

# THE KELLER–SEGEL EQUATION COMPACT SURFACES

## 1. The Keller–Segel equation on the torus

Consider a distribution of germs  $\rho(t, x_1, x_2) = \rho(t, \underline{x})$  and food  $c(t, x_1, x_2) = c(t, \underline{x})$ . We impose, as a model of nature,

$$\partial_t \rho = \partial_a^2 \rho - \partial_a(\rho \partial_a c), \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\partial_a^2 c = -\rho. \quad (1.1b)$$

When  $a$  appears as an index, summation over  $a \in \{1, 2\}$  is implied. We take the Fourier transform of  $\rho$ : For all  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , let  $f_{\underline{k}}(\underline{x}) = e^{2\pi i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x}}$ . Note that  $f_{\underline{k}}$  is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian; i.e.  $\partial_a^2 f_{\underline{k}} = -4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2 f_{\underline{k}}$ . Also note that  $\partial_a f_{\underline{k}} = 2\pi i k_a f_{\underline{k}}$ .

Let us write

$$\rho(t, \underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} R_{\underline{k}}(t) f_{\underline{k}}(\underline{x}). \quad (1.2)$$

Now any solution,  $c$ , to equation (1.1b) has the form

$$c(t, \underline{x}) = c_0 + \sum_{\substack{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}}(t) f_{\underline{l}}(\underline{x}),$$

where  $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  can be chosen arbitrarily.

Using equations (1.1a) and (1.2) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \dot{R}_{\underline{k}} f_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} 4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2 R_{\underline{k}} f_{\underline{k}} &= -\partial_a \left( \sum_{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} R_{\underline{m}} f_{\underline{m}} \sum_{\substack{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}}} \frac{R_{\underline{l}}}{4\pi^2 |\underline{l}|^2} \partial_a f_{\underline{l}} \right) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{\underline{l}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}}} \frac{2\pi i l_a}{4\pi^2 |\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}} R_{\underline{m}} \partial_a (f_{\underline{l}} f_{\underline{m}}). \end{aligned}$$

---

Date: July 19, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Keller–Segel equations.

Using that  $f_{\underline{m}}f_{\underline{l}} = f_{\underline{m}+\underline{l}}$  and substituting  $\underline{k} = \underline{l} + \underline{m}$  on the right-hand side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \dot{R}_{\underline{k}} f_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} 4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2 R_{\underline{k}} f_{\underline{k}} &= -i^2 \sum_{\substack{\underline{l}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}}} \frac{l_a(l_a + m_a)}{|\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}} R_{\underline{m}} f_{\underline{l}+\underline{m}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\underline{l}, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}}} \frac{\underline{l} \cdot (\underline{l} + \underline{m})}{|\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}} R_{\underline{m}} f_{\underline{l}+\underline{m}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\underline{l}, \underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}}} \frac{\underline{l} \cdot \underline{k}}{|\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}} R_{\underline{k}-\underline{l}} f_{\underline{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

After pairing with  $f_{\underline{k}}$  for any  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{\underline{0}\}$  and separating out  $R_{\underline{k}}$  terms, we get

$$\dot{R}_{\underline{k}} = (R_0 - 4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2) R_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\substack{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}, \underline{k}}} \frac{\underline{l} \cdot \underline{k}}{|\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}} R_{\underline{k}-\underline{l}}.$$

It remains to be shown that there are such  $R_{\underline{k}}$  which satisfy the equations.

## 2. The general case

Let  $\Sigma$  now some compact surface, with (positive definite) Laplace operator  $\Delta$  (we can discuss what that means at some point), and assume that  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \dots$  are an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $L^2(\Sigma)$ , that is there are numbers  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$  so that for all  $n, o \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\Delta f_n = \lambda_n f_n, \quad \& \quad \langle f_n | f_o \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \delta_{n,o}.$$

For all  $n, o, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\varphi_{n,o,p} := \int_{\Sigma} f_n f_o f_p \, dA.$$

With that in mind, at some point prove the following: If  $\rho \in C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Sigma))$  solve the Keller–Segel equations on  $\Sigma$  and  $R_n(t) := \langle f_n | \rho(t, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)}$ , then  $R_0$  is constant and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}: \quad \dot{R}_n = (R_0 - \lambda_n) R_n + \sum_{o,p \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}} \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_o + \lambda_p}{\lambda_p} \varphi_{n,o,p} R_o R_p.$$

Remark 2.1. Note how the sign of the first term changes depending on whether  $R_0 = \int_{\Sigma} \rho \, dA$  is small or greater than  $\lambda_n$ !

