

# TPA: Termination Proved Automatically

Adam Koprowski

Technical University Eindhoven  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

13 August 2006  
RTA, Seattle, USA

## TPA

TPA is a tool for proving (relative) termination.

There are many tools for proving termination. Why creating yet another one?

- Semantic labelling with natural numbers.
- Relative termination.
- CoLoR.

## TPA

TPA is a tool for proving (relative) termination.

There are many tools for proving termination. Why creating yet another one?

- Semantic labelling with natural numbers.
- Relative termination.
- CoLoR.

## TPA

TPA is a tool for proving (relative) termination.

There are many tools for proving termination. Why creating yet another one?

- Semantic labelling with natural numbers.
- Relative termination.
- CoLoR.

## TPA

TPA is a tool for proving (relative) termination.

There are many tools for proving termination. Why creating yet another one?

- Semantic labelling with natural numbers.
- **Relative termination.**
- CoLoR.

## TPA

TPA is a tool for proving (relative) termination.

There are many tools for proving termination. Why creating yet another one?

- Semantic labelling with natural numbers.
- Relative termination.
- CoLoR.

# Semantic labelling with natural numbers.

- Semantic labelling is an old and well-known transformational technique for proving termination of TRSs.
- Up to date if it was used in automatic termination provers it was used with finite model (2 or 3 elements).
- TPA was created to verify the conjecture that semantic labelling with infinite model can be automated.
- $\implies$  Yes it can.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Automation of recursive path ordering for infinite labelled rewrite systems.

*IJCAR, Saturday, August 19th, 12:00*

*In IJCAR 2006, Seattle, USA, LNAI 4130:332–346.*

# Semantic labelling with natural numbers.

- Semantic labelling is an old and well-known transformational technique for proving termination of TRSs.
- Up to date if it was used in automatic termination provers it was used with finite model (2 or 3 elements).
- TPA was created to verify the conjecture that semantic labelling with infinite model can be automated.
- $\implies$  Yes it can.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Automation of recursive path ordering for infinite labelled rewrite systems.

*IJCAR, Saturday, August 19th, 12:00*

*In IJCAR 2006, Seattle, USA, LNAI 4130:332–346.*



# Semantic labelling with natural numbers.

- Semantic labelling is an old and well-known transformational technique for proving termination of TRSs.
- Up to date if it was used in automatic termination provers it was used with finite model (2 or 3 elements).
- **TPA was created to verify the conjecture that semantic labelling with infinite model can be automated.**
- $\implies$  Yes it can.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Automation of recursive path ordering for infinite labelled rewrite systems.

*IJCAR, Saturday, August 19th, 12:00*

*In IJCAR 2006, Seattle, USA, LNAI 4130:332–346.*

# Semantic labelling with natural numbers.

- Semantic labelling is an old and well-known transformational technique for proving termination of TRSs.
- Up to date if it was used in automatic termination provers it was used with finite model (2 or 3 elements).
- TPA was created to verify the conjecture that semantic labelling with infinite model can be automated.
- $\implies$  Yes it can.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Automation of recursive path ordering for infinite labelled rewrite systems.

*IJCAR, Saturday, August 19th, 12:00*

*In IJCAR 2006, Seattle, USA, LNAI 4130:332–346.*

## Relative termination

**Termination,  $SN(R)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R$  reduction sequence?

**Relative termination,  $SN(R/S)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R \cup \rightarrow_S$  reduction sequence containing infinitely many  $\rightarrow_R$  steps?

- Relative termination is a natural generalization of termination.
- It turns out to be useful in verification for modelling fairness.



Adam Koprowski and Barto Barendse  
Proving fairness with relative-termination

- There was hardly any tool support for relative termination (not anymore since *Jambox* is around).

## Relative termination

**Termination,  $SN(R)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R$  reduction sequence?

**Relative termination,  $SN(R/S)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R \cup \rightarrow_S$  reduction sequence containing infinitely many  $\rightarrow_R$  steps?

- Relative termination is a natural generalization of termination.
- It turns out to be useful in verification for modelling fairness.




Proving fairness with relative fairness ordering.

- There was hardly any tool support for relative termination (not anymore since *Jambox* is around).

## Relative termination

**Termination,  $SN(R)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R$  reduction sequence?

**Relative termination,  $SN(R/S)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R \cup \rightarrow_S$  reduction sequence containing infinitely many  $\rightarrow_R$  steps?

