Other steps, which are needed*

*Conclusion*

**191. There are some motives for people to work. Some people think money is the most important. Do you agree or disagree?**

It is imperative for people to earn sufficiently high salary to support their lifestyle. However, I disagree that money is the most significant drive for people to work. I believe that other factors are equally important.

Admittedly, money is necessary in order for people to meet their basic needs. For example, we all need money to pay for housing, food, bills, health care, and education. Most people consider it a priority to at least earn a salary that allows them to cover these needs and have a reasonable quality of life.

However, putting too much emphasis on money would not be appropriate. Most high paid jobs tend to be stressful and involve long working hours. This can lead to many problems in life. People fail to maintain a work-life balance and may suffer a nervous breakdown. Their family life is also disturbed because they can't give quality time to their spouse and children. Therefore, other factors should be given priority over money when going on a job hunt.

Other factors, which deserve merit over a fat pay package, are a good working environment and a job one loves doing. Having a good manager or friendly colleagues, for example, can make a huge difference to workers' levels of happiness and general quality of life. Likewise, it is also critical to enjoy one's job. Many people find job satisfaction more valuable than the money they earn. By doing a job they love, they enjoy their life as well as contribute positively to the society.

In conclusion, I reiterate my opinion that although salaries certainly affect people's choice of profession, I do not believe that money outstrips all other motivators.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: Importance of money*

*Para 2: why it would be wrong to put too much emphasis on money*

*Para 3: Other factors*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**192. Some people say that the public funds should be spent on promoting healthy living than on the treatment of people who are ill. Do you agree or disagree?**

Of course it goes without saying that prevention is better than cure. That is why, in recent years, there has been a growing body of opinion in favour of putting more resources into promoting a healthy lifestyle. I agree that by promoting a healthy lifestyle, there will be fewer people requiring treatment and thus the amount spent on curing ill health could be reduced substantially.

As many modern diseases are a consequence of our lifestyles, one way of making savings to the health budget would be to educate people about how to prevent expensive health problems such as diabetes or heart disease. Most medical doctors today do not have the time to (nor are they paid to) teach patients how to make these changes to their lifestyle through diet or exercise. The government can take the help of pervasive media such as TV and radio to educate people.

It is understandable that when people are sick they want the best medical treatment possible, with access to the latest diagnostic equipment, expensive MRI scanners, for example. If the problem is life threatening then we demand complex operations such as open-heart surgery or organ transplants. Such procedures usually require intensive nursing care and lengthy periods of hospitalization, which are costly.

Furthermore, there are many diseases which if diagnosed early through proper screening tests can be treated very easily. For example cancer of the cervix can be diagnosed with a very simple, inexpensive outdoor test called the pap smear. If the government spends a little amount to provide such screening tests free of cost then a lot of money needed for expensive treatments could be saved later on.

To conclude, it would be definitely worthwhile to divert the health budget towards prevention of diseases. By doing so the nation would be healthier and automatically the cost of treatment would be cut down.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: I agree that by promoting a healthy lifestyle, there will be fewer people requiring treatment and thus the amount spent on curing ill health could be reduced substantially.*

*Para 1: How governments can aware people*

*Para 2: How the cost of expensive treatment could be cut down*

*Para 3: Advantages of screening tests*

*Conclusion:*

**193. It is known to all that the technological and scientific advances have made great changes to the range and quality of our food. Some people regard it as an improvement while others believe that the change is harmful. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.**

Yesterday's fiction is today's reality. Such colossal developments have taken place in range and quality of food, which we could not even think of earlier. It is a highly debated issue as to whether these advances are a blessing or a curse. This essay shall delve into the merits and demerits of these developments.

The proponents claim that genetic modification of foods has given us such species which need little or no insecticides and no fertilizers. GM crops do not require spraying with toxic insecticides and as a consequence environmental damage such as the indiscriminate killing of insects and the contamination of soils and rivers is avoided. Moreover, since age old times, farming methods have always involved selective breeding and methods of cross-pollination. In that sense genetic modification is nothing new.

What is more, the quality of food has also improved. For example, fish gene has been added to tomato to make it frost resistant. A nut protein has been added to soya bean to increase the protein content. Finally, technology has saved people from tedious work and in the mean time increased the production markedly. All this is needed to meet the demands of the burgeoning population.

The opponents say that GM crops are unnatural and that by creating them we are altering the natural world. A more worrying argument raised by objectors to GM foods is that they could constitute a health risk, for example by causing allergies or even by being toxic. The final objection is strictly environmental. It is argued that crops which are genetically modified to kill the pests which attack them may also kill harmless insects. This, it is claimed will have a disastrous effect on the wider environment beyond the crop themselves.

To conclude, GM crops offer increased agricultural productivity and foods of higher nutritional value, both of which are essential if the growing world population of the twenty-first century is to be fed. Indeed, these crops have the potential to improve the health of millions throughout the world while causing less environmental damage than standard farming methods used today. I think it essential that GM foods should be encouraged.

