

# THE COHESIVE PRINCIPLE AND THE BOLZANO-WEIERSTRASS PRINCIPLE

ALEXANDER P. KREUZER

*Draft of April 14, 2010*

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $BW_{\text{weak}}$  be the principle stating that every bounded sequence of real numbers contains a Cauchy subsequence (a sequence converging but not necessarily fast). We show that  $BW_{\text{weak}}$  is equivalent to the (strong) cohesive principle (StCOH) and – using this – obtain a classification of the computational and logical strength of  $BW_{\text{weak}}$ . Especially we show that  $BW_{\text{weak}}$  does not solve the halting problem and does not lead to more than primitive recursive growth. Therefore it is strictly weaker than the usual Bolzano-Weierstraß principle BW. We also discuss possible uses of  $BW_{\text{weak}}$ .

In this paper we show that the variant of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle stating only the existence of a Cauchy subsequence is strictly weaker than the full Bolzano-Weierstraß principle. More precisely we show that this weak variant is equivalent to the strong cohesive principle.

We proceed by first presenting the cohesive principle and the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle. Following this we will show that these principles are equivalent and discuss the consequences.

## 1. COHESIVE PRINCIPLE

**Definition 1.** Let  $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

- A set  $S$  is *cohesive* for  $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if  $\forall n (S \subseteq^* R_n \vee S \subseteq^* \overline{R_n})$ ,<sup>1</sup> i.e.  

$$\forall n \exists s (\forall j \geq s (j \in S \rightarrow j \in R_n) \vee \forall j \geq s (j \in S \rightarrow j \notin R_n)).$$
- A set  $S$  is *strongly cohesive* for  $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if  

$$\forall n \exists s \forall i < n (\forall j \geq s (j \in S \rightarrow j \in R_i) \vee \forall j \geq s (j \in S \rightarrow j \notin R_i)).$$
- A set is called (*p-cohesive*) *r-cohesive* if it is cohesive for all (primitive) recursive sets.

**Definition 2.** The *cohesive principle* (COH) is the statement that for every sequence of sets an infinite cohesive set exists. Similarly, the *strong cohesive principle* (StCOH) is the statement that for every sequence of sets an infinite strongly cohesive set exists.

Hirschfeldt and Shore showed that StCOH is equivalent to  $\text{COH} \wedge \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$ , see [HS07, 4.4]. Hence there is no recursion theoretic difference between these principles.

---

*Date:* April 14, 2010 10:00.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03F60, 03D80.

The author gratefully acknowledge the support by the German Science Foundation (DFG Project KO 1737/5-1).

I am grateful to Ulrich Kohlenbach for useful discussions and suggestions for improving the presentation of the material in this article.

<sup>1</sup> $A \subseteq^* B$  stands for  $A \setminus B$  is finite.

The recursion theoretic strength of the cohesive principle is well understood, its reverse mathematical strength is a topic of active research mainly in the context of the classification of Ramsey's theorem for pairs, see [HS07] for a survey.

The most important results for COH are

**Theorem 3** ([JS93, JS97], see also [CJS01, theorem 12.4]). *For any degree  $d$  the following are equivalent:*

- *There is an  $r$ -cohesive ( $p$ -cohesive) set with jump of degree  $d$ ,*
- *$d \gg 0'$ .*<sup>2</sup>

*Especially there exists a  $\text{low}_2$   $r$ -cohesive set.*<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 4.** COH is  $\Pi_1^1$ -conservative over  $\text{RCA}_0$ ,  $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$ ,  $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IA}$ .

This result for  $\text{RCA}_0$  and  $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IA}$  is due to Cholak, Jockusch, Slaman, see [CJS01], the result for  $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}$  is due to Chong, Slaman, Yang, see [CSY].

**Corollary 5.**  $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{StCOH}$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative over PRA.

*Proof.* Theorem 4 together with the fact that  $\Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative over PRA.  $\square$

## 2. BOLZANO-WEIERSTRASS PRINCIPLE

Let BW be the statement that every sequence  $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of rational numbers in the interval  $[0, 1]$  admits a subsequence converging with speed  $2^{-n}$ . This principle covers the full strength of Bolzano-Weierstraß, i.e. one can take a bounded sequence of real numbers.

