MPhil Macroeconomics - Monetary Economics

Informal course overview for our macro classes in HT & TT 2021

Note: Informal and highly stylized, includes topics not covered in the lectures and leaves out others. Refer to Andrea's syllabus, lectures and problem sets for the exam-relevant content.

Updated: May 16, 2021 Alexander Haas

WEEK 1: THE REAL EFFECTS OF MONEY

RBC models w/ money [■ CLASSICAL MONETARY MODEL]

[\blacksquare MIU MODEL \to LR: super-neutrality (+ Friedman rule); SR dynamics (log-linearization, fixed capital): neutrality (aside: break non-neutrality (but effect small) w/ $u_{mc} \neq 0$; CB policy w/ M or i (P undetermined; i constant $\to \pi_t$ also indeterminate; need feedback rule + Taylor principle]

PS6, Q1* (CIA)

[■ Shopping Time models \rightarrow (i) transaction technology, (ii) <u>cash-in-advance (CIA)</u> \rightarrow w/ $u_{lm} \neq 0$, effect on c if $u_{cl} \neq 0$]

PS6, Q2 (VAR w/ LR restrictions) **Empirical evidence on role of money** [LR neutrality; SR non-neutrality; identification: (i) dynamic correlations, (ii) event studies, (iii) VARs w/ short-run restrictions]

Week 2: The New Neoclassical synthesis

New-Keynesian model (imperfect competition + nominal rigidities)

PS6, Q3 (Rotemberg adj cost) & PS6, Q4* (IRFs)

[Wholesale producers (differentiated goods, monopolistic competition, $P_t > MC_t) \rightarrow$ retailers (perfect competition) \rightarrow HHs; choices: (i) wholesalers: production ($Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)$) + price setting ($P_t(i)$), (ii) retailers: aggregation (CES; $Y_t(i) \rightarrow Y_t$), (iii) HH: consumption (C_t vs N_t); price rigidities (Calvo, Rotemberg ...) \rightarrow dynamic price setting problem (dispersion w/ Calvo); log-linearization & flexible-price benchmark to compute output gap \rightarrow 3-equation model: IS, NKPC, TR; IRFs for 3 shocks]

WEEK 3: THE 3-EQUATION NK MODEL

PS7, Q2* (Interest rate peg) & PS7, Q4 (Alt det criteria)

Equilibrium properties of the 3-equation NK model [w/o capital/ other endogenous states purely forward-looking; eq determinancy via Blanchard and Kahn, 1980 \rightarrow #controls = # λ < 1; for 2x2 system: Bullard and Mitra, 2002; generalized Taylor principle; weak mp/ int rate peg \rightarrow role for non-fundamental/ sunspot shocks]

Volcker disinflation & Great Moderation [Clarida, Galí, and Gertler, 2000: illustration of indeterminancy problem (good/ bad policy); aside: alternative explanations → e.g. Orphanides, 2002 (measurement); good shocks, bad shocks hypothesis ...]

WEEK 4: OPTIMAL MONETARY POLICY

Welfare objective [linear-quadratic (LQ) approach (tractable); 2nd order approximation of $\mathbb{W}_0 \rightarrow$ quadratic in output gap and inflation]

Solution under commitment [CB can credibly influence expectations; only constraint: PC; perfect stabilization \forall shocks other than cp \rightarrow opt price level/ output gap tradeoff; time 0/ time inconsistency problem \rightarrow Woodford, 1999 timeless perspective; in practice: FED's average infl targeting; aside: no stabilization bias; no inflation bias] **Solution under discretion** [CB takes expectations as given; only constraint: PC; time-

PS7, Q1* (Inflation bias) & PS7, Q3 (Inflation nutter)

Solution under discretion [CB takes expectations as given; only constraint: PC; time-consistent solution; optimal trade-off in inflation/ output gap; opt int rate setting tracks efficient real int rate and responds to π_t (TR principle satisfied); inflation bias]

Week 5: The lower bound on nominal interest rates

Motivation [The effective lower bound & negative rate policies]

