

MA423 Matrix Computations

Lecture 3: Accuracy and Stability Analysis

Rafikul Alam
Department of Mathematics
IIT Guwahati

Outline

- Backward stability of algorithms
- ill-conditioning
- Accuracy of computed solutions

Finite Precision Arithmetic

Arithmetic operations on computers are inexact. For example, computing

$$a+b$$

on a computer, produces

Finite Precision Arithmetic

Arithmetic operations on computers are inexact. For example, computing

$$a+b$$

on a computer, produces

$$(a+b)(1+e)$$

where e is called the **rounding error**.

Finite Precision Arithmetic

Arithmetic operations on computers are inexact. For example, computing

$$a+b$$

on a computer, produces

$$(a+b)(1+e)$$

where e is called the **rounding error**.

The rounding error is bounded by $|e| \leq u$, where u is the **unit roundoff**.

Single precision: $u \simeq 5.96 \times 10^{-8}$

Finite Precision Arithmetic

Arithmetic operations on computers are inexact. For example, computing

$$a+b$$

on a computer, produces

$$(a+b)(1+e)$$

where e is called the **rounding error**.

The rounding error is bounded by $|e| \leq u$, where u is the **unit roundoff**.

Single precision: $u \simeq 5.96 \times 10^{-8}$

Double precision: $u \simeq 1.11 \times 10^{-16}$

Finite Precision Arithmetic

Arithmetic operations on computers are inexact. For example, computing

$$a+b$$

on a computer, produces

$$(a+b)(1+e)$$

where e is called the **rounding error**.

The rounding error is bounded by $|e| \leq u$, where u is the **unit roundoff**.

Single precision: $u \simeq 5.96 \times 10^{-8}$

Double precision: $u \simeq 1.11 \times 10^{-16}$

IEEE standard enables one to keep track of small errors that are made when two numbers are added, subtracted, multiplied or divided on a computer.

Propagation of rounding errors

Errors are unavoidable in numerical computation.

Propagation of rounding errors

Errors are unavoidable in numerical computation.

- Finite precision arithmetic leads to rounding errors.

Propagation of rounding errors

Errors are unavoidable in numerical computation.

- Finite precision arithmetic leads to rounding errors.
- Errors introduced by an algorithm during computations (rounding and truncations) is called **computational errors**.

Propagation of rounding errors

Errors are unavoidable in numerical computation.

- Finite precision arithmetic leads to rounding errors.
- Errors introduced by an algorithm during computations (rounding and truncations) is called **computational errors**.
- During computation, an algorithm either magnifies these errors (**unstable algorithm**) or keep them under check (**stable algorithm**).

Errors

Two common measures of error are

$$\text{Absolute Error} := \|\text{True Value} - \text{Approx. Value}\|$$

Errors

Two common measures of error are

$$\text{Absolute Error} := \|\text{True Value} - \text{Approx. Value}\|$$

$$\text{Relative Error} := \frac{\text{Absolute Error}}{\|\text{True Value}\|}$$

Errors

Two common measures of error are

$$\text{Absolute Error} := \|\text{True Value} - \text{Approx. Value}\|$$

$$\text{Relative Error} := \frac{\text{Absolute Error}}{\|\text{True Value}\|}$$

But

Errors

Two common measures of error are

$$\text{Absolute Error} := \|\text{True Value} - \text{Approx. Value}\|$$

$$\text{Relative Error} := \frac{\text{Absolute Error}}{\|\text{True Value}\|}$$

But

- true value is usually **unknown**,
- so we **estimate** or **bound** errors rather than **compute them exactly**.

Errors

Two common measures of error are

$$\text{Absolute Error} := \|\text{True Value} - \text{Approx. Value}\|$$

$$\text{Relative Error} := \frac{\text{Absolute Error}}{\|\text{True Value}\|}$$

But

- true value is usually **unknown**,
- so we **estimate** or **bound** errors rather than **compute them exactly**.

Relative error is commonly used for analysis of **rounding errors** and **stability of algorithms**. On the other hand, absolute error is used for analysis of **truncation errors**.

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Let $F(d)$ be a **solution** of a problem with given **data** d and $\text{ALG}(d)$ be the computed solution.

