Planned Future of Additions to HARK

- 1. Endogenous labor supply models Link
- 2. Durable goods models Link
- 3. Various bits, large and small Link

The Future of HARK: Incorporating Labor (1/4)

Model of labor supply on intensive margin:

$$egin{aligned} u(c,\ell) &= ((1-\ell)^{lpha}c)^{1-
ho}/(1-
ho),\ v_t(b_t, heta_t) &= \max_{c_t,\ell_t} u(c_t,\ell_t) + eta \mathcal{D}_t \mathbb{E}_t \left[(\psi_{t+1}\Gamma_t)^{1-
ho} v_{t+1}(b_{t+1}, heta_{t+1})
ight] ext{ s.t.} \ y_t &= \ell_t heta_t, \qquad \ell_t \in [0,1], \ a_t &= m_t + y_t - c_t, \qquad a_t \geq \underline{a}, \ b_{t+1} &= R/(\Gamma_t \psi_{t+1}) a_t, \ \psi_{t+1} \sim F_{\psi_{t+1}}(\psi), \qquad heta_{t+1} \sim F_{\theta_{t+1}}(heta), \quad \mathbb{E}[\psi_{t+1}] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Future of HARK: Incorporating Labor (2/4)

Model of labor supply on extensive margin:

$$egin{aligned} u(c,\ell) &= c^{1-
ho}/(1-
ho) - lpha \ell, \ v_t(b_t, heta_t,\ell_{t-1}) &= \max_{c_t,\ell_t} u(c_t,\ell_t) + eta \mathcal{D}_t \mathbb{E}_t \left[(\psi_{t+1} \Gamma_t)^{1-
ho} v_{t+1}(b_{t+1}, heta_{t+1},\ell_t)
ight] \ y_t &= \ell_t heta_t, \qquad \ell_t \in \{0,\ell_{t-1}\}, \ a_t &= m_t + y_t - c_t, \quad a_t \geq \underline{a}, \ b_{t+1} &= R/(\Gamma_t \psi_{t+1}) a_t, \ \psi_{t+1} \sim F_{\psi_{t+1}}(\psi), \quad heta_{t+1} \sim F_{\theta_{t+1}}(heta), \quad \mathbb{E}[\psi_{t+1}] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Future of HARK: Incorporating Labor (3/4)

Model of endogenous employment search:

$$egin{aligned} u(c,s) &= ((1-s)^{lpha}c)^{1-
ho}/(1-
ho), \ v_t(m_t,e_t) &= \max_{c_t,s_t} u(c_t,s_t) + eta oxdots_t \mathbb{E}_t \left[(\psi_{t+1} \Gamma_t)^{1-
ho} v_{t+1}(m_{t+1},e_{t+1})
ight] \; ext{s.t.} \ a_t &= m_t - c_t, \quad a_t \geq \underline{a}, \quad s_t \in [0,1], \ m_{t+1} &= R/(\Gamma_t^e \psi_{t+1}) a_t + heta_t e_{t+1} + \underline{b}(1-e_{t+1}), \ &= \operatorname{Prob}(e_{t+1} = 1 | e_t = 0) = s_t, \qquad \operatorname{Prob}(e_{t+1} = 0 | e_t = 1) = \mho, \ \psi_{t+1} \sim F_{\psi_t+1}^e(\psi), \quad heta_{t+1} \sim F_{\theta_t+1}(\theta), \quad \mathbb{E}[\psi_t] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Future of HARK: Incorporating Labor (4/4)

Applications of Market for labor models:

- Non-trivial calculation of $L_t = \int_0^1 \ell_{it} p_{it} \theta_{it} di$ for Cobb-Douglas
- Disutility of employment search and probability of job loss depend on labor market slackness
- ► Can look at behavior in response to change in SS, etc

Back

General durable goods model:

$$egin{aligned} u(c,d) &= (c^{lpha},d^{1-lpha})^{1-
ho}/(1-
ho). \ \ v_t(m_t,d_t) &= \max_{c_t,i_t} u(c_t,d_t) + eta \mathcal{D}_t \mathbb{E}_t \left[(\psi_{t+1} \Gamma_t)^{1-
ho} v_{t+1}(m_{t+1},d_{t+1})
ight] \; ext{s.t.} \ \ a_t &= m_t - c_t, \quad a_t \geq \underline{a}, \ \ D_t &= d_t + g(i_t), \quad d_{t+1} = (1-\delta_{t+1}) D_t, \quad \delta_{t+1} \sim F_{\delta}(\delta), \ \ m_{t+1} &= R/(\Gamma_t \psi_{t+1}) a_t + heta_{t+1}, \ \ \psi_{t+1} \sim F_{\psi_{t+1}}(\psi), \quad heta_{t+1} \sim F_{\theta_{t+1}}(heta), \quad \mathbb{E}[\psi_t] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Easiest case: $g(i_t)$ is concave, $i_t \in \mathbb{R}$. Every end-of-period state (a_t, D_t) associated with *some* beginning of period state.
- ▶ Slightly harder: $i_t \ge 0$, must handle constraint

- ▶ Easiest case: $g(i_t)$ is concave, $i_t \in \mathbb{R}$. Every end-of-period state (a_t, D_t) associated with *some* beginning of period state.
- ▶ Slightly harder: $i_t \ge 0$, must handle constraint
- ▶ Somewhat harder: $g(i_t) = \pi i_t$. One locus in (a_t, D_t) space is optimal; each point on optimal (a_t, D_t) locus associated with locus in (m_t, d_t) space.