**Plan followed:**

Intro:

Para 1: advantages

Para 2: More positive effects

Para 3: Opponents view

Conclusion: GM foods should be encouraged

**194. There is an increasing amount of advertising directed at children, which encourages them to buy goods such as toys and snacks. Many parents are worried that these advertisements put too much pressure on children, while some advertisers claim that they provide useful information to children. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

Advertisements are ubiquitous nowadays and especially advertising targeting children who are considered vulnerable target by companies. As a result, many parents are worried about this phenomenon. However, some advertisers claim that they provide beneficial information to children. In the following paragraphs I intend to discuss both sides of the argument.

It is not difficult to see why parents worry is justified. Admittedly, in sensitive areas such as the toy industry, the parents have a point. Because children under a certain age lack abilities to make wise judgment as to what they really want. They are attracted by colourful pictures on advertisement and swayed by misleading information. So they pester their parents to buy those things and this can upset the budget of many families. Even the advertisements of fast foods are bad for children. Children cannot understand that the slim-trim models advertising Mac Donalds burgers hardly ever eat such foods themselves. They are attracted to fast foods and these are very detrimental for their health.

What is more, some ads show some stunts and although it is written that children should not copy these stunts, children hardly ever read that part and in their ignorance try to perform those stunts and get hurt. For example, in my neighbourhood, one child tried to jump from one rooftop to the other after seeing the ad of Thumbs Up and ended up with a plaster on his leg. Therefore, parents are rightly worried.

On the other hand, advertisements also provide beneficial information to the children. For example, the advert of Colgate toothpaste which tells that we should brush our teeth twice daily is good for children. Then there are ads about health drinks such as Complan and Bournvita which are good for children. Furthermore, advertisement also conveys positive attitudes towards life. For instance, the advertising slogan of Nike—"impossible is nothing" is very motivating.

To conclude, it is true that some adverts have a detrimental effect on children and should have some regulations but at the same time this fact can also be not be denied that adverts enlighten children in many ways by providing a lot of useful information.

**Plan followed**

*Intro – Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1 – Parents view*

*Para 2 – Parents view*

*Para 3 – advertisers view*

*Conclusion: ads have both – good as well as bad effects*

**195. Studies suggest that children spend more time watching TV than they did in the past and spend less on doing active or creative things. Why do you think it is the case? What measures and methods can be used to tackle it?**

Laurence J. Peter has rightly said that 'Television has changed the child from an irresistible force to an immovable object.' After coming home from school, children spend a lot of time in watching TV instead of playing outdoor games and pursuing other artistic hobbies like in the olden days. In the following paragraphs, I shall discuss the causes of this phenomenon and also suggest some ways to encourage children to do more productive things instead of watching too much TV.

The first and foremost cause of this is that due to the satellite TV, this medium is available all the time. So much so, that some channels are exclusively dedicated to children. This has made it very easy for the parents who use TVs as baby sitters. Secondly, parents are busy to cope with the demands of the fast paced life of today and after coming home after a hectic day's work do not have the energy to spend time with their children. In earlier times, there were very few programmes for children and because of slower life, even parents spent time with children.

Furthermore, earlier there were joint families and grandparents were there to look after children and encourage them to play in parks. Nowadays, there are nuclear families and children are left alone, which makes them spend time by watching TV. Finally, the cities have become concrete jungles and there is no place for children to play outdoor games. On top of that, the climate changes brought about by global warming make it difficult to pursue outdoor games.

The solutions are simple but the onus is largely on the parents. They should give quality time to their children and ration their TV viewing hours. Even the time to play video games should be set properly. Outdoor activities should be encouraged and neighbourhood societies should be formed where children can play outdoor games with each other. Parks should be maintained properly so that children have a safe place to play outdoors.

To summarise, television and video games, in moderation, can be a good thing. However, excess of everything is bad and therefore I believe parents should set viewing limits to ensure their children do not spend too much time watching TV and pursue other creative activities like they did in the earlier times.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: I shall discuss the causes of this phenomenon and also suggest some ways forward.*

*Para 1: First cause – satellite TV available all the time – parents busy – use TV as baby sitter*

*Para 2: More causes – nuclear families – changing climate*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**196. Many people regard films as less important form of art than literature and painting. Do you agree or disagree?**

Art, in any of its forms, has been an indispensable part of the human life, since the beginning of life. Art is a way of expressing one's thoughts, opinions, experience, emotions through various media like paintings, literature, poetry, dance, photography etc. Some individuals regard arts forms like writings and paintings as more significant than the movies. I disagree with this line of thought and will discuss my supporting arguments in the forthcoming paragraphs.

The first argument in support of my view is that films are an amalgamation of various different art forms. It includes different forms of arts, like the performing arts of dancing, acting, music etc. and of literature, like the script writing, poetry in the form of songs, etc. There are other creative branches of art that have developed as a result of filmmaking, like choreography, cinematography, sound effects, special visual effects and so on. Art is inextricably linked with creativity and movies involve creativity in various different forms, such as script writing, direction of the scenes, dialogue writing, lyrics of songs, music direction, special effects and many more.

In addition to this, films are popularly categorized as entertainment art. It acts as a source of entertainment and at the same time enhances knowledge. It is an effective way of not just expressing an emotion, a culture or a story, but also an excellent method of learning and education. It has been proven that watching a movie leaves a lasting impression on our minds than visiting a museum and looking at artifacts, pictures, paintings etc. Being an audiovisual form of art, it becomes easy for people to comprehend it, which painting or literature may not be able to do. Even someone who is not literate can understand and learn from films. For this reason, movies have also become of a very popular method of spreading social messages and teaching.