### 3. Banach Business

Consider the map  $\mathcal{K} : \{R_{\underline{k}}\}_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \rightarrow C$  (**C is something, perhaps  $\{R_{\underline{k}}\}_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$** ) defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(R_{\underline{k}}) = R_{\underline{k}}(0) + \int_0^t (R_0 - 4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2) R_{\underline{k}}(\tau) + \sum_{\substack{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq 0}} \frac{\underline{k} \cdot \underline{l}}{|\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{k}-\underline{l}}(\tau) R_{\underline{l}}(\tau) d\tau$$

Collections of fourier coefficients with  $\mathcal{K}(R) = R$  satisfy equation (1.1a). We seek, therefore, conditions (on  $(R_{\underline{k}})_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$  and  $t$ ) which yield fixed points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . It would be sufficient to bound

$$\|\mathcal{K}(R) - \mathcal{K}(S)\| \leq \theta \|R - S\|$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is perhaps

$$\sup_{t \in [0, t]} \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |R_{\underline{k}}(t)|^2.$$

and  $0 \leq \theta < 1$  is some constant. We might begin to consider  $\|\mathcal{K}(R) - \mathcal{K}(S)\| =$

$$\left\| \int_0^t (R_0 - 4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2) (R_{\underline{k}}(\tau) - S_{\underline{k}}(\tau)) + \sum_{\substack{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq 0}} \frac{\underline{k} \cdot \underline{l}}{|\underline{l}|^2} (R_{\underline{k}-\underline{l}}(\tau) R_{\underline{l}}(\tau) - S_{\underline{k}-\underline{l}}(\tau) S_{\underline{l}}(\tau)) d\tau \right\|.$$

It may be fruitful to bound the summands of  $\|\cdot\|$  corresponding to values of  $\underline{k}$ , sharply enough that the infinite sum converges.

### 4. Bounding Blunders

Circuitously, we will show  $\sum_{\underline{k} \in [1, 2, \dots]^2} \frac{1}{|\underline{k}|^2} = \infty$ . See that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + m^2} = \frac{\coth(\pi m) \pi m - 1}{2m^2}.$$

Now  $\coth(x) > \frac{1}{\pi x} + \frac{\pi x}{3} - \frac{\pi^3 x^3}{45}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + m^2} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\coth(\pi m) \pi m - 1}{2m^2} > \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\pi^2 m} + \frac{\pi^2 m}{3} - \frac{\pi^6 m^3}{45}\right) \pi m - 1}{2m^2} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \frac{\pi^4 m^2}{3} - \frac{\pi^8 m^4}{45} - \pi}{2\pi m^2} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Details may be filled in later. In the mean time, I will think of other sums.

We have

$$S(p) = \sum_{\substack{n_0=1 \\ n_1=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_0^{2p} + n_1^{2p}} = \frac{-p + n_1 \pi \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{\frac{2i-1}{2p}} \cot\left((-1)^{\frac{2i-1}{2p}} n_1 \pi\right)}{2pn_1^{2p}}$$

for  $p > 0$  an integer. Consistent with  $\zeta$ , for odd powers of  $n_0$  the sum is more difficult to evaluate. We expand the cotangents into infinite sums and combine:

