

Chapter = 03

Small sample test

(n < 30)

\rightarrow Student's t-test =

Test of hypo based on the Student's t-distr. \rightarrow t test. It is used as test of significance in following cases -

1) To test the significance of mean of small sample from normal pop.

2) To test the significance of difference b/w two means of 2 independent samples taken from a normal pop.

3) To test the significance of the difference b/w means of 2 dependent samples taken from a normal pop.

4) To test the significance of an observed correlation (cor).

5) To test the significance of an observed regression (cor).

\rightarrow t-test for pop mean =

If is using Student's t-test, the following assumptions must be made.

1) Population from which the sample is drawn is normal.

2) Sample does not independent in random.

Sample size should be small ($n < 30$)

3) σ is unknown.

By testing the mean of normal pop we are actually testing the significance

difference b/w sample mean & the hypo the to test
of μ .
Hence we are testing $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ against 1 of the alternatives
 $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ or $\mu > \mu_0$ as $\mu \neq \mu_0$.

test statistic,

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}}} \quad \text{where } s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

for the significance level α , PCR are

$\omega = t < -t_{\alpha}$, $\omega = t > t_{\alpha}$ & $\omega = |t| \geq t_{\alpha/2}$

where t_{α} is determined by using the t-table for $(n-1)$ DF.
Now cal value of t using the sample data & if t lies in CR reject H_0 otherwise accept

A sample of 10 obs. gives a mean = 38 & $s_D = 4$, can we conclude that pop mean

$$\hat{s}_D = 4$$

$n = 10$, $\bar{x} = 38$, $s = 4$, $\mu_0 = 40$.

we has to test $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ against $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$.

$$t_{\alpha/2} = 2.262$$

Let $\alpha = 0.05$
 $t_{\alpha/2} = 1.71 \geq t_{\alpha/2}$ DF ex $\alpha = 0.05$

from t-table table for $L_{10-1-1} - \frac{1}{2}$

$$|t| = 2.262$$

$$t = \frac{38 - 40}{\frac{4}{\sqrt{10}}} = -1.5$$

$$= -1.5$$

$$|t| = 1.5 < 2.262$$

accept

2) A r.s size 16 had 53 as mean
if sum of D's of deviation taken from
mean is 150. can the sample be regarded
as drawn with mean 56.

$$n=16, \bar{x}=53, \sum (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2 = 150, H_0: \mu = 56$$

$$n s_1^2 = 150, s_1^2 = \frac{150}{16} = 9.375$$

$$(S.D) \rightarrow S = \underline{\underline{3.06}}$$

$$H_0: H_0 = 56 \text{ against } H_1: \mu \neq 56.$$

BCR, $\omega = |t| \geq t_{\text{table}}$.

$$(n-1)DF = 15 \rightarrow 2.947$$

$$t = \frac{53 - 56}{3.06/\sqrt{15}} = \frac{-3}{0.79} = \underline{\underline{-3.79}}$$

$$|t| = 3.79 \geq 2.947$$

reject ~~H₀~~

~~t-test for equality of 2 pop mean =~~

By testing the equality of 2 pop mean
we mean the test of significance of 2 sample
mean.

Assumption —

- * 1 pop form which the samples are drawn
- * 2 pop form should follow normal distribution — should be independent & random.
- * Sample obs' n should be small. ($n_1 < 30$ & $n_2 < 30$)
- * Samples should be equal = $n_1 = n_2$
- * Variance s_1^2, s_2^2 must be \neq & unknown
- * 2 (P) Here we are testing $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ against $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0, \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$,

$$\text{test statistic, } t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2 + s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} \quad s_1^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x}_1)^2$$

$$s_2^2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum (x_j - \bar{x}_2)^2$$

For $\alpha = 0.05$, BCR, $\omega = t < t_{\alpha}$, $t > t_{\alpha}$ & $|t| \geq t_{\text{table}}$

where t_{α} is obtained by referring
t-table for $(n_1 + n_2 - 2)$ DF.

Now cal value of t, if it lies in true
CR, reject H_0 otherwise accept H_0 .

The mean life of a sample of 10 electric bulbs
was observed to be 1309 hrs with std
of 420 hrs. A 2nd sample of 16 of
different batch showed a mean life of 1205
hrs with std of 390 hrs. Test whether
there is a significant difference b/w means
(use $\alpha = 0.05$) ?

$$H_0: \text{Assume that the pop from which the samples
are drawn are normal.}$$

$$n_1 = 10, n_2 = 16, \bar{x}_1 = 1309, \bar{x}_2 = 1205, s_1 = 420, s_2 = 390.$$

use t test,

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \text{ against } H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

BCR, $\omega = |t| \geq t_{\text{table}}$.

$$(n_1 + n_2 - 2)DF = 10 + 16 - 2 = 24 \quad \{ \text{table.} \}$$

(P-13)

$$|t| \geq t_{\text{table}} \rightarrow 2.064.$$

test statistic,

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{n_1 s_1^2 + n_2 s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{1309 - 1205}{\sqrt{\frac{10 \times 420^2 + 16 \times 390^2}{10 + 16 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{16} \right)}} = \underline{\underline{2.064}}$$

$$= \frac{104}{\sqrt{\frac{17640000 + 2433600}{2} \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{16} \right)}}$$

$$R = \frac{104}{3168.090908 \times 0.403} = 0.616$$

$$0.616 \leq 2.064$$

Accept

- e) follow - are samples from 2 independent normal pop. Test the hypo - that they have true same. Assuming that the μ_{100} are = by taking the level of significance as 5%.