It is undeniable that art forms like painting, poetry, literature, etc. play a vital role in our lives; however, films as a form of art have become more powerful because of its easy comprehension and its reach and influence on the masses.

To sum up, it can be said that different forms of art will continue to entertain us and provide us more insight into different emotions, perspectives and cultures; however, the films as an entertaining art, will continue to remain more pervasive than others.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: First argument*

*Para 2: More arguments*

*Para 3: Other art forms*

*Conclusion:*

**197. The responsibility to prevent global environmental damage is on politicians rather than individuals. Do you agree or disagree?**

The extent of the damage to the environment has become an international issue and needs to be tackled soon and effectively. Some people consider it as the sole responsibility of the governments to take steps towards solving this pressing issue, however, I disagree with this perspective. I will support my view in the upcoming paragraphs.

Undoubtedly, this issue needs to be handled at the national and international levels, as this is a concern for the planet's sustenance. While the governments of the various nations make laws and changes to mitigate this problem, every inhabitant of earth needs to do their bit and take small steps to contribute towards a cleaner environment.

To begin with, the major cause of the deteriorating condition of the environment is pollution caused by the use of vehicles. This can be easily dealt with in a better way at the individual level, than at the state level. If each person takes it upon himself or herself to use public transport more, to walk to short distances rather than using a vehicle or to use eco-friendly modes of transport, like bicycles, then this issue can be easily solved. The government should facilitate this by providing better public transport, good roads and separate walking and cycling lanes. However, ultimately it will work only if each citizen contributes.

To add to it, globalization and advancement in technology has led to a consumerist society, where the consumption of material goods has increased manifold. An adverse effect of such a society is the "throw-away culture", where people have stopped recycling and simply throw away things and buy new ones. If people start recycling things, the land and soil pollution will decrease greatly.

Also, people should be conscious about buying products with less packaging material used. Another important step that every citizen can take is to say no to plastic and use more eco-friendly materials. It's an easy step that needs to be taken at an individual level. The government can definitely help by making laws against the use of plastic bags and other environment damaging materials.

To sum up, I will reiterate that even though the problem of environmental damage has escalated to a level that needs to be handled globally, by the politicians and environmentalists, meanwhile every person needs to make contributions to alleviate this situation. Only then will we be able to ensure a good quality life for the future generations.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Disagree*

*Para 1: Governments' role*

*Para 2: Steps at individual action*

*Para 3: More steps*

*Para 4: More steps*

*Conclusion: Reiterate opinion*

**198. Some people say that parents should organise free time activities for their children. Others say children should be free to choose what they do in their free time. Discuss both sides and opine.**

For the holistic development of children, leisure time activities play a very important role. Some people are of the opinion that such activities should be decided and organized by the parents, whereas others opine that children should have the freedom to decide how they want to utilize that free time. This essay intends to delve into both the perspectives. I, however, side with the latter view.

It is easy to see why some people opine that planning free time activities should be in the hands of parents. They say that children are not mature enough to understand the value of time, and may end up wasting their free time through unproductive extra-curricular activities. So, parents should plan the available free time for their children, as they want the best for their children. Parents know their children well, in terms of their likes and dislikes and also their interests. They can organize activities that will help their children's holistic development. For example, they can have them play outdoor games, learn music or dance, develop their creative skills like drawing and painting and so on. Parents also need to ensure that the time spent on unproductive activities, like watching TV, playing video games, etc. should be minimized and they should set a time limit for such activities.

However, I firmly believe that children should have the liberty of deciding how they want to spend their leisure time. Research has proven that the children, who perform unstructured free-time activities, are more creative and become more successful when they grow up. If children are always told what to do and follow a set of rules, it hinders their cognitive, emotional and creative development. Such children may not be very good at decision making, planning and setting goals. The children, who are in-charge of choosing how they spend their free time, are more likely to have better concentration levels and become more successful in their studies and later, in their professional lives.

Furthermore, if children have the independence to choose the activities for their free time, it helps develop skills that are necessary for their all-round development. In the contemporary world, the decision-making and creative skills learned from unplanned and unstructured activities go a much longer way than those learned from activities that are pre-planned and organized. However, the role played by the parents cannot be undermined. They should definitely supervise their children to ensure that they do not harm themselves, and so that the wasteful activities are curtailed.

To sum up, I would like to reiterate that children and youngsters should be given the independence to decide their free-time activities for the complete development of their mind, body and skills.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Opinion of those who say parents should organise free time activities*

*Para 2: Why children should plan activities themselves*

*Para 3: More reasons why children should plan activities themselves*

*Conclusion:*

**199. Some people say that instead of preventing climate change we should find a way to live with it. Do you agree or disagree?**

I completely disagree with the given statement that rather than the prevention of the change in the global climate, it is better to come to terms with it and live with it. There are a number of arguments to support my view that I will discuss in the forthcoming paragraphs.

The main reason why steps are needed to find ways to alleviate the problem of global warming, is that the rate at which the climate is warming and changing, is alarming. Undeniably, global warming and the subsequent changes in climate are natural processes and are bound to happen. However, if this rate is not controlled soon, we may be looking at the doom of our planet. If we do not take measures soon to stop further changes in our environment and the global climate, there might be drastic changes in our ecosystem, leading to the extinction of many plants and animal species, including the human beings.