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Let $F(d)$ be a **solution** of a problem with given **data** d and $\text{ALG}(d)$ be the computed solution. Then the **accuracy** of the computed solution $\text{ALG}(d)$ is measured by the (relative) error

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Let $F(d)$ be a **solution** of a problem with given **data** d and $\text{ALG}(d)$ be the computed solution. Then the **accuracy** of the computed solution $\text{ALG}(d)$ is measured by the (relative) error

$$\text{Error} = \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Let $F(d)$ be a **solution** of a problem with given **data** d and $\text{ALG}(d)$ be the computed solution.

Then the **accuracy of the computed solution** $\text{ALG}(d)$ is measured by the (relative) error

$$\text{Error} = \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

Definition: An algorithm ALG is said to be **backward stable** (stable) if

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Let $F(d)$ be a **solution** of a problem with given **data** d and $\text{ALG}(d)$ be the computed solution. Then the **accuracy** of the computed solution $\text{ALG}(d)$ is measured by the (relative) error

$$\text{Error} = \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

Definition: An algorithm ALG is said to be **backward stable** (stable) if

- $\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d)$ for some $\Delta d \in X$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Algorithm

An **algorithm** is a function $\text{ALG} : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|)$ such that

- computation of $\text{ALG}(\text{input})$ involves only a **finite number of steps**
- and each step performs a finite number of **elementary arithmetic operations**.

Let $F(d)$ be a **solution** of a problem with given **data** d and $\text{ALG}(d)$ be the computed solution. Then the **accuracy** of the computed solution $\text{ALG}(d)$ is measured by the (relative) error

$$\text{Error} = \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

Definition: An algorithm ALG is said to be **backward stable** (stable) if

- $\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d)$ for some $\Delta d \in X$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

The quantity $\frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$ is called the **backward error**.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

$\text{ALG stable} \implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is,

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

$\text{ALG stable} \implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

ALG stable $\implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

$\text{ALG stable} \implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

$\text{ALG stable} \implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then $\text{ALG stable} \implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

$\text{ALG stable} \implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then $\text{ALG stable} \implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 3: Suppose $\text{ALG}(d)$ computes $f(d) = e^d$ for $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ALG is stable if $\text{ALG}(d) = f(d + \Delta d) = e^{d+\Delta d}$ and $|\Delta d|/|d| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

$\text{ALG stable} \implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then $\text{ALG stable} \implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 3: Suppose $\text{ALG}(d)$ computes $f(d) = e^d$ for $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ALG is stable if $\text{ALG}(d) = f(d + \Delta d) = e^{d+\Delta d}$ and $|\Delta d|/|d| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 4: Consider $F(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$ and $x := 10^5$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

ALG stable $\implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then ALG stable $\implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 3: Suppose $\text{ALG}(d)$ computes $f(d) = e^d$ for $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ALG is stable if $\text{ALG}(d) = f(d + \Delta d) = e^{d+\Delta d}$ and $|\Delta d|/|d| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 4: Consider $F(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$ and $x := 10^5$.

Unstable algorithm: $\text{ALG}(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

ALG stable $\implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then ALG stable $\implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 3: Suppose $\text{ALG}(d)$ computes $f(d) = e^d$ for $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ALG is stable if $\text{ALG}(d) = f(d + \Delta d) = e^{d+\Delta d}$ and $|\Delta d|/|d| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 4: Consider $F(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$ and $x := 10^5$.

Unstable algorithm: $\text{ALG}(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$.

In 5-digit decimal arithmetic, MATLAB gives $\text{ALG}(10^5) = 0$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

ALG stable $\implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then ALG stable $\implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 3: Suppose $\text{ALG}(d)$ computes $f(d) = e^d$ for $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ALG is stable if $\text{ALG}(d) = f(d + \Delta d) = e^{d+\Delta d}$ and $|\Delta d|/|d| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 4: Consider $F(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$ and $x := 10^5$.

Unstable algorithm: $\text{ALG}(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$.

In 5-digit decimal arithmetic, MATLAB gives $\text{ALG}(10^5) = 0$.

Stable algorithm: $\text{ALG}(x) = 1/(\sqrt{x+1} + \sqrt{x})$.