* Example 1: 14 18 12 9 16 24 20 21 19 17

* Example 2: 20 24 18 16 26 25 18.

$$\text{a) } \bar{x}_1 = \frac{\sum x_1}{n_1} = \frac{170}{10} = 17, \quad \bar{x}_2 = \frac{\sum x_2}{n_2} = 21.$$

x_1	x_2	$(x_1 - \bar{x}_1)$	$(x_1 - \bar{x}_1)^2$	$(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)$	$(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)^2$
14	20	-3	9	1	1
18	24	1	1	3	9
12	18	-5	25	-3	9
9	16	-8	64	-5	25
16	26	-1	1	5	25
24	25	7	49	16	25
20	18	3	9	9	81
21	5	16	256	4	16
19	2	4	16	9	81
17	0	0	0	0	0
170	21				

$$n_1 s_1^2 = 178$$

$$n_2 s_2^2 = 94$$

t-test for dependent samples = paired t-test

When we have the data obtained by observing the values of some attribute of different elements of P, observed "before" & typical case could be measure a certain reaction in human body (X1) & after (Y1) some treatment.

The purpose is to decide whether the treatment has an effect that is such that the difference, $\Delta = \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2$, is $\neq 0$ in true sense.

To test null hypo, $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ against 1 more & related alternative $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ or $\mu \neq \mu_0$. In most typical application we set up hypo - as $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ against $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$.

Test statistic is, $t = \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n-1}}}, \quad \bar{\Delta} = \frac{\sum \Delta_i}{n}$

Table value for t obtained by (N.T) or $t(\text{prob})$ $\alpha = 0.05$.

$$s_d \rightarrow S.D. \\ s_d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum d_i^2} \\ d_i = x_i - y_i$$

To test the efficiency of sleeping pills, a drug company uses a sample of insomniacs the time in min until falling asleep is observed for each of them. Few always takes the time until falling asleep again a sleeping pill. The measurements are given as follows:

$$\kappa = \zeta$$

$$A_d = \sqrt{d_1^2 - d_2^2}$$

5.89 ~~5.75~~ = 2.175

$$t = \frac{\tau_0}{S_{\text{eff}} \sqrt{s_{\text{cut}}}} = -\frac{10.6}{(0.77) \sqrt{15}} = -3.39$$

$$t_{12} = 2.76$$

10

~~Sleeping pills are effective in recovering the time to fall asleep.~~

x_i	y_i	$d_i = x_i - y_i$	d_i^2	$\frac{d_i^2}{d_i^2 + \bar{d}^2}$	$d\bar{r}^2 - \bar{d}^2$
65	65	0	0	0	0
35	15	20	400	0.04	15.84
80	61	19	361	0.03	15.84
40	31	9	81	0.01	15.84
50	20	30	900	0.07	15.84
<u>220</u>			<u>2200</u>		<u>345.6</u>
<u>2200</u>			<u>2200</u>		<u>-2316</u>
<u>2200</u>			<u>2200</u>		<u>-303.16</u>
<u>2200</u>			<u>2200</u>		<u>515.84</u>
<u>2200</u>			<u>2200</u>		<u>2210.2</u>

F-test in testing of hypothesis =

- * used for testing the equality of σ^2 of 2 normal (Φ) whether $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$ or $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$.
- * Test procedure as follows —
 - draw a random sample of size n_1 from $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ & a sample of size n_2 from $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$.

Let s_1^2 & s_2^2 be their sample σ^2 .

$$F = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} = \frac{\frac{n_1 s_1^2}{n_1 - 1}}{\frac{n_2 s_2^2}{n_2 - 1}}$$

This statistic follows Snedecor's F distribution with $(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$ DF.

The F-test is performed as a right tailed test, so if $\frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} > F_{\alpha}$, the hypothesis $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ is rejected.

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 \text{ against } H_1: \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2.$$

Let $\alpha = 0.05$ or 0.01 .

Test statistic,

$$F = \frac{n_1 s_1^2 / (n_1 - 1)}{n_2 s_2^2 / (n_2 - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\chi^2 \text{ test}} =$$

* An imp non-parametric test is χ^2 test.

* χ^2 test is 1st the simplest & most commonly used non-parametric test at significance.

