

## AOV table for trait responses to competition

ANOVAs were run as `trait ~ species+plot_type+species*plot_type`, where plot type was either comp for competition plots or lambda for lambda plots. All three traits were logged to normalize.

### SLA

#### Analysis of Variance Table

```
Response: log_sla_cm2_g
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
species           12  1.40600  0.117166 23.6190 < 2.2e-16 ***
plot_type          1  0.11712  0.117121 23.6098 2.689e-06 ***
species:plot_type  12  0.14504  0.012086  2.4364 0.006037 **
Residuals         168  0.83340  0.004961
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

### LDMC

#### Analysis of Variance Table

```
Response: log_ldmc_mg_g
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
species           12  1.40891  0.117409 21.8165 < 2.2e-16 ***
plot_type          1  0.00211  0.002105  0.3912 0.532522
species:plot_type  12  0.17680  0.014734  2.7378 0.002054 **
Residuals         168  0.90412  0.005382
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

### Leaf Size

#### Analysis of Variance Table

```
Response: log_leaf_area_cm2
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
species           12 20.0379  1.66982 42.7008 < 2.2e-16 ***
plot_type          1  0.2459  0.24587  6.2874 0.01311 *
species:plot_type  12  3.2068  0.26724  6.8338 5.624e-10 ***
Residuals         168  6.5697  0.03911
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```