

Daniil's blog

Machine Learning and Computer Vision artisan.

[/ About](#) [/ Blog](#)

Image Segmentation with Tensorflow using CNNs and Conditional Random Fields

Tensorflow and TF-Slim | Dec 18, 2016

A post showing how to perform Image Segmentation with a recently released TF-Slim library and pretrained models. It covers the training and post-processing using Conditional Random Fields.

Introduction

In the previous post, we implemented the upsampling and made sure it is correct by comparing it to the implementation of the [scikit-image library](#). To be more specific we had *FCN-32 Segmentation* network implemented which is described in the paper *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation*.

In this post we will perform a simple training: we will get a sample image from [PASCAL VOC](#) dataset along with annotation, train our network on them and test our network on the same image. It was done this way so that it can also be run on CPU - it takes only 10 iterations for the training to complete. Another point of this post is to show that segmentation that our network (FCN-32s) produces is very coarse - even if we run it on the same image that we were training it on. In this post we tackle this problem by performing Conditional Random Field post-processing stage, which refines our segmentation by taking into account pure RGB features of image and probabilities produced by our network. Overall, we get a refined segmentation. The set-up of this post is very simple on purpose. Similar approach to Segmentation was described in the paper *Semantic Image Segmentation with Deep Convolutional Nets and Fully Connected CRFs* by Chen et al. Please, take into account that setup in this post was made only to show limitation of *FCN-32s* model, to perform the training for real-life scenario, we refer readers to the paper *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation*.

The blog post is created using jupyter notebook. After each chunk of a code you can see the result of its evaluation. You can also get the notebook file from [here](#). The content of the blog post is partially borrowed from [slim walkthrough notebook](#).

Setup

To be able to run the code, you will need to have Tensorflow installed. I have used *r0.12*. You will need to use [this fork of tensorflow/models](#).

I am also using scikit-image library and numpy for this tutorial plus other dependencies. One of the ways to install them is to download *Anaconda* software package for python.

Follow all the other steps described in the previous posts - it shows how to download the *VGG-16* model and perform all other necessary for this tutorial steps.

Upsampling helper functions and Image Loading

In this part, we define helper functions that were used in the previous post. If you recall, we used upsampling to upsample the downsampled predictions that we get from our network. We get downsampled predictions because of max-pooling layers that are used in *VGG-16* network.

We also write code for image and respective ground-truth segmentation loading. The code is well-commented, so don't be afraid to read it.

```
import numpy as np

def get_kernel_size(factor):
    """
    Find the kernel size given the desired factor of upsampling.
    """
    return 2 * factor - factor % 2

def upsample_filt(size):
    """
    Make a 2D bilinear kernel suitable for upsampling of the given (h, w) size.
    """
    factor = (size + 1) // 2
    if size % 2 == 1:
        center = factor - 1
    else:
        center = factor - 0.5
    og = np.ogrid[:size, :size]
    return (1 - abs(og[0] - center) / factor) * \
        (1 - abs(og[1] - center) / factor)

def bilinear_upsample_weights(factor, number_of_classes):
    """
    Create weights matrix for transposed convolution with bilinear filter
    initialization.
    """

    filter_size = get_kernel_size(factor)

    weights = np.zeros((filter_size,
                        filter_size,
                        number_of_classes,
                        number_of_classes), dtype=np.float32)

    upsample_kernel = upsample_filt(filter_size)

    for i in xrange(number_of_classes):
        weights[:, :, i, i] = upsample_kernel

    return weights
```

```

%matplotlib inline

from __future__ import division

import os
import sys
import tensorflow as tf
import skimage.io as io
import numpy as np

os.environ["CUDA_VISIBLE_DEVICES"] = '1'
sys.path.append("/home/dpakhom1/workspace/my_models/slim/")
checkpoints_dir = '/home/dpakhom1/checkpoints'

image_filename = 'cat.jpg'
annotation_filename = 'cat_annotation.png'

image_filename_placeholder = tf.placeholder(tf.string)
annotation_filename_placeholder = tf.placeholder(tf.string)
is_training_placeholder = tf.placeholder(tf.bool)

feed_dict_to_use = {image_filename_placeholder: image_filename,
                    annotation_filename_placeholder: annotation_filename,
                    is_training_placeholder: True}

image_tensor = tf.read_file(image_filename_placeholder)
annotation_tensor = tf.read_file(annotation_filename_placeholder)

image_tensor = tf.image.decode_jpeg(image_tensor, channels=3)
annotation_tensor = tf.image.decode_png(annotation_tensor, channels=1)

# Get ones for each class instead of a number -- we need that
# for cross-entropy loss later on. Sometimes the groundtruth
# masks have values other than 1 and 0.
class_labels_tensor = tf.equal(annotation_tensor, 1)
background_labels_tensor = tf.not_equal(annotation_tensor, 1)

# Convert the boolean values into floats -- so that
# computations in cross-entropy loss is correct
bit_mask_class = tf.to_float(class_labels_tensor)
bit_mask_background = tf.to_float(background_labels_tensor)

combined_mask = tf.concat(concat_dim=2, values=[bit_mask_class,
                                              bit_mask_background])

# Lets reshape our input so that it becomes suitable for
# tf.softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits with [batch_size, num_classes]
flat_labels = tf.reshape(tensor=combined_mask, shape=(-1, 2))