Examples

Example 1: Consider $Ax = b$. Then $x = F(A, b) = A^{-1}b$. Let $\hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b)$. Then

ALG stable $\implies \hat{x} = \text{ALG}(A, b) = F(A + \Delta A, b + \Delta b)$, that is, $(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b + \Delta b$ such that $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 2: Consider the LU decomposition $A = LU$. Let $[L, U] = \text{ALG}(A)$. Then ALG stable $\implies A + \Delta A = LU$ for some ΔA such that $\|\Delta A\|/\|A\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 3: Suppose $\text{ALG}(d)$ computes $f(d) = e^d$ for $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ALG is stable if $\text{ALG}(d) = f(d + \Delta d) = e^{d+\Delta d}$ and $|\Delta d|/|d| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Example 4: Consider $F(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$ and $x := 10^5$.

Unstable algorithm: $\text{ALG}(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$.

In 5-digit decimal arithmetic, MATLAB gives $\text{ALG}(10^5) = 0$.

Stable algorithm: $\text{ALG}(x) = 1/(\sqrt{x+1} + \sqrt{x})$.

In 5-digit decimal arithmetic, MATLAB gives $\text{ALG}(10^5) = 1.5811 \times 10^{-3}$, the correct value in 5-digit arithmetic. ■

Accuracy

Backward stability of ALG guarantees
 $\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d)$ and $\|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

Accuracy

Backward stability of ALG guarantees

$$\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d) \text{ and } \|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u}).$$

What can be said about

Accuracy

Backward stability of ALG guarantees

$$\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d) \text{ and } \|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u}).$$

What can be said about

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} &= \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} = \frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \\ &= \text{Propagated data error?} \end{aligned}$$

Accuracy

Backward stability of ALG guarantees
 $\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d)$ and $\|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

What can be said about

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Error} &= \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} = \frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \\ &= \text{Propagated data error?}\end{aligned}$$

- An algorithm has no control over propagated data error.

Accuracy

Backward stability of ALG guarantees

$$\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d) \text{ and } \|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u}).$$

What can be said about

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} &= \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} = \frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \\ &= \text{Propagated data error?} \end{aligned}$$

- An algorithm has no control over propagated data error.
- Propagated data error is entirely determined by sensitivity of F at d to small perturbation.

Accuracy

Backward stability of ALG guarantees
 $\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d)$ and $\|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$.

What can be said about

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Error} &= \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} = \frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \\ &= \text{Propagated data error?}\end{aligned}$$

- An algorithm has no control over propagated data error.
- Propagated data error is entirely determined by sensitivity of F at d to small perturbation.
- Analysis of propagated data error is a part of Perturbation Theory.

Condition number

- A problem is said to be **sensitive** or **ill-conditioned** at d if a **small relative change** in d can cause a **large relative change** in the solution $F(d)$.

Condition number

- A problem is said to be **sensitive** or **ill-conditioned** at d if a **small relative change** in d can cause a **large relative change** in the solution $F(d)$. That is,

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

can be **very large** compared to $\frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$.

Condition number

- A problem is said to be **sensitive** or **ill-conditioned** at d if a **small relative change** in d can cause a **large relative change** in the solution $F(d)$. That is,

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

can be **very large** compared to $\frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$.

- For small changes in d , the **condition number**

$$\text{cond}_F(d) := \max \left(\frac{\text{relative change in solution}}{\text{relative change in input data}} \right)$$

Condition number

- A problem is said to be **sensitive** or **ill-conditioned** at d if a **small relative change** in d can cause a **large relative change** in the solution $F(d)$. That is,

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|}$$

can be **very large** compared to $\frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$.

- For small changes in d , the **condition number**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cond}_F(d) &:= \max \left(\frac{\text{relative change in solution}}{\text{relative change in input data}} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\epsilon \|F(d)\|} : \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|} \leq \epsilon \right)\end{aligned}$$

provides a measure of sensitivity of $F(d)$ at d .

Ill-conditioning

- For small relative changes in d we have

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \lesssim \text{cond}_F(d) \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$$

Ill-conditioning

- For small relative changes in d we have

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \lesssim \text{cond}_F(d) \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Propagated data} \\ \text{error} \end{array} \right) \lesssim \text{cond.} \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Error in} \\ \text{data} \end{array} \right)$$

Ill-conditioning

- For small relative changes in d we have

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \lesssim \text{cond}_F(d) \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Propagated data} \\ \text{error} \end{array} \right) \lesssim \text{cond.} \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Error in} \\ \text{data} \end{array} \right)$$

- Thus $F(d)$ is **ill-conditioned** if $\text{cond}_F(d) \gg 1$. Otherwise, the problem is **well-conditioned**.

