

PROGRAM EXTRACTION FOR 2-RANDOM REALS

ALEXANDER P. KREUZER

ABSTRACT. Let 2-RAN be the statement that for each real X a real 2-random relative to X exists. We apply program extraction techniques we developed in [KK12, Kre12] to this principle.

Let WKL_0^ω be the finite type extension of WKL_0 . We obtain that one can extract primitive recursive realizers from proofs in $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + 2\text{-RAN}$, i.e., if $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + 2\text{-RAN} \vdash \forall f \exists x A_{qf}(f, x)$ then one can extract from the proof a primitive recursive term $t(f)$ such that $A_{qf}(f, t(f))$.

As a consequence, we obtain that $\text{WKL}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is Π_3^0 -conservative over RCA_0 .

Let $n\text{-RAN}$ be the statement

$$\forall X \exists Y (Y \text{ is } n\text{-random relative to } X).$$

It is known that 1-RAN is equivalent to weak weak König's lemma (WWKL). That is the restriction of weak König's Lemma to infinite binary trees T , which additionally satisfy

$$(1) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{s \in T \mid \text{lth}(s) = i\}|}{2^i} > 0,$$

see [YS90].

Avigad, Dean, and Rute showed that, relative to $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$, the principle 2-RAN is equivalent to WWKL for trees computable in the first Turing jump (of the parameters), see [ADR12]. This principle is denoted by 2-WWKL. Recently, Conidis and Slaman showed that 2-RAN is Π_1^1 -conservative over $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$, see [CS].

In this paper we will prove a program extraction result along this lines which additionally deals with WKL. In detail, we will show the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *The system $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + \text{CAC} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is conservative over RCA_0^ω for sentences of the form $\forall f \exists x A_{qf}(f, x)$. Moreover, from a proof one can extract a primitive recursive realizer $t[f]$ for y .*

The ω superscript at WKL_0 and RCA_0 indicates that we use the finite type variant of these systems. This means they are not sorted into two types for \mathbb{N} and subsets of \mathbb{N} , but into countable many types for \mathbb{N} , $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ etc. These systems are conservative over their second order counterpart. See [Koh05]. Below we will also need the finite type variants of the systems WKL_0^* and RCA_0^* . These systems are defined to be RCA_0 resp. WKL_0 where Σ_1^0 -induction is replaced by the exponential

Date: September 7, 2012 22:30.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03F35, 03B30, 03F10.

Key words and phrases. weak weak König's lemma, 2-random, program extraction, conservation, proof mining.

The author is supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG Project KO 1737/5-1).

function and quantifier-free induction, see [Sim09, X.4]. The finite type variants will be denote by $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*}$ and $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*}$.

Theorem 1 also deals with the chain antichain principle (CAC). This principle states that each partial ordering contains an infinite chain or an infinite antichain. In [CSY12] it was shown that CAC is Π_1^1 -conservative over $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$. We established a program extraction for CAC in [Kre12]. Theorem 1 extends this result.

Since Π_3^0 -statements are equivalent to statements of the form $\forall f \exists x A_{qf}(f, x)$, we immediately obtain from Theorem 1 the following corollary.

Corollary 2. $\text{WKL}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + \text{CAC} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is Π_3^0 -conservative over RCA_0 .

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the techniques we developed in [KK12, Kre12]. There we introduced the notion *proofwise low*. Roughly speaking, this notion covers the computational content of *low*₂-ness but also keeps track of the induction used in the proof. A Π_2^1 -principle P of the form

$$(2) \quad \forall X \exists Y P'(X, Y)$$

is called proofwise low over a system, say $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*}$, if for each term ϕ a term ξ exists such that

$$\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*} \vdash \forall X (\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi X) \rightarrow \exists Y (P'(X, Y) \wedge \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi XY))).$$

Here $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(t) := \exists f \forall n (f(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x t(n, x) = 0)$.

We showed that for principles P of the form (2) where P' is Π_1^0 and that are proofwise low relative to $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*}$, a program extraction result of the form of Theorem 1 holds, see [Kre12, Corollary 3.4]. We will prove Theorem 1 by showing that 2-WWKL, and hence 2-RAN, is (equivalent to) such a principle and these results are applicable.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let \mathcal{K} -WWKL be weak weak König's Lemma where the tree is given by a formula of the class \mathcal{K} . Using this notation 2-WWKL is the same as Δ_2^0 -WWKL. The following lemma shows that we can restrict our attention to Σ_1^0 -WWKL.

Lemma 3.