```

Loss function definition and training using Adam Optimization Algorithm.

In this part, we connect everything together: add the upsampling layer to our network, define the loss function that can be differentiated and perform training.

Following the *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation* paper, we define loss as a pixel-wise cross-entropy. We can do this, because after upsampling we got the predictions of the same size as the input and we can compare the acquired segmentation to the respective ground-truth segmentation:

$$E = - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln(y_{nk})$$

Where N is a number of pixels, K - number of classes, t_{nk} a variable representing the ground-truth with 1-of- K coding scheme, y_{nk} represent our predictions (softmax output).

For this case we use Adam optimizer because it requires less parameter tuning to get good results.

In this particular case we train and evaluate our results on one image - which is a much simpler case compared to real-world scenario. We do this to show the drawback of the approach - just to show that it has poor localization capabilities. If this holds for this simple case, it will also show similar or worse results on unseen images.

```
import numpy as np
import tensorflow as tf
import sys
import os
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt

fig_size = [15, 4]
plt.rcParams["figure.figsize"] = fig_size

import urllib2

slim = tf.contrib.slim

from nets import vgg
from preprocessing import vgg_preprocessing

# Load the mean pixel values and the function
# that performs the subtraction from each pixel
from preprocessing.vgg_preprocessing import (_mean_image_subtraction,
                                             _R_MEAN, _G_MEAN, _B_MEAN)

upsample_factor = 32
number_of_classes = 2
log_folder = '/home/dpakhom1/tf_projects/segmentation/log_folder'

vgg_checkpoint_path = os.path.join(checkpoints_dir, 'vgg_16.ckpt')

# Convert image to float32 before subtracting the
# mean pixel value
image_float = tf.to_float(image_tensor, name='ToFloat')

# Subtract the mean pixel value from each pixel
mean_centered_image = _mean_image_subtraction(image_float,
                                              [_R_MEAN, _G_MEAN, _B_MEAN])

processed_images = tf.expand_dims(mean_centered_image, 0)

upsample_filter_np = bilinear_upsample_weights(upsample_factor,
                                              number_of_classes)

upsample_filter_tensor = tf.constant(upsample_filter_np)

# Define the model that we want to use -- specify to use only two classes at the last
layer
with slim.arg_scope(vgg.vgg_arg_scope()):
```

```

logits, end_points = vgg.vgg_16(processed_images,
                                num_classes=2,
                                is_training=is_training_placeholder,
                                spatial_squeeze=False,
                                fc_conv_padding='SAME')

downsampled_logits_shape = tf.shape(logits)

# Calculate the output size of the upsampled tensor
upsampled_logits_shape = tf.pack([
    downsampled_logits_shape[0],
    downsampled_logits_shape[1] * upsample_factor,
    downsampled_logits_shape[2] * upsample_factor,
    downsampled_logits_shape[3]
])

# Perform the upsampling
upsampled_logits = tf.nn.conv2d_transpose(logits, upsample_filter_tensor,
                                           output_shape=upsampled_logits_shape,
                                           strides=[1, upsample_factor, upsample_factor, 1])

# Flatten the predictions, so that we can compute cross-entropy for
# each pixel and get a sum of cross-entropies.
flat_logits = tf.reshape(tensor=upsampled_logits, shape=(-1, number_of_classes))

cross_entropies = tf.nn.softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(logits=flat_logits,
                                                           labels=flat_labels)

cross_entropy_sum = tf.reduce_sum(cross_entropies)

# Tensor to get the final prediction for each pixel -- pay
# attention that we don't need softmax in this case because
# we only need the final decision. If we also need the respective
# probabilities we will have to apply softmax.
pred = tf.argmax(upsampled_logits, dimension=3)

probabilities = tf.nn.softmax(upsampled_logits)

# Here we define an optimizer and put all the variables
# that will be created under a namespace of 'adam_vars'.
# This is done so that we can easily access them later.
# Those variables are used by adam optimizer and are not
# related to variables of the vgg model.

# We also retrieve gradient Tensors for each of our variables
# This way we can later visualize them in tensorboard.
# optimizer.compute_gradients and optimizer.apply_gradients
# is equivalent to running:
# train_step = tf.train.AdamOptimizer(learning_rate=0.0001).minimize(cross_entropy_sum)
with tf.variable_scope("adam_vars"):
    optimizer = tf.train.AdamOptimizer(learning_rate=0.0001)
    gradients = optimizer.compute_gradients(loss=cross_entropy_sum)

    for grad_var_pair in gradients:

        current_variable = grad_var_pair[1]
        current_gradient = grad_var_pair[0]

        # Relace some characters from the original variable name
        # tensorboard doesn't accept ':' symbol
        gradient_name_to_save = current_variable.name.replace(":", "_")

        # Let's get histogram of gradients for each layer and
        # visualize them later in tensorboard