Let  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  be the statement that every sequence  $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of rational numbers in the interval  $[0, 1]$  admits a Cauchy subsequence (a sequence converging but not necessarily fast), more precisely

$(\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}):$

$\forall (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] \exists f \text{ strictly monotone } \forall n \exists s \forall v, w \geq s |y_{f(v)} - y_{f(w)}| <_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-n}.$

The statement  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  also implies that every bounded sequence of real numbers contains a Cauchy subsequence. Just continuously map the bounded sequence into  $[0, 1]$  and take a diagonal sequence of rational approximations of the elements of the original sequence.

Moreover BW and  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  also imply the corresponding Bolzano-Weierstraß principle for the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

**Lemma 6.** *Over  $\text{RCA}_0$*

- *BW implies the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle for the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and*
- *$\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  implies the weak Bolzano-Weierstraß principle for the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , i.e. for every sequence in  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  there exists a slowly converging Cauchy subsequence.*

*These implications are instance-wise, this means that for every sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  there exists (provably in  $\text{RCA}_0$ ) a sequence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, 1]$ , such that from a (slowly) converging subsequence of  $(y_n)_n$  one can compute a (slowly) converging subsequence of  $(x_n)_n$ .*

<sup>2</sup> $a \gg b$  denotes that the Turing degree  $a$  contains an infinite computable branch for every  $b$ -computable 0/1-tree. Note that by the low basis theorem ([JS72]) for every  $b$  there exists a degree  $a \gg b$  which is low over  $b$ , i.e.  $a' \equiv b'$ .

<sup>3</sup>A degree  $d$  is  $\text{low}_2$  if  $d'' = 0''$ .

*Proof.* Define the mapping  $h: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2x(i)}{3^{i+1}}.$$

The image of  $h$  is the Cantor middle-third set.

One easily establishes

$$\text{dist}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}(x, y) < 2^{-n} \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}}(h(x), h(y)) < 3^{-(n+1)}.$$

Therefore (slow) Cauchy sequences of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  primitive recursively correspond to (slow) Cauchy sequences of the Cantor middle-third set. The lemma follows.  $\square$

The full Bolzano-Weierstraß principle (BW) results from  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$ , if we additionally require an effective Cauchy-rate, e.g.  $s = 2^{-n}$  in the above definition of  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$ . One also obtains full BW if one uses an instance of  $\Pi_1^0$ -comprehension (or Turing jump) to thin out the Cauchy sequence making it fast converging.

The weak version of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle is for instance considered in computational analysis, see [LRZ08, section 3].

$\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  is also interesting in the context of proof-mining or hard analysis, i.e. the extraction of quantitative information for analytic statements, for an introduction to hard analysis see [Tao08, §1.3], for proof-mining see [Koh08]:

For instance if one uses  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  to prove that a sequence converges, by theorem 9 below one can expect a primitive recursive rate of metastability, in the sense of Tao. Such proofs occur in fixed-point theory, for example Ishikawa's fixed-point theorem uses such an argument, see [Koh05, Ish76].

Note that in this case only a single instance of the Bolzano-Weierstraß principle is used and the accumulation point is not used in a  $\Sigma_1^0$ -induction, therefore one obtains the same results using Kohlenbach's elimination of Skolem functions for monotone formulas, see for instance [Koh00, theorem 1.2]. Nested uses of BW imply arithmetic comprehension and thus lead to non-primitive recursive growth. In contrast to that, even nested uses of  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  in a context with full  $\Sigma_1^0$ -induction do not result in more than primitive recursive growth.

### 3. RESULTS

#### Theorem 7.

$$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{BW} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_1^0\text{-WKL},$$

where  $\Sigma_1^0\text{-WKL}$  is weak König's lemma for trees given by  $\Sigma_1^0$ -predicates.

These principles are moreover equivalent instance-wise, i.e. for each sequence in  $[0, 1]$  there is (provably in  $\text{RCA}_0$ ) a  $\Sigma_1^0$ -tree such that each infinite branch computes an accumulation point. Similar, for each  $\Sigma_1^0$ -tree there is an sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that each accumulation point computes an infinite branch.

*Proof.* For the right to left direction see [SK] and [Koh98, section 5.4].