- **Relative termination is a natural generalization of termination.**
- It turns out to be useful in verification for modelling fairness.
  -  Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.  
Proving liveness with fairness using rewriting.  
In *ProCoS 2005*, Vienna, Austria, LNCS 3717:232–247.
- There was hardly any tool support for relative termination (not anymore since *Jambox* is around).

## Relative termination

**Termination,  $SN(R)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R$  reduction sequence?

**Relative termination,  $SN(R/S)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R \cup \rightarrow_S$  reduction sequence containing infinitely many  $\rightarrow_R$  steps?

- Relative termination is a natural generalization of termination.
- It turns out to be useful in verification for modelling fairness.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Proving liveness with fairness using rewriting.

In *FroCoS 2005*, Vienna, Austria, *LNCS 3717*:232–247.

- There was hardly any tool support for relative termination (not anymore since *Jambox* is around).

## Relative termination

**Termination,  $SN(R)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R$  reduction sequence?

**Relative termination,  $SN(R/S)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R \cup \rightarrow_S$  reduction sequence containing infinitely many  $\rightarrow_R$  steps?

- Relative termination is a natural generalization of termination.
- It turns out to be useful in verification for modelling fairness.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Proving liveness with fairness using rewriting.

In *FroCoS 2005*, Vienna, Austria, *LNCS 3717*:232–247.

- There was hardly any tool support for relative termination (not anymore since *Jambox* is around).

## Relative termination

**Termination,  $SN(R)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R$  reduction sequence?

**Relative termination,  $SN(R/S)$ :** Is there an infinite  $\rightarrow_R \cup \rightarrow_S$  reduction sequence containing infinitely many  $\rightarrow_R$  steps?

- Relative termination is a natural generalization of termination.
- It turns out to be useful in verification for modelling fairness.



Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema.

Proving liveness with fairness using rewriting.

In *FroCoS 2005*, Vienna, Austria, *LNCS 3717*:232–247.

- There was hardly any tool support for relative termination (not anymore since *Jambox* is around).



It is not uncommon that termination tools contain bugs (just as any other piece of software does).

CoLoR

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination

<http://color.loria.fr>

Rainbow: a termination proof certification tool

Objectives:

- formalization of theory of term rewriting in the theorem prover Coq.
- certification of termination proofs produced by tools for proving termination of rewriting (Rainbow).



Frederic Blanqui et al.

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination.

*WST, Wednesday, August 16th, 14:30.*

It is not uncommon that termination tools contain bugs (just as any other piece of software does).

CoLoR

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination

<http://color.loria.fr>

Rainbow: a termination proof certification tool

Objectives:

- formalization of theory of term rewriting in the theorem prover Coq.
- certification of termination proofs produced by tools for proving termination of rewriting (Rainbow).



Frederic Blanqui et al.

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination.

*WST, Wednesday, August 16th, 14:30.*

It is not uncommon that termination tools contain bugs (just as any other piece of software does).

CoLoR

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination

<http://color.loria.fr>

Rainbow: a termination proof certification tool

Objectives:

- formalization of theory of term rewriting in the theorem prover Coq.
- certification of termination proofs produced by tools for proving termination of rewriting (Rainbow).



Frederic Blanqui et al.

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination.

WST, Wednesday, August 16th, 14:30.

It is not uncommon that termination tools contain bugs (just as any other piece of software does).

CoLoR

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination

<http://color.loria.fr>

Rainbow: a termination proof certification tool

Objectives:

- formalization of theory of term rewriting in the theorem prover Coq.
- **certification of termination proofs produced by tools for proving termination of rewriting (Rainbow).**



Frederic Blanqui et al.

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination.

WST, Wednesday, August 16th, 14:30.

It is not uncommon that termination tools contain bugs (just as any other piece of software does).

CoLoR

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination

<http://color.loria.fr>

Rainbow: a termination proof certification tool

Objectives:

- formalization of theory of term rewriting in the theorem prover Coq.
- certification of termination proofs produced by tools for proving termination of rewriting (Rainbow).



Frederic Blanqui et al.

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination.

WST, Wednesday, August 16th, 14:30.

It is not uncommon that termination tools contain bugs (just as any other piece of software does).