- (i) $\text{RCA}_0^* \vdash \Pi_1^0\text{-WWKL} \leftrightarrow \text{WWKL}$
- (ii) $\text{RCA}_0^* \vdash \Pi_2^0\text{-WWKL} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_1^0\text{-WWKL}$

Proof. Let $T = \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall k f(s, k) = 0\}$ be a Π_1^0 -tree such that (1) holds. Then the tree $T' := \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \forall k \leq \text{lth}(s) f(s', k) = 0\}$ is recursive, has the same infinite branches as T , and satisfies (1) since $T' \supseteq T$. Thus WWKL suffices to find an infinite branch of T .

Now let $T = \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall k \exists n f(s, k, n) = 0\}$ be a Π_2^0 -tree such that again (1) holds. Then $T' := \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \forall k \leq \text{lth}(s) \exists n f(s', k, n) = 0\}$ is a Σ_1^0 -tree and again has the same infinite branches as T and satisfies (1). Therefore, $\Sigma_1^0\text{-WWKL}$ yields an infinite branch. \square

Proposition 4. For each term ϕ and each m there exists a closed term ξ such that $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*} + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi)$ proves that there exists a tree T with

$$(3) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{s \in T \mid \text{lth}(s) = i\}|}{2^i} \geq 1 - 2^{-m}$$

and for each infinite branch b of T the statement $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi b)$ is provable.

The proof of this proposition make use of the concept of an associate. An associate is a representation of a continuous functional on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For a continuous functional $F(g)$ a function α_F satisfying the following statement is called an associate for F .

$$\forall f \exists n \alpha_F(\bar{g}n) \neq 0, \quad \forall f, n \ (\alpha_F(\bar{g}n) \neq 0 \rightarrow \alpha_F(\bar{g}n) - 1 = F(g)),$$

where \bar{g} denotes the course-of-value function for g . Note that the functional F is determined by the values of α_F . The closed terms of the finite type systems we consider here are provably continuous and have associates, see [Tro73, Koh05].

Before we come to the proof we define the shorthand

$$\mu_i(X) := \frac{|X \cap 2^i|}{2^i}.$$

With this, condition (1) can be rephrased as $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T) \geq 1 - 2^{-m}$.

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $\alpha_\phi(s, n, k)$ be an associate of $\phi(b, n, k)$. Then we have

$$\forall k \phi(b, n, k) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall k, k' \alpha_\phi(\bar{b}(k'), n, k) \leq 1.$$

For each n the full binary tree $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ decomposes into the sets

$$X_n := \{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall k \alpha_\phi(s, n, k) \leq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_n := \{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists k \alpha_\phi(s, n, k) > 1\}.$$

The sets X_n is by the properties of an associated closed under prefix. Therefore, it forms a tree. The sets Y_n can be approximated with the sets $Y_{n,l} := \{s \in 2^{<l} \mid \exists k < l \alpha_\phi(s, n, k) > 1\}$ in the sense that $Y_n = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} Y_{n,l}$ and $Y_{n,l} \subseteq Y_{n,l'}$ for $l < l'$.

Since $\alpha_\phi(s, n, k) > 1$ implies $\alpha_\phi(s * \langle x \rangle, n, k) > 1$ for any $x < 2$ we have that

$$(4) \quad \mu_i(Y_n) \leq \mu_j(Y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i(Y_{n,l}) \leq \mu_j(Y_{n,l}) \quad \text{if } i < j.$$

With this we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_n) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_{n,l}) \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_l(Y_{n,l}) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_n).$$

We conclude that all the expressions are equal and thus

$$(5) \quad \forall n, k \exists l \forall i > l \ |\mu_i(Y_n) - \mu_l(Y_{n,l})| < 2^{-k}.$$

A choice function $g(n, k)$ that outputs for each n, k such an l , exists by a suitable instance of $\Pi_1^0\text{-AC}$ which follows from $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi)$ for a suitable choice of ξ , see [Koh98], [Koh08, Chapter 13.4].

Let $Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq$ be the set of all branches going through $Y_{n,l}$, i.e.

$$Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq := \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists s' \in Y_{n,l} \ (s' \sqsubseteq s \vee s \sqsubseteq s')\}.$$

By definition we have

$$\mu_l(Y_{n,l}) = \mu_i(Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq) \quad \text{for all } i \geq l.$$

Since the set $Y_{n,l}$ is finite and decidable, it is clear that $Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq$ is also decidable. The set $Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq$ is obviously closed under prefix and therefore is a tree.