Ill-conditioning

- For small relative changes in d we have

$$\frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \lesssim \text{cond}_F(d) \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Propagated data} \\ \text{error} \end{array} \right) \lesssim \text{cond.} \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Error in} \\ \text{data} \end{array} \right)$$

- Thus $F(d)$ is **ill-conditioned** if $\text{cond}_F(d) \gg 1$. Otherwise, the problem is **well-conditioned**.
- How large $\text{cond}_F(d)$ is large enough? The answer depends on how **choosy** you are!
- If $\text{cond}_F(d) = 10^s$ then **s digits may be lost** in the solution computed by a stable algorithm.

Estimating the condition number

If F is differentiable at d then

Estimating the condition number

If F is differentiable at d then

$$\text{cond}_F(d) \simeq \frac{\|J_F(d)\| \|d\|}{\|F(d)\|},$$

Estimating the condition number

If F is differentiable at d then

$$\text{cond}_F(d) \simeq \frac{\|J_F(d)\| \|d\|}{\|F(d)\|},$$

where $J_F(d) = \left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(d) \right]$ is the Jacobian of F at d .

Estimating the condition number

If F is differentiable at d then

$$\text{cond}_F(d) \simeq \frac{\|J_F(d)\| \|d\|}{\|F(d)\|},$$

where $J_F(d) = \left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(d) \right]$ is the Jacobian of F at d .

Example: Consider $F(d) = \sqrt{d}$. Then $J_F(d) = F'(d) = 1/2\sqrt{d}$, for $d \neq 0$ and $\text{cond}_F(d) = 1/2$. ■

Estimating the condition number

If F is differentiable at d then

$$\text{cond}_F(d) \simeq \frac{\|J_F(d)\| \|d\|}{\|F(d)\|},$$

where $J_F(d) = \left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(d) \right]$ is the Jacobian of F at d .

Example: Consider $F(d) = \sqrt{d}$. Then $J_F(d) = F'(d) = 1/2\sqrt{d}$, for $d \neq 0$ and $\text{cond}_F(d) = 1/2$. ■

Example: Consider $F(d_1, d_2) = d_1 - d_2$. Then $J_F(d) = [1, -1]$ and

$$\text{cond}_F(d) = \frac{2\|d\|_\infty}{|d_1 - d_2|}.$$

Estimating the condition number

If F is differentiable at d then

$$\text{cond}_F(d) \simeq \frac{\|J_F(d)\| \|d\|}{\|F(d)\|},$$

where $J_F(d) = \left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(d) \right]$ is the Jacobian of F at d .

Example: Consider $F(d) = \sqrt{d}$. Then $J_F(d) = F'(d) = 1/2\sqrt{d}$, for $d \neq 0$ and $\text{cond}_F(d) = 1/2$. ■

Example: Consider $F(d_1, d_2) = d_1 - d_2$. Then $J_F(d) = [1, -1]$ and

$$\text{cond}_F(d) = \frac{2\|d\|_\infty}{|d_1 - d_2|}.$$

For $d_1 := 1$, and $d_2 := 1 - 10^{-5}$, $\text{cond}_F(d) = 2 \times 10^5$. ■

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Consider $x := .15707 \times 10^1$ and $x + \delta x := .15709 \times 10^1$.

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Consider $x := .15707 \times 10^1$ and $x + \delta x := .15709 \times 10^1$.

Then error in the data

$$|\delta x|/|x| = 1.2733 \times 10^{-4}.$$

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Consider $x := .15707 \times 10^1$ and $x + \delta x := .15709 \times 10^1$.

Then error in the data

$$|\delta x|/|x| = 1.2733 \times 10^{-4}.$$

We have $\tan(x + \delta x) = -9.6457 \times 10^3$, $\tan(x) = 1.0381 \times 10^4$ and

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Consider $x := .15707 \times 10^1$ and $x + \delta x := .15709 \times 10^1$.