```

```

tf.summary.histogram(gradient_name_to_save, current_gradient)

train_step = optimizer.apply_gradients(grads_and_vars=gradients)

# Now we define a function that will load the weights from VGG checkpoint
# into our variables when we call it. We exclude the weights from the last layer
# which is responsible for class predictions. We do this because
# we will have different number of classes to predict and we can't
# use the old ones as an initialization.
vgg_except_fc8_weights = slim.get_variables_to_restore(exclude=['vgg_16/fc8', 'adam_v
ars'])

# Here we get variables that belong to the last layer of network.
# As we saw, the number of classes that VGG was originally trained on
# is different from ours -- in our case it is only 2 classes.
vgg_fc8_weights = slim.get_variables_to_restore(include=['vgg_16/fc8'])

adam_optimizer_variables = slim.get_variables_to_restore(include=['adam_vars'])

# Add summary op for the loss -- to be able to see it in
# tensorboard.
tf.summary.scalar('cross_entropy_loss', cross_entropy_sum)

# Put all summary ops into one op. Produces string when
# you run it.
merged_summary_op = tf.summary.merge_all()

# Create the summary writer -- to write all the logs
# into a specified file. This file can be later read
# by tensorboard.
summary_string_writer = tf.summary.FileWriter(log_folder)

# Create the log folder if doesn't exist yet
if not os.path.exists(log_folder):
    os.makedirs(log_folder)

# Create an OP that performs the initialization of
# values of variables to the values from VGG.
read_vgg_weights_except_fc8_func = slim.assign_from_checkpoint_fn(
    vgg_checkpoint_path,
    vgg_except_fc8_weights)

# Initializer for new fc8 weights -- for two classes.
vgg_fc8_weights_initializer = tf.variables_initializer(vgg_fc8_weights)

# Initializer for adam variables
optimization_variables_initializer = tf.variables_initializer(adam_optimizer_variables)

with tf.Session() as sess:

    # Run the initializers.
    read_vgg_weights_except_fc8_func(sess)
    sess.run(vgg_fc8_weights_initializer)
    sess.run(optimization_variables_initializer)

    train_image, train_annotation = sess.run([image_tensor, annotation_tensor],
        feed_dict=feed_dict_to_use)

    f, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(1, 2, sharey=True)
    ax1.imshow(train_image)
    ax1.set_title('Input image')
    probability_graph = ax2.imshow(np.dstack((train_annotation,)*3)*100)
    ax2.set_title('Input Ground-Truth Annotation')
    plt.show()

