Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)

Arjun Jain

Sources

A lot of the material has been shamelessly and gratefully collected from:

- http://cs231n.stanford.edu/

Announcements

- Mid-term exam crib session: 6:30pm - 9:00pm Friday (15/3)
- Mid-term project review: 11:00am – 1:00pm and 2:30pm – 6:30pm Monday (18/3)

Mid-sem feedback: (only 19/88)

- Assignment submission date extended to Sunday 11:00pm

Mid-term stats

- Mean: 36/97
- Median: 37/97
- Max: 57
- Std: 15

Mid-term stats



Mid-term stats



Recap: Object Detection

Practically:

The task of assigning a label and a bounding box to all objects in an image



Region Proposals (pre deep learning)

Greedily combine sub-segmentation to produce larger candidate object locations

Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al (2013)

Candidate object locations

↓

Extract HOG features over windows

↓

Run Linear SVM classifier

↓

Run post-processing (Non-Maximum Suppression)

Object Detection Accuracy



RCNN (post deep learning)

Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation, Girshick et al (2013)



SVM classifier

https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2524

Fast RCNN (post deep learning)



Non-maximal supression

Remove boxes with high overlap but lower score



Faster RCNN



The Architecture of Faster R-CNN

Single stage object detection

YOLO: You Only Look Once & SSD: Single Shot Multibox Detector

Directly estimate box coordinates and class scores



Performance metrics for object detection

Mean Average Precision (mAP) for object detection

Step 1: Sort predictions according to confidence (usually classifier's output after softmax)

Step 2: Calculate IoU of every predicted box with every ground truth box

Step 3: Match predictions to ground truth using IoU, correct predictions are those with IoU > threshold (typically 0.5)

Confidence	Rank	Correct
0.91	1	TRUE
0.87	2	TRUE
0.83	3	FALSE
0.81	4	TRUE
0.77	5	FALSE
0.65	6	TRUE
0.56	7	TRUE
0.40	8	FALSE
0.32	9	FALSE
0.31	10	TRUE

Performance metrics for object detection

Mean Average Precision (mAP) for object detection

Step 4: Calculate precision and recall at every row

Step 5: Take the mean of **maximum precision at 11 recall values** {0.0, 0.1, … 1.0) to get AP

Step 6: Average across all classes to get the mAP score

Confidence	Rank	Correct	Precision	Recall
0.91	1	TRUE	1.00	0.17
0.87	2	TRUE	1.00	0.33
0.83	3	FALSE	0.67	0.33
0.81	4	TRUE	0.75	0.50
0.77	5	FALSE	0.60	0.50
0.65	6	TRUE	0.67	0.67
0.56	7	TRUE	0.71	0.83
0.40	8	FALSE	0.63	0.83
0.32	9	FALSE	0.56	0.83
0.31	10	TRUE	0.67	1.00

Recurrent Neural Networks

Universal approximation theorem

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universal_approximation_theorem)

In the mathematical theory of artificial neural networks, the **universal approximation theorem** states[1] that a feed-forward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons can approximate continuous functions on compact subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n$, under mild assumptions on the activation function. The theorem thus states that simple neural networks can *represent* a wide variety of interesting functions when given appropriate parameters.

Then Why So Deep??

“Vanilla” Neural Network



Vanilla Neural Networks

Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences



e.g. **Image Captioning**
image -> sequence of words

Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences



e.g. **Sentiment Classification**
sequence of words -> sentiment

Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences



e.g. **Machine Translation**
seq of words -> seq of words

Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences



e.g. **Video classification on frame level**

Recurrent Neural Network



Recurrent Neural Network



Recurrent Neural Network

We can process a sequence of vectors x by applying a **recurrence formula** at every time step:

$$\boxed{h_t} = \boxed{f_W}(\boxed{h_{t-1}}, \boxed{x_t})$$

new state

old state

input vector at some time step

some function with parameters W



Recurrent Neural Network

We can process a sequence of vectors **x** by applying a **recurrence formula** at every time step:

$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

Notice: the same function and the same set of parameters are used at every time step.