Another reason why it has become essential to deal with the issue of climate change on a war footing is that we are now recording extreme climatic changes than ever before. Only over the last decade, we have had the highest and the lowest ever recorded temperatures, the worst ever floods, the most devastating storms, hurricanes and tsunamis and many other natural disasters, which are the direct result of the climate change. If these disasters continue at this rate and the level of destruction, then that day is not far, when there will be little or no life on earth.

Unarguably, we also have to adapt to these changes in the climate that have already taken place, as these are irreversible. New building material is required to deal with these changes in the temperatures. For example, insulated materials can be used to make buildings in places where the temperatures have risen in the recent years. The design and architecture needs to be looked at and changed to deal with the extreme climatic conditions. We also need to change our lifestyle according to this changing climate.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that the rate at with the changes in the climate are occurring needs to be controlled, but at the same time we have to adapt to these changes also. If we just focus on adapting ourselves and not do anything for preventing further damage, then it will not be enough.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: disagree*

*Para 1: First reason*

*Para 2: Second reason*

*Para 3: Why adapting is also needed*

*Conclusion*

**200. In many countries, government spends a large amount of money on improving Internet access. Why is it happening? Do you think it is the most appropriate use of government money?**

The Internet has become the sine qua non (prerequisite) of promoting national economies. No wonder many governments are investing a lot on making Internet available freely to their citizens. This essay intends to analyse the reasons behind this phenomenon. I believe that the governments are being wise in doing this expenditure.

The main reason why some governments are spending on Internet access is that they realise that it is not expenditure but an investment, which eventually will come back to them in the form of taxes. With increased and efficient Internet access, the businesses will be able to expand their business globally. The Internet plays an indispensable role in communicating with clients, importing products and getting to know international information. This will ultimately boost the countries' economy.

Secondly, the Internet acts as a social leveler. By giving Internet access to the poor and depressed sections of the society, the government can bring them to the mainstream and ensure their participation in growth and development. The Internet empowers people. By giving them access to vital information, it makes them aware of their rights. It provides opportunities to people living in remote areas or villages. The Internet has created countless jobs directly and indirectly and allows people to work freelance. Perhaps, no other invention has had such a tremendous impact on our lives during the last twenty years.

I believe that the governments, which are spending on Internet access, have a foresight that this is an imperative spending. The Internet is a whole universe in its own. It can be used in any way. Developed countries and intelligent public use its services in many ways to provide healthcare, education and common knowledge to remote places. Free and fast Internet would give every single person more opportunities to share and gain knowledge and make their opinions, which will help governments and corporations to make better choices. Hence a free and fast Internet for everyone should be an absolute must for better democracy and economy.

To conclude, there are many reasons why some governments are spending on providing Internet access to its people, and I consider it a judicious expenditure with far reaching positive consequences.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: cause effect intro  
Para 1: First reason  
Para 2: Second reason  
Para 3: Why it is a good thing  
Conclusion:*

**201. Some people believe that women should play an equal role as men in a country's police force or military force, such as the army, while others think women are not suitable for these kinds of jobs. Discuss both views and give your opinion.**

An increasing number of women are choosing to work in the police and armed forces nowadays and have showed their mettle in these fields. Some individuals opine that women should be able to work shoulder to shoulder with men in these fields. Others disagree and say that women are not suited for such jobs. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. I, however, side with the former view.

Nowadays, we are heading towards an egalitarian society in which all should have equal rights. Therefore, women should have the same right as men to choose their profession. People should be chosen for jobs based on their skills, qualification and character. So, if a woman has enough ability to join armed forces, she should be welcome to become a soldier or a police officer. Gender should not come in the way of the selection process.

On the other hand, some people say that women lack physical strength and therefore they are not fit to be in the army or police as these jobs require physical strength. I would however, argue that it would be wrong to say that women are less able than men in certain jobs. In fact, women may be better at controlling a situation by communicating more effectively. Police and military jobs require more than just physical strength. Teamwork, leadership and communication may be just as important.

It could be argued, that even physical strength can be increased by effective workouts and muscle training. Women who join such jobs do undergo rigorous training and are no less than men when they are on the job. It is also a matter of personal choice. More and more men are now entering fields such as nursing and teaching, which were earlier thought to be a woman's domain. So, it should come as no surprise if women choose to enter the police and armed forces.

Summing up, women should have the same role as men in police and armed forces. It is the need of the times.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Gender should not come in the way of the selection process.*

*Para 2: Opposing views*

*Para 3: physical strength can be increased*

*It is also a matter of personal choice*

*Conclusion: Women should have the same role as men in police and armed forces.*

**202. The gap between the rich and the poor is becoming wider, the rich are getting richer, the poor even more poorer. What problems can the situation cause and suggest solutions.**

In this day and age, the gap between the affluent and the needy has increasingly widened. Such situation can be found not only between the developed and developing countries, but also among people of the same country. This essay intends to analyse the problems caused by this phenomenon, and suggest ways to mitigate the problem.

