## HS239: Economics of Uncertainty and Information PS 4: 2024

In Class, 22/3/2024

\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. This question is designated to make you go through Marshallian inefficiency of sharecropping, step-by-step. Assume that an agricultural production process has production function  $y = \sqrt{e}$  and cost as c(e) = ke, where e is the intensity of effort.
- a) If the landlord tills his/her own land, what must be (i) the level of effort and (ii) landlord's income?
- b) If the landlord hires a tenant, and opts for a fixed rent  $\bar{R}$ , what must be the i) tenant's income, (ii) landlord's income?
- c) Now suppose the landlord demands s% of output, leaving (1-s)% to the tenant. Re-do part (b).
- d) Now assume that the landlord fixes  $\bar{R}$  to be what he was getting in part (c) and offers a fixed rent contract to tenant (s = 0). Re-do part b.
  - e) Argue why (d) is superior to (c).
- 2. In the model of sharecropping with risk-sharing, suppose we have the following specifications

$$U_L = E(y_L) - \frac{1}{3} Var(y_L)$$

$$U_T = E(y_T) - \frac{4}{5} var(y_T) - c(e)$$

$$c(e) = \frac{k}{2} e^2$$

Reservation utility of the agent= 10. The production function is  $y = e + \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is an rv with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The landlord offers a sharecropping contract to the tenant: $y_T = sy - R$ .

Characterise the optimal contract.

- 3. Assume an agent has the utility function  $v = -e^{-Y}$ . Show that evaded income E = Y X is independent of Y.
- 4. Assume an agent has the utility function  $v = \ln(Y)$ . Show that the proportion of income not declared  $\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)$  is constant.
- 5. Consider the model of consumption over time. Assume that the utility functions are  $\ln c_i$ . Without uncertainty, what are the optimal savings?
- 6. Continue with the above example. Now suppose income of period 0 is  $(y_1 + 3)$  with probability .5 and  $(y_1 3)$  with the complementary probability. How does your answer change in the above problem?

In 5 and 6, you may assume 
$$y_0=y_1=10, r=5\%, \beta(1+r)=1$$

- 7. Consider the model of consumption over time. Show that precautionary savings will be higher for agents with higher coefficient of absolute prudence  $A(w) = -\frac{u'''}{u''}$
- 8. This refers to the model of tax evasion and loss aversion. Assume that a loss averse agent has the following utility function ( $\beta$  is a fraction)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} v(z) & = & z^{\beta} \text{ if } z \geq 0 \\ \\ & = & -\gamma \left(-z\right)^{\beta} \text{ if } z < 0; \gamma > 1 \end{array}$$

Let us assume that staying 'honest' is the status quo.

- a) What are the gains and losses if the taxpayer evades?
- b) Show that the taxpayer either evades or stays honest (in effect, a zero-one decision).