Vanilla Recurrent Neural Network

The state consists of a single *"hidden"* vector **h**:



$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t)$$

$$y_t = W_{hy}h_t$$

RNN: Computational Graph



RNN: Computational Graph



RNN: Computational Graph



RNN: Computational Graph

Re-use the same weight matrix at every time-step



RNN: Computational Graph – Many to Many



RNN: Computational Graph – Many to Many



RNN: Computational Graph – Many to Many



RNN: Computational Graph – Many to One



RNN: Computational Graph – One to Many



Sequence to Sequence: Many-to-One + One-to-Many

Many to one: Encode input sequence in a single vector



Sequence to Sequence:
Many-to-One + One-to-Many



Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary:
[h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence:
“hello”



Example: Character-level Language Model

$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t)$$

Vocabulary:
[h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence:
“hello”



Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary:
[h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence:
“hello”



Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary:
[h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary:
[h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary:
[h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Backpropagation through time



Truncated Backpropagation through time



Run forward and backward through chunks of the sequence instead of whole sequence

Truncated Backpropagation through time



Carry hidden states forward in time forever, but only backpropagate for some smaller number of steps

Truncated Backpropagation through time



min-char-rnn.py gist: 112 lines of Python

```python
"""
Minimal character-level Vanilla RNN model. Written by Andrej Karpathy (@karpathy)
BSD License
"""
import numpy as np

# data I/O
data = open('input.txt', 'r').read() # should be simple plain text file
chars = list(set(data))
data_size, vocab_size = len(data), len(chars)
print 'data has %d characters, %d unique.' % (data_size, vocab_size)
char_to_ix = { ch:i for i,ch in enumerate(chars) }
ix_to_char = { i:ch for i,ch in enumerate(chars) }

# hyperparameters
hidden_size = 100 # size of hidden layer of neurons
seq_length = 25 # number of steps to unroll the RNN for
learning_rate = 1e-1

# model parameters
Wxh = np.random.randn(hidden_size, vocab_size)*0.01 # input to hidden
Whh = np.random.randn(hidden_size, hidden_size)*0.01 # hidden to hidden
Why = np.random.randn(vocab_size, hidden_size)*0.01 # hidden to output
bh = np.zeros((hidden_size, 1)) # hidden bias
by = np.zeros((vocab_size, 1)) # output bias

def lossFun(inputs, targets, hprev):
  """
  inputs,targets are both list of integers.
  hprev is Hx1 array of initial hidden state
  returns the loss, gradients on model parameters, and last hidden state
  """
  xs, hs, ys, ps = {}, {}, {}, {}
  hs[-1] = np.copy(hprev)
  loss = 0
  # forward pass
  for t in xrange(len(inputs)):
    xs[t] = np.zeros((vocab_size,1)) # encode in 1-of-k representation
    xs[t][inputs[t]] = 1
    hs[t] = np.tanh(np.dot(Wxh, xs[t]) + np.dot(Whh, hs[t-1]) + bh) # hidden state
    ys[t] = np.dot(Why, hs[t]) + by # unnormalized log probabilities for next chars
    ps[t] = np.exp(ys[t]) / np.sum(np.exp(ys[t])) # probabilities for next chars
    loss += -np.log(ps[t][targets[t],0]) # softmax (cross-entropy loss)
  # backward pass: compute gradients going backwards
  dWxh, dWhh, dWhy = np.zeros_like(Wxh), np.zeros_like(Whh), np.zeros_like(Why)
  dbh, dby = np.zeros_like(bh), np.zeros_like(by)
  dhnext = np.zeros_like(hs[0])
  for t in reversed(xrange(len(inputs))):
    dy = np.copy(ps[t])
    dy[targets[t]] -= 1 # backprop into y
    dWhy += np.dot(dy, hs[t].T)
    dby += dy
    dh = np.dot(Why.T, dy) + dhnext # backprop into h
    dhraw = (1 - hs[t] * hs[t]) * dh # backprop through tanh nonlinearity
    dbh += dhraw
    dWxh += np.dot(dhraw, xs[t].T)
    dWhh += np.dot(dhraw, hs[t-1].T)
    dhnext = np.dot(Whh.T, dhraw)
  for dparam in [dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby]:
    np.clip(dparam, -5, 5, out=dparam) # clip to mitigate exploding gradients
  return loss, dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby, hs[len(inputs)-1]
```

```python
def sample(h, seed_ix, n):
  """
  sample a sequence of integers from the model
  h is memory state, seed_ix is seed letter for first time step
  """
  x = np.zeros((vocab_size, 1))
  x[seed_ix] = 1
  ixes = []
  for t in xrange(n):
    h = np.tanh(np.dot(Wxh, x) + np.dot(Whh, h) + bh)
    y = np.dot(Why, h) + by
    p = np.exp(y) / np.sum(np.exp(y))
    ix = np.random.choice(range(vocab_size), p=p.ravel())
    x = np.zeros((vocab_size, 1))
    x[ix] = 1
    ixes.append(ix)
  return ixes

n, p = 0, 0
mWxh, mWhh, mWhy = np.zeros_like(Wxh), np.zeros_like(Whh), np.zeros_like(Why)
mbh, mby = np.zeros_like(bh), np.zeros_like(by) # memory variables for Adagrad
smooth_loss = -np.log(1.0/vocab_size)*seq_length # loss at iteration 0
while True:
  # prepare inputs (we're sweeping from left to right in steps seq_length long)
  if p+seq_length+1 >= len(data) or n == 0:
    hprev = np.zeros((hidden_size,1)) # reset RNN memory
    p = 0 # go from start of data
  inputs = [char_to_ix[ch] for ch in data[p:p+seq_length]]
  targets = [char_to_ix[ch] for ch in data[p+1:p+seq_length+1]]

  # sample from the model now and then
  if n % 100 == 0:
    sample_ix = sample(hprev, inputs[0], 200)
    txt = ''.join(ix_to_char[ix] for ix in sample_ix)
    print '----\n %s \n----' % (txt, )

  # forward seq_length characters through the net and fetch gradient
  loss, dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby, hprev = lossFun(inputs, targets, hprev)
  smooth_loss = smooth_loss * 0.999 + loss * 0.001
  if n % 100 == 0: print 'iter %d, loss: %f' % (n, smooth_loss) # print progress

  # perform parameter update with Adagrad
  for param, dparam, mem in zip([Wxh, Whh, Why, bh, by],
                                [dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby],
                                [mWxh, mWhh, mWhy, mbh, mby]):
    mem += dparam * dparam
    param += -learning_rate * dparam / np.sqrt(mem + 1e-8) # adagrad update

  p += seq_length # move data pointer
  n += 1 # iteration counter
```