The most significant problem is that a vicious cycle emerges from which the poor find it difficult to come out. In order to make both ends meet, both parents have to work for supplementary income and the children are left in the house, unattended. Their future becomes blurred, as they don't get quality education. This deprivation of the children is very high in ultra-poor families.

Furthermore, poverty and conditions resulting from poverty, such as lack of education leads people to lawlessness and violence (e.g. robbery, theft, kidnapping, rape, murder, gang war, and drug addiction). Their pent-up desires for the finer things in life find a common outlet in the commission of crimes. The underdeveloped economies of Asia and even America are full of high crime rates due to poverty. Finally, the rich-poor gap alienates the poor in a discriminated manner. This invites the onset of revolution or terrorism. The political history of the global community such as Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, Indonesia, and Philippines is abundant in poverty-related evolution of these events.

The solutions are not simple and steps should be taken on a war footing. The governments' effort could improve this situation. To begin with, luxuries should be taxed heavily. A balanced taxation should be there and the taxation administration should be improved to ward off fraud and evasion of taxes. Penalties against tax fraud and evasion should be more severe. Policymakers also need to focus on pushing up the bottom rather than dragging down the top. Free or highly subsidized education should be provided to the needy. The problem of youth unemployment should be dealt with by creating job opportunities. Self-employment should be encouraged by promoting small-scale industry. At the global level, international aid for poor countries is crucial for mitigating this phenomenon. International organizations, such as United Nations and World Bank, should provide support to developing countries in both technical and financial fields enabling them to improve infrastructure and strengthen industries.

Summing up, the increasing gap between the rich and the poor should not be ignored as it causes political and social instability in the country and effective steps should be taken to close this gap. It has been rightly said that – ‘Inequality is not just bad for social justice, it is also bad for economic efficiency’.

**Plan followed**

*Intro:*

*Para 1: The most significant problem*

*Para 2: lawlessness and violence*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**203. Some people think that the government should decide which subjects, students should study at the university, while others think that students should be allowed to apply for the subject they prefer. Discuss the two views and give your opinion.**

University education is very much needed nowadays to compete in the global village of today. Some people are of the opinion that government should choose the disciplines that students have to study at university. Others opine that the choice of subjects should be given to the students. This essay intends to analyse both perspectives. I, however, side with the latter view.

If the government chooses the subjects it would naturally be taking into account the job sector. There would be lesser unemployment, as the government knows which sector has job vacancies, and would suggest only those subjects. It has been seen that there are many job vacancies without qualified people, and many qualified people without jobs. Therefore, the number of people working in various job sectors will be balanced and it would be more efficient for students to find jobs after graduating from the university. Secondly, it would help those students who find it difficult to decide what career to pursue.

On the other hand, if students choose the subject then they do well in it because it would be of their interest. They would excel in their field and when they enter the job market they would do well in their field. Moreover, there are lesser drop-outs of universities, which is common if students are forced to study what they don't like. This also instills a sense of responsibility in the students when they make a decision about their subject because they know that their whole future rests on that decision.

Taking everything into account, I believe that it is more preferable for pupils to select their subjects in the university themselves. The government can play a role in promoting some subjects by providing funding to those who take up those subjects.

To conclude, there are merits of both situations, but on the whole it would be better to leave the choice to students to select their subjects as there would be lesser drop-outs and they would excel in their field.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: discuss essay intro*

*Para 1: Advantages if the government chooses the subjects*

*Para 2: Advantages if students choose the subject*

*Para 3: Own view – students should choose subjects*

*Conclusion:*

**204. Nowadays in many countries household waste e.g. food packaging is increasing day by day. What are the causes for that? How can this problem be solved?**

The statistics about the household waste being produced today are alarming. Roughly 50 tonnes of household waste is being produced every second, and this number is projected to double by 2030. This essay intends to analyze the reasons of this phenomenon and suggest steps to mitigate the problem.

To begin with, modern lifestyle has contributed greatly to the increasing amount of waste and garbage we produce every day. In other words, we have turned into a materialistic and mass-consumption society where we use more and throw away more than ever before. Once new things are acquired, we dispose-off these "unwanted" things to second hand shops or just in the trash cans.

Secondly, the markets today are flooded with cheap, single-use-only things that are more in demand than high priced quality items. Our houses and closets seem to be overflowing with goods that are more in quantity and less in value. Then, there is too much packaging done by the companies in a bid to make their things more attractive. Mostly this packaging is made from non-biodegradable products, such as plastic.

The solution lies in changing our attitude. We should get old things repaired and try to use them as long as possible. We should not buy things with excessive packaging. This will deter companies from doing too much packaging. We can also bring our own personal shopping bags instead of using plastic bags provided by stores and shops. Besides, the government can enforce stricter laws on companies to use biodegradable packaging. Furthermore, plastics, metal, glass can be recycled. Companies can also contribute by developing new raw material, which is recyclable and will ultimately lead to less garbage.

To sum up, there are many reasons for the increase in household waste being generated. However, some simple steps can be taken to ameliorate the problem. If we do not take steps to tackle this problem on a war footing, our Earth will become un-inhabitable very soon.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Problem solution essay intro*

*Para 1: First reason*

*Para 2: Second reason*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**205. New research has shown that overeating has become a bigger problem in the world than hunger. What are the reasons of this problem? How can you solve it?**

In today's world, we are enjoying the best of the facilities and consequently, a change in our lifestyle and eating habits. An adverse effect of these changes is the increasing health problems associated with the over-consumption of food, which are much more than those arising from under-nutrition. This essay intends to analyse the causes of this phenomenon and suggest solutions to mitigate the problem.

