Document number: DXXXX=yy-nnnn

Date: 2015-05-17

Project: Programming Language C++, Library Evolution Working

Group

Reply-to: Vicente J. Botet Escriba < <u>vicente.botet@wanadoo.fr</u>>

#### C++ generic factory

Experimental generic factories library for C++17.

#### **Contents**

Introduction	2
Motivation and Scope	2
Tutorial	4
Type constructor factory	4
Emplace factory	4
How to define a class that wouldn't need customization?	4
How to customize an existing class	4
How to define a type constructor?	5
Helper classes	6
Design rationale	7
Customization point	7
Why to have default customization points?	7
reference_wrapper <t> overload to deduce T&amp;</t>	7
Product types factories	7
High order factory	8
Open points	9
Technical Specification	9
Header <experimental functional=""> Synopsis</experimental>	9
Template function make	11
Example of customizations.	12
optional	12
expected	12
future/shared_future	13
unique_ptr	14
shared_ptr	14
Implementation	14
Acknowledgements	14
References	
Appendix - Non Mandatory Helper Classes	15
History	16

### Introduction

This paper presents a proposal for a generic factory make that allows to make generic algorithms that need to create an instance of a wrapped class from its underlying types.

N4471 proposes extending template parameter deduction for functions to constructors of template classes. If this proposal is accepted, it would be clear that this proposal will lost most of its added value.

# **Motivation and Scope**

All these types, shared\_ptr<T>, unique\_ptr<T, D>, optional<T>, expected<T, E> and future<T>, have in common that all of them have an underlying type `T'.

There are two kind of factories:

- type constructor with the underlying types as parameter
  - back inserter
  - make optional
  - make ready future
  - make expected
- emplace construction of the underlying type given the constructor parameters
  - make shared
  - make unique

When writing an application, the user knows if the function to write should return a specific type, as shared\_ptr<T>, unique\_ptr<T, D>, optional<T>, expected<T, E> or future<T>. E.g. when the user knows that the function must return a owned smart pointer it would use unique ptr<T>.

```
template <class T>
unique_ptr<T> f() {
   T a,
   ...
   return make_unique(a);
   //return unique_ptr(a); // would this be correct if N4471 is accepted?
}
```

If the user knows that the function must return a shared smart pointer

```
template <class T>
shared_ptr<T> f() {
  T a,
    ...
  return make_shared(a);
  //return shared_ptr(a); // would this be correct if N4471 is accepted?
}
```

However when writing a library, the author doesn't always know which type the user wants as a result. In these case the function library must take some kind of type constructor to let the user make the choice.

```
template <template <class> class TC, class T>
TC<T> f() {
   T a,
    ...
   return make<TC>(a);
   //return TC(a); // if N4471 is accepted
}
```

In addition, we have factories for the product types such as pairand tuple

- make pair
- make tuple

We can use the class template name as a type constructor

```
vector<int> vi1 = { 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8 };
vector<int> vi2;
copy_n(vi1, 3, make<back_insert_iterator>(vi2));
int v=0;
auto x1 = make<shared_ptr>(v);
auto x2 = make<unique_ptr>(v);
auto x3 = make<optional>(v);
auto x4 = make<future>(v);
auto x5 = make<shared_future>(v);
auto x6 = make<expected>(v);
auto x7 = make<pair>(v, v);
auto x8 = make<tuple>(v, v, 1u);
```

or making use of reference wrapper type deduction

```
int v=0;
future<int&> x4 = make<future>(std::ref(v));
```

or use the class name to build to support in place construction

```
auto x1 = make<shared_ptr<A>>(v, v);
auto x2 = make<unique_ptr<A>>(v, v);
auto x3 = make<optional<A>>();
auto x4 = make<future<A>>(v);
auto x5 = make<shared_future<A>>(v, v);
auto x6 = make<expected<A>>(v, v);
```

Note, if N4471 is accepted, the following will be already possible

```
int v=0;
auto x3 = optional(v);
auto x7 = pair(v, v);
auto x8 = tuple(v, v, 1u);
```

We can also make use of the class name to avoid the type deduction

```
int i;
auto x1 = make<future<long>>(i);
```