https://gist.github.com/karpathy/d4dee566867f8291f086

THE SONNETS

by William Shakespeare

From fairest creatures we desire increase,
That thereby beauty's rose might never die,
But as the riper should by time decease,
His tender heir might bear his memory:
But thou, contracted to thine own bright eyes,
Feed'st thy light's flame with self-substantial fuel,
Making a famine where abundance lies,
Thyself thy foe, to thy sweet self too cruel:
Thou that art now the world's fresh ornament,
And only herald to the gaudy spring,
Within thine own bud buriest thy content,
And tender churl mak'st waste in niggarding:
  Pity the world, or else this glutton be,
  To eat the world's due, by the grave and thee.

When forty winters shall besiege thy brow,
And dig deep trenches in thy beauty's field,
Thy youth's proud livery so gazed on now,
Will be a tatter'd weed of small worth held:
Then being asked, where all thy beauty lies,
Where all the treasure of thy lusty days;
To say, within thine own deep sunken eyes,
Were an all-eating shame, and thriftless praise.
How much more praise deserv'd thy beauty's use,
If thou couldst answer 'This fair child of mine
Shall sum my count, and make my old excuse,'
Proving his beauty by succession thine!
  This were to be new made when thou art old,
  And see thy blood warm when thou feel'st it cold.



at first:

```
tyntd-iafhatawiaoihrdemot  lytdws  e ,tfti, astai f ogoh eoase rrranbyne 'nhthnee e
plia tklrgd t o idoe ns,smtt   h ne etie h,hregtrs nigtike,aoaenns lng
```

↓ train more

```
"Tmont thithey" fomesscerliund
Keushey. Thom here
sheulke, anmerenith ol sivh I lalterthend Bleipile shuwy fil on aseterlome
coaniogennc Phe lism thond hon at. MeiDimorotion in ther thize."
```

↓ train more

```
Aftair fall unsuch that the hall for Prince Velzonski's that me of
her hearly, and behs to so arwage fiving were to it beloge, pavu say falling misfort
how, and Gogition is so overelical and ofter.
```