```

```

# Let's perform 10 iterations
for i in range(10):

    loss, summary_string = sess.run([cross_entropy_sum, merged_summary_op],
                                    feed_dict=feed_dict_to_use)

    sess.run(train_step, feed_dict=feed_dict_to_use)

    pred_np, probabilities_np = sess.run([pred, probabilities],
                                         feed_dict=feed_dict_to_use)

    summary_string_writer.add_summary(summary_string, i)

    cmap = plt.get_cmap('bwr')

    f, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(1, 2, sharey=True)
    ax1.imshow(np.uint8(pred_np.squeeze() != 1), vmax=1.5, vmin=-0.4, cmap=cmap)
    ax1.set_title('Argmax. Iteration # ' + str(i))
    probability_graph = ax2.imshow(probabilities_np.squeeze()[:, :, 0])
    ax2.set_title('Probability of the Class. Iteration # ' + str(i))

    plt.colorbar(probability_graph)
    plt.show()

    print("Current Loss: " + str(loss))

    feed_dict_to_use[is_training_placeholder] = False

    final_predictions, final_probabilities, final_loss = sess.run([pred,
                                                                probabilities,
                                                                cross_entropy_sum],
                                                                feed_dict=feed_dict_to_use)

    f, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(1, 2, sharey=True)

    ax1.imshow(np.uint8(final_predictions.squeeze() != 1),
                vmax=1.5,
                vmin=-0.4,
                cmap=cmap)

    ax1.set_title('Final Argmax')





    probability_graph = ax2.imshow(final_probabilities.squeeze()[:, :, 0])
    ax2.set_title('Final Probability of the Class')
    plt.colorbar(probability_graph)

    plt.show()



    print("Final Loss: " + str(final_loss))

summary_string_writer.close()



```





Current Loss: 201433.0





Current Loss: 245565.0




Current Loss: 135906.0




Current Loss: 183353.0




Current Loss: 48563.9




Current Loss: 37925.8




Current Loss: 33199.1




Current Loss: 26540.3



Current Loss: 23658.0



Current Loss: 29404.9



Final Loss: 18177.5

As you can see, the results are very coarse - and these are results that we get by running our network on the same image that we were training on. This is very common problem in segmentation - the results are usually coarse. There are different approaches that can help to solve this problem - one of them is to use skip-connections. The main idea is that predictions are made by fusing predictions from different layers of the network. Because in earlier layers of a network the downsampling factor is smaller, it is possible to get a

better localization by making predictions based on those layers. This approach is described in the *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation* by Long et al. This approach gave rise to *FCN-16s* and *FCN-8s* architectures.

Another approach is based on using *atrous convolutions* and *fully connected conditional random fields*. The approach is described in the *Semantic Image Segmentation with Deep Convolutional Nets and Fully Connected CRFs* by Chen et al. In this post we will only use CRF post-processing stage to show how it can improve the results.

It is also worth mentioning that the current model was trained with dropout applied to fully connected layers (fully connected layers that we casted to convolutional ones). This approach is described in *Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting* by Srivastava et al. *Dropout* is a regularization technique for training networks. It has a very good theoretical description while the implementation is very simple: we just randomly choose a certain number of neurons during each training step, perform inference and backpropagation only through them. While from the theoretical side, it can be seen as training a collection of thinned networks with weight sharing, where each network gets trained very rarely. During the test time, we average predictions from all of these networks. In the paper, the authors showed that dropout in case of linear regression is equivalent, in expectation, to ridge regression. In our specific case we use dropout only for fully-connected layers (fully connected layers that we casted to convolutional ones). This explains why the loss for the final model is almost twice less than during the last iteration – because for the final inference we used averaging.

The code that is provided above is made to run on one image, but you can easily run it on your dataset. The only change that is needed is to provide different image on each iteration step. This type of training will be exactly the same as in the *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation* paper where the authors have used batch size of one.

Overall, we can see that our segmentation is still quite coarse and we need to perform some additional step. In the next section we will use CRF post-processing step to make segmentation finer.

