



#### These are the slides of the lecture

#### Pattern Recognition

Winter term 2020/21 Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen-Nuremberg.

These slides are are release under Creative Commons License Attribution CC BY 4.0.

Please feel free to reuse any of the figures and slides, as long as you keep a reference to the source of these slides at https://lme.tf.fau.de/teaching/acknowledging the authors Niemann, Hornegger, Hahn, Steidl, Nöth, Seitz, Rodriguez, Das and Maier.

Erlangen, January 8, 2021 Prof. Dr.-Ing. Andreas Maier





# Pattern Recognition (PR)

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Andreas Maier
Pattern Recognition Lab (CS 5), Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Winter Term 2020/21







# Rosenblatt's Perceptron (1957)







#### **Motivation**

- We want to compute a linear decision boundary.
- We assume that classes are linearly separable.
- Computation of a linear separating hyperplane that minimizes the distance of misclassified feature vectors to the decision boundary.





## **Objective Function**

#### Assume the following:

- Class numbers are  $y = \pm 1$ .
- The decision boundary is a linear function:

$$y^* = \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0).$$





#### **Objective Function**

#### Assume the following:

- Class numbers are  $y = \pm 1$ .
- The decision boundary is a linear function:

$$y^* = \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha^T \mathbf{x} + \alpha_0).$$

• Parameters  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha$  are chosen according to the optimization problem

minimize 
$$\left\{D(\alpha_0, \alpha) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{M}} y_i \cdot (\alpha^T \mathbf{x}_i + \alpha_0)\right\}$$

where  ${\mathscr M}$  includes the misclassified feature vectors.





• The elements of the sum in the objective function depend on the set of misclassified feature vectors  $\mathcal M$ .





- The elements of the sum in the objective function depend on the set of misclassified feature vectors M.
- $\bullet$  In each iteration step the cardinality of  ${\mathscr M}$  might change.





- The elements of the sum in the objective function depend on the set of misclassified feature vectors M.
- In each iteration step the cardinality of  $\ensuremath{\mathscr{M}}$  might change.
- The cardinality of  $\mathcal M$  is a discrete variable.





- The elements of the sum in the objective function depend on the set of misclassified feature vectors  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- In each iteration step the cardinality of M might change.
- The cardinality of M is a discrete variable.
- Competing variables: continuous parameters of linear decision boundary and the discrete cardinality of  $\mathcal{M}$ .





Remember the objective function  $D(\alpha_0, \alpha)$ :

minimize 
$$D(lpha_0, oldsymbol{lpha}) = -\sum_{oldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathscr{M}} y_i \cdot (oldsymbol{lpha}^{ au} oldsymbol{x}_i + lpha_0)$$





Remember the objective function  $D(\alpha_0, \alpha)$ :

minimize 
$$D(lpha_0, oldsymbol{lpha}) = -\sum_{oldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathscr{M}} y_i \cdot (oldsymbol{lpha}^{\mathsf{T}} oldsymbol{x}_i + lpha_0)$$

The gradient of the objective function is:





Remember the objective function  $D(\alpha_0, \alpha)$ :

minimize 
$$D(\alpha_0, \alpha) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathscr{M}} y_i \cdot (\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + \alpha_0)$$

The gradient of the objective function is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_0} D(\alpha_0, \alpha) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{M}} y_i$$





Remember the objective function  $D(\alpha_0, \alpha)$ :

minimize 
$$D(\alpha_0, \alpha) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathscr{M}} y_i \cdot (\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + \alpha_0)$$

The gradient of the objective function is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_0} D(\alpha_0, \alpha) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{M}} y_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} D(\alpha_0, \alpha) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{M}} y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$$





We want to take an update step right after having visited each misclassified observation. The update rule in the (k+1)-st iteration step is:

$$\binom{\alpha_0^{(k+1)}}{\alpha^{(k+1)}} =$$





We want to take an update step right after having visited each misclassified observation. The update rule in the (k+1)-st iteration step is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k+1)} \\ \alpha^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Here  $\lambda$  is the learning rate which can be set to 1 without loss of generality.





Input: training data:  $S = \{(\textbf{\textit{x}}_1, y_1), (\textbf{\textit{x}}_2, y_2), (\textbf{\textit{x}}_3, y_3), \dots, (\textbf{\textit{x}}_m, y_m)\}$ 





```
Input: training data: S = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), (\mathbf{x}_3, y_3), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m)\} initialize k = 0, \alpha_0^{(0)} = 0 and \alpha^{(0)} = 0 repeat select pair (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) from training set.
```