Sometimes the user wants that the underlying type be deduced from the parameter, but the type constructor needs more information. A type holder tcan be used to mean any type T.

```
auto x2 = make < expected < t, E >> (v);
```

```
auto x2 = make<unique ptr< t, MyDeleter>>(v);
```

### **Tutorial**

### Type constructor factory

```
template <class TC>
  apply<TC, int> safe_divide(int i, int j)
{
  if (j == 0)
    return none<TC>();
  else
    return make<TC>(i / j);
}
```

We can use this function with different type constructor as

```
auto x = safe_divide<optional<_t>>>(1, 0);
or
auto x = safe divide<expected< t>>(1, 0);
```

### **Emplace factory**

#### How to define a class that wouldn't need customization?

For the make default constructor function, the class needs at least to have a default constructor C();

For the make copy/move constructor function, the class needs at least to have a constructor from the underlying types.

```
C(Xs&&...);
```

### How to customize an existing class

When the existing class doesn't provide the needed constructor as e.g. future<T>, the user needs to add the missing overloads for make custom so that they can be found by ADL.

```
namespace boost {
  future<void> make_custom(meta::type<future<void>>)
  {
    return make_ready_future();
    }
  template <class T, class ...Args>
  future<T> make_custom(meta::type<future<T>>, Args&& ...args)
  {
    return make ready future<T>(forward<Args>(x)...);
```

```
}
```

### How to define a type constructor?

The simple case is when the class has a single template parameter as is the case for future<T>.

```
namespace boost
{
   struct future_tc {
     template <class T>
     using apply = future<T>;
   };
}
```

When the class has two parameter and the underlying type is the first template parameter, as it is the case for expected,

```
namespace boost
{
  template <class E>
   struct expected_tc<E> {
    template <class T>
    using apply = expected<T, E>;
  };
}
```

If the second template depends on the first one as it is the case of unique\_ptr<T, D>, the rebind of the second parameter must be done explicitly.

```
namespace boost
 namespace detail
   template <class D, class T>
   struct rebind;
   template <template <class...> class TC, class ...Ts, class ...Us>
   struct rebind<TC<Ts...>, Us...>> {
     using type = TC<Us...>;
   template <class M, class ...Us>
   using rebind t = typename rebind<M, Us...>>::type;
  template <>
   struct default delete<experimental:: t>
   template<class T>
   using apply = default delete<T>;
  template <class D>
   struct unique_ptr<experimental::_t, D>
   template<class T>
   using apply = unique ptr<T, detail::rebind t<D, T>>;
```

}

### Helper classes

Defining these type constructors is cumbersome. This task can be simplified with some helper classes.

```
// type holder
 struct _t {};
namespace meta
  // identity meta-function
  template<class T>
   struct id
     using type = T;
    };
  // lift a class template to a type constructor
  template <template <class ...> class TC, class... Args>
    struct lift;
  // reverse lift a class template to a type constructor
  template <template <class ...> class TC, class... Args>
   struct reverse lift;
 template <class M, class ...U>
  struct rebind : id<typename M::template rebind<U...>> {};
 template <template<class ...> class TC, class ...Ts, class ...Us>
  struct rebind<TC<Ts...>, Us...> : id<TC<Us...>> {};
 template <class M, class ...Us>
 using rebind t = eval<rebind<M, Us...>>;
}
```

The previous type constructors could be rewritten using these helper classes as follows:

```
namespace boost
{
  template <> struct future<_t> : std::experimental::meta::lift<future>
{};
}

namespace boost
{
  template <class E> struct expected<_t, E> :
  std::experimental::meta::reverse_lift<expected, E> {};
}

namespace boost
{
  template <>
    struct default_delete<_t> :
```

```
std::experimental::meta::lift<default_delete> {};

template <class D>
    struct unique_ptr<_t, D>
    {
    template<class T>
        using apply = unique_ptr<T, std::experimental::meta::rebind_t<D, T>>;
    };
}
```

# **Design rationale**

### **Customization point**

This proposal takes advatage of overloading the make\_custom functions adding the tag type<T>.

We have named the customization points make\_custom to make more evident that these are customization points.

### Why to have default customization points?

The first factoy make uses default constructor to build a C<void>.

The second factoy make uses conversion constructor from the underlying type(s).

The third factory make is used to be able to do emplace construction given the specific type.