↓ train more

```
"Why do what that day," replied Natasha, and wishing to himself the fact the
princess, Princess Mary was easier, fed in had oftened him.
Pierre aking his soul came to the packs and drove up his father-in-law women.
```

```
PANDARUS:
Alas, I think he shall be come approached and the day
When little srain would be attain'd into being never fed,
And who is but a chain and subjects of his death,
I should not sleep.

Second Senator:
They are away this miseries, produced upon my soul,
Breaking and strongly should be buried, when I perish
The earth and thoughts of many states.

DUKE VINCENTIO:
Well, your wit is in the care of side and that.

Second Lord:
They would be ruled after this chamber, and
my fair nues begun out of the fact, to be conveyed,
Whose noble souls I'll have the heart of the wars.

Clown:
Come, sir, I will make did behold your worship.

VIOLA:
I'll drink it.
```

```
VIOLA:
Why, Salisbury must find his flesh and thought
That which I am not aps, not a man and in fire,
To show the reining of the raven and the wars
To grace my hand reproach within, and not a fair are hand,
That Caesar and my goodly father's world;
When I was heaven of presence and our fleets,
We spare with hours, but cut thy council I am great,
Murdered and by thy master's ready there
My power to give thee but so much as hell:
Some service in the noble bondman here,
Would show him to her wine.

KING LEAR:
O, if you were a feeble sight, the courtesy of your law,
Your sight and several breath, will wear the gods
With his heads, and my hands are wonder'd at the deeds,
So drop upon your lordship's head, and your opinion
Shall be against your honour.
```

The Stacks Project: open source algebraic geometry textbook



Latex source

http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/

For $\bigoplus_{n=1,\dots,m}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{m_\bullet} = 0$, hence we can find a closed subset $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and any sets $\mathcal{F}$ on X, U is a closed immersion of S, then $U \to T$ is a separated algebraic space.

Proof. Proof of (1). It also start we get

$$S = \mathrm{Spec}(R) = U \times_X U \times_X U$$

and the comparicoly in the fibre product covering we have to prove the lemma generated by $\coprod Z \times_U U \to V$. Consider the maps M along the set of points Sch_{fppf} and $U \to U$ is the fibre category of S in U in Section, **??** and the fact that any U affine, see Morphisms, Lemma **??**. Hence we obtain a scheme S and any open subset $W \subset U$ in $Sh(G)$ such that $\mathrm{Spec}(R') \to S$ is smooth or an

$$U = \bigcup U_i \times_{S_i} U_i$$

which has a nonzero morphism we may assume that f_i is of finite presentation over S. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a scheme where $x, x', s'' \in S'$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x'} \to \mathcal{O}'_{X',x'}$ is separated. By Algebra, Lemma **??** we can define a map of complexes $\mathrm{GL}_{S'}(x'/S'')$ and we win. □

To prove study we see that $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is a covering of $\mathcal{X}'$, and $\mathcal{T}_i$ is an object of $\mathcal{F}_{X/S}$ for $i > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_p$ exists and let $\mathcal{F}_i$ be a presheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules on $\mathcal{C}$ as a $\mathcal{F}$-module. In particular $\mathcal{F} = U/\mathcal{F}$ we have to show that

$$\widetilde{M}^\bullet = \mathcal{I}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathcal{O}_{S,s} - i_X^{-1}\mathcal{F})$$

is a unique morphism of algebraic stacks. Note that

$$\mathrm{Arrows} = (Sch/S)^{opp}_{fppf}, (Sch/S)_{fppf}$$

and

$$V = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}) \longmapsto (U, \mathrm{Spec}(A))$$

is an open subset of X. Thus U is affine. This is a continuous map of X is the inverse, the groupoid scheme S.

Proof. See discussion of sheaves of sets. □

The result for prove any open covering follows from the less of Example **??**. It may replace S by $X_{spaces,\acute{e}tale}$ which gives an open subspace of X and T equal to S_{Zar}, see Descent, Lemma **??**. Namely, by Lemma **??** we see that R is geometrically regular over S.

Lemma 0.1. *Assume (3) and (3) by the construction in the description.*

Suppose $X = \lim |X|$ (by the formal open covering X and a single map $\underline{Proj}_X(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ over U compatible with the complex

$$Set(\mathcal{A}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathcal{O}_X}).$$

*When in this case of to show that $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{C}_{Z/X}$ is stable under the following result in the second conditions of (1), and (3). This finishes the proof. By Definition **??** (without element is when the closed subschemes are catenary. If T is surjective we may assume that T is connected with residue fields of S. Moreover there exists a closed subspace $Z \subset X$ of X where U in X' is proper (some defining as a closed subset of the uniqueness it suffices to check the fact that the following theorem*

(1) *f is locally of finite type. Since $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$.*

Proof. This is form all sheaves of sheaves on X. But given a scheme U and a surjective étale morphism $U \to X$. Let $U \cap U = \coprod_{i=1,\dots,n} U_i$ be the scheme X over S at the schemes $X_i \to X$ and $U = \lim_i X_i$. □

The following lemma surjective restrocomposes of this implies that $\mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X},\dots,0}$.