For the other direction note that  $\Sigma_1^0\text{-WKL}$  is equivalent to  $\Sigma_2^0$ -separation, i.e. the statement that for two  $\Sigma_2^0$ -sets  $A_0, A_1$  with  $A_0 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$  there exists a set  $S$ , such that  $A_0 \subseteq S \subseteq \overline{A_1}$ .

Let  $B_i$  for  $i < 2$  be a quantifier free formula such that

$$n \in \overline{A_i} \equiv \forall x \exists y B_i(x, y; n).$$

We assume that  $y$  is unique; one can always achieve this by requiring  $y$  to be minimal. Note that  $\forall x \exists y B_0(x, y; n) \vee \forall x \exists y B_1(x, y; n)$ .

Then define

$$f_i(n, k) := \max \{s < k \mid \forall x < \text{lth } s \ (B_i(x, (s)_x; n))\}.$$

If for fixed  $n, i$  the statement  $\forall x \exists y B_i(x, y; n)$  holds and  $f_y$  is the choice function for  $y$ , i.e. the function satisfying  $\forall x B_i(x, f_y(x); n)$ , then

$$f_i(n, \bar{f}_y(m) + 1) = \bar{f}_y(m).$$

If  $\forall x \exists y B_i(x, y; n)$  does not hold then  $\lambda k. f_i(n, k)$  is bounded. Hence

$$\text{the range of } g_i(n) := \lambda k. \text{lth}(f_i(n, k)) \text{ is } \mathbb{N} \text{ iff } \forall x \exists y B_i(x, y; n).$$

Therefore it is sufficient to find a set  $S$  obeying

$$(1) \quad \forall n \ (rng(g_0(n)) \neq \mathbb{N} \rightarrow n \in S \wedge rng(g_1(n)) \neq \mathbb{N} \rightarrow n \notin S).$$

Define a sequence  $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  by

$$h_k(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g_0(n, k) \geq g_1(n, k), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If for a fixed  $n$  there is exactly one  $i < 2$ , such that the range of  $g_i(n)$  is  $\mathbb{N}$  then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k(n) = i$ . In this case (1) is satisfied for this  $n$  if

$$n \in S \text{ iff } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k(n) = 1.$$

If for each  $i < 2$  the range  $g_i(n)$  is  $\mathbb{N}$  then (1) is trivially satisfied for this  $n$ .

Since for any accumulation point  $h$  of  $(h_k)_k$  it is true that

$$h(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k(n) \text{ if the limit exists,}$$

$h$  describes a characteristic function of a set  $S$  obeying (1).

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

### Theorem 8.

$$\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{StCOH} \leftrightarrow \text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$$

Moreover these principles imply each other instance-wise.

*Proof.* To prove  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  for a fixed sequence  $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  define

$$R_i := \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} \mid y_j \in \bigcup_{k \text{ even}} \left[ \frac{k}{2^i}, \frac{k+1}{2^i} \right] \right\}$$

and

$$R^x := \bigcap_{i < \text{lth}(x)} \begin{cases} R_i & \text{if } (x)_i = 0, \\ \overline{R_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $f$  be a strictly increasing enumeration of a strongly cohesive set for  $(R_i)_i$ . Then by definition it follows, that

$$\forall i \exists x, s \ (\text{lth}(x) = i \wedge \forall w > s \ f(w) \in R^x).$$

This statement is equivalent to

$$\forall i \exists k, s \forall w > s \left( y_{f(w)} \in \left[ \frac{k}{2^i}, \frac{k+1}{2^i} \right] \right),$$

which implies  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$ .

For the other direction, let  $(R_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of sets. Let  $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  be the sequence defined by

$$y_i(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in R_n \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin R_n \end{cases}.$$

Applying  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  and lemma 6 to  $(y_i)_i$  yields a slowly converging subsequence  $(y_{f(i)})_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.

$$\forall n \exists s \forall j, j' \geq s \ \text{dist}(y_{f(j)}, y_{f(j')}) < 2^{-n}.$$

By spelling out the definition of *dist* and  $y_i$  we obtain

$$\forall n \exists s \forall j, j' \geq s \forall i < n (f(j) \in R_i \leftrightarrow f(j') \in R_i),$$

which implies that the set strictly monotone enumerated by  $f$  is strongly cohesive.  $\square$

Hence all results for StCOH carry over to  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$ :

**Theorem 9.**  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  is  $\Pi_1^1$ -conservative over  $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$ ,  $\text{RCA}_0 + \Sigma_2^0\text{-IA}$ . Especially  $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative over PRA.

