CHAPTER 5



> Characteristic Portfolio of Alpha

→ PostFolio A:

$$N_{A} = \frac{V^{-1} \cdot \alpha}{\alpha^{2} \cdot V^{-1} \cdot \alpha}$$
 (5A·1)

& Information Ratios

- Postfolio Q: fully invested postfolio with maximum shoups

- Proposition 1

Portfolio A has properties:

- O zero beta, typically has long and short positions
- . oitor notionalis information co
- : Azir laubies and latot ®

$$\omega_{\Lambda} = \overline{\sigma}_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{IR}$$
 (5A.7)

@ Any portfolio P that can be written as:

has IRp= IR

3 Portfolio a is a mixture of the benchmark and portfolio A

$$h_{\alpha} = \beta_{\alpha} \cdot h_{\alpha} + \alpha_{\alpha} \cdot h_{A}$$
 (5A.9)

where

$$\beta_{\alpha} = \frac{f_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla_{\alpha}^{2}}{f_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla_{\alpha}^{2}} \qquad (5A \cdot 10) \qquad \alpha_{\alpha} = \frac{\nabla_{\alpha}^{2}}{f_{\alpha} \cdot \omega_{A}^{2}} \qquad (5A \cdot 11)$$

@ Total holdings in portfolio A.

$$e_{A} = \frac{\alpha_{c} \cdot \omega_{A}^{2}}{\sigma_{c}^{2}}$$
 (5A·12)

⊕ 0, residual return on any postfolio P.

$$IR_{P} = IR_{Q} \cdot (om \{\theta_{P}, \theta_{Q}\})$$
 (SA-13)

to active managers must always check the manginal

contributions. For eq., if they have on IR of 0.50.

large bloods enothedistras larigram at that real

their alphas.

to Optimal Policy and Optimal Value added

- Proposition 2

eptimol colution to (5A.25) is given by:

$$h_{P} = \beta_{P} \cdot h_{B} + \left[\frac{IR}{2 \cdot \lambda_{A} \cdot \omega_{A}} \right] \cdot h_{A}$$
 (5A 26)

rolue added and residual robatility of optimal solution:

$$VA = \frac{IR^2}{4 \lambda_R} \qquad (5A \cdot 27) \qquad \omega_P = \frac{IR}{2 \cdot \lambda_R} \qquad (5A \cdot 28)$$

Proof

$$\frac{\partial VA}{\partial N_{r}} = \alpha - 2 \cdot \lambda_{R} \cdot \left(V - \beta \cdot \nabla_{R}^{2} \cdot \beta^{T} \right) \cdot N_{r}$$

$$\alpha + 2 \cdot \lambda_{a} \cdot \beta \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2} \cdot \beta_{p} = 2 \cdot \lambda_{a} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{p} \qquad (50.30)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{p}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} = IR \left[\frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{p}}{\omega_{a}} \right] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta = \frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{a}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} \Rightarrow \beta \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2} = \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{a}$$

$$\Rightarrow IR \left(\frac{V h_a}{\omega_a} + 2 \cdot \lambda_a \cdot \beta_r \cdot V h_a = 2 \cdot \lambda_a \cdot V h_r \quad (5A \cdot 31) \quad ---- \quad \text{multiply by } V^{-1} \text{ and divide by } (2 \cdot \lambda_a) \right)$$

to the Active Position Y. No cash and no beta

- → Portfolio A might have large & cash exposure
- -> Portfolio ((From appendix of U.2): minimum risk fully invested portfolio

Residual holdings of C:

$$R = N_c - \beta_c \cdot N_B \tag{5A.36}$$

→ Postfalio Y:

$$\mathbf{h}_{y} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{a}}{\omega_{A}} - \left(\frac{IR_{c}}{IR}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{h}_{cc}}{\omega_{c}}\right) \tag{5A.37}$$

- Proposition 3

Portfolio Y has properties:

- O zero beta
- sunction laubier and lotos @

$$\omega_{\nu}^{2} = 1 - \left[\frac{IR_{c}}{IR}\right]^{2} \qquad (64.38)$$

3 alpha:

$$\alpha_{y} = IR \cdot \left[1 - \left[\frac{IR_{c}}{IR} \right]^{2} \right]$$
 (5A.29)

B zero cash position e, = 0

© Information vatio:
$$IR_{y} = IR \cdot \sqrt{1 - \left[IR_{c}\right]^{2}} = IR \cdot \sqrt{1 - con\{\theta_{\alpha}, \theta_{c}\}} \qquad (5A \cdot 40)$$

to Optimal No Active Beta and No Active Cash

-> Problem:

- Proposition 4

Optimal solution to
$$(5A \cdot 41)$$
:
$$N_{p} = N_{B} + \left[\frac{TR}{2 \cdot \lambda_{R}} \right] \cdot N_{y} \qquad (5A \cdot 42)$$

- Proposition 6

- Information ratio is livear in the input alphas.
- → one difficulty in practice: alphas are so optimistic that they overwhelm

any reasonable constraint on rick.

briven a set of olphon, IR = ~ at V' a

If we Find IRo= 2.46, we woultiply olphas by 0.75/2.46. These olphas

can now be put into an optimization program.