One of the main reasons why over-eating has become a global health crisis, is the change in our diet due to the adoption of the western culture and lifestyle, in almost all parts of the world, barring a few under-developed countries. People are consuming unhealthy fast foods in high amounts, due to a change in their routines. They have become too busy to be able to cook healthy and nutritious food at home. This has led to a trend of having food on the go. As a result, we are seeing international fast food chains, like McDonald's, KFC, Burger King, etc. mushrooming in the developing economies, like India and China. Consequently, the number of health problems arising from such unhealthy eating problems is increasing at an alarming rate.

In addition, low-priced fast food outlets are opening at every street corner and these are within the reach of the common man's pocket. For example, one can get a burger from a street vendor at just Rs20/-, whereas the same at some international food chain would be 10 times more costly. To satiate their taste buds, even the poor income group is consuming these things, which are overloaded with low quality fats and excess oils. So, the body is getting an overdose of the wrong type of calories.

The solutions must be taken on a war footing else the obesity pandemic will cost the people and the governments heavily. Obesity is a major cause of the chronic diseases, like diabetes, hypertension, cardiac diseases, strokes, etc. The solutions at individual level could be to avoid ready made unhealthy and fast food. It has become increasingly important that we take good care of health, by eating healthy, home cooked, nutritious food and having a good exercise routine. The government can impose fat tax on the fast food outlets. This would increase the prices of such foods and people will be discouraged to buy and eat such foods. Also, awareness should be spread through advertising or by conducting activities like marathons. This will motivate people to be prepared for such events and they would exercise regularly.

To sum up, it can be said that it is not too late to get a hold on the problem of over-eating becoming a health crisis and we can take simple measures, both at the individual and state level to solve this problem.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Problem solution essay intro*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Reasons*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**206. People can eat a wide variety of food of other regions. As a result they are eating a lot of foreign food instead of locally produced food. Do you think the advantages of eating foreign food are more than its harms?**

Nowadays, supermarkets are stocked with food products from around the world. As a result, people are being lured towards the foreign food, and are not consuming the local produce. I believe, the disadvantages of imported food outweigh the advantages.

On the positive side, ready availability of food from across the globe gives us a lot of choices. We can taste a variety of fruits and vegetables from all parts of the world. For example, about ten years ago, we hardly saw kiwi fruit, which is from New Zealand. But, now it has a place on every fruit stand. Secondly, many people get employment in this field. Small farmers have a chance to expand globally and it increases the overall economy of the country. Finally, it helps in developing good relations between countries, which helps in international cooperation and peace. If countries are dependent upon one another's economic success, then armed conflict would be less likely.

On the other hand, importing food can have a negative effect on local farmer. Locally produced food would not be able to compete with international produce. So, the local economy would suffer and people would go for other better sources of livelihood than agriculture. The local culture would also be threatened. This can be seen in countries such as Japan where imported food has become more popular than traditional, local produce, eroding people's understanding of their own food traditions.

Another major effect of imported food is the environmental cost. Currently, many food imports such as fruit, are transported thousands of miles by road, sea and air, making the produce more expensive to buy and increasing pollution from exhaust fumes. Despite the fact that trade in food exports has existed for many years, I am convinced that a reduction would bring significant financial and environmental gains.

In conclusion, I am certain that if people ate locally produced food it would have environmental benefits. It would also benefit the local economy because, in time, people would prosper commercially as the demand for local and regional produce would remain high resisting the competition from overseas.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Certainly, the disadvantages of imported food outweigh the advantages*

*Para 1: advantages of transporting goods*

*Para 2: Negative effects on local culture*

*Para 3: Negative effect on environment*

*Conclusion:*

**207. In some cities people are choosing cars instead of bicycles, while in other cities riding bikes are replacing cars. Why is this the case? Which development do you think is better?**

Advancements in technology have brought many changes to various parts of the world. In the fast paced life and ever-changing world of today, in some cities, people are switching to cars from bicycles as a popular means of transport, whereas in some other cities the reverse of this trend is seen, with bicycles gaining more popularity than cars, among the masses. There are many reasons why such trends are being observed. In my opinion, in the present scenario, the shift towards more eco-friendly modes, as bicycles is a better development.

There are many reasons why people prefer motorized means of transport, like cars, to bicycles. This is mostly observed in developing cities and towns, which are experiencing a progress in technology, more job opportunities and thus new facilities, like in transport, education and in healthcare. So, in these places people are adopting modern means of transport, like private cars. It is a sign of progress in such places. Moreover, people have moved their residences from crowded urban areas to the quieter suburbs, because of which cars have become a necessity to commute daily for work to the cities. They are more comfortable, faster, safer and more convenient than bikes.

On the other hand, as we are becoming more aware of the damage being caused environment, many developed nations and cities are moving towards more eco-friendly modes of transport, like bicycles. The major cause of the degradation of the environment is the pollution caused by the vehicles. People have started realizing this fact and to prevent further damage, switching to bicycles has become a solution. Governments in many parts of the world are working towards promoting this trend by making special lanes for cyclists, so that they can ride safely. It would be worthwhile to cite the example of Denmark, where the government has provided 19000 km of bicycle tracks, which has encouraged many people to switch from cars to bicycles.

