Plan

- Dual of a Primal
- Fundamental theorem of Duality
- The complementary slackness Theorem

Dual of a Primal

- Given an LPP (P) (*) Max $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_{m \times 1}$, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- $Fea(P) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \}.$
- The **Dual** of this problem is given by (D) (**) Min $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$ subject to $A_{n \times m}^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{c}_{n \times 1}$, $\mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$.
- $Fea(D) = \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0} \}.$
- The LPP(*) is called a Primal problem.

- Theorem 1: If $\mathbf{x} \in Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{y} \in Fea(D)$, then $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$.
- Corollary 1: If both the Primal and the Dual have feasible solutions then both have optimal solutions.
- Corollary 2: Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{y}_0 \in Fea(D)$, be such that $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}_0$, then \mathbf{x}_0 and \mathbf{y}_0 are optimal for the Primal and the Dual, respectively.

• Example 1: Consider the problem (P) Max $5x_1 + 2x_2$ subject to $3x_1 + 2x_2 \le 6$ $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$ $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$.

• The dual of this problem is given by (D), Min $6y_1 + 4y_2$ subject to $3y_1 + y_2 \ge 5$ $2y_1 + 2y_2 \ge 2$, $y_1 > 0, y_2 > 0$.

- $\mathbf{x} = [2, 0]^T$ is a feasible solution of (P) and $\mathbf{y} = [\frac{5}{3}, 0]^T$ is a feasible solution of (D) such that $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = 10$, where $\mathbf{c} = [5, 2]^T$ and $\mathbf{b} = [6, 4]^T$.
- Hence $\mathbf{x} = [2, 0]^T$, is optimal for the **Primal (P)** and $\mathbf{y} = [1, 1]^T$ is optimal for the **Dual (D)**.

- Theorem 2: (Fundamental Theorem of Duality)
 If both the Primal (P) and the Dual (D) of the Primal (P)
 have feasible solutions then both have optimal solutions
 and the optimal value of (P) and (D) equal (that is Min
 b^Ty = Max c^Tx). If one of them (the Primal or the Dual)
 does not have a feasible solution then the other does not
 have an optimal solution.
- To complete the proof it is enough to show that
- when both (P) and (D) have feasible solutions then their optimal values are equal.
- if any one of (P) or (D) does not have a feasible solution then the other does not have an optimal solution.
- Theorem 3: The Dual of the Dual (D) (of the Primal (P)) is the Primal (P).

• Example 2: Consider (P) Min -x + 2ysubject to $x + 2y \ge 1$ $-x + y \le 1$ x > 0, y > 0.

(P) does not have an optimal solution although it has a feasible solution.
 The dual (D) of (P) is given by
 Max u − v
 subject to
 u + v ≤ -1
 2u − v ≤ 2
 u ≥ 0, v ≥ 0

 Clearly (D) does not have any feasible solution, since the first constraint cannot be satisfied by any non negative u and v.

- Example 3: The LPP (P) Max -x + 2ysubject to $x + 2y \le 1$ $-x + y \ge 1$, $x, y \ge 0$.
- (P) does not have a feasible solution, hence does not have an optimal solution.
- The dual of (P) is given by (D) Min u - vsubject to $u + v \ge -1$ $2u - v \ge 2$ $u \ge 0, v \ge 0$.

Check that (D) has a feasible solution but **no** optimal solution.

- **Definition:** A nonempty set $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a **cone** if $\mathbf{x} \in T$ implies $\lambda \mathbf{x} \in T$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$.
- So a cone always contains the origin.
- Also a cone T is said to be a convex cone if it is also a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n .
- Exercise: If $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k$ are all vectors in \mathbb{R}^n then check that

$$T = \{\alpha_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{a}_k : \alpha_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k\}$$
 is a convex cone.

• $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k$ are called **generators** of the convex cone T.

Fundamental Theorem of Duality (revisited):

 Theorem 4: (Farka's Lemma) Exactly one of the following two systems has a solution.

$$A_{m\times n}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}$$
 (1)

$$\mathbf{y}^T A \ge \mathbf{0}_{1 \times n}, \ \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0$$
 (2)

 Corollary 4: Exactly one of the following two systems has a solution.

$$A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$$
 (1)

$$\mathbf{y}^T A \ge \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$$
 (2)

- Since $Fea(D) = \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{c}, \ \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0} \},$ the set of all directions of Fea(D) is given by
- $\bullet D_D = \{ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T \mathbf{d} \geq \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{d} \geq \mathbf{0} \}$
- The **dual** (D) has an optimal solution if and only if either Fea(D) is bounded or if not then $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{d} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{d} \in D_D$.
- From Corollary 4 we get if the Primal does not have a feasible solution then the dual does not have an optimal solution.
- From the fundamental theorem of duality we get that if any one of Primal or Dual has an optimal solution then the other also has an optimal solution.

• To complete the proof of Fundamental Theorem of Duality we need to show that if $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ and $Fea(D) \neq \phi$, then the following system (1) has a solution:

$$A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$$
 (1)
 $A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{c}, \ \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$
 $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v}$

• If $\mathbf{x} \in Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{y} \in Fea(D)$ then $\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{y}$, hence system (1) has a solution \Leftrightarrow system (1)" has a solution:

$$A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$$
 (1)"

$$A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0$$

$$\mathbf{c}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{y}$$

System (1)" can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{m\times n} & \mathbf{0}_{m\times m} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n\times n} & -A_{n\times m}^T \\ -\mathbf{c}_{1\times n}^T & \mathbf{b}_{1\times m}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ -\mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \ge \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{m\times 1} \end{bmatrix}$$

By corollary 4, exactly one of the two systems, (1") (given above) and (2)" (given below) has a solution.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{1\times m}^T & \mathbf{w}_{1\times n}^T & a_{1\times 1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{m\times n} & \mathbf{0}_{m\times m} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n\times n} & -A_{n\times m}^T \\ -\mathbf{c}_{1\times n}^T & \mathbf{b}_{1\times m}^T \end{bmatrix} \ge \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1\times n} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times m} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{1\times m}^T & \mathbf{w}_{1\times n}^T & a_{1\times 1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ -\mathbf{c} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{1\times m}^T & \mathbf{w}_{1\times n}^T & a_{1\times 1} \end{bmatrix} \ge \mathbf{0}_1$$

- We show in the proof that given $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ and $Fea(D) \neq \phi$, system (2)" does not have a solution, hence system (1") has solution.
- Theorem 5 (Complementary Slackness Theorem): Let $\mathbf{x} \in Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{y} \in Fea(D)$, then \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are optimal for the primal and the dual respectively if and only if

$$x_j = 0$$
 whenever $(A^T y)_j > c_j, j = 1, 2, ..., n$ (1) and

$$y_i = 0$$
 whenever $(Ax)_i < b_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. (1*)

• Example 1: Consider the following primal problem (P):

Max
$$4x_1 + 4x_2 + 2x_3$$
 subject to $2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 \le 10$ (i) $2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \le 4$ (ii) $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$ The dual (D) of the above problem is given by: Min $10y_1 + 4y_2$ subject to $2y_1 + 2y_2 \ge 4$ (i) $3y_1 + y_2 \ge 4$ (ii) $4y_1 + 3y_2 \ge 2$ (iii) $4y_1, y_2 \ge 0$