Conditional Random Field post-processing

Conditional Random Field is a specific type of graphical model. In our case it helps to estimate the posterior distribution given predictions from our network and raw *RGB* features that are represented by our image. It does that by minimizing the energy function which are defined by the user. In our case the effect is very similar to *bilateral* filter which takes into account the spatial closeness of pixels and their similarity in *RGB* feature space (intensity space).

On a very simple level, it uses *RGB* features to make prediction more localized – for example the border is usually represented as a big intensity change – this acts as a strong factor that objects that lie on different side of this border belong to different classes. It also penalizes small segmentation regions – for example it is unlikely that a small region of 20 or 50 pixels is a correct segmentation. Objects are usually represented by big spatially adjacent regions.

Below you can see how this post-processing stage affects our results. We are using fully connected conditional random fields which is described in Efficient inference in *fully connected crfs with gaussian edge potentials* paper.

For this part I used a little bit older version of the fully connected CRF library which you can find [here](#).

```
import sys

path = "/home/dpakhom1/dense_crf_python/"
sys.path.append(path)

import pydensecrf.densecrf as dcrf

from pydensecrf.utils import compute_unary, create_pairwise_bilateral, \
    create_pairwise_gaussian, softmax_to_unary

import skimage.io as io

image = train_image

softmax = final_probabilities.squeeze()

softmax = processed_probabilities.transpose((2, 0, 1))

# The input should be the negative of the logarithm of probability values
# Look up the definition of the softmax_to_unary for more information
unary = softmax_to_unary(processed_probabilities)

# The inputs should be C-continous -- we are using Cython wrapper
unary = np.ascontiguousarray(unary)

d = dcrf.DenseCRF(image.shape[0] * image.shape[1], 2)

d.setUnaryEnergy(unary)

# This potential penalizes small pieces of segmentation that are
# spatially isolated -- enforces more spatially consistent segmentations
feats = create_pairwise_gaussian(sdims=(10, 10), shape=image.shape[:2])

d.addPairwiseEnergy(feats, compat=3,
                    kernel=dcrf.DIAG_KERNEL,
                    normalization=dcrf.NORMALIZE_SYMMETRIC)

# This creates the color-dependent features --
# because the segmentation that we get from CNN are too coarse
# and we can use local color features to refine them
feats = create_pairwise_bilateral(sdims=(50, 50), schan=(20, 20, 20),
                                  img=image, chdim=2)

d.addPairwiseEnergy(feats, compat=10,
                    kernel=dcrf.DIAG_KERNEL,
                    normalization=dcrf.NORMALIZE_SYMMETRIC)



Q = d.inference(5)

res = np.argmax(Q, axis=0).reshape((image.shape[0], image.shape[1]))

cmap = plt.get_cmap('bwr')

f, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(1, 2, sharey=True)
ax1.imshow(res, vmax=1.5, vmin=-0.4, cmap=cmap)
ax1.set_title('Segmentation with CRF post-processing')
```

```
probability_graph = ax2.imshow(np.dstack((train_annotation,)*3)*100)
ax2.set_title('Ground-Truth Annotation')
plt.show()
```



Conclusion and Discussion

In this tutorial we saw one drawback of Convolutional Neural Networks when applied to the problem of segmentation - coarse segmentation results. We saw that it happens due to the usage of max-pooling layers in the architecture of the *VGG-16* network.

We performed training in a simplified case, by defining a cross-entropy loss pixel-wise and using back-propagation to perform the weights update.

We approached the problem of coarse segmentation results by using Conditional Random Fields (CRFs) and achieved better results.

16 Comments

daniil's Machine learning and Computer Vision blog

Login ▾

Recommend 4

Share

Sort by Best ▾



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name



Mario Hari • 8 months ago

Thanks for the post! The results are coarse, I agree. These are addressed, to some extent, using dilated convolutions in this recent ICLR paper. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1511....>

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share >



Miguel Monteiro • a month ago

Is it possible to include the Conditional Random Field (CRF) in the tensorflow graph? It would be really useful to learn the CRF's parameters in the main training loop when

Image Segmentation with Tensorflow using CNNs and Conditional Random Fields
would be really useful to learn the CRFS parameters in the main training loop when
using more than one image and batching examples together.