Lemma 0.2. *Let X be a locally Noetherian scheme over S, $E = \mathcal{F}_{X/S}$. Set $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}'_n$. Since $\mathcal{I}^n \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ are nonzero over $i_0 \leq \mathfrak{p}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{J}_{n,0} \circ \overline{A}_2$ works.*

Lemma 0.3. *In Situation **??**. Hence we may assume $\mathfrak{q}' = 0$.*

Proof. We will use the property we see that $\mathfrak{p}$ is the mext functor (**??**). On the other hand, by Lemma **??** we see that

$$D(\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

where K is an F-algebra where δ_{n+1} is a scheme over S. □

Proof. Omitted. ☐

Lemma 0.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of the construction.*

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a gerber covering. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}$-modules. We have to show that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_X} = \mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{L})$$

.

Proof. This is an algebraic space with the composition of sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on $X_{\acute{e}tale}$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{F}) = \{morph_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})\}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}$-modules. ☐

Lemma 0.2. *This is an integer $\mathcal{Z}$ is injective.*

Proof. See Spaces, Lemma ??. ☐

Lemma 0.3. *Let S be a scheme. Let X be a scheme and X is an affine open covering. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a canonical and locally of finite type. Let X be a scheme. Let X be a scheme which is equal to the formal complex.*

The following to the construction of the lemma follows.

Let X be a scheme. Let X be a scheme covering. Let

$$b : X \to Y' \to Y \to Y \to Y' \times_X Y \to X.$$

be a morphism of algebraic spaces over S and Y.

Proof. Let X be a nonzero scheme of X. Let X be an algebraic space. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. The following are equivalent

(1) $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebraic space over S.
(2) If X is an affine open covering.

Consider a common structure on X and X the functor $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ which is locally of finite type. ☐

This since $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {} & \\
\xi \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{X'} \arrow[dr] & \\
\mathrm{gor}_s & {} \arrow[u] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
=\alpha' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] & {} \\
=\alpha' \arrow[r] & \alpha
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
& & X \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Spec}(K_\psi) & \mathrm{Mor}_{Sets} & \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{X/k}}, \mathcal{G})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a limit. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite type and assume S is a flat and $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite type f_*. This is of finite type diagrams, and

- the composition of $\mathcal{G}$ is a regular sequence,
- $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is a sheaf of rings.

☐

Proof. We have see that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite type representable by algebraic space. The property $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite morphism of algebraic stacks. Then the cohomology of X is an open neighbourhood of U. ☐

Proof. This is clear that $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite presentation, see Lemmas ??.
A *reduced above* we conclude that U is an open covering of $\mathcal{C}$. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ is a "field

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\overline{x}} \ \text{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\acute{e}tale}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\ell}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_{X_\eta}^{\overline{v}})$$

is an isomorphism of covering of $\mathcal{O}_{X_i}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{F}$ such that X is an isomorphism.
The property $\mathcal{F}$ is a disjoint union of Proposition ?? and we can filtered set of presentations of a scheme $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra with $\mathcal{F}$ are opens of finite type over S.
If $\mathcal{F}$ is a scheme theoretic image points. ☐

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite direct sum $\mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}$ is a closed immersion, see Lemma ??. This is a sequence of $\mathcal{F}$ is a similar morphism.