In addition to this, there are many cycling clubs that have become popular in all the metropolises, which promote cycling, as a means of staying healthy and also as a contribution towards saving our environment. They organize many events to spread awareness among the people. Moreover, cars are no longer considered convenient as the traffic on the roads has increased manifold and driving has become a more of a nuisance than a convenience. People get stuck in traffic jams for hours and their precious time is wasted. So, bicycles are becoming increasingly popular.

To sum up, I would reiterate my view that the use of bicycles is a positive development, as it is not only a step towards saving our environment; it is also an economical way to remain fit and healthy.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Problem solution essay intro*

*Para 1: Reasons of choosing bicycles over cars*

*Para 2: Reasons*

*Para 3: How it is a positive development*

*Conclusion:*

**208. Nowadays, people are consuming more and more sugar-based drinks. Why do they do so? Suggest measures to solve the problem.**

Modernization and advancement in technology has also brought about changes in the food and beverage industry. Sugar based drinks are one such development and these have become increasingly popular in the last few decades and this has led to many health related problems. There are many reasons why the consumption of such drinks has increased, which I shall discuss in the forthcoming paragraphs. I would also suggest some steps that can be taken to ameliorate this problem.

There are a number of reasons for the popularity of such sugar-based drinks. The main reason is the advertising of such products. The companies that manufacture these drinks have very big promotional campaigns, spend billions of dollars on marketing and use the pervasive forms of media, like newspapers and television. They have famous stars as the brand ambassadors and when people see their role models endorsing these drinks, they don't think twice before consuming such drinks, irrespective of their harmful effects.

Another reason is that the target consumers are children and the youngsters when these drinks are promoted. The advertisements are such that this audience can easily relate to them, making it increasingly popular among the younger population. For example, the ads for drinks like Pepsi and Coca Cola are mostly targeted at the younger generation. In addition to the above reasons, some of these drinks contain certain substances that act as stimulants and are addictive, e.g. caffeine and other chemical stimulants.

It has become increasingly important to deal with this issue at the earliest possible, because the increased consumption of such sugar based drinks have led to a plethora of health problems, like obesity, diabetes, increase in cholesterol levels, dental problems, and many other diseases. The first step needs to be taken by the parents. Children follow what they observe and parents need to act as role models. They also need to get their children into the habit of having healthier drinks, like fresh fruit juices, milk, coconut water, and so on. They should encourage their children to have natural sugars rather than artificial ones.

The government can also help by imposing more taxes on such drinks, so that people are discouraged from buying them. Awareness can be spread about the harmful effects of such drinks, through social messages on the TV, the Internet and through newspaper ads. Instead of having sugar-based drinks at theaters, restaurants, cinemas, etc., such places can promote healthier drinks, containing natural sugars and no additives.

To sum up, there are several reasons why the sugary drinks have gained popularity among the masses, however, many steps can be taken to mitigate the health problems that have arisen due to these drinks.

**Plan followed:**

*Intro: Problem solution essay intro*

*Para 1: Reasons*

*Para 2: Reasons*

*Para 3: Solutions*

*Conclusion:*

**209. Some people think that nowadays children have too much freedom. Do you agree or disagree?**

The world is changing fast and so are the rules and the norms of society. I agree with the statement that today's children enjoy much more independence than children of the past. A number of arguments surround my opinion.

The main reason why children today are enjoying more freedom is that their parents realise that children today are capable of deciding things on their own. Today's children have the power of the Internet and the satellite TV in their hands. Today's young generation is the most well informed generation than ever in the history of mankind. Because of the IT boom, today's children grow up quicker than their parents did. They know what their parents and grandparents do not know. So, parents give their children the freedom to make decisions on their own. In the past, children were not exposed to so much information and so meekly did what their parents told them to do.

Another important reason behind the freedom given to today's children is that parents don't have time to monitor their children's activities. In the fast paced world of today, both parents are working longer hours to meet the demands of the consumerist society of today. They are not working just to fulfil their basic necessities, but also to live a lifestyle of luxury and comfort. As a consequence, children are left unattended to enjoy their freedom. Earlier, only one parent worked and mothers were there to supervise their children. Workaholic culture was also not there and people worked limited hours.

Furthermore, the change in family structure from joint to nuclear families is responsible for the freedom showered on today's children. Earlier, grandparents were there to keep a watchful eye on their grandchildren, but today's children do not have such supervision on them, as a result of which they get a lot of freedom.

To sum up, it can be reiterated that the freedom enjoyed by today's children is, by and large, inevitable. The onus is on the parents to see to it that this excess freedom does not go against their children.

**Plan followed**

*Intro: Agree*

*Para 1: First reason*

*Para 2: Second reason*

*Para 3: Third reason*

*Conclusion: reiterate opinion*

**210. Consumers are faced with increasing numbers of advertisements from competing companies. To what extent do you think are consumers influenced by advertisements? What measures can be taken to protect them?**

Advertising is the heart of trade. No wonder, companies are bombarding people with adverts of their products and services. Consumers are influenced by advertisements in both positive and negative ways. This essay shall delve into the ways adverts affect the common man and suggest ways to protect people from the negative effects of adverts.