Then error in the data

$$|\delta x|/|x| = 1.2733 \times 10^{-4}.$$

We have $\tan(x + \delta x) = -9.6457 \times 10^3$, $\tan(x) = 1.0381 \times 10^4$ and

$$|\tan(x + \delta x) - \tan(x)|/\tan(x) = 1.9291$$

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Consider $x := .15707 \times 10^1$ and $x + \delta x := .15709 \times 10^1$.

Then error in the data

$$|\delta x|/|x| = 1.2733 \times 10^{-4}.$$

We have $\tan(x + \delta x) = -9.6457 \times 10^3$, $\tan(x) = 1.0381 \times 10^4$ and

$$|\tan(x + \delta x) - \tan(x)|/\tan(x) = 1.9291$$

Thus error in the data is magnified 10^4 times in the solution.

Example

Propagated data error for $\tan(x)$ near $\pi/2$.

Consider $x := .15707 \times 10^1$ and $x + \delta x := .15709 \times 10^1$.

Then error in the data

$$|\delta x|/|x| = 1.2733 \times 10^{-4}.$$

We have $\tan(x + \delta x) = -9.6457 \times 10^3$, $\tan(x) = 1.0381 \times 10^4$ and

$$|\tan(x + \delta x) - \tan(x)|/\tan(x) = 1.9291$$

Thus error in the data is magnified 10^4 times in the solution.

We have

$$\text{cond}_{\tan}(x) = 1.6306 \times 10^4.$$

Back to accuracy

Backward stability of ALG



Backward stability of ALG

$$\begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ \text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d) \text{ and } \|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u}) \\ \Downarrow \end{array}$$

Backward stability of ALG

$$\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d) \quad \Downarrow \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} &= \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} = \frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \\ &\lesssim \text{cond}_F(d) \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Backward stability of ALG

$$\Downarrow$$
$$\text{ALG}(d) = F(d + \Delta d) \text{ and } \|\Delta d\|/\|d\| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} &= \frac{\|\text{ALG}(d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} = \frac{\|F(d + \Delta d) - F(d)\|}{\|F(d)\|} \\ &\lesssim \text{cond}_F(d) \frac{\|\Delta d\|}{\|d\|}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Error} \lesssim \text{cond.} \times \text{Backward error.}$$

Summary

- **Accuracy** refers to closeness of computed solution to the exact solution.

Summary

- **Accuracy** refers to closeness of computed solution to the exact solution.
- **Stability of an algorithm alone cannot guarantee accuracy.**

Summary

- **Accuracy** refers to closeness of computed solution to the exact solution.
- **Stability of an algorithm alone cannot guarantee accuracy.**
- Accuracy of solution produced by **automated computation** depends on three major factors:
 - **properties of finite precision arithmetic**
 - **backward stability of the algorithm.**
 - **conditioning of the computational problem - sensitivity of solutions to small perturbations**

Summary

- **Accuracy** refers to closeness of computed solution to the exact solution.
- **Stability of an algorithm alone cannot guarantee accuracy.**
- Accuracy of solution produced by **automated computation** depends on three major factors:
 - **properties of finite precision arithmetic**
 - **backward stability of the algorithm.**
 - **conditioning of the computational problem - sensitivity of solutions to small perturbations**

Thus

Accuracy = function(stability, conditioning, floating-point arithmetic)

Summary

- **Accuracy** refers to closeness of computed solution to the exact solution.
- **Stability of an algorithm alone cannot guarantee accuracy.**
- Accuracy of solution produced by **automated computation** depends on three major factors:
 - **properties of finite precision arithmetic**
 - **backward stability of the algorithm.**
 - **conditioning of the computational problem - sensitivity of solutions to small perturbations**

Thus

Accuracy = function(stability, conditioning, floating-point arithmetic)

- A stable algorithm will compute an accurate solution to a **well-conditioned problem.**

Summary

- **Accuracy** refers to closeness of computed solution to the exact solution.
- **Stability of an algorithm alone cannot guarantee accuracy.**
- Accuracy of solution produced by **automated computation** depends on three major factors:
 - **properties of finite precision arithmetic**
 - **backward stability of the algorithm.**
 - **conditioning of the computational problem - sensitivity of solutions to small perturbations**

Thus

Accuracy = function(stability, conditioning, floating-point arithmetic)

- A stable algorithm will compute an accurate solution to a **well-conditioned problem**.
- **Inaccuracy** can result from **numerical instability** as well as from **ill-conditioning**.