This repository Search
Explore Gist Blog Help
karpathy
torvalds / linux
Watch 3,711
Star 23,054
Fork 9,141
Linux kernel source tree
520,037 commits
1 branch
420 releases
5,039 contributors
branch: master
linux / +
Merge branch 'drm-fixes' of git://people.freedesktop.org/~airlied/linux
torvalds authored 9 hours ago
latest commit 4b1706927d
Documentation Merge git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/nab/target-pending 6 days ago
arch Merge branch 'x86-urgent-for-linus' of git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/l… a day ago
block block: discard bdi_unregister() in favour of bdi_destroy() 9 days ago
crypto Merge git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/herbert/crypto-2.6 10 days ago
drivers Merge branch 'drm-fixes' of git://people.freedesktop.org/~airlied/linux 9 hours ago
firmware firmware/ihex2fw.c: restore missing default in switch statement 2 months ago
fs vfs: read file_handle only once in handle_to_path 4 days ago
include Merge branch 'perf-urgent-for-linus' of git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/… a day ago
init init: fix regression by supporting devices with major:minor:offset fo… a month ago
Code
Pull requests 74
Pulse
Graphs
HTTPS clone URL
https://github.c
You can clone with HTTPS, SSH, or Subversion.
Clone in Desktop
Download ZIP

```c
static void do_command(struct seq_file *m, void *v)
{
  int column = 32 << (cmd[2] & 0x80);
  if (state)
    cmd = (int)(int_state ^ (in_8(&ch->ch_flags) & Cmd) ? 2 : 1);
  else
    seq = 1;
  for (i = 0; i < 16; i++) {
    if (k & (1 << 1))
      pipe = (in_use & UMXTHREAD_UNCCA) +
        ((count & 0x00000000fffffff8) & 0x000000f) << 8;
    if (count == 0)
      sub(pid, ppc_md.kexec_handle, 0x20000000);
    pipe_set_bytes(i, 0);
  }
  /* Free our user pages pointer to place camera if all dash */
  subsystem_info = &of_changes[PAGE_SIZE];
  rek_controls(offset, idx, &soffset);
  /* Now we want to deliberately put it to device */
  control_check_polarity(&context, val, 0);
  for (i = 0; i < COUNTER; i++)
    seq_puts(s, "policy ");
}
```

Generated C code

```
/*
 *  Copyright (c) 2006-2010, Intel Mobile Communications.  All rights reserved.
 *
 *   This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it
 * under the terms of the GNU General Public License version 2 as published by
 * the Free Software Foundation.
 *
 *        This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful,
 * but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of
 *   MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.  See the
 *
 *  GNU General Public License for more details.
 *
 *   You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License
 *    along with this program; if not, write to the Free Software Foundation,
 *  Inc., 675 Mass Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.
 */

#include <linux/kexec.h>
#include <linux/errno.h>
#include <linux/io.h>
#include <linux/platform_device.h>
#include <linux/multi.h>
#include <linux/ckevent.h>

#include <asm/io.h>
#include <asm/prom.h>
#include <asm/e820.h>
#include <asm/system_info.h>
#include <asm/setew.h>
#include <asm/pgproto.h>
```

```
#include <asm/io.h>
#include <asm/prom.h>
#include <asm/e820.h>
#include <asm/system_info.h>
#include <asm/setew.h>
#include <asm/pgproto.h>

#define REG_PG    vesa_slot_addr_pack
#define PFM_NOCOMP  AFSR(0, load)
#define STACK_DDR(type)     (func)

#define SWAP_ALLOCATE(nr)     (e)
#define emulate_sigs()  arch_get_unaligned_child()
#define access_rw(TST)  asm volatile("movd %%esp, %0, %3" : : "r" (0));   \
  if (__type & DO_READ)

static void stat_PC_SEC __read_mostly offsetof(struct seq_argsqueue, \
          pC>[1]);

static void
os_prefix(unsigned long sys)
{
#ifdef CONFIG_PREEMPT
  PUT_PARAM_RAID(2, sel) = get_state_state();
  set_pid_sum((unsigned long)state, current_state_str(),
           (unsigned long)-1->lr_full; low;
}
```

Searching for interpretable neurons



Karpathy, Johnson, and Fei-Fei: Visualizing and Understanding Recurrent Networks, ICLR Workshop 2016

Searching for interpretable neurons



quote detection cell

Karpathy, Johnson, and Fei-Fei: Visualizing and Understanding Recurrent Networks, ICLR Workshop 2016

Searching for interpretable neurons



line length tracking cell

Searching for interpretable neurons

```
static int __dequeue_signal(struct sigpending *pending, sigset_t *mask,
    siginfo_t *info)
{
  int sig = next_signal(pending, mask);
  if (sig) {
    if (current->notifier) {
      if (sigismember(current->notifier_mask, sig)) {
        if (!(current->notifier)(current->notifier_data)) {
          clear_thread_flag(TIF_SIGPENDING);
          return 0;
        }
      }
    }
    collect_signal(sig, pending, info);
  }
  return sig;
}
```

if statement cell

Searching for interpretable neurons



Karpathy, Johnson, and Fei-Fei: Visualizing and Understanding Recurrent Networks, ICLR Workshop 2016