#### reference wrapper<T> overload to deduce T&

As it is the case for make\_pair when the parameter is reference\_wrapper<T>, the type deduced for the underlying type is T&.

#### **Product types factories**

This proposal takes into account also product type factories (as std::pair or std::tuple).

```
// make product factory overload: Deduce the resulting `Us`
template <template <class...> class T, class ...Ts>
   T<Us...> make(Ts&& ...args);
// make product factory overload: Deduce the resulting `Us`
template <class TC, class ...Ts>
   apply<TC, Us...> make(Ts&& ...args);

auto x = make<pair>(1, 2u);
auto x = make<tuple>(1, 2u, string("a");
```

### High order factory

It is simple to define a high order maker<TC> factory of factories that can be used in standard algorithms.

For example

```
std::vector<X> xs;
std::vector<Something<X>> ys;
std::transform(xs.begin(), xs.end(), std::back_inserter(ys),
maker<Something>{});

template <template <class> class T>
    struct maker {
      template <typename ...X>
      constexpr auto
      operator()(X&& ...x) const
      {
         return make<T>(forward<X>(x)...);
      }
};
```

The main problem defining function objects is that we can not have the same class with different template parameters. The maker class template has a template class parameter. We need an additional classes that takes a meta-function class and a type.

```
template <template <class> class T>
 struct maker tc {
   template <typename ...X>
   constexpr auto
   operator()(X&& ...x) const
       return make<T>(forward<X>(x)...);
   }
  };
template <class MFC> // requires MFC is a type constructor
 struct maker mfc {
   template <class ... Xs>
   constexpr auto
   operator()(Xs&& ...xs)
     return make<MFC>(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...);
   }
  };
template <class M> // requires M is a type
 struct maker t
   template <class ...Args>
   constexpr M operator()(Args&& ...args) const
     return make<M>(std::forward<Args>(args)...);
  };
```

# **Open points**

The authors would like to have an answer to the following points if there is at all an interest in this proposal:

Is there an interest on the make functions?

Is there an interest on the none functions?

#### Should the customization be done with overloading or with traits?

The current proposal uses overloading as customization point. The alternative is to use traits as e.g. the library Hana uses.

If overloading is preferred,

- should the customization function names be suffixed e.g. with custom?
- As id and type do the same, should the type be replaced by id or the oposite?

# Should the namespace meta be used for the meta programming utilities apply and type? Should the function object factories be part of the proposal?

The function objects maker tc, maker mfc and maker t could be quite useful.

What should be the default for maker?

#### Should the function factories make and none be function objects?

N4381 proposes to use function objects as customized points, so that ADL is not involved.

This has the advantages to solve the function and the high order function at once.

The same technique is used a lot in other functional libraries as Range, Fit and Pure.

#### Is there an interest on the helper holder t?

While not need absolutely, it helps to define the type constructors.

# Is there an interest on the helper meta-functions id, types, lift, lift\_reverse and rebind?

If yes, should them be part of a separated proposal?

There is much more on meta-programming utilities as show on the Meta library.

Should the customization of the standard classes pair, tuple, optional, future, unique ptr, shared ptr be part of this proposal?

# **Technical Specification**

#### **Header <experimental/functional> Synopsis**

Add the following declaration in experimental/functional.

```
namespace std
{
namespace experimental
```

```
inline namespace fundamental v2
namespace meta
  // apply a type constructor TC to the type parameters Args
  template <class TC, class... Args>
   using apply = typename TC::template apply<Args...>;
  // tag type
  template <class T>
   struct type {};
}
  template <template <class ...> class TC>
  constexpr auto none();
  template <class TC>
  constexpr auto none();
  // make() overload
  template <template <class ...> class M>
    M<void> make();
  template <class TC>
    meta::apply<TC, void> make();
  // make overload: requires a template class parameter, deduce the underlying
type
  template <template <class ...> class M, class X>
   M < Y > make(X \& x);
    // make overload: requires a type constructor, deduce the underlying type
  template <class TC, class X>
   meta::apply<TC, Y> make(X&& x);
  // make overload: requires a type with a specific underlying type,
  // don't deduce the underlying type from X
  template <class M, class X>
   M make (X\&\& x);
  // make emplace overload: requires a type with a specific underlying type,
  // don't deduce the underlying type from Args
  template <class M, class ...Args>
    M make (Args&& ...args);
namespace meta
  // default customization point for TC<void> default constructor
  template <class M>
   M make custom(meta::type<M>);
  // default customization point for constructor from Xs
  template <class M, class ... Xs>
    M make_custom(meta::type<M>, Xs&& xs);
}
}
```