- ▶ Easiest case: $g(i_t)$ is concave, $i_t \in \mathbb{R}$. Every end-of-period state (a_t, D_t) associated with *some* beginning of period state.
- ▶ Slightly harder: $i_t \ge 0$, must handle constraint
- ▶ Somewhat harder: $g(i_t) = \pi i_t$. One locus in (a_t, D_t) space is optimal; each point on optimal (a_t, D_t) locus associated with locus in (m_t, d_t) space.
- ▶ Even harder: $g(i_t) = \hat{g}(i_t) + K\mathbf{1}(i_t \neq 0)$, with $\hat{g}(0) = 0$ and $\hat{g}(\cdot)$ concave. Must check $i_t = 0$ soln everywhere, discont.

- ▶ Easiest case: $g(i_t)$ is concave, $i_t \in \mathbb{R}$. Every end-of-period state (a_t, D_t) associated with *some* beginning of period state.
- ▶ Slightly harder: $i_t \ge 0$, must handle constraint
- ▶ Somewhat harder: $g(i_t) = \pi i_t$. One locus in (a_t, D_t) space is optimal; each point on optimal (a_t, D_t) locus associated with locus in (m_t, d_t) space.
- ▶ Even harder: $g(i_t) = \hat{g}(i_t) + K\mathbf{1}(i_t \neq 0)$, with $\hat{g}(0) = 0$ and $\hat{g}(\cdot)$ concave. Must check $i_t = 0$ soln everywhere, discont.
- ▶ Just ugh: $g(i_t) = \pi i_t + K \mathbf{1}(i_t \neq 0), i_t \geq 0.$



Applications for Market with durable goods:

- ▶ Endogenous pricing of durable good: housing market
- Dynamics of demand for durables after an aggregate shock
- Some specifications overlap with health models



The Future of HARK: Small To-Do Items

Contributions that would get your feet wet in HARK:

- Extend perfect foresight to handle borrowing constraint
- ▶ Bequest motives: warm glow, other?

The Future of HARK: Small To-Do Items

Contributions that would get your feet wet in HARK:

- Extend perfect foresight to handle borrowing constraint
- ▶ Bequest motives: warm glow, other?
- ► Fix/improve aggregate shocks model: handle life cycle models
- ► Fix/generalize ExplicitPermInc models: PermGroFunc
- Portfolio allocation models; eventually: asset pricing

The Future of HARK: Small To-Do Items

Contributions that would get your feet wet in HARK:

- Extend perfect foresight to handle borrowing constraint
- Bequest motives: warm glow, other?
- ► Fix/improve aggregate shocks model: handle life cycle models
- ► Fix/generalize ExplicitPermInc models: PermGroFunc
- Portfolio allocation models; eventually: asset pricing
- ▶ Advanced features on more solvers: cubic spline interpolation
- Various numeric methods detached from particular models

If you're feeling ambitious or are comfortable with HARK:

Incorporate openc14py with basic consumption-saving model. "Repack" model inputs into memory buffers, pass to OpenCL solver. OpenCL simulator: easier, big gains for some models.



If you're feeling ambitious or are comfortable with HARK:

- ► Incorporate openc14py with basic consumption-saving model. "Repack" model inputs into memory buffers, pass to OpenCL solver. OpenCL simulator: easier, big gains for some models.
- ► Aggregate shocks with explicit permanent income. One endogenous state variable, two exogenous state variables. Future candidate for GPU computing.



If you're feeling ambitious or are comfortable with HARK:

- Incorporate openc14py with basic consumption-saving model. "Repack" model inputs into memory buffers, pass to OpenCL solver. OpenCL simulator: easier, big gains for some models.
- Aggregate shocks with explicit permanent income. One endogenous state variable, two exogenous state variables. Future candidate for GPU computing.
- Generalized Markov solver: make "solution schema" so that Markov state can be added to any correctly specified solver



If you're feeling ambitious or are comfortable with HARK:

- Incorporate openc14py with basic consumption-saving model. "Repack" model inputs into memory buffers, pass to OpenCL solver. OpenCL simulator: easier, big gains for some models.
- Aggregate shocks with explicit permanent income. One endogenous state variable, two exogenous state variables. Future candidate for GPU computing.
- Generalized Markov solver: make "solution schema" so that Markov state can be added to any correctly specified solver
- ► Models of firm creation / bankruptcy / investment / hiring



