

Appendix A

CP317A Grading Rubric for Project Report

The project report will be evaluated with the following measures.

| Items | A (4) | B (3) | C (2) | D (1) |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Main idea | Clearly presents a main idea and supports it throughout the paper. | There is a main idea supported throughout most of the paper. | Vague sense of a main idea, weakly supported throughout the paper. | A little main idea |
| Organization: | Well-planned and well-thought out. Includes title, introduction and conclusion. All paragraphs have clear ideas. | Good overall organization, includes title. Most paragraphs have clear ideas. | There is a sense of organization. Some paragraphs have clear ideas. | There is somewhat organization. Some paragraphs have ideas. |
| Content | Exceptionally well-presented and argued; ideas are detailed, well-developed, supported with specific evidence & facts, as well as examples and specific details. | Well-presented and argued; ideas are detailed, developed and supported with evidence and details, mostly specific. | Content is sound and solid; ideas are present but not particularly developed or supported. | Content is fair, but not well developed. Or Content is not sound. |
| Reference | Sources are exceptionally well-integrated and they support claims argued in the report very effectively. Quotations and works cited conform to APA style. | Sources are integrated and support the claims. There may be occasional errors, but the sources and works cited conform to APA style. | Sources are not integrated well. They are not cited correctly according to APA style. | The report does not use adequate reference. They are not cited correctly according to APA style. |

Note 1: APA stands for American Psychological Association. Please refer to <https://library.wlu.ca/help/activity/citing-sources/styles> for details.

Note 2: The writing report must be written with your own sentences and ideas. If you would like to cite other's work, please use citation in APA style properly. The originality of your report will be checked in MyLearningSpace. Academic plagiarism is not tolerated.
If you have any questions, please ask me at lgao@wlu.ca

Appendix B

CP317A Rubric for the Project Presentation

The presentation will be evaluated with the following rubric. Please refer to the project requirements in MyLearningSpace.

| Items | A (4) | B (3) | C (2) | D (1) | Assigned points |
|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------|
| Design and implement | Excellent design and superb implementation . Meets all functional requirements; | Satisfactory, flexible design meeting all functional requirements. Good bug-free implementation ; | Acceptable design that meets most functional requirements. Implementation mostly bug-free; | Poor design focused on minimally meeting the functional requirements. Implementation seems buggy | |
| Test and verification | Well-designed, systematic test suite providing excellent coverage. | Team's testing approach provides basic essential coverage of possible cases. | Carefully designed set of test cases to cover a suitable range of situations. | No systematic testing of software to ensure reasonable coverage of possible cases. | |
| Oral communication . | The presentation was excellent. The team addresses questions in the right level. | Presentation adequate at providing a basic explanation of the problem being addressed | Presentation was Effective. Responses to questions were reasonable. | Presentation not effective and not clear. | |
| Effective written communication Outcome | Excellent documentation of all aspects of the system including design and implementation . | Documentation partly effective at conveying the technical aspects of system. | documentation clearly presented all important aspects of project. | Documentation consisted of little more than (poorly commented) system code. | |