Consider $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq$. This set is a union of trees and, hence, a tree. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n) + \mu_{g(n,k)}(Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{\geq} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_n) - 2^k = 1 - 2^k \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the sets X_n and Y_n we have that for each infinite branch b of the tree $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq$ we have that

$$(6) \quad \forall k \phi(b, n, k) = 0$$

if and only if b is an infinite branch through X_n which is only the case if b does not go through $Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq$. Since this is decidable, we can decide (6). The tree $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq$ is Π_1^0 since X_n is Π_1^0 .

Now consider the tree $T = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,m+n+1)}^\sqsubseteq)$. Since T is an intersection of trees, it is again a tree. One checks that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{m+n+1} \geq 1 - 2^m.$$

Let b be any infinite branch of T . Since T is contained in $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,m+n+1)}^\sqsubseteq$ for each n the property (6) is decidable and thus Π_1^0 -CA(ϕb) provable.

The tree T is Π_1^0 . Using the construction described in the proof of Lemma 3 one obtains a recursive tree which has the desired properties. \square

This proof is inspired by [Kau91], [DH10, Theorem 8.14.1].

In order to show that Σ_1^0 -WWKL can be written as a principle of the form (2) with $P' \in \Pi_1^0$ we first observe that the sequence under the limit in (1) is decreasing, if T is a tree. Thus this limit is > 0 if and only if there exists an m such that each element of the sequence is $\geq 2^{-m}$. With this Σ_1^0 -WWKL can be written in the following form

$$\forall f, m \left(\mathsf{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f) \wedge \forall n \frac{|\{s \in 2^n \mid \exists k f(s, k) = 0\}|}{2^n} \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \rightarrow \exists b \forall n \exists k f(\bar{b}(n), k) = 0 \right)$$

where b is a function, \bar{b} is the course-of-value function of b and $\mathsf{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f)$ denotes the statement that f describes a binary Σ_1^0 -tree, i.e.

$$\forall s \left(\exists k f(s, k) = 0 \rightarrow \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \exists k f(s', k) = 0 \wedge s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \right).$$

Let $f'(s, k) := \min_{k' \leq k} f(s, k')$. By taking a choice function for the first k and a maximum we obtain the following, equivalent statement

$$(7) \quad \forall f, g, m \left(\mathsf{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f) \wedge \forall n \frac{|\{s \in 2^n \mid f'(s, g(n)) = 0\}|}{2^n} \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \right. \\ \left. \rightarrow \exists b \forall n \exists k f(\bar{b}(n), k) = 0 \right)$$

We define the following constructions: Let

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(s, k) &:= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \text{ and } \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \ f'(s', k) = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ f_{g,m}(s, k) &:= \begin{cases} f(s, k) & \text{if } \forall n \leq \text{lth}(s) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} |\{s \in 2^n \mid f'(s, g(n)) = 0\}| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \right), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

These constructions can be defined in $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*}$ and it is easy to see that $\forall f \ \mathsf{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(\hat{f})$ and $\forall f \ \mathsf{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f) \rightarrow f =_1 \hat{f}$. Also by construction (provably in $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*}$)

$$\forall f, g \ \forall m, n \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \left| \left\{ s \in 2^n \mid \widehat{(\hat{f})}_{g,m}(s, g(n)) = 0 \right\} \right| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \right)$$

and $(\hat{f})_{g,m} = \hat{f}$ if f, g, m satisfy $\forall n \ \frac{1}{2^n} \left| \left\{ s \in 2^n \mid \hat{f}(s, g(n)) = 0 \right\} \right| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m}$.

Thus (7) is equivalent to

$$\forall f, g, m \ \exists b \ \forall n \ \exists k \ \widehat{f_{g,m}}(\bar{b}(n), k) = 0.$$

By an application of $\text{QF-AC}^{0,0}$ this is equivalent to

$$\forall f, g, m \ \exists b, h \ \forall n \ \widehat{f_{g,m}}(\bar{b}(n), h(n)) = 0,$$

which is the desired form. We will call this principle $\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WWKL}}(\langle f, g, m \rangle, \langle b, h \rangle)$.

Theorem 5. *The principle $\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WWKL}}$ is proofwise low over $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*}$, i.e. for all terms ϕ there exists an ξ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*} \vdash \forall f, g, m \left(\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi(f, g, m)) \right. \\ \left. \rightarrow \exists b, h \left(\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WWKL}}(\langle f, g, m \rangle, \langle b, h \rangle) \wedge \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi(f, g, m, b, h)) \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix f, g, m and assume that f describes a Σ_1^0 -tree

$$T = \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists k \ f(s, k) = 0\}$$

and satisfies premise of (7). Otherwise we could replace f by $\widehat{f_{g,m}}$. We may also assume that for each s there is at most one k such that $f(s, k) = 0$.

