

8. PROBABILITY AND CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. We work with the following definition of conditional expectation (Williams (1991), 9.2)

DEFINITION 8.1 Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \Sigma$ be a sub σ -algebra of Σ and let $x: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random variable. The **conditional expectation** of x given \mathcal{H} is any \mathcal{H} -measurable random variable y which satisfies

$$\int_B y \, d\mathbb{P} = \int_B x \, d\mathbb{P}, \quad B \in \mathcal{H}$$

If y is a conditional expectation of x given \mathcal{H} , we write $y = \mathbb{E}(x|\mathcal{H})$.

Recall the definition of a σ -algebra generated by a family of functions $\{y_i\}_{i \in F}$:

$$\sigma(\{y_i\}_{i \in F}) := \sigma(\cup_{i \in F} \sigma(y_i))$$

Recall also that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are independent sub σ -algebras of Σ and if a sub- σ -algebra \mathcal{C} satisfies $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} will be independent.

The following facts are standard.

FACT 8.1 Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be sub σ -algebras of Σ and let $x: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable. If \mathcal{H} and $\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \sigma(x))$ are independent, then $\mathbb{E}(x|\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})) = \mathbb{E}(x|\mathcal{G})$.

PROOF: See section 9.7 in Williams (1991).

Q.E.D.

FACT 8.2 If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are σ -algebras, then $\sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \cup \mathcal{C} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$

PROOF: Recall that for collections of sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , with $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$, we have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{B})$.

Now pick any B such that $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \cup \mathcal{C}$. We have either $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$ or $B \in \mathcal{C}$. If $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$, then since $\sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$, $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$. Alternatively, if $B \in \mathcal{C}$, then because $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$, we can conclude that $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$. *Q.E.D.*

FACT 8.3 (Doob-Dynkin) Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The generated σ -algebras satisfy $\sigma(f) \subset \sigma(g)$ if and only if there exists a measurable function $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $f = h \circ g$.

PROOF: See Lemma 1.13 by Kallenberg (1997).

Q.E.D.

FACT 8.4 (Jensen's Inequality)

Let x be a random variable on a probability space $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$. If $c: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, and $\mathbb{E}|c(x)| < \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(c(x)|\mathcal{H}) \geq c(\mathbb{E}(x|\mathcal{H}))$$

almost everywhere, for any sub σ - algebra \mathcal{H}

For a proof, see section 9.7 in Williams (1991).

FACT 8.5 (Reverse Fatou's Lemma) If (f_n) is a sequence of real valued functions defined on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) , and each f_n satisfies $f_n \leq g$ and $f_n \geq 0$, where g is an integrable function on (Ω, Σ, μ) , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu \leq \int \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu$$

For a proof, see 5.4 in Williams (1991).

REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., 2009. Introduction to Modern Economic Growth. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Aliprantis, C. D., Border, K. C., 2006. Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Alt, H. W., Nürnberg, R., 2016. Linear Functional Analysis: An Application-Oriented Introduction. Universitext. Springer London.
- URL <https://books.google.com.au/books?id=qyCcDAEACAAJ>
- Borovkov, A. A., 2013. Probability Theory, 4th Edition. Springer-Verlag, London.
- Borwein, J. M., Vanderwerff, J. D., 2010. Convex Functions: Constructions, Characterizations and Counterexamples. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Çınlar, E., 2011. Probability and Stochastics. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Halmos, P. R., 1974. Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Kallenberg, O., 1997. Foundations of Modern Probability. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Kamihigashi, T., 2008. On the Principle of Optimality for Nonstationary Deterministic Dynamic Programming. International Journal of Economic Theory 4, 519–525.
- Kamihigashi, T., 2014. Elementary Results on Solutions to the Bellman Equation of Dynamic Programming: Existence, Uniqueness, and Convergence. Economic Theory 56 (2), 251–273.
- Kamihigashi, T., 2017. A Generalisation of Fatou's Lemma for Extended Real-Valued Functions on sigma-Finite Measure spaces: with an Application to Infinite-Horizon Optimization in Discrete Time. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2017 (1), 24.
- Kelley, J. L., 1975. General Topology. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Le Van, C., Morhaim, L., 2002. Optimal Growth Models with Bounded or Unbounded Returns: A Unifying Approach. Journal of Economic Theory 105 (1), 158–187.
- Mas-colell, A., Zame, W. R., 1991. Equilibrium Theory in Infinite Dimensional Spaces. In: Hildenbrand, W., Sonnenschein, H. (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Economics, Vol. IV. pp. 1835–1890.

- Meggison, R. E., 1998. An Introduction to Banach Spaces. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Stachurski, J., 2009. Economic Dynamics: Theory and Computation. MIT Press Books, Cambridge, MA.
- Stokey, N., Lucas, R., 1989. Recursive Methods in Economic Dynamics. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Tao, T., 2010. Epsilon of Room, One: Volume 117 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Soc.
- URL <https://books.google.com.au/books?id=DhWarYB11ZAC>
- Williams, D., 1991. Probability with Martingales. Cambridge University Press.