*Proof.* Theorem 8 and theorem 4.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.**

- (1) Every recursive sequence of real numbers contains a  $\text{low}_2$  Cauchy subsequence (a sequence converging but not necessarily fast).
- (2) There exists a recursive sequence of real numbers containing no computable Cauchy subsequence.
- (3) There exists a recursive sequence of real numbers containing no converging subsequence computable in  $0'$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 8 and theorem 3. For (3) note that in the jump of a slowly converging Cauchy sequence computes a fast converging subsequence.  $\square$

Theorem 7 gives rise to another prove of this theorem and theorem 3: Let  $d$  be a degree containing solutions to all recursive instances of BW. Since BW is equivalent to  $\Sigma_1^0\text{-WKL}$  any degree  $d \gg 0'$  suffices. Thus we may assume that  $d$  is *low* over  $0'$ , i.e.  $d' \equiv 0''$ . Now let  $e$  be a degree containing solutions to all recursive instances of  $\text{BW}_{\text{weak}}$ . Since the choice of a fast convergent subsequence of a slow convergent subsequence is equivalent to the halting problem,  $e$  may be chosen such that  $e' \equiv d$ . Thus  $e'' \equiv 0''$  or in other words  $e$  is  $\text{low}_2$ .

Theorem 10.1 improves a result obtained by Le Roux and Ziegler in [LRZ08, section 3], which only considers integral Turing degrees.

## REFERENCES

- [CJS01] Peter Cholak, Carl G. Jockusch, Jr., and Theodore A. Slaman, *On the strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs*, J. Symb. Log. **66** (2001), no. 1, 1–55. MR 1825173
- [CSY] Chitat Chong, Theodore Slaman, and Yue Yang, *On the strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs*, in preparation.
- [HS07] Denis R. Hirschfeldt and Richard A. Shore, *Combinatorial principles weaker than Ramsey's theorem for pairs*, J. Symbolic Logic **72** (2007), no. 1, 171–206. MR 2298478
- [Ish76] Shiro Ishikawa, *Fixed points and iteration of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1976), no. 1, 65–71. MR 0412909
- [JS72] Carl G. Jockusch, Jr. and Robert I. Soare,  $\Pi_1^0$  classes and degrees of theories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **173** (1972), 33–56. MR 0316227
- [JS93] Carl Jockusch and Frank Stephan, *A cohesive set which is not high*, Math. Logic Quart. **39** (1993), no. 4, 515–530. MR 1270396
- [JS97] ———, *Correction to: "A cohesive set which is not high" [JS93]*, Math. Logic Quart. **43** (1997), no. 4, 569. MR 1477624
- [Koh98] Ulrich Kohlenbach, *Arithmetizing proofs in analysis*, Logic Colloquium '96 (San Sebastián), Lecture Notes Logic, vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 1998, pp. 115–158. MR 1674949
- [Koh00] ———, *Things that can and things that cannot be done in PRA*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **102** (2000), no. 3, 223–245. MR 1740484
- [Koh05] ———, *Some computational aspects of metric fixed-point theory*, Nonlinear Anal. **61** (2005), no. 5, 823–837. MR 2130066
- [Koh08] ———, *Applied proof theory: Proof interpretations and their use in mathematics*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 2008. MR 2445721
- [LRZ08] Stéphane Le Roux and Martin Ziegler, *Singular coverings and non-uniform notions of closed set computability*, Math. Log. Quart. **54** (2008), no. 5, 545–560. MR 2451914

- [SK] Pavol Safarik and Ulrich Kohlenbach, *On the computational content of the Bolzano-Weierstrass principle*, to appear in Math. Log. Quart.
- [Tao08] Terence Tao, *Structure and randomness*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008, Pages from year one of a mathematical blog. MR 2459552

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT, SCHLOSSGARTENSTRASSE  
7, 64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `akreuzer@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

*URL:* `http://mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~akreuzer`