On the positive side ads tell us about the new products that are launched in the market. They also tell us about the working of these products. After seeing the ads, consumers can go to the market and select things of their choice. What is more, the advertising industry provides jobs to many. Ads also touch social issues.

On the other hand, advertisements can cause people to be dissatisfied with what they already have and make them want more. Being exposed again and again to products which one cannot afford leads to dissatisfaction. Furthermore, not all parents are in the position to afford the goods, which the children see advertised and want to possess. This often leads to feelings of inadequacy among them. In addition to this, advertisements lead to materialism and people lay too much emphasis on material goods. People are prepared to work long hours or even turn to crime to get these goods.

The solution is not simple, as advertising is a very persuasive medium. To begin with, advertisements, which make false claims, should be banned. Then there should be consumer awareness programmes. Consumers should be warned against too much consumerism. Finally, advertisements for liquor and those ads, which show stunts, should also be banned.

To conclude, today we are influenced a lot by adverts, both in positive and negative ways, without adverts we would lose a valuable source of revenue, which is used for the benefit of majority. However, many steps can be taken to mitigate the negative influence of ads.

**Plan followed**

*Intro –*

*Para 1 – positive effects*

*Para 2 – advertisements have negative points*

*Para 3 – solutions are not simple*

*Conclusion:*

## 211. Intelligence is the most important quality for a leader. Do you agree or disagree?

A leader is a person who leads and inspires a group of people, an organization or a country. There are several qualities a good leader must have, and intelligence is just one of those virtues. I disagree that the most essential quality to be a good leader is for him/her to have intellect. There are a myriad of reasons that support my view.

The first and foremost reason why I believe that intelligence is not the most important quality needed by a good leader is because any single trait is not enough to be a good leader. It has to be a blend of many traits. Of course, intelligence is needed to be good at any work, in any field. We need to have good knowledge and awareness for any work we specialize in. But, intelligence alone cannot make any person a good leader. For instance, an analyst in any field has an immense knowledge about his field of work and can work very well with data to improve processes, however, all the analysts cannot become leaders.

To further add to it, there are many other characteristics that make someone a front-runner, whom others follow and respect. Some abilities like good interpersonal skills, communication and oratorical skills are essential, as it is only through these abilities that a leader can inspire, motivate and encourage his/her team or followers on the path of success or progress. In addition, it is often said that integrity and optimism are the foundation of a good leader. People seek honesty, integrity and positivity in leaders, be it a politician, a team manager or a project lead. If any of these attributes are missing in a person, he/she cannot be an effective leader. A very good example of such a leader is Late Dr. Abdul Kalam, who was not only a genius in his field of work, but also a very good orator, he was honest and inspires the youth of India, even after his demise.

There are many more skills that make a leader lead effectively. One more important skill is to be able to identify the strengths and weaknesses in the team members. By doing this very good results can be achieved by the appropriate assignment of work to the team members, as per their caliber. So, even if a person is not very intelligent himself, but has the ability to recognise the intelligence of others, he can be a good leader. Another important characteristic of a good leader is that he/she should be a visionary. A leader should be able to envision the best way to achieve the goal and how to make it work effectually for many years to come.

To conclude, it can be reiterated that intelligence alone cannot be deemed as the most important attribute of a good leader. It is an amalgamation of several characteristics that make and define a virtuous and respectable leader.

### **Plan followed**

*Intro – Disagree*

*Para 1 – Any single trait is not enough. A blend of many traits is*

*Para 2 – Other traits required*

*Para 3 – A person who can recognise the abilities of others can be a good leader.*

*Conclusion:*

**212. Many employees may work at home with the modern technology. Some people claim that it can benefit only the workers, not the employers. Do you agree or disagree?**

Working from home with the help of telephone lines, or, in other words, telecommuting has become very popular especially where the Internet connections are fast and reliable. I, disagree with the given statement that it can benefit only the employees and not the employers. Telecommuting is a win-win situation for both employers and employees.

There are many advantages of telecommuting to employees. To begin with, it saves time as no time is wasted commuting to and from the office. It also saves money as no spending on private or public transport has to be done. Furthermore the worker can look after family commitments like dropping the child to school etc. Although most of the work done by tele-workers is monitored, still a few minutes can be snatched at times. Finally, the tele-worker can do some side business side by side.

On the other hand there are many advantages to employers. Firstly, less office space is needed as the workers are working from home. It is a fact that land prices are exorbitant and it is very expensive to build large offices. Not only building but maintaining offices is also very costly. Then, it has been seen that employees take less sick leave and other leaves. This is also beneficial for employers.

It would be worthwhile to consider why some people opine that telecommuting is not beneficial for employers. They argue that supervising tele-workers is difficult. Statistics have proved that telecommuters take pains to work well from home as they realize the benefits it has for them.

To sum up, telecommuting benefits both workers as well as employers.

**Plan followed**

Intro: disagree

Para 1: advantages of telecommuting to employees

Para 2: advantages to employers

Para 3: Why some people opine that it is not beneficial for employers

Conclusion: telecommuting benefits both workers as well as employers