CoLoR

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination

<http://color.loria.fr>

Rainbow: a termination proof certification tool

Objectives:

- formalization of theory of term rewriting in the theorem prover Coq.
- certification of termination proofs produced by tools for proving termination of rewriting (Rainbow).



Frederic Blanqui et al.

CoLoR: a Coq library on rewriting and termination.

*WST, Wednesday, August 16th, 14:30.*

## Techniques used by TPA:

- **Polynomial interpretations,**
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - natural numbers,
- RPO,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.

## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - natural numbers,
- RPO,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.



## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - **booleans**,
  - natural numbers,
- RPO,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.

## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - **natural numbers**,
- RPO,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.

## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - natural numbers,
- **RPO**,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.

## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - natural numbers,
- RPO,
- **Dependency pairs (weak variant),**
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.

## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - natural numbers,
- RPO,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- **Dummy elimination,**
- Reduction of right hand sides.

## Techniques used by TPA:

- Polynomial interpretations,
- Semantic labelling with:
  - booleans,
  - natural numbers,
- RPO,
- Dependency pairs (weak variant),
- Dummy elimination,
- Reduction of right hand sides.

# Termination competition

An annual termination competition is being organized where different termination provers compete on a set of termination problems.

<http://www.lri.fr/~marche/termination-competition>

- In 2005 TPA was 3rd out of 6 participating tools (in the main TRS category).
- In 2006 TPA was 3rd out of 8 participating tools.
- In both cases it was able to prove termination of systems that no other tool could deal with, including the SUBST system.

# Termination competition

An annual termination competition is being organized where different termination provers compete on a set of termination problems.

`http://www.lri.fr/~marche/termination-competition`

- In 2005 TPA was 3rd out of 6 participating tools (in the main TRS category).
- In 2006 TPA was 3rd out of 8 participating tools.
- In both cases it was able to prove termination of systems that no other tool could deal with, including the SUBST system.



# Termination competition

An annual termination competition is being organized where different termination provers compete on a set of termination problems.

`http://www.lri.fr/~marche/termination-competition`

- In 2005 TPA was 3rd out of 6 participating tools (in the main TRS category).
- In 2006 TPA was 3rd out of 8 participating tools.
- In both cases it was able to prove termination of systems that no other tool could deal with, including the SUBST system.

# Termination competition

An annual termination competition is being organized where different termination provers compete on a set of termination problems.

`http://www.lri.fr/~marche/termination-competition`

- In 2005 TPA was 3rd out of 6 participating tools (in the main TRS category).
- In 2006 TPA was 3rd out of 8 participating tools.
- In both cases it was able to prove termination of systems that no other tool could deal with, including the SUBST system.

- **Written in Objective Caml.**
- Around 10,000 lines of code.
- Command line interface.
- Available:
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

<http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa>

- Written in Objective Caml.
- **Around 10,000 lines of code.**
- Command line interface.
- Available:
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

<http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa>

- Written in Objective Caml.
- Around 10,000 lines of code.
- **Command line interface.**
- Available:
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

<http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa>

- Written in Objective Caml.
- Around 10,000 lines of code.
- Command line interface.
- **Available:**
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

`http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa`

- Written in Objective Caml.
- Around 10,000 lines of code.
- Command line interface.
- Available:
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

<http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa>

- Written in Objective Caml.
- Around 10,000 lines of code.
- Command line interface.
- Available:
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

<http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa>



- Written in Objective Caml.
- Around 10,000 lines of code.
- Command line interface.
- Available:
  - in native code for Linux,
  - on every platform where OCaml interpreter is available.

`http://www.win.tue.nl/tpa`

TPA v.1.1

Result: TRS is terminating

[1] TRS loaded for the input file:

(1)  $T(I(x), y) \rightarrow T(x, y)$

(2)  $T(x, y) \rightarrow = T(x, I(y))$

[2] Label this TRS using the following interpretation  
over  $N \setminus \{0, 1\}$ :

$[T(x, y)] = 2$

$[I(x)] = x + 1$

This interpretation is a model and yields the  
following TRS:

(1)  $T\{i+1, j\}(I\{i\}(x), y) \rightarrow T\{i, j\}(x, y)$

(2)  $T\{i, j\}(x, y) \rightarrow = T\{i, j+1\}(x, I\{j\}(y))$

[3] Use the following polynomial interpretation:

$[T\{i, j\}(x, y)] = x + y + i - 2$

$[I\{i\}(x)] = x$

Remove rules with left hand side strictly bigger  
than right hand side: (1)

[4] Since there are no remaining strict rules,  
relative termination is proven.