Input: training data: 
$$S = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), (\mathbf{x}_3, y_3), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m)\}$$
 initialize  $k = 0$ ,  $\alpha_0^{(0)} = 0$  and  $\alpha^{(0)} = 0$  repeat select pair  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$  from training set. if  $y_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} + \alpha_0^{(k)}) \leq 0$  then 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k+1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix}$$
  $k \leftarrow k+1$ 

end if





```
Input: training data: S = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\}
initialize k=0, \alpha_0^{(0)}=0 and \alpha^{(0)}=0
repeat
     select pair (\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) from training set.
     if v_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2^{(k)}) \le 0 then
          \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k+1)} \\ \alpha_0^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha_0^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix}
           k \leftarrow k + 1
     end if
until y_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i^T \alpha^{(k)} + \alpha_0^{(k)}) > 0 for all i
Output: \alpha_0^{(k)} and \alpha^{(k)}
```





#### Remarks on Perceptron Learning

- The update rule is extremely simple.
- Nothing happens if we classify all x<sub>i</sub> correctly using the given linear decision boundary.
- $\bullet$  The parameter  $\alpha$  of the decision boundary is a linear combination of feature vectors.





#### Remarks on Perceptron Learning

- The update rule is extremely simple.
- Nothing happens if we classify all  $x_i$  correctly using the given linear decision boundary.
- The parameter  $\alpha$  of the decision boundary is a linear combination of feature vectors.
- The decision boundary thus is:

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathscr{E}} y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i\right)^T \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{E}} y_i = \sum_{i \in \mathscr{E}} y_i \cdot \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{E}} y_i$$

where  $\mathscr{E}$  is the list of indices that required an update (indices may appear more than once).





10

#### Remarks on Perceptron Learning (cont.)

- The final linear decision boundary depends on the initialization, i. e.  $\alpha_0^{(0)}$  and  $\alpha^{(0)}$ .
- The number of iterations can be rather large.
- If data are not linearly separable, the proposed learning algorithm will not converge.
   The algorithm will end up in hard to detect cycles.





## Convergence of Learning Algorithm

#### Theorem (Convergence Theorem of Rosenblatt and Novikoff)

Assume that for all i = 1, 2, ..., m

$$y_i(\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}^* + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0^*) \geq \rho$$

where  $\rho > 0$  and  $\|\alpha^*\| = 1$ . Let  $M = \max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2$ .

The perceptron learning algorithm converges to a linear decision boundary after k iterations, where k is bounded by

$$k \leq \frac{(\alpha_0^{*2}+1)(1+M^2)}{\rho^2}.$$

11





$$\begin{pmatrix} lpha_0^{(k)} \\ oldsymbol{lpha}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T egin{pmatrix} lpha_0^* \\ oldsymbol{lpha}^* \end{pmatrix} =$$





$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^* \end{pmatrix}$$





$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$





$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \\
\geq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} + \rho$$





Let us look at the estimated parameters after k iterations and how the parameters change with iterations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \\
\geq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \alpha^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} + \rho \\
\geq k\rho$$

Conclusion: The more iterations (i. e. misclassifications) we have, the more the vectors are aligned.





Now we apply Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for inner products:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2$$





Now we apply Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for inner products:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0^{*2} + 1}$$





The norm of the vector estimated in the k-th iteration step is:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2$$





The norm of the vector estimated in the k-th iteration step is:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix}$$





We only go into iteration step (k+1) if we did a mistake in iteration k. A misclassification implies:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix} = y_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i^T \alpha^{(k)} + \alpha_0^{(k)}) \quad < \quad 0$$





We only go into iteration step (k+1) if we did a mistake in iteration k. A misclassification implies:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \end{pmatrix} = y_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i^T \alpha^{(k)} + \alpha_0^{(k)}) \quad < \quad 0$$

And thus we get

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \le \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i \end{pmatrix} \le k(1 + M^2)$$





Wrap-up:

$$k\rho \leq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0^{*2} + 1}$$





Wrap-up:

$$k\rho \leq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0^{*2} + 1}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz:

$$k\rho \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0^{*2} + 1} \leq \sqrt{k(1+M^2)(\alpha_0^{*2} + 1)}$$





Wrap-up:

$$k\rho \leq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^* \\ \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0^{*2} + 1}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz:

$$k\rho \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(k)} \\ \alpha^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0^{*2} + 1} \leq \sqrt{k(1+M^2)(\alpha_0^{*2} + 1)}$$

shows:

$$k \leq \frac{(\alpha_0^{*2}+1)(1+M^2)}{\rho^2}$$





#### **Lessons Learned**

- Objective function changes in each iteration step.
- Optimization problem is discrete.
- Very simple learning rule.
- A Very important: Number of iterations does not depend on the dimension of the feature vectors.





# Next Time in Pattern Recognition











19

#### **Further Readings**

- Brian D. Ripley: Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman: The Elements of Statistical Learning – Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 2009.





#### **Comprehensive Questions**

· What is Rosenblatt's perceptron?

What is the objective function for Rosenblatt's perceptron?

Why is the optimization of the objective function nonlinear?

When and how does Rosenblatt's perceptron algorithm converge?