Demand-driven recessions [financial crises as demand shocks ($r_t^*\downarrow$); think discount factor shocks instead of prod shocks where ff not explicitly modelled; aside: c_t/i_t comovement problem w/ discount factor shocks, Smets and Wouters (2007) introduce risk premium shocks; full stabilization w/o ZLB]

PS8, Q1* (Contractionary New Deal)

Demand shocks and the ZLB [non-linearity in the mp rule; solve w/ 2-state Markov process for r^* as in Eggertsson and Woodford (2003) \longrightarrow analytical solution (alt: occbin toolbox; regime-switching; global methods); upward-sloping AS/AD system; $\{x_t, \pi_t\} < 0 \& \downarrow \text{ as } \mu \uparrow; \text{ expectations key; appl: Great Depression & Great Recession]}$

PS8, Q2 (Inf target)

Optimal policy at the ZLB [AD binding constraint; opt commitment policy history-dependent ($T_1 \le T_2$); forward guidance vs systematic rule (avg π targeting); raising π^*]

WEEK 6: LABOR MARKET FRICTIONS

PS8, Q3* (Nominal wage rigidity)

NK model w/ sticky wages [empirical evidence for sluggish wage adjustment; simple model w/ monopolistic labor supply by hhs & sticky wages (alt: add labor unions) \longrightarrow Wage Phillips Curve & eq unemployment; Galí (2011): in data, $corr(u_t, \pi_t^w) < 0$] Optimal policy w/ sticky wages [wage dispersion in hh utility $\longrightarrow \pi_t^w$ in welfare fct; op stabilizes both π_t and π_t^w ; degree of price/ wage stickiness key]

PS8, Q4 (S&M and Beveridge Curve)

Search & matching [intuition: UE arises because of <u>search frictions</u>; introduce in NK model — 'Shimer puzzle': employment too persistent, wages too volatile; solve via sticky wages, hiring cost, alternating-offer bargaining ... (anything that reduces the fundamental surplus overview as discussed in Ljungqvist and Sargent (2017))]

Week 7: Fiscal Policy

PS9, Q1* (Govt exp in a NK model) PS9, Q2 (FTPL) & PS9, Q3 (Flex infl targeting & det) **Debt sustainability** [govt budget constraint w/ Ricardian equivalence; simple loglinear approximation \longrightarrow tax rule $\hat{\tau}_t(b_{t-1})$ ensures debt sustainability (c.p.)] **Fiscal dominance** [3-eq NK model w/ mp & fp \longrightarrow 2 states { π_{t-1}, b_{t-1} }; Leeper (1991), det: active/passive (separation principle) & passive/active mp/fp (fiscal dominance)] **Optimal fiscal policy** [fp only via endog sales tax (cp shock): debt sust vs supply dist; Benigno and Woodford (2003), op st PC & govt BC: (i) flex prices \longrightarrow fiscal dominance; (ii) sticky prices \longrightarrow permanent change/ unit root in real vars (taxes, debt, output)] **FP at the ZLB** [ignore debt sust (lump-sum T); normal times: g_t w/ ad & $\hat{\tau}_t$ w/ cp prop; switches at ZLB \longrightarrow g_t \(\tam{\tau}_t \) exp, $\hat{\tau}_t$ \(\tau contract; Eggertsson (2011): cons tax \(\tau exp]

Week 8: The Forward Guidance Puzzle

The puzzle [Del Negro et al (2012), exaggerated model response to $fg \longrightarrow i_{t+j} w/direct$ effect on x_t ; resolution: add discounting (olg; het + incomplete markets; myopia)]

Complete markets [availability + trade in complete set of state-contingent securities \longrightarrow perfect consumption insurance/ aggr under heterogeneity/ repr agent]

Empirical evidence [market incompleteness via liquidity constraints (vs PIH) \longrightarrow 20-30% of hhs hand-to-mouth (h-t-m); 2/3 of these wealthy h-t-m (Kaplan et al, 2014)]

Simple model w/ h-t-m hhs [two-agent setup w/ & w/o fin market participation; transition probab + with-in group cons insurance; focus on zero-eq-liquidity: wealthy h-t-m & imperfect insurance \longrightarrow prec savings + add discounting \checkmark (Bilbiie, 2019)]

PS9, Q4* (Hand-tomouth agents)