}

### Template function make

#### template + void

```
template <template <class ...> class M>
M<void> make();
```

*Effects:* Forwards to the customization point make with a template constructor type<M<void>>. As if

```
return make(meta::type<M<void>>{});
```

#### template + deduced underlying type

```
template <template <class ...> class M, class T>
   M<V> make(T&& x);
```

where V is determined as follows: Let U be decay\_t<T>. Then V is X& if U equals reference wrapper<X>, otherwise V is U.

*Effects:* Forwards to the customization point make with a template constructor meta::type<M<V>>. As if

```
return make(meta::type<M<V>>{}, std::forward<T>(x));
```

#### type constructor + deduced underlying type

```
template <class TC, class T>
  meta::apply<TC, V> make(T&& x);
```

where V is determined as follows: Let U be decay\_t<T>. Then V is X& if U equals reference wrapper<X>, otherwise V is U.

Requires: TC is a type constructor.

Effects: Forwards to the customization point make with a template constructor

```
meta::type<meta::apply<TC, V>>. As if
```

```
return make(meta::type<meta::apply<TC, V>>{}, std::forward<T>(x));
```

#### type + non deduced underlying type

```
template <class M, class X>
   M make(X&& x);
```

Requires: M is not a type constructor and the underlying type of M is convertible from X.

*Effects:* Forwards to the customization point make with a template constructor meta::type<M>. As if

```
return meta::make(meta::type<M>{}, std::forward<X>(x));
```

#### type + emplace args

```
template <class M, class ...Args>
   M make(Args&& ...args);
```

*Effects:* Forwards to the customization point make with a type constructor meta::type<M> and in place t. As if

```
return make(meta::type<M>{}, std::forward<Args>(args)...);
```

# Template function make\_custom - default constructor customization point for void

```
template <class M>
M make_custom(meta::type<M>)
```

Returns: A M constructed using the constructor M ()

*Throws:* Any exception thrown by the constructor.

#### copy constructor customization point

```
template <class M, class ...Xs>
  M make custom(meta::type<M>, Xs&& xs);
```

Returns: A M constructed using the constructor M(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...)

Throws: Any exception thrown by the constructor.

# **Example of customizations**

Next follows some examples of customizations that could be included in the standard

#### optional

```
namespace std {
namespace experimental {
    // Holder specialization
    template <>
    struct optional<_t>;
}
}
```

#### expected

```
namespace std {
```

```
namespace experimental {
   // Holder specialization
   template <class E>
   struct expected<_t, E>;
}
```

### future/shared\_future

```
namespace std {
  // customization point for template
  // (needed because std::experimental::future doesn't has a default
constructor)
  future<void> make custom(experimental::meta::type<future<void>>);
  // customization point for template
  // (needed because std::experimental::future doesn't has a conversion
constructor)
  template <class DX, class X>
    future<DX> make custom(experimental::meta::type<future<DX>>, X&& x);
  // customization point for template
  // (needed because std::experimental::future doesn't uses
experimental::in_place_t)
  template <class X, class ...Args>
   future<X> make custom(experimental::meta::type<future<X>>,
experimental::in place t, Args&& ...args);
  // customization point for template
  // (needed because std::experimental::shared future doesn't has a default
constructor)
 shared future<void>
make custom(experimental::meta::type<shared future<void>>);
  // customization point for template
  // (needed because std::experimental::shared future<X> doesn't has a
constructor from X)
  template <class DX, class X>
    shared future<DX> make custom(experimental::meta::type<shared future<DX>>,
X&& x);
  // customization point for template
  // (needed because std::experimental::shared future doesn't use
experimental::in place t)
  template <class X, class ... Args>
    shared_future<X> make_custom(experimental::meta::type<shared future<X>>,
experimental::in place t, Args&& ...args);
  // Holder specializations
  template <>
    struct future<experimental:: t>;
  template <>
    struct future<experimental:: t&>;
  template <>
```

```
struct shared_future<experimental::_t>;
template <>
   struct shared_future<experimental::_t&>;
}
```