* Imp appln are -

- a) To test the var of normal (P).
- b) " the goodness of fit.
- c) " the independence of attributes.

$$\underline{\text{I} \chi^2 \text{ test by } (\rho)}$$

* This test is conducted when we want to test if the given normal (P) has a

specified var, $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$

* χ^2 test for var is generally a right

paired test, so we are testing ~~H0~~

$H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ against $H_1: \sigma^2 > \sigma_0^2$

* Test statistic is,

$$\boxed{\chi^2_i = \frac{n s^2}{\sigma_0^2}}$$

For $\alpha = 0.05$

The BCR, we = $\chi^2 > \chi^2_k$

where χ^2_α obtained by referring χ^2 table for $(n-1)DF$ with prob $P(\chi^2 > \chi^2_\alpha) = \alpha$.
cal the value of χ^2 & if it is
in the CR reject H_0 otherwise accept.

Q) A manufacturer process is expected to produce good's in a specified weight with variance < 5 units. A RS of 10 was found to have variance 6.2 units & there reason to suspect that the process hasn't has used $\alpha = 0.05$?
A) $\sigma_0^2 = 5$, $s^2 = 6.2$, $n = 10$.

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = 5 \text{ against } H_1: \sigma^2 > 5$$

$$\alpha = 0.5 \text{ BCR } \chi^2 > \chi^2_k$$

$$9DF \rightarrow \chi^2_k = 16.92 \text{ (table value)}$$

$$\therefore \chi^2 = \frac{n s^2}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{10 \times 6.2}{5} = 12.4$$

$$12.4 < \chi^2_k$$

\Rightarrow Chi Square test of independence =

Data classified into grps according to 2 more classification system.

The data will be given in a 2×2 contingency table.

Let there be 2 attributes A & B.

classes A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m & B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n .

into n classes

H_0 : the 2 attributes are independent
 H_1 : the 2 attributes are not independent.

A_i	B_1	B_2	\dots	B_n	Total
A_1	f_{11}	f_{12}	\dots	f_{1n}	f_1
A_2	f_{21}	f_{22}	\dots	f_{2n}	f_2
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\dots	\vdots	\vdots
A_m	f_{m1}	f_{m2}	\dots	f_{mn}	f_m
Total	f_1	f_2	\dots	f_n	N

The expected freq., $f_{ij}^e = f_i \times f_j$

test statistic,

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

false value of χ^2 is obtained by
 following the table (in χ^2) D.F. $(n-1)$ D.F. if at
 a given α is significant.

From the following table showing the no. of plant
 having certain characters. Test the hypothesis
 that the flower color is independent of
 whether it leaves.

	white flower	red flower	total
leaves	99	36	135
no leaves	20	5	25
Total	119	41	160

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \frac{135 \times 119}{160} = 100 \\ f_{12} &= \frac{135 \times 41}{160} = 35 \\ f_{21} &= \frac{25 \times 119}{160} = 19 \\ f_{22} &= \frac{25 \times 41}{160} = 6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2 &= \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \\ &= \frac{(69 - 100)^2}{100} + \frac{(36 - 35)^2}{35} + \frac{(20 - 19)^2}{19} + \frac{(5 - 6)^2}{6} \\ &= \frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{35} + \frac{1}{19} + \frac{1}{6} \\ &= 0.249 \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha = 0.05 \text{ & } (2-1)(2-1) = 1.$$

$$\text{Then } \chi^2 = 3.841$$

$$0.249 < 3.841$$

independent

\rightarrow Yate's correction =

If any cell freq. < 5 we add 0.5 to
 that cell & adjust the remaining cell
 accordingly. Then the χ^2 test is

fit is applied.

goodness of fit is applied
contingency table,
considerably

a	b
c	d

we have,

$$\chi^2 = \frac{N(a-d-b+c)^2}{(a+b)(a+c)(b+d)(c+d)}$$

According to Yates correction we add
 $\frac{1}{2}$ to each cell & subtract $\frac{1}{2}$ from each
so that the totals at the margin of
error are not at all disturbed.
Thus with Yates correction χ^2 value
becomes

$$\chi^2 = \frac{N(|ad-bc| - \frac{1}{2}N)^2}{(a+b)(a+c)(b+d)(c+d)}$$

Q) consider following 2×2 contingency table.

		Total	
		A ₁	A ₂
B ₁	7	1	8
	6	8	14
Total	13	9	22

test the independence of attributes after
applying Yates correction.

4) Set up the hypo H_0 : 2 attributes A &

one independent against H_1 : 2 attr. are not independent.

i.e. if the cell freq. is 1 (< 5) we
apply Yates c.

$$\chi^2 = \frac{N(|ad-bc| - \frac{1}{2}N)^2}{(a+b)(a+c)(b+d)(c+d)}$$

$$= 22 \left((7 \times 8 - 1 \times 6) - \frac{1}{2} \times 22 \right)^2 \\ = \frac{(7+1)(7+6)(6+8)(6+8)}{(7+6)(7+8)(6+8)(6+8)} = 2.554$$

$$\text{df} : 1, \alpha = 0.05$$

$$\chi_{\alpha}^2 = 3.841$$

$$\chi^2 > \chi_{\alpha}^2 \quad \text{Accept}$$

2 attributes are independent.