^ | v • Reply • Share >



Fangliang Bai • a month ago

Thanks for the great post. I love it.

I also used the same code to do post processing. However, my images were grayscale and it returned a mask with all zeros. It completely missed the feature. Do you have some clue why this happened or is there some requirements for the input images?



see more

^ | v • Reply • Share >



Ilan Schwartzman • 2 months ago

basically, how do I train when many labeled images are given?

^ | v • Reply • Share >



Charles Lu • 3 months ago

can this be extended to object detection network architectures like faster R-CNN?

^ | v • Reply • Share >



Akshay Pai • 3 months ago

I am looking into segmentation but I was more towards using retraining of models to first train new classes and then use those models somehow for segmentation:

<https://sourcedexter.com/re...> . Then I also looked into open-cv using the watershed algorithm to differentiate the background from the foreground. Finally, i found this. This seems very promising and I will try to use this for my usecase and hopefully, I will get what I was looking for. Thanks!

^ | v • Reply • Share >



Serge Dmitrieff • 4 months ago

Great post ! However could you share the pictures - I couldn't find them even in the python notebook... I'm of course particularly interested in how to build the PNG file. Could you expand a bit on that ? thanks a lot !

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Shalin Momin** • 5 months ago

Hey there, thanks for the article, I'm a beginner trying to get things done with tensorflow, but How do I setup the test images and from where? I can't find a way to know how did you setup the training data set and where are the cat.jpg and annotation images. Please help me out, thanks in advance.

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Yaroslav Schubert** → Shalin Momin • 3 months ago

Images are located in another repo

<https://github.com/warmspri...>

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Catalin Vasii** • 6 months ago

Hi Daniil, do you have any hints on setting the parameters of the pairwise potential terms?

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Funus** • 6 months ago

Hi, in the last part of code was used variable `processed_probabilities`, which is nowhere declared. How should I declare this variable? Thank you.

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Lycheeee11** → Funus • 4 months ago

Just replace it with "softmax", that's all.

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Funus** → Funus • 6 months ago

`NameError: name 'processed_probabilities' is not defined`

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Tao Liu** → Funus • 5 months ago

where to get `processed_probabilities`? what is the dimension of this variable?

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Barry Ridge** → Tao Liu • 4 months ago


@Tao Liu Modifying the code as follows should fix this:

```
# softmax = final_probabilities.squeeze()
processed_probabilities = -np.log(final_probabilities.sque
softmax = processed_probabilities.transpose((2, 0, 1))

# The input should be the negative of the logarithm of pro
# Look up the definition of the softmax_to_unary for more
# unary = softmax_to_unary(processed_probabilities)
unary = softmax_to_unary(softmax)
```

I was able to figure it out from the above comment referencing the negative of the logarithm of the probability values.

^ | v • Reply • Share >

**Barry Ridge**  Barry Ridge • 3 months ago

My apologies, but I just realised I made a mistake here by not looking into the code for the ``softmax_to_unary()`` function which actually takes care of the negative of the logarithm of the probability values stuff. @lycheeee11 is correct- you should just replace ``processed_probabilities`` with ``softmax``.

ALSO ON DANIL'S MACHINE LEARNING AND COMPUTER VISION BLOG

Tfrecords guide

12 comments • 8 months ago •



speedplane — Hi, It looks like `tf.pack` no longer exists in Tensorflow 1.0. Instead, it should be changed to `tf.stack`.

Upsampling and Image Segmentation with Tensorflow and TF-Slim

1 comment • 9 months ago •



Andrew Loerch — Very nice and well-explained tutorial! Thank you for the clear

Related Posts

23 Jan 2017 » [Fully Convolutional Networks \(FCNs\) for Image Segmentation](#)21 Dec 2016 » [Tfrecords Guide](#)22 Nov 2016 » [Upsampling and Image Segmentation with Tensorflow and TF-Slim](#)[<< Older](#)[Home](#)[Newer >>](#)

FEW MORE LINKS

[About](#) [Blog](#) [Help / FAQ](#)

FOLLOW ME

Powered by [Jekyll](#)