

Hierarchical Attentive Recurrent Tracking

Adam R. Kosiorek, Alexy Bewley, Ingmar Posner


Applied AI Lab, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, UK

{adamk, bewley, ingmar}@robots.ox.ac.uk

Problem Statement



- What:** Class-agnostic single object tracking in real-world videos with camera motion
- Difficulties:** No target-specific discriminative models
Cluttered backgrounds with many distractors
- How:** Discard uninformative background features
Learn arbitrary motion models
Anticipate appearance changes
- Approach:** Recurrent Neural Network with Hierarchical Attention Mechanism

Hierarchical Attention



- Bio-inspired:** Two-stream processing pathway and attention mechanisms adapted from human visual cortex.
- Interpretable:** Important features selected by Spatial Attention and Object Segmentation mechanisms.
- Scalable:** Applicable to real-world data due to distractor suppression and auxiliary loss terms.
- Efficient:** Attention quickly discards irrelevant features
> 120 fps on a laptop!

Two-Stream Attentive Model



Loss

Directly optimise Intersection-over-Union (IoU) and guide attention mechanisms.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HART}}(\cdot) = \lambda_t \mathcal{L}_t(\cdot) + \lambda_s \mathcal{L}_s(\cdot) + \lambda_a \mathcal{L}_a(\cdot) + \beta R(\cdot)$$

Tracking: Negative log of Intersection-over-Union.

$$\mathcal{L}_t(\mathcal{D}, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{b}_1)} \left[-\log \text{IoU}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_t, \mathbf{b}_t) \right]$$

Spatial Attention: It follows the object, but shouldn't be too big.


$$\mathcal{L}_s(\mathcal{D}, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{a}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{b}_1)} \left[-\log \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_t \cap \mathbf{b}_t}{\text{area}(\mathbf{b}_t)} \right) - \log(1 - \text{IoU}(\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{x}_t)) \right]$$

Appearance Attention: Cross-entropy with dynamically created target mask $\tau(\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{b}_t)$: $\mathcal{L}_a(\mathcal{D}, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{a}_{1:T}, \mathbf{s}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{b}_1)} [H(\tau(\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{b}_t), \mathbf{s}_t)]$.


With Appearance Attention Loss: Successful Tracking



Pedestrian Tracking: KTH Dataset [2]



Scaling to Real-World Data: KITTI [3]



Average IoU on KITTI over 60 time-steps
 Kahou et al. [1] | Spatial Att | App Att | HART
 0.14 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.81
 Spatial Att - no appearance attention
 App Att - no appearance attention loss
 HART (train) presents evaluation on the train set.

References

- [1] Samira Ebrahimi Kahou, Vincent Michalski, and Roland Memisevic. RATM: Recurrent Attentive Tracking Model. CVPR Workshop, 2017.
- [2] Christian Schudt, Ivan Laptev, and Barbara Caputo. Recognizing human actions: A local SVM approach. ICPR. IEEE, 2004.
- [3] A. Geiger, P. Lenz, C. Stiller, and R. Urtasun. Vision meets robotics: The KITTI dataset. IJRR, 32(11):12311237, 2013.
- [4] Bert De Brabandere, Xu Jia, Tinne Tuytelaars, and Luc Van Gool. Dynamic Filter Networks. NIPS, 2016.