Searching for interpretable neurons



quote/comment cell

Searching for interpretable neurons



code depth cell

Image Captioning



Explain Images with Multimodal Recurrent Neural Networks, Mao et al.
Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions, Karpathy and Fei-Fei
Show and Tell: A Neural Image Caption Generator, Vinyals et al.
Long-term Recurrent Convolutional Networks for Visual Recognition and Description, Donahue
Learning a Recurrent Visual Representation for Image Caption Generation, Chen and Zitnick

Image Captioning



test
image


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
FC-1000
softmax

test
image


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
FC-1000
softmax

test image


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096

test image

test
image

<START>



test
image

before:

$$h = \tanh(Wxh * x + Whh * h)$$

now:

$$h = \tanh(Wxh * x + Whh * h + Wih * v)$$


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
test image
y0
h0
sample!
x0 <STA RT>
straw
<START>


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
y0
y1
h0
h1
x0 <START>
straw
<START>

test
image


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
test
image
y0
y1
h0
h1
sample!
x0
<STA
RT>
straw
hat
<START>


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
y0
y1
y2
h0
h1
h2
x0
<STA
RT>
straw
hat
<START>

test
image


image
conv-64
conv-64
maxpool
conv-128
conv-128
maxpool
conv-256
conv-256
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
conv-512
conv-512
maxpool
FC-4096
FC-4096
test
image
y0
y1
y2
h0
h1
h2
x0
<STA
RT>
straw
hat
<START>
sample
<END> token
=> finish.

Image Captioning: Training Dataset

a man riding a bike on a dirt path through a forest.
bicyclist raises his fist as he rides on desert dirt trail.
this dirt bike rider is smiling and raising his fist in triumph.
a man riding a bicycle while pumping his fist in the air.
a mountain biker pumps his fist in celebration.

Microsoft COCO
[Tsung-Yi Lin et al. 2014]
mscoco.org

currently:
~120K images
~5 sentences each

Image Captioning: Example Results

A cat sitting on a suitcase on the floor

A cat is sitting on a tree branch

A dog is running in the grass with a frisbee

A white teddy bear sitting in the grass

Two people walking on the beach with surfboards

A tennis player in action on the court

Two giraffes standing in a grassy field

A man riding a dirt bike on a dirt track

Image Captioning: Failures

A woman is holding a cat in her hand

A person holding a computer mouse on a desk

"a woman holding a teddy bear in front of a mirror."

A bird is perched on a tree branch

A man in a baseball uniform throwing a ball

Vanilla RNN



$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t)$$

$$y_t = W_{hy}h_t$$

Vanilla RNN

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



$$
\begin{aligned}
h_t &= \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t) \\
&= \tanh\left(\begin{pmatrix} W_{hh} & W_{hx} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= \tanh\left(W\begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multilayer RNNs

$$h_t^l = \tanh W^l \begin{pmatrix} h_t^{l-1} \\ h_{t-1}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $\quad W^l \; [n \times 2n]$

LSTM:

$W^l \; [4n \times 2n]$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} W^l \begin{pmatrix} h_t^{l-1} \\ h_{t-1}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g$$

$$h_t^l = o \odot \tanh(c_t^l)$$



Vanilla RNN Gradient Flow

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t)$$
$$= \tanh\left(\begin{pmatrix} W_{hh} & W_{hx} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \tanh\left(W \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

Vanilla RNN Gradient Flow

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013

Backpropagation from h_t to h_{t-1} multiplies by W (actually W_{hh}^T)



$$
\begin{aligned}
h_t &= \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t) \\
&= \tanh\left(\begin{pmatrix} W_{hh} & W_{hx} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= \tanh\left(W \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Vanilla RNN Gradient Flow

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Computing gradient of h_0 involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh)

Vanilla RNN Gradient Flow

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Computing gradient of h_0 involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh)

Largest singular value > 1:
Exploding gradients

Largest singular value < 1:
Vanishing gradients

Vanilla RNN Gradient Flow

Bengio et al, “Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult”, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, “On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks”, ICML 2013



Computing gradient of h_0 involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh)

Largest singular value > 1:
Exploding gradients

→ **Gradient clipping**: Scale gradient if its norm is too big

```
grad_norm = np.sum(grad * grad)
if grad_norm > threshold:
  grad *= (threshold / grad_norm)
```

