



FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY, HARYANA MADIHUBAN, KARNAL

1. Please quote the Report/Opinion No. & Date in case of any Court proceeding or summons.
2. Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the Director.

REPORT (OPINION) FSL (II) NO. 21/FSLMBN/2109306003 DATED 11th November, 2021

The report is per-se admissible under 293 Cr.P.C.

To

The Dy. Supdt. of Police (TF),
Fatehabad.



The documents forwarded with your Memo No. 13148620210928196295/Ref. No 63 dated 28.09.2021 in connection with Case FIR No. 63 dated 18.04.2021 U/S 420/406/467/468/471 IPC Police Station Bhattu Kalan and received in Documents Division on 30.09.2021.

EXHIBITS

QUESTIONED: Red enclosed English signature of 'Kuldip Singh' marked Q1 on a वसीयत नामा bearing प्रलेख नो 170 दिनांक 04.12.2020 – consisting in two (02) sheets in a जातिरिक्त पर्ली नं 3, निर्द नं 304/74, वारीगा नं 169 to 218 dated 03.12.2020 to 12.01.2021 of the office of उप/सयुंक्त पंजीयन अधिकारी (फतेहाबाद).

STANDARDS: Red enclosed admitted signatures marked A1 to A7 on an Account opening form in the name of Kuiddeep Singh of Haryana Gramin Bank, marked A8 to A10 on a Withdrawal slip of Sarva Haryana Gramin Bank and marked A11 to A15 on लेख बयनामा वाक्या मोजा bearing प्रलेख नो 2820 दिनांक 20.07.2018 (consisting in Two (02) sheets) stated to be of Sh. Kuldip Singh.

LABORATORY EXAMINATION

All the documents were carefully and thoroughly examined with Scientific Instruments, such as, Hand Magnifier, Stereo Zoom Micro-Scope etc. under different lighting conditions and I am of the opinion that:

OKUM
21-FSLMBN-2109306003

Page 1 of 3.

APPROVED
Public Information Officer
cum-Dy. Super. of Police
H.Q. Fatehabad

OPINION-1:

On inter-se comparison of admitted signatures marked A1 to A7 (dated 01.09.2012), marked A8 to A10 (dated 27.07.2020) and marked A11 to A15 (dated 20.07.2019) reveals that all these signatures are freely written, do not show any evidence of imitation in their line quality, show natural variations which are generally observed in the genuine signatures of an individual written over a period of time under varying circumstances and are inter-se consistent among them.

Comparison of admitted signatures marked A1 to A15 with questioned signature marked Q1 (dated 07.08.2020) show differences in the execution of character(s), such as: Movement in the formation of buckie of character 'K' along with nature, shape of the body part of character 'u' along with nature of its terminal stroke, nature of the body part of character 'l', nature of the body staff of character 'i' together with nature and location of its 'dot', location of commencement and nature of the middle body part of character 'S' together with nature of its bottom part, isolated manner of execution of character 'i' and character appears to read as 'u' but representing 'n', shape of the body part of character 'g', manner of execution and shape of the body part of character 'h' as observed in questioned signature are nowhere observed in admitted signatures;

Differences are also observed in the nature and class of other elements of writing, such as: Writing movement, Writing skill, Speed, Relative size and proportion of characters;

The aforesaid divergences are fundamental in nature and are beyond the range of natural variations and intended disguise and when considered collectively they lead to the opinion that the person who wrote red enclosed signatures stamped and marked A1 to A15 did not write the red enclosed signature similarly stamped and marked Q1.

ENCLS:

Documents stamped and marked A1 to A15 in One (01) Withdrawal slips, Two (02) Sheets and One (01) Account opening form.

NOTE: (i)

The original document containing questioned signature marked Q1 on a वसीयत नामा bearing प्रलेख नं 170 दिनांक 04.12.2020 – consisting in Two (02) sheets in अंतरिक्ष वर्ण नं 3, गिर्य नं

21-FSLMBN-2109306003

ATTESTED

[Signature]
Public Information Officer
cum-Dy. Super. of Police
H.O. Fatehabad

304/74, वसीका नो 169 to 218 dated 03.12.2020 to 12.01.2021 of the office of ज्ञान/प्राकृति पंजीयन अधिकारी (फतेहाबाद) have been examined, photographed and returned to the bearer Sh. Sandesh Kumar (clerk) O/o Tehsil, Fatehabad came along-with SI Mahender Singh No. 490/HPS Bhattu Kalan.

- (ii) Documents stamp and marked A1 to A15 were received in One (01) sealed paper envelope bearing seals of 'NK' vide RC No. 23148620210929196639/Ref No. 336 dated 29.09.2021 through SI Mahender Singh No. 490/HPS Bhattu Kalan. The seals were intact and tallied with the sample seals.
- (iii) All the documents sent to this laboratory for examination and the case report have been sealed with the seals of AD(DOCS)/FSL(H).

DR. SATISH KUMAR (e 2)
Assistant Director (Documents),
Forensic Science Laboratory
Hariana, Madhuban (Karnal)

Seen & Shd Bhattu Kalan

for necessary Action.

DySP (IT) F.R.
08.12.2021



ATTESTED

Public Information Officer
cum-Dy. Super. of Police
H.Q. Fatehabad

प्रेषक-

राज्य जन सुचना अधिकारी एवं
उप पुलिस अधीक्षक मुख्यालय फतेहाबाद।

प्रेषित-

श्री अभिषेक कुमार C/o M.S गोदारा अधिवक्ता
चैम्बर नं.06 जिला न्यायलय फतेहाबाद।
मो. नं. 98963-97271

क्रमांक 584/RD

दिनांक ११/४/२०२१

विषय-

आर.टी.आई एक्ट 2005 के तहत सुचना देने वारे।

यादि-

आपका एक आर.टी.आई आवेदन इस कार्यालय मे प्राप्त हुआ जिसमे आप द्वारा मुकदमा नं. 63 दिनांक 18/04/2021 थाना भट्ठू कलां के सम्बंध मे FSL मधुबन की रिपोर्ट मांगी गई थी। जो मांगी गई सूचना की रिपोर्ट मुताबिक रिकॉर्ड प्रबंधक अफसर थाना भट्ठू कलां से हासिल की गई, जिसे सत्यापित करके आपके पास भेजी जा रही है।

राज्य जन सुचना अधिकारी एवं
उप पुलिस अधीक्षक मुख्यालय फतेहाबाद।

थाना भट्टूकलां

प्रेषक प्रबन्धक अफसर थाना भट्टूकलां।

सेवा मे- उप. पुलिस अधीक्षक (मु.) फतेहाबाद

क्रमांक --16-RTI----- दिनांक 12.04.2022

श्री मान जी

जिला फतेहाबाद

आपके कार्यालय के पत्र क्रमांक न 204/ RTI दिनांक 16.03.2022 अजाने अभिषेक कुमार
C/O MS गोदारा एडवोकेट चेम्बर न.6 जिला कोर्ट कम्प्लेक्स फतेहाबाद दवारा मार्गी गई सुचना साथ लफ
है। रिपोर्ट सेवा मे पैश है।

furn
प्रबन्धक अफसर

थाना भट्टूकलां
दिनांक 12.04.2022



ATTESTED

(S)
Public Information Officer
cum-Dy. Super. of Police
H.O. Fatehabad