California Energy Commission Workshop on Future Climate Scenarios for California

Day 1 (00.06.12)

INTRODUCTION

Opening remarks: Commissioner Robert Laurie

Remarks also by Commissioner Rosenfell - Global Warming is most imptortant issue facing us for rest of our careers

Self-introductions

GUIDO FRANCO

What are goals, needs? Scenarios should be

- physically plausible
- distinguish natural oscillations and greenhouse warming
- adequate time/space resolution
- use robust results as much as possible
- broad range to bracket future
- link potential changes with changes observed so far

CA objectives

- support regional modeling efforts (several models)
- RCMs should be a unifying factor
- CEC Global Climate Chagnge RD&D plan
 - : focus on hydroclimate modeling
 - : economic impacts from irrigation

TOM WILSON:

For econ. futures planning, year: 2020 is distant to economists. Issues:

- 1. Want scenarios for impacts
- 2. Where should R&D funds go?

JOEL SMITH

Baseline scenarios are needed for changes outside climate change in pop., GNP, technology, institutions

Cl. change

bio impacts

Social/econ. impacts

adaptation

vulnerability purposeful adaptations

Scenarios need to communicate uncertainties

- define plausible range of change for key variables (must identify these)
- want workshop to ID ~ _ doz. scenarios of change
- give vulnerability of system

time frames: 2020, 2060, 2100

sources: GCMs RCMs

Incremental Scenarios (specified-delta changes)

Soc/econ scenarios will use low and high assumptions

Eg. Pop. growth = present rate, or

= stabilizes @ 2030

WHAT ARE NEEDS FOR IMPACTS?

JOHN DRACUP - hydrology

Hydrology needed for health (see Lund model of management)

Hydrology subject to human (impaired, controled) streams

- need to work with unimpaired streams (~ 40 used in CA research)
- 1. Modify streamflow w/clim. change

Options

- (a) take stochastic model and change means/variances based on scenarios
- (b) rainfall/runoff model to simulate
 - what sp/temp. resolutions needed?

Data needs

- 1. Need 30 km to get climate changes with orography
- 2. Data: USGS mean daily obs. most easily avail.

Thus, Daily @ 30 km for stream flow (output target)

2. Groundwater

- not much in literature
- how will its temperature change? May not be impt.

Q: Chuck – rain vs. snow changes? A: Expect snow period.

Irrigation: ~ 80% of CA water drawn is for ag.

Lund: Ground Water is impt. (~ 30- - 40% CA water use is from GW, more during drought)

Cayan: critical aspect is floods. Tied to rain vs snow & heavy floods appear greater in recent decades.

Dracup: how does CCh. on hydrology compare with historical high, low, normal periods?

Gleick: system operation also important.

Dracup: historical hydrology record is short - < 100 yr.

JAY LUND:

water management model: CALVIN

- econ-engineering optimization model
- maximize state econ. benefit looking @ urban, ag, etc. needs.
- 1. Entire CA water system
- 2. Sf & groundwater systems
- 3. Econ optimization
- 4. prescribes monthly operations

Not in yet: flood control, hydropower scenario

For future scenario take base run and modify

Do not run anew on its own

Input: Monthly t.s. of runoff and groundwater

Future changes in condition: new/old dams, other control structures

RICHARD HOWITT:

Also on CALVIN

Ag gets 80% of current water

Model works on "trade-off" contour to help determine econ. optimization

Ag (plant) water requirements can change w/climate

Urban demands included

<u>Input Needs:</u> Prec, T, CO₂, O₃ (or some measure of air quality) monthly, for 25 regions in CA

RON NEILSON:

Primary tool is -

MAPS – Century version 1 (MC1)

Resolution 4-50 km (but run for independent, nin-interacting points)

t = daily - monthly

part of VEMAP models group

Input Needs:

MAPS: monthly long-term ave..

but MC1 needs time series (monthly, daily)

 $T_{min,max}$

Prec Soil depth
Mean humidity density
Mean wind speed texture
Mean radiation elevation
Latitude

VEMAP

1885 – 1993 obs. climatology @ 0.5 deg. From obs.

10-km clim. For US, used to downscale monthly 0.5 deg. to 10 km grid

MC1 and one other VEMAP model (LPJ) include dynamic fire and dynamic vegetation model

(Should ask RN – VEMAP height adjust for P?

- how handle data voids? For Tmin/max?)

MAPSS run w/various scenarios all show increased veg. density in CA

MC1 also showing increase in fire across west (higher fuel loads)

Tendency for veg.stress area increase as T

Hadley & CCC model both give increase in Palmer Drought SI in both CA & corn belt (w/no concurrence elsewhere).