Let $\alpha_{\phi(f,g,m)}$ be that associate of ϕ with respect to the parameters b, h . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\forall k \ \phi(f, g, m, b, h, n, k) = 0 &\leftrightarrow \forall k \ \forall k', k'' \ \alpha_{\phi(f,g,m)}(\bar{b}(k'), \bar{h}(k''), n, k) = 0 \\ &\leftrightarrow \forall k \ \forall k' \ \forall s'' \ (\forall i < \text{lth}(s'') \ f(\bar{b}(i), (s'')_i) = 0 \rightarrow \alpha_{\phi(f,g,m)}(\bar{b}(k'), s'', n, k) = 0)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may disregard the parameter h and just prove $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi'(f, g, m, b))$ for a given ϕ' .

By Proposition 4 there exists a term $\xi_1(f, g, m)$ a tree T' such that $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_1(f, g, m))$ proves that T' exists, for each infinite branch b of T' the statement $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi'(f, g, m, b))$ is provable, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T') \geq 1 - 2^{-(m+1)}$.

Let $\xi_2(f, g, m, n, k) := f(n, k)$. Then $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_2(f, g, m))$ decides $\exists k \ f(s, k) = 0$ and thus relative to this statement T is recursive. By the properties of T we have that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T) \geq 2^{-m}$.

Consider the tree $T \cap T'$. For this tree $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T \cap T') \geq 2^{-m+1}$. Therefore, it is infinite. By WKL it has an infinite branch b , and by definition $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi'(f, g, m, b))$ is provable.

Noting that $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_1(f, g, m))$ and $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_2(f, g, m))$ can be coded into one instance $\xi(f, g, m)$ of $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}$, see [Koh98, Remark 3.8.2], proves the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. The theorem without CAC follows from Corollary 3.4 of [Kre12], Theorem 5, and the fact that $\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WWKL}}$ and 2-RAN are equivalent over $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$.

The full statement of Theorem 1 follows from the fact that CAC is proof-wise low over a suitable system, see also [Kre12], and one can code two proofwise low principle into one. \square

REFERENCES

- [ADR12] Jeremy Avigad, Edward T. Dean, and Jason Rute, *Algorithmic randomness, reverse mathematics, and the dominated convergence theorem*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **163** (2012), no. 12, 1854–1864.
- [CS] Chris J. Conidis and Theodore A. Slaman, *Random reals, the rainbow Ramsey theorem, and arithmetic conservation*, preprint.
- [CSY12] C.T. Chong, Theodore A. Slaman, and Yue Yang, Π_1^0 -conservation of combinatorial principles weaker than ramsey’s theorem for pairs, Adv. in Math. **230** (2012), no. 3, 1060–1077.
- [DH10] Rodney G. Downey and Denis R. Hirschfeldt, *Algorithmic randomness and complexity*, Theory and Applications of Computability, Springer, New York, 2010. MR 2732288
- [Kau91] Steven M. Kautz, *Degrees of random sets*, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1991.
- [KK12] Alexander P. Kreuzer and Ulrich Kohlenbach, *Term extraction and Ramsey’s theorem for pairs*, J. Symbolic Logic **77** (2012), no. 3, 853–895.
- [Koh98] Ulrich Kohlenbach, *Elimination of Skolem functions for monotone formulas in analysis*, Arch. Math. Logic **37** (1998), 363–390. MR 1634279
- [Koh05] ———, *Higher order reverse mathematics*, Reverse mathematics 2001, Lect. Notes Log., vol. 21, Assoc. Symbol. Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2005, pp. 281–295. MR 2185441
- [Koh08] ———, *Applied proof theory: Proof interpretations and their use in mathematics*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 2008. MR 2445721
- [Kre12] Alexander P. Kreuzer, *Primitive recursion and the chain antichain principle*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic **53** (2012), no. 2, 245–265.
- [Sim09] Stephen G. Simpson, *Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, second ed., Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. MR 2517689
- [Tro73] Anne S. Troelstra (ed.), *Metamathematical investigation of intuitionistic arithmetic and analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 344, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. MR 0325352
- [YS90] Xiaokang Yu and Stephen G. Simpson, *Measure theory and weak König’s lemma*, Arch. Math. Logic **30** (1990), no. 3, 171–180. MR 1080236

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT, SCHLOSSGARTENSTRASSE 7,
64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY

E-mail address: akreuzer@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

URL: <http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~akreuzer>