

1. (15 points) Bob wants to launch a Kaminsky DNS cache poisoning attack on a recursive DNS resolver; his goal is to get the resolver to cache a false IP address for the hostname `www.example.com`. Bob knows that during the iterative process, a query will be sent to the root server, then to the `.com` nameserver, and finally to the `example.com` nameserver. He can choose to spoof replies from any of these nameservers, after triggering the iterative process from the resolver. He decides to spoof a reply from the `.com` server. Please describe whether Bob's attack will be successful or not.

Spoofing an A record from the `.com` nameserver will not succeed against a resolver because `.com` is not authoritative for `www.example.com`. If Bob forges a fake delegation that the resolver accepts, he could then redirect `www.example.com`.

2. (15 points) A local DNS server decides to enforce the following policy: for any cached type NS record that has not expired, it can only be updated once every 20 minutes. For example, if the NS record for the `example.com` domain was created at time `T`, it cannot be updated until `T` plus 20 minutes or until the record expires, whichever comes earlier. Would this cause trouble for the Kaminsky attack? Please explain.

The 20-minute update-lock makes cache poisoning far harder by slowing replacement of NS delegations, but it doesn't prevent an attacker from succeeding on the initial attack or after TTL expiry.