TPA v.1.1

Result: TRS is terminating

[1] TRS loaded for the input file:

- (1)  $T(I(x), y) \rightarrow T(x, y)$
- (2)  $T(x, y) \rightarrow T(x, I(y))$

[2] Label this TRS using the following interpretation over  $N \setminus \{0, 1\}$ :

$$[T(x, y)] = 2$$

$$[I(x)] = x + 1$$

This interpretation is a model and yields the following TRS:

- (1)  $T\{i+1, j\}(I\{i\}(x), y) \rightarrow T\{i, j\}(x, y)$
- (2)  $T\{i, j\}(x, y) \rightarrow T\{i, j+1\}(x, I\{j\}(y))$

[3] Use the following polynomial interpretation:

$$[T\{i, j\}(x, y)] = x + y + i - 2$$

$$[I\{i\}(x)] = x$$

Remove rules with left hand side strictly bigger than right hand side: (1)

[4] Since there are no remaining strict rules, relative termination is proven.

TPA v.1.1

Result: TRS is terminating

[1] TRS loaded for the input file:

- (1)  $T(I(x), y) \rightarrow T(x, y)$
- (2)  $T(x, y) \rightarrow T(x, I(y))$

[2] Label this TRS using the following interpretation

over  $N \setminus \{0, 1\}$ :

$[T(x, y)] = 2$

$[I(x)] = x + 1$

This interpretation is a model and yields the following TRS:

- (1)  $T\{i+1, j\}(I\{i\}(x), y) \rightarrow T\{i, j\}(x, y)$
- (2)  $T\{i, j\}(x, y) \rightarrow T\{i, j+1\}(x, I\{j\}(y))$

[3] Use the following polynomial interpretation:

$[T\{i, j\}(x, y)] = x + y + i - 2$

$[I\{i\}(x)] = x$

Remove rules with left hand side strictly bigger  
than right hand side: (1)

[4] Since there are no remaining strict rules,  
relative termination is proven.

TPA v.1.1

Result: TRS is terminating

[1] TRS loaded for the input file:

- (1)  $T(I(x), y) \rightarrow T(x, y)$
- (2)  $T(x, y) \rightarrow = T(x, I(y))$

[2] Label this TRS using the following interpretation

over  $N \setminus \{0, 1\}$ :

- $[T(x, y)] = 2$
- $[I(x)] = x + 1$

This interpretation is a model and yields the following TRS:

- (1)  $T\{i+1, j\}(I\{i\}(x), y) \rightarrow T\{i, j\}(x, y)$
- (2)  $T\{i, j\}(x, y) \rightarrow = T\{i, j+1\}(x, I\{j\}(y))$

[3] Use the following polynomial interpretation:

$$[T\{i, j\}(x, y)] = x + y + i - 2$$

$$[I\{i\}(x)] = x$$

Remove rules with left hand side strictly bigger than right hand side: (1)

[4] Since there are no remaining strict rules, relative termination is proven.

```

TPA v.1.1
Result: TRS is terminating
[1] TRS loaded for the input file:
    (1)  $T(I(x), y) \rightarrow T(x, y)$ 
    (2)  $T(x, y) \rightarrow = T(x, I(y))$ 

[2] Label this TRS using the following interpretation
over  $N \setminus \{0, 1\}$ :
     $[T(x, y)] = 2$ 
     $[I(x)] = x + 1$ 

```

This interpretation is a model and yields the following TRS:

```

(1)  $T\{i+1, j\}(I\{i\}(x), y) \rightarrow T\{i, j\}(x, y)$ 
(2)  $T\{i, j\}(x, y) \rightarrow = T\{i, j+1\}(x, I\{j\}(y))$ 

```

```

[3] Use the following polynomial interpretation:
     $[T\{i, j\}(x, y)] = x + y + i - 2$ 
     $[I\{i\}(x)] = x$ 
    Remove rules with left hand side strictly bigger
    than right hand side: (1)

```

[4] Since there are no remaining strict rules,  
relative termination is proven.

Thank you for your attention.

