```
page 1 5.1 The Riemann Integral
    Def 5.1. A partition P of the Internal Cabo is a finite set $ Xo, X1, -2 Xn }
                                                                       such that a=X0 < X1 < X2 < ... < Xn- < Xn = b. We write \Delta X_i = X_i - X_{i-1} for 1 = i = n.
                            Let fila, b] - R be bounded and P a partition of [a,b].
                      We define m;=inf 3 f(x): x;-1 = x = x; 3 M; = sup 3 f(x): x;-1 = x = x; 3
                                                          L(P,f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \Delta x_i (lower Darboux sum)
                                                          U(P, f) = Zin Mi DX; (upper Darboux sum)
   Prop 5.1.2 Let filabl= R be bounded. Suppose m=f(x)=M for all x = [a,b]. Then & partitions P
                                    m(b-a) \leq L(P,f) \leq U(P,f) \leq m(P,f).
                        ef For each X = [Xi+, Xi], M=Mi=M. Also, Zi= AXi=(Xi-Xo)+(X2-Xi)+...+(Xn-Xn-v)+(Xn-Xn-v)
                                                                      For each i=1, ..., n, m bxi = mi bxi = Mi bxi = M bxi . Summing from i=1 to n,
                                                                              m(b-a) \leq L(P,f) \leq V(P,f) \leq M(b-a).
                                   This proposition says $L(P,f): P is a partition $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{5}{2}U(P,f): P is a partition $\frac{3}{2}$ are bounded sets, justifying the next
  Def S.1.3 [ f(x) dx = sup {[(P,f): P is a partition of laib]} (lower Danboux untegral)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     definition.
                           and [b f(x)dx = inf & U(P,f): P is a partition of [a,b] (upper Danboux untegral)
                                         A bounded function f: [aub] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} is Riemann Integrable if f: f: f: f: f. In this case, we simply write
  Def 51.9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                Safex) dx or Safex or Saf
 Def 5.1.6 For partitions P= 3 to, X1, xn 3 and P= 3 xo, x1, xn 3, we say P is a refinement of P if P= P
                                                     eig. $1,2,3,43 is a refinement of $1,3,43. Intuitively, refinement yield better approximations
Prop 5-1,7 Let f: [a,b] - R be a bounded function, and let P be a partition of [a,b].
                                 Let \hat{P} be a refinement of \hat{P}. Thun L(\hat{P},f) \stackrel{!}{=} P(\hat{P}_{i}f) and U(\hat{P},f) \stackrel{!}{=} U(\hat{P},f).
Prop 5.1.8 If f: \Gamma_{ab}J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} is bounded with m = f(x) = M, then m(b-a) = \int_{a}^{b} f = \int_{a}^{b} f = M(b-a)
                       ef We know m (b-a) = L(P,P) = U(P,P) = M (b-a) for arbitrary partitions. Since Soft is an upper binary of all L(P,F), then
                                m(b-a) = L(P,f) = 5 f. Simbuly, 5 f = U(P,F)=MB-a). The middle inequality is the result of taking refinements and using Pap 5.1.7.
                                If P1 and P2 are arbitrary partitions, then P=P1UP2 is a refinement for both P1 on P2
                                      L(P_1,f) \leq L(\hat{P},f) \leq U(\hat{P},f) \leq U(P_2,f). Fix P_1. Then L(P_1,f) \leq U(P_2,f) for arbitrary P_2. Thus, L(P_1,f) is a lower bound for \leq U(P_2,f) \cdot P_2 partition \leq 10 L(P_1,f) \leq \bar{Q}^{\circ}f (g.16)
                                However P, was anbitrarily fixed, so Sof is an u.b. for $L(P, f) } so (lub) Sof = Sof
Prop 5.1.9 Suppose f: [a,b] = R is Rieman integrable. and m=f(x) = M + x = [a,b]. Thun m(b-a) = 5 f(x)dx = M (b-a).
Prop 5.1.13 Let f: [a,6] → R be bounded. Then f is Priemann Integrable if 4E70 = purtition P of [a,6] so that U(P,f)-L(P,f) LE.
                           PF hirt: 0 = \(\sigma_b^f + \sum_{a}^b f = U(P,f) - L(P,f). \(\left(\frac{b}{a}f\right) - \varepsilon \text{ is not an u.b.} \(\left(\sum_a^b f\right) + \varepsilon \text{ is not a [b. This gives P, and P. Consider P, UP.)}\)
                                               Note: In class I proved if f: [a,b] = Ris bounded, then I partition P st. (\(\sigma_f - \sigma_f\) \(\left(\varPi_f) - \L(\varPi_f\) \(\left(\varPi_f) - \left(\varPi_f\) \(\varPi_f\) \(\v
Example f: [0, 1] - R, f(x) = x2 is integrable f is increasing so for any function P, f(xin) = f(x) = f(xi) for x \( [xin, xi].
                                                                             So M= X2 and M= X2. Observe that O2 f(x)=12 so Sof, it is exists, is in [0(1-0), 1(1-0)]=[0,1].
    Key properties of M: -m; = x; - x; so U(P, f) - L(P, f) = Z; (x; -x; ) DX.
                                                                                                                                                                          3 Easter argument using continuity in purt section.
and not differentiability.
    mmotoric, bdd. derivative f(x)=x2 is diff. on [0,1] so also on [xiz, xi].
                                                                          By the MVT f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) = f'(x_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) for some x_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)
                                                                          Actually \frac{y^2-x^2}{y-x} = \frac{(y-x)'(y+x)}{y-x} = y+x = f'(c) = 2c says c = \frac{x+y}{2} works.
                                                                                             So f(x_1) - f(x_{i+1}) = f^i(\hat{x}_i)\Delta x_i = f^i(\frac{x_{i+1}x_i}{a})\Delta x_i f^i(x) = \lambda x is bounded on [0,1] by \lambda.
                                                                     So U(P_{1}f) - L(P_{1}f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_{i-1} + X_{i}) \Delta X_{i}}{(X_{i}) \Delta X_{i}} \Delta X_{i}
= \frac{P'(X_{i}) \Delta X_{i}}{(X_{i}) \Delta X_{i}} \quad \text{Goal} \quad f'(x) = K \quad \text{Given } \text{E70 Pick any Position } P \text{ with } \text{max} \text{ and } X_{i} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               Far Kim
—page 1₋
```

 $\leq \sum \lambda(\frac{\varepsilon}{a}) \Delta x_i = \varepsilon \sum_{\Delta x_i} = \varepsilon$