Largest singular value < 1:
Vanishing gradients

Vanilla RNN Gradient Flow

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994
Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Computing gradient of h_0 involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh)

Largest singular value > 1:
Exploding gradients

Largest singular value < 1:
Vanishing gradients

→ Change RNN architecture

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)

Vanilla RNN

$$h_t = \tanh\left(W\begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_t\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

LSTM

$$\begin{pmatrix}i\\f\\o\\g\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\sigma\\\sigma\\\sigma\\\tanh\end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_t\end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_t = f \odot c_{t-1} + i \odot g$$

$$h_t = o \odot \tanh(c_t)$$

Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, “Long Short Term Memory”, Neural Computation **1997**

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)

[Hochreiter et al., 1997]

f: Forget gate, Whether to erase cell
i: Input gate, whether to write to cell
g: Gate gate (?), How much to write to cell
o: Output gate, How much to reveal cell



$$\boxed{\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}}$$

$$c_t = f \odot c_{t-1} + i \odot g$$

$$h_t = o \odot \tanh(c_t)$$

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)

[Hochreiter et al., 1997]



$$\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_t = f \odot c_{t-1} + i \odot g$$

$$h_t = o \odot \tanh(c_t)$$

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM): Gradient Flow

[Hochreiter et al., 1997]



Backpropagation from c_t to c_{t-1} only elementwise multiplication by f, no matrix multiply by W

$$\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_t = f \odot c_{t-1} + i \odot g$$

$$h_t = o \odot \tanh(c_t)$$

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM): Gradient Flow

[Hochreiter et al., 1997]



Long Short Term Memory (LSTM): Gradient Flow

[Hochreiter et al., 1997]



Similar to ResNet!



Other RNN Variants

GRU [*Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation*, Cho et al. 2014]

$$r_t = \sigma(W_{xr}x_t + W_{hr}h_{t-1} + b_r)$$
$$z_t = \sigma(W_{xz}x_t + W_{hz}h_{t-1} + b_z)$$
$$\tilde{h}_t = \tanh(W_{xh}x_t + W_{hh}(r_t \odot h_{t-1}) + b_h)$$
$$h_t = z_t \odot h_{t-1} + (1 - z_t) \odot \tilde{h}_t$$

[*LSTM: A Search Space Odyssey*, Greff et al., 2015]

[*An Empirical Exploration of Recurrent Network Architectures*, Jozefowicz et al., 2015]

MUT1:

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= \mathrm{sigm}(W_{\mathrm{xz}}x_t + b_{\mathrm{z}}) \\
r &= \mathrm{sigm}(W_{\mathrm{xr}}x_t + W_{\mathrm{hr}}h_t + b_{\mathrm{r}}) \\
h_{t+1} &= \tanh(W_{\mathrm{hh}}(r \odot h_t) + \tanh(x_t) + b_{\mathrm{h}}) \odot z \\
&+ h_t \odot (1 - z)
\end{aligned}$$

MUT2:

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= \mathrm{sigm}(W_{\mathrm{xz}}x_t + W_{\mathrm{hz}}h_t + b_{\mathrm{z}}) \\
r &= \mathrm{sigm}(x_t + W_{\mathrm{hr}}h_t + b_{\mathrm{r}}) \\
h_{t+1} &= \tanh(W_{\mathrm{hh}}(r \odot h_t) + W_{xh}x_t + b_{\mathrm{h}}) \odot z \\
&+ h_t \odot (1 - z)
\end{aligned}$$

MUT3:

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= \mathrm{sigm}(W_{\mathrm{xz}}x_t + W_{\mathrm{hz}}\tanh(h_t) + b_{\mathrm{z}}) \\
r &= \mathrm{sigm}(W_{\mathrm{xr}}x_t + W_{\mathrm{hr}}h_t + b_{\mathrm{r}}) \\
h_{t+1} &= \tanh(W_{\mathrm{hh}}(r \odot h_t) + W_{xh}x_t + b_{\mathrm{h}}) \odot z \\
&+ h_t \odot (1 - z)
\end{aligned}$$

Summary

- RNNs allow a lot of flexibility in architecture design
- Vanilla RNNs are simple but don’t work very well
- Common to use LSTM or GRU: their additive interactions improve gradient flow
- Backward flow of gradients in RNN can explode or vanish
- Exploding is controlled with gradient clipping
- Vanishing is controlled with additive interactions (LSTM)
- Better/simpler architectures are a hot topic of current research

Thank you!