$$\begin{aligned} S(p) &= \frac{-p + n_1 \pi \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{\frac{2i-1}{2p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j 2^{2j} B_{2j}}{(2j)!} \left((-1)^{\frac{2i-1}{2p}} n_1 \pi\right)^{2j-1}}{2pn_1^{2p}} \\ &= \frac{-p + n_1 \pi \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (n_1 \pi)^{2j-1} \frac{(-1)^j 2^{2j} B_{2j}}{(2j)!} \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{\frac{2i-1}{2p}} (-1)^{\frac{(2i-1)(2j-1)}{2p}}}{2pn_1^{2p}} \\ &= \frac{-p + n_1 \pi \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (n_1 \pi)^{2j-1} \frac{(-1)^j 2^{2j} B_{2j}}{(2j)!} \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{\frac{(2i-1)j}{p}}}{2pn_1^{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This particular sum of roots of unity is a number theoretic one. It evaluates to  $(-1)^{\frac{j}{p}} p$  if  $p$  divides  $j$ , and 0 if  $p$  does not divide  $j$ . That is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{\frac{(2i-1)j}{p}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{j}{p}} p & \text{if } p|j \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid j. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(p) &= \frac{-p + n_1 \pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n_1 \pi)^{2pk-1} \frac{(-1)^{pk} 2^{2pk} B_{2pk}}{(2pk)!} (-1)^k p}{2pn_1^{2p}} \\ &= \frac{-1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n_1 \pi)^{2pk} \frac{(-1)^{(p+1)k} 2^{2pk} B_{2pk}}{(2pk)!}}{2n_1^{2p}} \end{aligned}$$

## 5. Symmetric in $R$ summands

We have

$$\dot{R}_{\underline{k}} = \left(R_0 - 4\pi^2 |\underline{k}|^2\right) R_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\substack{\underline{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \underline{l} \neq \underline{0}, \underline{k}}} \frac{\underline{l} \cdot \underline{k}}{|\underline{l}|^2} R_{\underline{l}} R_{\underline{k}-\underline{l}}.$$

Substitute  $\underline{j} = \underline{l} - \frac{\underline{k}}{2}$ . Then

$$\dot{R}_{\underline{k}} = (R_0 - 4\pi^2|\underline{k}|^2)R_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\substack{\underline{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 + \frac{\text{mod}(k,2)}{2} \\ \underline{j} \neq \pm \frac{k}{2}}} \frac{(\underline{j} + \frac{k}{2}) \cdot \underline{k}}{|\underline{j} + \frac{k}{2}|^2} R_{\frac{k}{2} + \underline{j}} R_{\frac{k}{2} - \underline{j}}$$

where  $\text{mod}(n)$  is zero for even  $n$  and 1 for odd  $n$  (that is,  $\underline{j}$  and  $\frac{k}{2}$  lie on common integer grids with offsets of 0 or  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) (and it is evaluated elementwise). Let us make each summand have unique  $R$  products: we only sum over  $\underline{j}$  with  $0 \leq \arctan \underline{j} < \pi$ :

$$\dot{R}_{\underline{k}} = (R_0 - 4\pi^2|\underline{k}|^2)R_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \arctan \underline{j} < \pi \\ \underline{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 + \frac{\text{mod}(k,2)}{2} \\ \underline{j} \neq \pm \frac{k}{2}}} \left( \frac{(\underline{j} + \frac{k}{2}) \cdot \underline{k}}{|\underline{j} + \frac{k}{2}|^2} + \frac{(-\underline{j} + \frac{k}{2}) \cdot \underline{k}}{|-\underline{j} + \frac{k}{2}|^2} \right) R_{\frac{k}{2} + \underline{j}} R_{\frac{k}{2} - \underline{j}}$$

We write the coefficients more explicitly:

$$\dot{R}_{\underline{k}} = (R_0 - 4\pi^2|\underline{k}|^2)R_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \arctan \underline{j} < \pi \\ \underline{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 + \frac{\text{mod}(k,2)}{2} \\ \underline{j} \neq \pm \frac{k}{2}}} \frac{|\underline{k}|^2 \left( |\underline{j}|^2 + \frac{|\underline{k}|^2}{4} \right) - 2(\underline{j} \cdot \underline{k})^2}{\left( |\underline{j}|^2 - \underline{j} \cdot \underline{k} + \frac{|\underline{k}|^2}{4} \right) \left( |\underline{j}|^2 + \underline{j} \cdot \underline{k} + \frac{|\underline{k}|^2}{4} \right)} R_{\frac{k}{2} + \underline{j}} R_{\frac{k}{2} - \underline{j}}$$