The scenario and ethical dilemma of our opposition can be summarized as follows:

A tech startup uses software that logs the keystrokes of its employees to prevent security breaches.

One employee is concerned that the software could violate his privacy and expose his personal and political information.

Now let us begin with the strongest aspect of the opposition’s report:

They provided some possible actions for the given scenario and they thoroughly analyzed the consequences, risks, harms, and benefits of those actions. They have also explained well kant’s, mill’s and Rawl’s theories relating to their scenario.

Now moving to the weakest aspects:

First of all one thing to mention is that they didn’t follow the page limitation provided by our instructor which was 4 pages but their report was 8 pages. However, In their scenario they mentioned that one employee was worried  that software might expose his political views but they didnot give any exact answer how the employees political view can be saved from exposed.

Although they mentioned many possible actions they didn’t categorize which actions were ethically obligatory and which were ethically prohibited. Finally, they mentioned some ethically acceptable works but in the decision phase there wasn’t any decision that a decision maker can directly follow rather they kept there multiple options which might lead a decision maker to a dilemma again.

Finally, While the report effectively explores Kantian deontology, Mill's utilitarianism, and Rawls's veil of ignorance, it should explicitly weigh these theories against each other and identify the theory that best aligns with the scenario's specific context.

**Question:**

1. Since you guys don’t have any direct decision in your decision phase so how would you take the decision?
2. Why didnot you guys came up with any solution with the concept of outcome based works?