MARLYN SHELTON

Current CA climate

- 1. What determines it?
- 2. Data sources?
- 3. Trends?

Determinants

1. Driver: radiation balance

CA covers $\sim 10^{\circ}$ latitude $\rightarrow 15\%$ change in radiant energy across state

- 2. Subtropical high southwest of CA also influences
- 3. Adjacent to Pacific Ocean
- 4. Westerly flow
- 5. Orography w/mtns. perpendicular to prevail. winds.

Sources: USHCN – 54 coop stations (20W/precip)

West. Reg. Clim. Center – 184 stations, daily

CA Data Exchange Center

- 500 stations
- monthly snow depth & water content

NCEP/NCAR Rean.

Redding, CA 1875 – 1994: downward T trend (north end of central valley) but other examples showing upward trend

Tendency for T_{min} to increase more than T_{max}

Precip. does not show much of a trend

Regarding SLP jump on 1976-77 (Trenberth work): See that SWE amounts lower after jump.

Important questions how will storm tacks change?

Do GCMs reproduce observed variability?

DAN CAYAN

natural variability

Cycles: PDO (20-40 yr) → has ENSO-like spatial structure ENSO (2-7 yr.)

PDO – no strong consensus on a PDO proxy to apply to paleoclimate.

Review of ENSO circulation storm track changes

precip. correlations – high @ ±30%/at and opposite in tropics.

scenarios must have credence in a global system, not be isolated points

e.g.: El Nino → Pacific storms progress into CA

LA Nina - Pacific storms do not

Short term extremes: more extremes of precip.and stream flow in southwest during El Nino; more extremes in NW during LaNina

Issues examined:

- fraction of Apr June runoff vs entire year
- wind speed & dir. distributions in El Nino vs. climo.
- seasonal T trends 1950-1997 in GHCN 25° set
- spring onset: Lilac bloom
 - snowmelt runoff pulse both show correspondence w/T changes
- relation of mold & mosquitoes to wet periods

measure of climate change beyond winter changes are important

TOM WIGLEY

How good are GCMs? Looking at

- mag. & pattern of means
- mag. & pattern of variability
- relationships among variables:

are mechanism done correctly?

correct mechanisms for observed behavior?

TW has over 20 GCM's datasets on hrs PC (mainly monthly data)

- 1. Pattern correlation for monthly P over globe, each month of year, then averaged correlations range from 0.55 0.76 not much trend in correl. as models get newer but Had CM does get better w/time.
- 2. Global Precip. Vs 1°C of warming
 - ~ 3% per 1°C in early models

tied perhaps to cloud params.

~ 1% per. 1°C more recent models

Cayon: done for separate regions? (not yet-TW)

3, Performance of 21 GCMs over west Coast (CA & OR) and western US

P as % change per 1°C mean global warming (CA, Dec-Jan-Feb.)

range of -10..7% to +55.9% (CCC model the highest)

(average = +7.6%, sdev = 13.4%)

Had CM: + 25.40%

The two models in US assessment give bigger changes than all the others

- 4. Pattern of change for western US (30-50 N 100-125W)
 Highest model model correlations are HadCM & CCC (*Thus, US Nat. Assess. did not sample full range of behavior.*)
- 5. Correl. each model w/overall models' average UK, CCC, CSIRO correl. well w/overall average.
- 6. Prob. of increased P (sampling among all models) in CA ~ 70%
- 7. S.CA vs N.CA DJF precip., 1900-1998

High interannual variability (~5-10:1 for wet vs. dry extremes)

High interdecadal variability (~ 2:1 for wet vs. dry)

WIGLEY on work by WILBY & DETTINGER

Statistical downscaling in Sierra Nevada w/projections to future

Issue: How does one choose predictor variables?

Predicting daily runoff for 3 basins in CA

- 1. SDS to get daily P, T_{min}, T_{max}
- 2. PRMS for hydro simulation

Predictors

- (a) 500 hpa
- (b) wind speed
- (c) ?? (humidity variable)

Both CCC & UKMO have large Precip in future scenario for CA in JF

Basins: American

Carson

Merceed

Different regression param. for each month

Compare: obs. streamflow, PRMS driven by obs., and PRMS w/SDS using reanalysis

Flow in PRMS w/obs: correlation, r = 0.94 vs obs. flow

Flow in PRMS w/SDS: r = 0.82 vs obs. flow

SDS of HadCM: large winter Prec. increase

T_{max} & T_{min} increase, T_{min} more so

Snowpack changes (increase) in Carson & Merced

No change in American

Discharge increase in Dec – Feb w/large Apr. increase also in

Merced

R Neilson - need to couple in veg. Changes when looking @ hydrological changes

CHUCK HAKKARINEN:

IPCC Ch. 13 - Methods for Developing Scenarios (but no scenarios)

Criteria for scenario suitability

- consistent w/global proj.
- phys. plausible & realism
- "appropriate" for impact assessment
- representative potential range of future cl. Ch.
- easily accessible

NORM MILLER

A. Clim. Change & Am./Russian Rivers

8 yr. Sim @ 36 km for western US

obs. precip. – in basins: where are gages located?

SDS to understand range of uncertainty in predictability

Issue: How good is monsoon signal in HadCM & RCMs?

What makes a useful scenario?

What is optimal sp/temp. resolution?

& length of sim

of models

Variables: guided by VEMAP

 $\begin{array}{ll} T_{min} & \text{mean wind} \\ T_{max} & \text{mean radiation} \\ \text{Precip.} & \text{(total, PAR, etc)} \\ \text{Mean humidity} & \text{CO}_2 \end{array}$

CA goal = 2030, 2060----

T.W. - Cannot use full transient run want to make snapshots out of specific points.

R.N. – using CCC transients important for veg. changes

R.D. - transient simulation poses problems in that you don't have stat. steady state. Opens up possibility for wider range of variations in scenario.

T.W. - CA poses big issue of signal/note because interannual variability is so large

LISA SLOAN

recent past & paleoclimate changes

RCM CA clim/hydro regimes in response to Global Warming

Res. currently: 50 km

Goal; 15 km

Using Reg CM2

West Coast domain

W/climo SSTs & CCM output

Somehow doing 1968 (La Nina) & 1988 (El Nino) - 1 year sim.

In the context of the BC supplied

Issues: what domain to use?

How small can you get info out (single grd scale?)

Comparison of 68-88 differences

Plan: present, Holocene & future

Calibrate w/respect to current & past climate

Will derive offline local studies also running global paleo models

DAN CAYAN

Wx & climate forecasts for CA by California Applications Program (CAP)

Developing forecasts for interested users in CA region

(web: search for Calif. App. Program)

Why agencies don't use forecasts

- don't trust
- not user specific
- don't know how to interpret

(Dettinger & Pulwarthy BAMS 1997)

NORM MILLER

LBL group working with Scripps & group in Tucson

Work with Queensland, Australia

RCMs: MAS + Sacramento hydrol. Model

Landslide prediction

Isotopes in model for sources/sinks of water

Tucson: NSF STC

Discussion

1. Accessibility of scenarios

R.N. – fairly elaborate process to feed in model output directly

- alternative: take between control of scenario now very elaborate

process

- 2. 's vs direct input
- 3. Time scales of variability changes that one can expect to get indication on.

Output desired

Immediate use

Sector:	Veg	Ag	Hydrol	Energy
T_{\min}	X	X	X	X
T_{max}	X	X	X	X
P	X	X	X	X
Humidity	X			
Wind	X			
Radiation	X		X	
CO_2	X	X		

Would like to work toward these or use as diagnostics:

Cloudiness Fog info Lightning info PET

Soil moisture

	Veg.	Ag	Hydrol	Energy
Spatial Res.	10 km (desired)	26 regions	30 km (desired)	county level
Temporal Res.	daily (hourly use- ful but not essential	daily	daily (6 hrly used by river fest. center)	monthly

Length will take what can get

RN: For length, 3 classes: 1 monthly climatology'

2. full time series – eco/veg need 100 years

3. Use temporally dynamic model for shorter pd. To get

behavior (e.g., hydro model)

TOM WILSON

Some discussion on incremental (specified) changes, mainly on

humidity: use RH const.

Q(a) reasonably wide range of scenarios?

- use T.W's set of GCMs to bracket?

R.N. – VEMAP wanted more models for scenarios (e.g., CCM, GFDL, ...). CEC get them to do it?

Discussion of using GCMs to guide incremental change scenarios

- use to help ID thresholds in impacts system
- uses TW's $/1^{\circ}$ C, 2° C, etc.
- want dynamic model results from those? Perhaps by feeding in T (time)

Plan:

2 GCMs - Hadley & GFDL (as a "dry" representative)

increments: $3 \text{ variants out: } +1.5^{\circ}\text{C}, +2.5, +5$

2 variants on precip. 0%, 5% increase per °C