

# Ecosystem and community resistance to six years of drought and deluge in the Sagebrush Steppe

Andrew T. Tredennick<sup>1</sup>, Peter B. Adler<sup>1</sup>, and Andrew R. Kleinbasselink<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California xxxxx*

Last compile: May 6, 2017

## Abstract

Summarize it here

## Introduction

Start it here

## Methods

### Study Area

We conducted our precipitation manipulation experiment at the United States Sheep Experimental Station (USSES) near Dubois, Idaho (44.2° N, 112.1° W), 1500 m above sea level. The vegetation is typical of high elevation sagebrush steppe. The plant community is dominated by the shrub *Artemisia tripartita* and three perennial bunchgrasses, *Pseudoroegneria spicata*, *Poa secunda*, and *Hesperostipa comata*. During the period of our experiment, average mean annual precipitation was xxx mm and mean monthly temperature ranged from -x°C in January to x°C in July.

## Precipitation Experiment

Between 1926 and 1932, range scientists at the USSES established 26 permanent 1 m<sup>2</sup> quadrats to track vegetation change over time. In 2007, we (well, one of us [P. Adler]) relocated 14 of the original quadrats, six of which were inside a large, permanent livestock enclosure. We use these six plots as control plots that have received no treatment, just ambient precipitation. In spring 2011, we (well, two of us [A. Kleinhesselink and P. Adler]) established 16 new 1 m<sup>2</sup> plots. We avoided areas on steep hill slopes, areas with greater than 20% cover of bare rock, and areas with greater than 10% cover of the shrubs *Purshia tridentata* and/or *Amelanchier utahensis*. We established the new plots in pairs and randomly assigned each plot in a pair to receive a “drought” or “irrigation” treatment.

Drought and irrigation treatments were designed to decrease and increase the amount of ambient precipitation by 50%, respectively. To achieve this, we used a system of rain-out shelters and automatic irrigation (Gherardi & Sala 2013). The rain-out shelters consisted of transparent acrylic shingles 1-1.5 m above the ground that covered an area of 2.5 by 2 m. The shingles intercepted approximately 50% of incoming rainfall, which was channeled into 75 liter containers. Captured rainfall was then pumped out of the containers and sprayed on to the adjacent irrigation plot via two suspended sprinklers. Pumping was triggered by float switches once water levels reached about 20 liters. We disconnected the irrigation pumps each fall and reconnected them, often with difficulty, each spring. The rain-out shelters remained in place throughout the year.

To make sure the treatments were having the desired effects, we monitored soil moisture in four of the drought-irrigation pairs using Decagon Devices (Pulman, Washington) 5TM and EC-5 soil moisture sensors. We installed four sensors in each plot, two at 5 cm soil depth and two at 25 cm soil depth. We also installed four sensors in areas nearby the four selected plot pairs to measure ambient soil moisture at the same depths. Soil moisture measurements were automatically logged every four hours. We coupled this temporally intensive soil moisture sampling with spatially extensive readings taken at six points within all 16 plots and associated ambient measurement areas. These snapshot data were collected on 06/06/2012, 04/29/2015, 05/07/2015, 06/09/2015, and

05/10/2016 using a handheld EC-5 sensor.

## **Data Collection**

**Aboveground Net Primary Productivity** We estimated aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) using a radiometer to relate ground reflectance to plant biomass (see Byrne et al. 2011 for a review). We recorded ground reflectance at four wavelengths, two associated with red reflectance (xxx and xxx) and two associated with near-infrared reflectance (xxx and xxx). At each plot in each year, we took four readings of ground reflectances at the above wavelengths. We also took readings in ten calibration plots adjacent to the experimental site, in which we harvested all aboveground biomass, dried it to a constant weight at 60°C, and weighed it to estimate ANPP.

For each plot and year, we averaged the four readings for each wavelength and then calculated NDVI using the MODIS and AVHRR algorithms. To convert NDVI to ANPP we regressed NDVI against the dry biomass weight from the ten calibration plots. We fit regressions to MODIS-based NDVI and AVHRR-based NDVI for each year and retained the regression with the better fit. Using the best regression equation for each year, we predicted ANPP.

**Species Composition** Species composition data came from annual census maps for each plot made using a pantograph (Hill 1920). The maps record the spatial location and size of each individual plant. Using those annual maps, we aggregated over individuals to calculate total basal cover for each species in each plot.

## **Data Analysis**

### **ANPP and Ecosystem Stability**

### **Species Synchrony and Species Composition**

## Results

## Discussion

## Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Science Foundation through grants DEB-1353078 and DEB-1054040 to PBA, a Graduate Research Fellowship to ARK, and a Postdoctoral Research Fellowship in Biology to ATT (DBI-1400370). Additional support came from the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station (journal paper xxxx). We thank the many summer research technicians who collected the data reported in this paper.

## References

- Byrne, K.M., Lauenroth, W.K., Adler, P.B. & Byrne, C.M. (2011). Estimating Aboveground Net Primary Production in Grasslands: A Comparison of Nondestructive Methods. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 64, 498–505.
- Gherardi, L.A. & Sala, O.E. (2013). Automated rainfall manipulation system: a reliable and inexpensive tool for ecologists. *Ecosphere*, 4, 1–10.
- Hill, R.R. (1920). Charting Quadrats with a Pantograph. *Ecology*, 1, 270–273.