#### unique ptr

```
namespace std {
    // customization point for template
    // (needed because std::unique_ptr doesn't has a conversion constructor)
    template <class DX, class ...Xs>
        unique_ptr<DX> make_custom(experimental::meta::type<unique_ptr<DX>>, Xs&&
    xs);

    // Holder customization
    template <class D>
    struct unique_ptr<experimental::_t, D>;

    template <>
    struct default_delete<experimental::_t>;
}
```

#### shared ptr

```
namespace std {
    // customization point for template
    // (needed because std::shared_ptr doesn't has a conversion constructor)
    template <class DX, class ...Xs>
    shared_ptr<DX> make_custom(experimental::meta::type<shared_ptr<DX>>, Xs&& xs);
    // Holder customization
    template <>
    struct shared_ptr<experimental::_t>;
}
```

# **Implementation**

There is an implementation at <a href="https://github.com/viboes/std-make">https://github.com/viboes/std-make</a>.

## **Acknowledgements**

Many thanks to Agustín K-ballo Bergé from which I learn the trick to implement the different overloads. Scott Pager helped me to identify a minimal proposal, making optional the helper classes and of course the addition high order functional factory and the missing reference\_wrapper overload.

Thanks to Mike Spertus for its N4471 proposal that would even help to avoid the factories in the

common cases.

### References

- N4471 Template parameter deduction for constructors (Rev. 2) <a href="http://openstd.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4471.html">http://openstd.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4471.html</a>
- N4381 Suggested Design for Customization Points <a href="http://openstd.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4381.html">http://openstd.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4381.html</a>
- N4480 Programming Languages C++ Extensions for Library Fundamentals <a href="http://openstd.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4480.html">http://openstd.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4480.html</a>
- N4015 A proposal to add a utility class to represent expected monad <a href="http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2014/n4015.pdf">http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2014/n4015.pdf</a>
- Range-V3 <a href="https://github.com/ericniebler/range-v3">https://github.com/ericniebler/range-v3</a>
- Meta <a href="https://github.com/ericniebler/meta">https://github.com/ericniebler/meta</a>
- Hana <a href="https://github.com/ldionne/hana">https://github.com/ldionne/hana</a>
- Pure <a href="https://github.com/splinterofchaos/Pure">https://github.com/splinterofchaos/Pure</a>
- Fit <a href="https://github.com/pfultz2/Fit">https://github.com/pfultz2/Fit</a>

# **Appendix - Non Mandatory Helper Classes**

In the original proposal there were some helper classes as lift, reverse\_lift, \_t and id that are not mandatory for this proposal. If the committee has interest, a specific proposal can be written.

```
namespace std
{
namespace experimental
{
inline namespace fundamental v2
 // type holder
 struct t {};
namespace meta
  // identity meta-function
  template<class T>
   struct id
      using type = T;
  // lift a class template to a type constructor
  template <template <class ...> class TC, class... Args>
    struct lift;
  // reverse lift a class template to a type constructor
  template <template <class ...> class TC, class... Args>
   struct reverse lift;
```

```
template <class M, class ...U>
struct rebind : id<typename M::template rebind<U...>> {};

template <template<class ...> class TC, class ...Ts, class ...Us>
struct rebind<TC<Ts...>, Us...> : id<TC<Us...>> {};

template <class M, class ...Us>
using rebind_t = typename rebind<M, Us...>::type;

}}}
```

# History

#### v0.1 Creation

#### v0.2 Take in account comments from the ML

- Moved apply and type to meta namespace.
- Added constexpr.
- Added product type factory overload make to support pair/tuple types.
- Fix the signature of make to support reference wrapper types.
- Added factory function object maker.
- Added none factory.
- Removed the emplace make factory specialization.
- Remove type\_constructor as out of the scope of the proposal. It was used by unique ptr< t, D> specialization, but this can be seen as an implementation detail.
- Remove type constructor tag as this was an implementation detail.
- Refactored rebind.
- Moved rebind, lift, reverse\_lift, \_t and id to appendix Non Mandatory Helper Classes and to to meta namespace.

#### v0.3 Take in account comments from the ML

- Fix some product type and emplace factories issues.
- Rename customization point make to make custom.
- Reference N4471 as this proposal would simplify most of this proposal.