Quiz 3

7 questions

1.

Consider the mtcars data set. Fit a model with mpg as the outcome that includes number of cylinders as a factor variable and weight as confounder. Give the adjusted estimate for the expected change in mpg comparing 8 cylinders to 4.

- 33.991
- **O** -6.071
- -4.256
- -3.206

2.

Consider the mtcars data set. Fit a model with mpg as the outcome that includes number of cylinders as a factor variable and weight as a possible confounding variable. Compare the effect of 8 versus 4 cylinders on mpg for the adjusted and unadjusted by weight models. Here, adjusted means including the weight variable as a term in the regression model and unadjusted means the model without weight included. What can be said about the effect comparing 8 and 4 cylinders after looking at models with and without weight included?

0	Within a given weight, 8 cylinder vehicles have an expected 12 mpg drop in fuel efficiency.
0	Holding weight constant, cylinder appears to have more of an impact on mpg than if weight is disregarded.
0	Including or excluding weight does not appear to change anything regarding the estimated impact of number of cylinders on mpg.
0	Holding weight constant, cylinder appears to have less of an impact on mpg than if weight is disregarded.
actor consid	ler the mtcars data set. Fit a model with mpg as the outcome that considers number of cylinders as a variable and weight as confounder. Now fit a second model with mpg as the outcome model that ers the interaction between number of cylinders (as a factor variable) and weight. Give the P-value for elihood ratio test comparing the two models and suggest a model using 0.05 as a type I error rate cance benchmark.
Consider of the consider of the like	variable and weight as confounder. Now fit a second model with mpg as the outcome model that ers the interaction between number of cylinders (as a factor variable) and weight. Give the P-value for elihood ratio test comparing the two models and suggest a model using 0.05 as a type I error rate
Consider of the like of the li	variable and weight as confounder. Now fit a second model with mpg as the outcome model that ers the interaction between number of cylinders (as a factor variable) and weight. Give the P-value for elihood ratio test comparing the two models and suggest a model using 0.05 as a type I error rate cance benchmark. The P-value is small (less than 0.05). So, according to our criterion, we reject, which suggests that
Consider of the like of the li	variable and weight as confounder. Now fit a second model with mpg as the outcome model that ers the interaction between number of cylinders (as a factor variable) and weight. Give the P-value for elihood ratio test comparing the two models and suggest a model using 0.05 as a type I error rate cance benchmark. The P-value is small (less than 0.05). So, according to our criterion, we reject, which suggests that the interaction term is necessary The P-value is small (less than 0.05). So, according to our criterion, we reject, which suggests that

- The P-value is larger than 0.05. So, according to our criterion, we would fail to reject, which suggests that the interaction terms may not be necessary.
- The P-value is small (less than 0.05). Thus it is surely true that there is an interaction term in the true model.

4.

Consider the mtcars data set. Fit a model with mpg as the outcome that includes number of cylinders as a factor variable and weight inlcuded in the model as

How is the wt coefficient interpretted?

- O The estimated expected change in MPG per one ton increase in weight.
- The estimated expected change in MPG per half ton increase in weight.
- The estimated expected change in MPG per half ton increase in weight for for a specific number of cylinders (4, 6, 8).
- O The estimated expected change in MPG per half ton increase in weight for the average number of cylinders.
- The estimated expected change in MPG per one ton increase in weight for a specific number of cylinders (4, 6, 8).

```
x <- c(0.586, 0.166, -0.042, -0.614, 11.72)
y <- c(0.549, -0.026, -0.127, -0.751, 1.344)
```

Give the hat diagonal for the most influential point

- 0.9946
- 0.2025
- 0.2287
- 0.2804

6.

Consider the following data set

```
x <- c(0.586, 0.166, -0.042, -0.614, 11.72)
y <- c(0.549, -0.026, -0.127, -0.751, 1.344)
```

Give the slope dfbeta for the point with the highest hat value.

- -134
- -.00134
- **O** -0.378
- 0.673

7.		
Consider a regression relationship between Y and X with and without adjustment for a third variable Z. Which of the following is true about comparing the regression coefficient between Y and X with and without adjustment for Z.		
0	For the the coefficient to change sign, there must be a significant interaction term.	
0	Adjusting for another variable can only attenuate the coefficient toward zero. It can't materially change sign.	
0	It is possible for the coefficient to reverse sign after adjustment. For example, it can be strongly significant and positive before adjustment and strongly significant and negative after adjustment.	
0	The coefficient can't change sign after adjustment, except for slight numerical pathological cases.	
7 questions unanswered		
	Submit Quiz	

